Game values and (sur)real numbers
Jonathan Dushoff, McMaster University
http://lalashan.mcmaster.ca/DushoffLab

GOALS

- Describe:
 - Combinatoric games
 - Surreal numbers
 - Where the real numbers fit in
- Stay on this side of sanity

Game theory

- Classic game theory is the theory of games with imperfect information
- Why would that be?

Determinism

- Games with perfect information are boring
 - Mathematically, not practically
- Analyze the game tree; figure out who wins

Combinatorial game theory

- Except that deterministic games are not boring at all
- Conway decided to think about what it might mean to add two deterministic games together
- The result was the best thing

Resources

- * On Numbers and Games, Conway
- * Surreal Numbers, Knuth
- * Winning Ways, Berlekamp, Conway, Guy

Review

- We define the real numbers by:
 - Building the integers as nested sets
 - Building the rationals as equivalence classes of ordered pairs of integers
 - Building the reals as cuts of the rationals
- A lot of work, also, we're left with three definitions of the number 3 (and 2 of the number 3/2)

Axiom 1: what is a game?

- A game is: a set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
 - $-x = (x^L | x^R)$
 - Options are previously defined games
- A game *state* is a game together with a specification of whose turn it is
- Motivation: Clearly define a wide range of deterministic games
 - in a way that's going to make it easy to add and subtract them
- Bonus: Highly inductive

Um, what?

- I have just defined a bewilderingly wonderful agglomeration of objects
 - We will need to "chop" it three times to get to the real numbers
- But is it clear that I've defined any objects at all?

What are some games?

- A set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
- $(\emptyset|\emptyset) = (|)$
 - -0
- (0|)
 - 1
- (|0)
 - -1
- (0|0)
 - _ *

How to play a game?

- If it's your turn, you choose an option
- It's then the other player's turn in that game
- If you have no options than you lose

Hackenbush

- Uses a drawing with blue, red and green lines, and a "ground"
- On your turn, you remove a line
 - Lines no longer connected to ground are removed
- bLue lines can be removed by Left
- Red lines can be removed by Right
- greeN lines can be removed by aNyone

What outcomes can a game have?

- $\mathcal{O}(0) = S$ second player wins
- $\mathcal{O}(*) = F$ first player wins
- $\mathcal{O}(1) = L$ Left player wins
- $\mathcal{O}(-1) = R$ Right player wins

Axiom 2: Adding games

• To play in the game A + B, you move either in A or in B

$$-A + B = (A + B^{L}, A^{L} + B|A + B^{R}, A^{R} + B)$$

- This is perfectly well defined, and beautifully inductive
 - All games are defined in terms of previously defined games
- Motivation: related to thinking about certain kinds of specific games
 - Also, turns out to be super-cool

Examples

• What happens if we add games with various outcomes?

$$-S+S=S$$

$$- F + F = ?$$

$$-L+L=L$$

$$-L+R=?$$

$$-L+F=?$$

Some games are better

- We say $A \leq B$ if B is at least as good for the Left player as A
- Motivation:
 - * classify games by their potential additive effects
 - * put a partial ordering on the games

Definition

- The **negative** of a game reverses the roles of Left and Right
- This has a nice, recursive definition

$$-A = (A^L|A^R)$$
$$-A \equiv (-A^R|-A^L)$$

• We then evaluate A:B by looking at the outcome of $A-B\equiv A+(-B)$

At least as good

• A is at least as good as B (for Left) if A - B has no good moves (for Right)

- This means
$$\mathcal{O}(A - B) =$$
* L, or S

Mirror world

• It is sometimes useful to construct A - B by imagining a mirror, and putting B on the opposite side of the mirror (Left and Right are reversed there)

Axiom 3: Partial ordering

- We say position A B is good for Left, unless
 - Right has a good move
- We say $A \ge B$ unless
 - Some $A^R \leq B$, or
 - Some $B^L \ge A$

Partial ordering

- $\mathcal{O}(A-B)$?
 - $-L \implies A > B$
 - $R \implies A < B$
 - $-S \implies A = B$
 - $F \implies A \sim B$

Theorem

• If A = B, then:

$$- \forall X, \mathcal{O}(X+A) = \mathcal{O}(X+B)$$

- $\mathcal{O}(X+A) = \mathcal{O}((X+A) + (B-A)) = \mathcal{O}((X+B) + (A-A)) = \mathcal{O}(X+B)$

Values

- We can thus define a game value as an equivalence class of games
 - A set of games that are linked by an equivalence relation
 - The rational numbers were defined last week in a similar way:
 - * 1/2 is the equivalence class of ordered pairs (1, 2); (2, 4); ...

Numbers

- The values I've defined are a very cool group.
- But not very numerical:
 - -*+*=0
- Games have "numerical" value if you can count free moves, which works when moving is always bad.

Axiom 1N: what is a (surreal) number?

- Recall: a game is: a set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
 - $-x = (x^L | x^R)$
 - Options are *previously defined* games
- A number is: a set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
 - $-x = (x^L|x^R)$, s.t. no $x^L \ge x^R$
 - Options are previously defined numbers

Examples

- 1 + 1 = 2
- (0—1)
- **●** (0—2)
- **●** (0—3)

Simplicity theorem

- The value of $(x^L|x^R)$ is the simplest, non-prohibited value
- Prohibited: if if is larger than some x^R or less than some x^L
- Simplest: earliest created; it has no options that are not prohibited

Integers

• We create the integers as n + 1 = (n|)

Binary fractions

• We create the dyadic rationals as

$$-2k + 1/2^{n+1} = (k/2^n | (k+1)/2^n)$$

The limit

- What happens if we take the limit of all numbers we can make in a finite number of steps?
- We can get all the reals . . .
- plus some very weird stuff

$$-\omega = (0, 1, 2, \dots |)$$
$$-1/\omega = (0|1, 1/2, 1/4, \dots)$$

0.999...

- Is 0.999...really equal to 1?
- Depends on your definitions
- What is 0.1111... (base 2) as a game?

Ordinals

• You can take as many limits as you want, and get all of the infinite ordinals, and a wide range of infinitesimals

Axiom 1R: what is a (real) number?

- Recall: a number is: a set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
 - $-x = (x^L|x^R)$, s.t.: * no $x^L \ge x^R$
 - Options are *previously defined* numbers
- A real number is: a set of options for the Left player, and a set of options for the Right player
 - $-x = (x^L|x^R)$, s.t.: * no $x^L > x^R$
 - * x^L has a largest element iff x^R has a smallest element
 - Options are previously defined real numbers

Axiom 4

- You can define multiplication
 - Motivation: $(x x^S)(y y^S)$ has a known sign

Theorem

- You can construct division and show that the surreal numbers are a field
 - Insane recursion that only a genius could come up with, seriously
 - Recursion simultaneously on simpler quotients, and on the quotient itself

Surreal arithmetic

- $\bullet \ \omega -1,$
- $\omega/2$, $\sqrt(\omega)$
- Even crazier stuff: $\sqrt[3]{\omega 1} \pi/\omega$

Micro-infinitesimals

• If we allow values that aren't numbers, we have infinitesimals that are smaller than the smallest infinitesimal numbers

Nimbers

- \bullet We can define neutral games by identifying options for Left and Right
- $\bullet\,$ This is the theory of Nim values

Hot games

- Hot games are games where there can be a positive value to moving
- Example: domineering

Conclusion

- We can define a bewildering array of games with a simple, recursive definition
- By defining addition, we can chop these into values, which form a group under sensible game addition
- By recursively requiring making a move to have a cost, we can chop these further into numbers, which contain the reals, the infinite ordinals and a consistent set of infitesimals
 - These surreal numbers form a field