Darko	Ivanovski	1243085
-------	-----------	---------

## Midterm test No. 2

24 / 11 / 2020

## Questions

- 1. Paste below your assigned CATH superfamily identifier. 3.30.70.120
- 2. Compare the sequences of your superfamily provided in the assignment file performing an all-vs-all pairwise sequence alignment.

Multiple sequence alignment using MUSCLE web service (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/), with default parameters.

a. Paste below a 10 x 10 matrix where cells represent the pairwise sequence identity.

```
1: 4co3
          100.00 42.06 18.00 11.88 11.43 9.52 16.50 8.82
                                                            7.84
                                                                  8.57
2: 4ush
          42.06 100.00 21.00 7.29 15.84 11.43 18.37 11.34
                                                             9.90
                                                                  9.52
3: 5d4n
          18.00 21.00 100.00 8.60 16.33 7.84 12.63 11.70 13.00 13.46
4: 1uku
          11.88 7.29 8.60 100.00 32.35 32.35 40.40 36.36 21.21 36.63
          11.43 15.84 16.33 32.35 100.00 30.84 40.78 34.31 30.10 34.91
5: 2nuh
6: 4e98
           9.52 11.43 7.84 32.35 30.84 100.00 41.75 35.29 28.30 29.09
7: 1nza
          16.50 18.37 12.63 40.40 40.78 41.75 100.00 44.12 38.24 38.83
8: 1p1I
          8.82 11.34 11.70 36.36 34.31 35.29 44.12 100.00 27.45 31.37
9: 6gdx
           7.84 9.90 13.00 21.21 30.10 28.30 38.24 27.45 100.00 39.81
            8.57 9.52 13.46 36.63 34.91 29.09 38.83 31.37 39.81 100.00
10: 1nag
```

b. Which is the domain more similar to all other domains?

**1nza** with a total of 391.62 (summing the percentages)

c. Based on sequence identity (e.g. 30% threshold), are there domains which can be grouped in the same family?

Possible family 1: 4ush + 4co3

Possible family 2: 2nuh + 1uku + 4e98 + 1nza + 1p1l + 6gdx + 1naq

3. Download the PDB files associated with your CATH superfamily and answer the following questions considering the start/end positions of the domain fragment as provided in the assignment file.

Downloaded the PDB files in one bulk operation using **PDB downloads** services (<a href="https://www.rcsb.org/downloads">https://www.rcsb.org/downloads</a>) checking **PDB format** as the option.

To compute the coverage of the domain fragments I used the script "exercise\_result.py".

a. Which is the coverage of your domain fragments on the corresponding PDB chains (consider observed residues)?

4co3 : 0.9285714285714286 4ush : 0.6688311688311688 5d4n : 0.97222222222222

1uku : 1.0

2nuh : 0.8813559322033898 4e98 : 0.7971014492753623

1nza : 1.0 1p1l : 1.0

6gdx : 0.8045112781954887 1naq : 0.9464285714285714

b. Which is the coverage of your domain fragments on the corresponding full length proteins (UniProt sequences)?

4. For each PDB create a new PDB with the coordinates of the domain fragment and perform an all-vs-all pairwise structural alignment using TM-align.

Used the script "structural\_alignment.sh" and created a for loop in python to execute all-vs-all pairwise structural alignment using TM-align compiled from cpp (I'm using a Windows pc). To extract the best sequence identity score and the best RMSD score I just parsed the output of the TM-align using the **domain1\_domain2.out** file.

a. Paste below a 10 x 10 matrix where cells represent the pairwise sequence identity obtained with the structural alignment (not sequence alignment).

```
4co3 1.0 0.439 0.246 0.159 0.044 0.101 0.119 0.103 0.101 0.072 4ush 0.439 1.0 0.181 0.123 0.13 0.117 0.136 0.121 0.092 0.081 5d4n 0.246 0.181 1.0 0.107 0.065 0.075 0.122 0.08 0.098 0.086 1uku 0.159 0.123 0.107 1.0 0.318 0.341 0.412 0.353 0.214 0.376 2nuh 0.044 0.13 0.065 0.318 1.0 0.333 0.437 0.326 0.33 0.378 4e98 0.101 0.117 0.075 0.341 0.333 1.0 0.448 0.337 0.281 0.323 1nza 0.119 0.136 0.122 0.412 0.437 0.448 1.0 0.442 0.388 0.368 1p11 0.103 0.121 0.08 0.353 0.326 0.337 0.442 1.0 0.294 0.326 6gdx 0.101 0.092 0.098 0.214 0.33 0.281 0.388 0.294 1.0 0.426 1nag 0.072 0.081 0.086 0.376 0.378 0.323 0.368 0.326 0.426 1.0
```

b. Paste below a 10 x 10 matrix where cells represent the pairwise RMSD.

```
      4co3
      0.0
      0.95
      2.43
      2.23
      2.04
      2.54
      2.22
      2.32
      2.28
      2.49

      4ush
      0.95
      0.0
      2.11
      1.73
      1.85
      1.86
      2.02
      1.87
      1.89
      2.07

      5d4n
      2.43
      2.11
      0.0
      2.55
      2.14
      2.19
      2.23
      2.3
      2.47
      2.27

      1uku
      2.23
      1.73
      2.55
      0.0
      0.96
      0.78
      1.33
      0.95
      0.84
      1.13

      2nuh
      2.04
      1.85
      2.14
      0.96
      0.0
      0.91
      0.94
      0.73
      0.96
      1.15

      4e98
      2.54
      1.86
      2.19
      0.78
      0.91
      0.0
      0.98
      0.87
      0.96
      1.13

      1nza
      2.22
      2.02
      2.23
      1.33
      0.94
      0.98
      0.0
      0.9
      1.29
      1.43

      1p1
      2.32
      1.87
      2.3
      0.95
      0.73
      0.87
      0.9
      0.0
      0.98
      1.11

      6gdx
      2.28
      1.89
      2.47
      0.84
      0.96
```

- c. Which is the domain more similar to all other domains looking at the sequence identity (calculated with the structural alignment)? 1nza 3.872
- d. Which is the domain more similar to all other domains looking at the RMSD? 2nuh 11.68000000000001

5. Create a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) starting from the domain sequences available in the assignment file using EBI T-Coffee.

I used the web service offered by EBI (<a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/tcoffee/">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/tcoffee/</a>) with default parameters to generate a multiple sequence alignment from the starting domain sequences. I selected "fasta" format as the output in order to reuse it for the next questions.

a. Which are the most conserved columns looking at the amino acid composition?

Using JalView, colouring with "clustalx" mode, I picked the columns with conserved amino acid composition value above 7.

Columns: 24, 25, 34, 42, 51, 58, 62, 64, 73, 88, 122, 123, 126 (referring to the first sequence of the msa that is **1naq**)

- b. Which are the most conserved columns looking at the column entropy?

  Using the script "entropy.py" with a arbitrary threshold of 0.6297948589443854

  Which is the 95% percentile (computed with numpy)

  Columns:
  - column 35 entropy 0.6586040494376154
  - column 69 entropy 0.6297948589443854
  - column 95 entropy 0.6306168212798319
  - column 120 entropy 0.6297948589443854
  - column 130 entropy 0.7223461442082891
  - column 134 entropy 0.6306168212798319

The columns are still referring to the first sequence of the msa, that is **1naq**.

6. Use the MSA generated before to perform a PSI-BLAST and a HMMER search against human proteins.

For PSI-BLAST I used this webservice: https://myhits.sib.swiss/cgi-bin/blast.

I selected every sources and as optional parameter I entered "homo sapiens" as taxonomic restriction.

For HMMER I used the webservice: <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmsearch">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmsearch</a> .

The search was restricted to "homo sapiens" as well.

a. How many significant hits are returned by the two methods?

PSI\_BLAST : 5 hits HMMsearch : 6 hits

7. Which PFAM HMMs match your superfamily? Hint: you can use hmmscan EBI service.

I used the webservice: <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmscan">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmscan</a> .

I uploaded a file containing a list of sequences in FASTA format (the domain sequences given) and the service retrieved a list of results, one for each entry.

I selected as HMM Database only Pfam.

The PFAM HMMs that match my superfamily are:

P-II: 3 domains matchCutA1: 7 domains match