

# Math 3A03 - Tutorial 9 Solutions - Winter 2019

Nikolay Hristov

March 18/20, 2019

**Problem 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function with the property that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Show that  $f$  has either an absolute maximum or an absolute minimum but not necessary both.

*Solution.* We assume that the function  $f$  is not identically zero on the real line, otherwise both absolute maximum and minimum of  $f$  is zero.

Since  $f$  is not identically zero on the real line, there exists a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $f(p) \neq 0$ . Set  $\epsilon := |f(p)| > 0$ .

Consider the set  $S := \{x : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ . Note that the set  $S$  is bounded from below. Otherwise, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq S$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $f(x_n) \rightarrow \epsilon$ , which contradict to the condition (1) since  $\epsilon > 0$ . Similarly, we prove that  $S$  is also bounded from above. Therefore, both infimum and supremum of  $S$  exist.

Consider any accumulation point of the set  $S$ , i.e. consider a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq S$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Note that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x_n) \geq \epsilon$ , and so  $f(x) \geq \epsilon$  also. Then,  $x \in S$ , which means that the set  $S$  is closed. Therefore,  $\inf(S) \in S$  and  $\sup(S) \in S$ . Set  $c_1 := \inf(S)$  and  $c_2 := \sup(S)$ .

By the above construction,

$$|f(x)| < \epsilon = |f(c_1)| \quad \text{for all } x < c_1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$|f(x)| < \epsilon = |f(c_2)| \quad \text{for all } x > c_2.$$

Therefore,  $f(c_1)$  is either an absolute maximum or an absolute minimum of  $f$  on the interval  $(-\infty, c_1]$ , and  $f(c_2)$  is either an absolute maximum or an absolute minimum of  $f$  on the interval  $[c_2, +\infty)$ .

If  $c_1 = c_2$ , then  $f(c_1)$  is an absolute extremum of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $c_1 \neq c_2$ , then the interval  $[c_1, c_2]$  is nonempty, and by Extreme Value Theorem, there exist

the absolute maximum  $M$  and the absolute minimum  $m$  of  $f$  on the interval  $[c_1, c_2]$ . If  $\max(f(c_1), f(c_2)) > 0$ , then  $\max(f(c_1), f(c_2), M)$  is an absolute maximum of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\min(f(c_1), f(c_2)) < 0$ , then  $\min(f(c_1), f(c_2), m)$  is an absolute minimum of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

$$\max(f(c_1), f(c_2)) = \min(f(c_1), f(c_2)) = 0,$$

then  $\max(f(c_1), f(c_2), M)$  is an absolute max of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\min(f(c_1), f(c_2), m)$  is an absolute min of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

There exist cases when there is no absolute max or absolute min. For example, the function  $f(x) = \exp(-x^2)$  does not have absolute min, whereas the function  $f(x) = -\exp(-x^2)$  does not have absolute max.



**Problem 2.** Let  $f$  be a continuous, one-to-one function defined on the interval  $[a, b]$  with  $f(a) < f(b)$ . Show that, for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , if  $x < y$  then  $f(x) < f(y)$ .

*Solution.* Assume, by contradiction, that there exist  $x, y \in [a, b]$  such that  $x < y$  and  $f(x) > f(y)$ . Note that  $a \leq x < y \leq b$ , and so  $a \neq y$ . We divide the proof into three cases.

**Case 1:**  $f(y) = f(a)$ . Then, since  $a \neq y$ , we get a contradiction to the injectivity of  $f$ .

**Case 2:**  $f(y) < f(a)$ . We consider two intervals  $(a, y)$  and  $(y, b)$ . The second interval is nonempty since  $f(y) < f(a) < f(b)$ , and so  $y < b$ . Then, for the interval  $(a, y)$ , choose any  $d \in (f(y), f(a))$ . By Intermediate Value Theorem, there exist some  $c_1 \in (a, y)$  such that  $f(c_1) = d$ . For the interval  $(y, b)$ , since  $f(y) < f(a) < f(b)$  holds, our previously chosen  $d \in (f(y), f(b))$ . Then, by Intermediate Value Theorem, there exist some  $c_2 \in (y, b)$  such that  $f(c_2) = d$ . Since  $(a, y) \cap (y, b) = \emptyset$ ,  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Therefore,  $f(c_1) = d = f(c_2)$  contradicts to the injectivity of  $f$ .

**Case 3:**  $f(y) > f(a)$ . We consider two intervals  $(a, x)$  and  $(x, y)$ . The first interval is nonempty since  $f(a) < f(y) < f(x)$ , and so  $a < x$ . Then, for the interval  $(a, x)$ , choose any  $d \in (f(y), f(x)) \subset (f(a), f(x))$ . By Intermediate Value Theorem, there exist some  $c_1 \in (a, x)$  such that  $f(c_1) = d$ . For the interval  $(x, y)$ , our previously chosen  $d \in (f(y), f(x))$ . Then, by Intermediate Value Theorem, there exist some  $c_2 \in (x, y)$  such that  $f(c_2) = d$ . Since  $(a, x) \cap (x, y) = \emptyset$ ,  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Therefore,  $f(c_1) = d = f(c_2)$  contradicts to the injectivity of  $f$ .

In each case we have a contradiction. Therefore, for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , if  $x < y$  then  $f(x) < f(y)$ .



**Problem 3.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function that is differentiable on  $(0, 1)$  and with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . Show there must exist distinct numbers  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in that interval such that

$$f'(\xi_1)f'(\xi_2) = 1.$$

*Solution.* By Mean Value Theorem for the interval  $[0, 1]$ , there exist some  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f'(a) = \frac{f(1)-f(0)}{1-0} = 1$ . We don't know the value of  $f(a)$ , so we divide the proof into three cases.

**Case 1:**  $f(a) = a > 0$ . Then, by Mean Value Theorem for  $[0, a]$ , there exists some  $b \in (0, a)$  such that  $f'(b) = \frac{f(a)-f(0)}{a-0} = \frac{f(a)}{a} = 1$ . Since  $a \neq b$ , we got the desired  $f'(a)f'(b) = 1$ .

**Case 2:**  $f(a) > a > 0$ . Then, by Mean Value Theorem for the intervals  $[0, a]$  and  $[a, 1]$ , there exist  $b \in (0, a)$  and  $c \in (a, 1)$  such that

$$f'(b) = \frac{f(a) - f(0)}{a - 0} = \frac{f(a)}{a} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(c) = \frac{1 - f(a)}{1 - a} < 1,$$

where the latter inequalities are obtained due to the assumption  $f(a) > a$ . Then, we can write  $f'(b) = 1 + p$  and  $f'(c) = 1 - q$  for some  $p > 0$  and  $q > 0$ . Set  $\delta := \min(p, q) > 0$ . Hence,  $(1, 1 + \delta) \subseteq (1, 1 + p) = (f'(a), f'(b))$  and  $(1 - \delta, 1) \subseteq (1 - q, 1) = (f'(c), f'(a))$ .

By Darboux's Theorem for  $(b, a)$ , choose any  $d \in (1, 1 + \delta) \subseteq (f'(a), f'(b))$ , then there exist some  $\xi_1 \in (b, a)$  such that  $f'(\xi_1) = d$ .

Since  $1 < d < 1 + \delta$ , we have  $1 > \frac{1}{d} > \frac{1}{1+\delta} > 1 - \delta$ , where the last inequality comes from  $1 > 1 - \delta^2$ . Therefore, we get that  $\frac{1}{d} \in (1 - \delta, 1) \subseteq (f'(c), f'(a))$ . By Darboux's Theorem for  $(a, c)$ , there exists some  $\xi_2 \in (a, c)$  such that  $f'(\xi_2) = \frac{1}{d}$ .

Since  $(b, a) \cap (a, c) = \emptyset$ , we have  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  and  $f'(\xi_1)f'(\xi_2) = d \times \frac{1}{d} = 1$ .

**Case 3:**  $f(a) < a$ . Proof is similar to the Case 2. 