

Randomized Bounded Arithmetic

Technical report

Melissa Antonelli¹, Ugo Dal Lago¹, Isable Oitavem², and Paolo Pistone¹

¹University of Bologna & Inria

²NOVA University of Lisbon

July 1, 2022

In this technical report, we prove that the class \mathcal{POR} is precisely the class of functions which are Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 . In doing so, we first show that the class \mathcal{POR} corresponds to that of the quoted Σ_1^b -representable functions. There are two main steps to be established:

1. In Section 1 we prove that all functions in \mathcal{POR} are Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 . The proof is by induction on the structure of probabilistic oracle functions and is inspired by the encoding machinery as presented in [2, 6].
2. In Section 2 we show that all functions which are Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 are in \mathcal{POR} by way of realizability techniques similar to Cook and Urquhart's one [5].

1 All Functions in \mathcal{POR} are Σ_1^b -Representable in RS_3^1

In Section 1.1, we introduce the class \mathcal{POR} of polynomial-time oracle functions, as inspired by both Antonelli et al. [1] and Cobham [4] and Ferreira [6]. Then, in Section 1.2, we introduce our language, \mathcal{L} , obtained as extending \mathcal{L}_W by Ferreira and Oitavem [8] with a special predicate symbol $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$ and a bounded theory RS_3^1 , very close to Ferreira's one [7, 6]. In Section 1.3 we provide a non-standard, quantitative semantics for terms and formulas in \mathcal{L} . Actually, for clarity's sake, we also introduce a more familiar, qualitative interpretation for formulas of \mathcal{L} , to be compared with the quantitative one. Finally, in Section 1.4, we present our main result here, showing that each polynomial-time oracle function is Σ_1^b -representable in our theory RS_3^1 .

1.1 The Class \mathcal{POR}

Let $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ denote the set of bits, $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{B}^*$ be the set of binary strings of finite length, and $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{S}}$ indicate the set of binary strings of infinite length. Metavariables ω', ω'', \dots are used to denote the elements \mathbb{O} . Given two binary strings x, y , we will use $x \subseteq y$ to express that x is an *initial* or *prefix substring* of y . Let $|\cdot|$ denote the length-map of a string, i.e. for any string x , $|x|$ indicates the length of x . Given two strings x, y , one can define a new string by concatenation, indicated as $x \frown y$ (which will be always abbreviated as simply xy), or by binary product, denoted

$x \times y$ and obtained by self-concatenating x for $|y|$ -times. Given an infinite string of bits, ω , and a finite string, x , $\omega(x)$ denotes *one* specific bit of ω , the so-called x -th bit of ω .

Definition 1 (The Class \mathcal{POR}). The *class* \mathcal{POR} is the smallest class of functions from $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{O}$ to \mathbb{S} , containing:

- the empty function $E(x, \omega) = \epsilon$
- the projection functions $P_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega) = x_i$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$
- the word-successor $S_{\mathbb{b}}(x, \omega) = x\mathbb{b}$, for every $\mathbb{b} \in \mathbb{B}$
- the conditional function

$$\begin{aligned} C(\epsilon, y, z_0, z_1, \omega) &= y \\ C(x\mathbb{b}, y, z_0, z_1, \omega) &= z_{\mathbb{b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{b} \in \mathbb{B}$

- the query function $Q(x, \omega) = \omega(x)$

and closed under:

- composition, such that f is defined from g, h_1, \dots, h_k as

$$f(\vec{x}, \omega) = g(h_1(\vec{x}, \omega), \dots, h_k(\vec{x}, \omega), \omega)$$

- bounded recursion, such that f is defined from g, h_0, h_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}, \epsilon, \omega) &= g(\vec{x}, \omega) \\ f(\vec{x}, y\mathbf{0}, \omega) &= h_0(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y, \omega), \omega)|_{t(\vec{x}, y)} \\ f(\vec{x}, y\mathbf{1}, \omega) &= h_1(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y, \omega), \omega)|_{t(\vec{x}, y)} \end{aligned}$$

where t is defined from $\epsilon, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \frown, \times$ by explicit definition.

Remark 1. Notice that Ferreira's characterization [6], not only does not include the query function Q , but also the conditional is not used. Instead, it contains the “substring-conditional” function:

$$S(x, y, \omega) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } x \subseteq y \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Nevertheless, we can define it due by bounded recursion. First, let $f_{\text{Tail}}(x, \omega)$ be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tail}(\epsilon, \omega) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Tail}(x\mathbb{b}, \omega) &= x|_x. \end{aligned}$$

Then, let $\text{Eq}(x, y, \omega)$ be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eq}(x, \epsilon, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \omega) \\ \text{Eq}(x, y\mathbf{0}, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{0}, \text{Eq}(\text{Tail}(x), y, \omega), \mathbf{0}, \omega)|_1 \\ \text{Eq}(x, y\mathbf{1}, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \text{Eq}(\text{Tail}(x), y, \omega), \omega)|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can define $S(x, y, \omega)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, \epsilon, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \omega) \\ S(x, y\mathbf{0}, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{1}, C(\text{Eq}(x, y\mathbf{0}, \omega), S(x, y, \omega), \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \omega), S(x, y, \omega), \omega) \\ S(x, y\mathbf{1}, \omega) &= C(x, \mathbf{1}, S(x, y, \omega), C(\text{Eq}(x, y\mathbf{1}, \omega), S(x, y, \omega), \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \omega)), \omega) \end{aligned}$$

Actually even the conditional function C could be defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C(\epsilon, y, z_0, z_1, \omega) &= y \\ C(x\mathbf{0}, y, z_0, z_1, \omega) &= z_0|_{z_0} \\ C(x\mathbf{1}, y, z_0, z_1, \omega) &= z_1|_{z_1}. \end{aligned}$$

but we will take it as a primitive function of \mathcal{POR} to make the realizability interpretation of Section 2 better readable.

1.2 The Theory RS_3^1

The Language \mathcal{L} . The language \mathcal{L} is the first-order language with equality defined in [8], augmented by a predicate symbol $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$, as described below:

Definition 2 (Terms). Let x, y, \dots denote variables. Terms are defined by the following grammar:

$$t, s ::= x \mid \epsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid t \frown s \mid t \times s.$$

Notation 1. The symbol \frown is usually omitted: $t \frown s$ is abbreviated as ts .

Definition 3 (Formulas). Let x, y, \dots denote variables and t, s, \dots terms. Formulas are defined by the following grammar:

$$F, G ::= \text{Flip}(t) \mid t = s \mid t \subseteq s \mid \neg F \mid F \wedge G \mid F \vee G \mid F \rightarrow G \mid (\exists x)F \mid (\forall x)F.$$

Notation 2. Given a term t , the abbreviation 1^t stands for the term $1 \times t$. Given two terms t, s , the formula $t \preceq s$ is syntactic sugar for $1^t \subseteq 1^s$, meaning that the length of t is less than or equal to that of s . Given three terms t, r, s , the abbreviation $t|_r = s$ denotes the following formula,

$$(1^r \subseteq 1^t \wedge s \subseteq t \wedge 1^r = 1^s) \vee (1^t \subseteq 1^r \wedge s = t)$$

saying that s is the *truncation* of t at the length of r .

Notation 3 (Bounded and Subword Quantification). In \mathcal{L} , *bounded quantification* is quantification in the form $(\forall x \preceq t)F$, which abbreviates $(\forall x)(1^x \subseteq 1^t \rightarrow F)$, or $(\exists x \preceq t)F$. *Subword quantification* is quantification in the form $\forall x \subseteq^* t$ and $\exists x \subseteq^* t$, such that $(\forall x \subseteq^* t)F$ and $(\exists x \subseteq^* t)F$ abbreviate (resp.) $\forall x(\exists w \subseteq t(wx \subseteq t) \rightarrow F)$ and $\exists x(\exists w \subseteq t(wx \subseteq t) \wedge F)$. For readability's sake, in the following, we also abbreviate so-called *initial subword quantifications* $(\forall x)(x \subseteq t \rightarrow F)$ as $(\forall x \subseteq t)F$ and $(\exists x)(x \subseteq t \wedge F)$ as $(\exists x \subseteq t)F$.

The Theory RS_3^1 . The theory RS_3^1 we are going to define is basically made of the the axioms by [6] as expressed in \mathcal{L} .

Definition 4 (Σ_1^b -Formula). A Σ_0^b -formula is a formula is a subword quantified formula, i.e. a formula belonging to the smallest class of \mathcal{L} containing atomic formulas and closed under Boolean operations and subword quantifications. A Σ_1^b -formula in \mathcal{L} is a formula of the form $(\exists x)(x \preceq t(\vec{z}) \wedge F(\vec{z}, x))$, where F is a subword quantified formula.

Every string $s \in \mathbb{S}$ can be seen as a term \bar{s} of \mathcal{L} , such that $\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon$, $\overline{s0} = \bar{s} \frown 0$ and $\overline{s1} = \bar{s} \frown 1$, e.g. $001 = 0 \frown 0 \frown 1$.

Definition 5 (Theory RS_3^1). The theory RS_3^1 is defined by the axioms below:

- Basic axioms:
 1. $x\epsilon = x$
 2. $x(y0) = (xy)0$
 3. $x(y1) = (xy)1$
 4. $x \times \epsilon = \epsilon$
 5. $x \times y0 = (x \times y)x$
 6. $x \times y1 = (x \times y)x$
 7. $x \subseteq \epsilon \leftrightarrow x = \epsilon$
 8. $x \subseteq y0 \leftrightarrow x \subseteq y \vee x = y0$
 9. $x \subseteq y1 \leftrightarrow x \subseteq y \vee x = y1$
 10. $x0 = y0 \rightarrow x = y$
 11. $x1 = y1 \rightarrow x = y$
 12. $x0 \neq y1$
 13. $x0 \neq \epsilon$
 14. $x1 \neq \epsilon$
- Axiom scheme for induction on notation:

$$B(\epsilon) \wedge (\forall x)(B(x) \rightarrow B(x0) \wedge B(x1)) \rightarrow (\forall x)B(x),$$

where B is a Σ_1^b -formula in \mathcal{L} .

We will call Σ_1^b the class containing all and only the Σ_1^b -formulas. An *extended Σ_1^b -formula* is any formula of \mathcal{L} that can be constructed in a finite number of steps by starting with subword quantifications and bounded existential quantifications.

Proposition 1 ([6]). *In RS_3^1 any extended Σ_1^b -formula is logically equivalent to a Σ_1^b -formula.*¹

¹Actually, Ferreira proves this result for his theory Σ_1^b -NIA [7, pp. 148-149], but it clearly holds for RS_3^1 as well.

1.3 Semantics for Formulas in \mathcal{L}

Qualitative Interpretation of \mathcal{L} . The standard, *qualitative* model for terms and formulas of \mathcal{L} consists in $\mathcal{W} = (\mathbb{S}, \frown, \times)$. In this case logical operators are interpreted in the canonical way and $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$ is treated as a standard, unary predicate of first-order logic, which is interpreted as a subset of \mathbb{S} .

Definition 6 (Interpretation for Terms). An environment $\xi : \mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathbb{S}$, where \mathcal{G} is the set of term variables, is a mapping that assigns to each variable a string. Given a term t in \mathcal{L} and an environment ξ , the *interpretation of t in ξ* is the string $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi \in \mathbb{S}$ inductively defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket_\xi &= \epsilon & \llbracket x \rrbracket_\xi &:= \xi(x) \in \mathbb{S} \\ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket_\xi &= 0 & \llbracket t \frown s \rrbracket_\xi &= \llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi \llbracket s \rrbracket_\xi \\ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket_\xi &= 1 & \llbracket t \times s \rrbracket_\xi &= \llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi \times \llbracket s \rrbracket_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 7 (Qualitative Semantics for \mathcal{L} -Formulas). Given a formula F in \mathcal{L} and an interpretation $\rho = (\xi, \omega^{\text{FLIP}})$, where $\xi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^{\text{FLIP}} \subseteq \mathbb{O}$, the *interpretation of F in ρ* , $\llbracket F \rrbracket_\rho$, is inductively defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{Flip}(t) \rrbracket_\rho &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega^{\text{FLIP}}(\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket \neg G \rrbracket_\rho &:= 1 - \llbracket G \rrbracket_\rho \\ \llbracket t = s \rrbracket_\rho &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket G \wedge H \rrbracket_\rho &:= \min\{\llbracket G \rrbracket_\rho, \llbracket H \rrbracket_\rho\} \\ \llbracket t \subseteq s \rrbracket_\rho &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho \subseteq \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket G \vee H \rrbracket_\rho &:= \max\{\llbracket G \rrbracket_\rho, \llbracket H \rrbracket_\rho\} \\ & & \llbracket G \rightarrow H \rrbracket_\rho &:= \max\{1 - \llbracket G \rrbracket_\rho, \llbracket H \rrbracket_\rho\} \\ & & \llbracket (\forall x)G \rrbracket_\rho &:= \min\{\llbracket G \rrbracket_{\rho\{x \leftarrow s\}} \mid s \in \mathbb{S}\} \\ & & \llbracket (\exists x)G \rrbracket_\rho &:= \max\{\llbracket G \rrbracket_{\rho\{x \leftarrow s\}} \mid s \in \mathbb{S}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Quantitative Interpretation of \mathcal{L} . Inspired by [1], we introduce the alternative, *quantitative* semantics for \mathcal{L} -terms and -formulas. Actually, terms are defined in the standard way, exactly as in the Definition 6 above. On the other hand, the semantics for formulas is inherently quantitative, as any formula is associated with a (measurable) set.

Definition 8 (Quantitative Semantics). Given a formula F and an environment $\xi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, where \mathcal{G} is the set of term variables, the *interpretation of F in ξ* , $\llbracket F \rrbracket_\xi$, is the (measurable) set of sequences inductively defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{Flip}(t) \rrbracket_\xi &:= \{\omega \mid \omega(\llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi) = 1\} & \llbracket \neg G \rrbracket_\xi &:= \mathbb{O} - \llbracket G \rrbracket_\xi \\ \llbracket t = s \rrbracket_\xi &:= \begin{cases} \mathbb{O} & \text{if } \llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket s \rrbracket_\xi \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket G \vee H \rrbracket_\xi &:= \llbracket G \rrbracket_\xi \cup \llbracket H \rrbracket_\xi \\ \llbracket t \subseteq s \rrbracket_\xi &:= \begin{cases} \mathbb{O} & \text{if } \llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi \subseteq \llbracket s \rrbracket_\xi \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket G \wedge H \rrbracket_\xi &:= \llbracket G \rrbracket_\xi \cap \llbracket H \rrbracket_\xi \\ & & \llbracket G \rightarrow H \rrbracket_\xi &:= (\mathbb{O} - \llbracket G \rrbracket_\xi) \cup \llbracket H \rrbracket_\xi \\ & & \llbracket (\exists x)G \rrbracket_\xi &:= \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \llbracket G \rrbracket_{\xi\{x \leftarrow i\}} \\ & & \llbracket (\forall x)G \rrbracket_\xi &:= \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \llbracket G \rrbracket_{\xi\{x \leftarrow i\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notation 4. For readability's sake, in what follows, we may abbreviate the former interpretation as $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_\omega$ and the quantitative interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_\xi$ as simply $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$.

1.4 \mathcal{POR} is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1

First, we modify the standard definition of Σ_1^b -representability so to fit our peculiar class of string (oracle) functions and our probabilistic word language.

Definition 9 (Σ_1^b -Representability). A function $f : \mathbb{S}^j \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 if and only if there is a Σ_1^b -formula $G(\vec{x}, y)$ in \mathcal{L} such that:

1. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y)G(\vec{x}, y)$
2. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\forall y)(\forall z)(G(\vec{x}, y) \wedge G(\vec{x}, z) \rightarrow y = z)$
3. for all $n_1, \dots, n_j, m \in \mathbb{S}$, and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, $f(n_1, \dots, n_j, \omega) = m$ if and only if $\omega \in \llbracket G(\overline{n_1}, \dots, \overline{n_j}, \overline{m}) \rrbracket$.

Notice that, as seen, the language \mathcal{L} can be seen a fragment of both the language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FOL}}^*$, that is a first-order word language \mathcal{L} including the unary predicate $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$, and of (a slight variation of) $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MQPA}}$, as defined in [1]. Indeed, in Theorem 1.1 below the desired \mathcal{L} -formula $G(x, y)$ is treated as a formula of FOL when dealing with conditions 1. and 2., but as a formula of MQPA in 3.

The following proof relies on a well-known result by Parikh.

Proposition 2 (“Parikh” [10]). *Let $F(\vec{x}, y)$ be a bounded formula in \mathcal{L} such that $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y)F(\vec{x}, y)$. Then, there is a term t such that $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y \leq t(\vec{x}))F(\vec{x}, y)$.*

Actually, the theorem is usually presented in the context of Buss’ bounded theories, as stating that, given a bounded formula B (in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}$), such that $S_2^i \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y)B$, then there is a term $t(\vec{x})$ such that also $S_2^i \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y \leq t(\vec{x}))B(\vec{x}, y)$, [2, 3]. However, due to [8], Buss’ *syntactic* proof would hold for Ferreira’s Σ_1^b -NIA [7] as well. The same result holds for RS_3^1 , which does not contain any specific rule concerning $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$ and so is defined by the same peculiar axioms as Ferreira’s Σ_1^b -NIA [6].

Theorem 1.1. *Every $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 .*

Proof Sketch. The proof is by induction on the structure of functions in \mathcal{POR} :²

Base case. Each basic function is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 .

- $f = E$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$G_E(x, y) := x = x \wedge y = \epsilon.$$

1. Given x , existence is proved by considering $y = \epsilon$. By the reflexivity of identity both $RS_3^1 \vdash x = x$ and $RS_3^1 \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon$ hold. So, for the definition of \wedge , also $RS_3^1 \vdash x = x \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon$. We conclude $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)(x = x \wedge y = \epsilon)$.
2. Uniqueness is proved assuming $RS_3^1 \vdash x = x \wedge z = \epsilon$. So, for the definition of \wedge , in particular $RS_3^1 \vdash z = \epsilon$. Since, as shown, $RS_3^1 \vdash y = \epsilon$, by the transitivity of identity, we conclude $RS_3^1 \vdash y = z$.

²Full details are given in Appendix 3.1.2.

3. Assume $E(n, \omega^*) = m$. If $m = \epsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O} \\ &= \mathbb{O}. \end{aligned}$$

So, in this case, for any ω^* , $\omega^* \in \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \vee \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket$, as clearly $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$.

If $m \neq \epsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \vee \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O} \cap \emptyset \\ &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

So, for any ω^* , $\omega^* \notin \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \vee \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket$, as clearly $\omega^* \notin \emptyset$.

$f = P_i^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$G_{P_i^n}(x, y) := \bigwedge_{i \in J} (x_j = x_j) \wedge y = x_i,$$

where $J = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$.

$f = S_b$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$G_S(x, y) := y = x\mathbf{b},$$

where $\mathbf{b} = 0$ if $b = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{b} = 1$ if $b = \mathbf{1}$.

$f = C$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$G_C(x_1, v, z_0, z_1, y) := (x = \epsilon \wedge y = v) \vee (\exists x' \preceq x)(x = x'\mathbf{0} \wedge y = z_0) \vee (\exists x' \preceq x)(x = x'\mathbf{1} \wedge y = z_1).$$

$f = Q$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$G_Q(x, y) := (\mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 0).$$

Notice that this proof relies on the fact that every $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ is capable of invoking exactly *one* oracle.

1. Existence is proved by cases. Intuitively, if $RS_3^1 \vdash \mathbf{Flip}(x)$, let $y = 1$. By the reflexivity of identity $RS_3^1 \vdash 1 = 1$ holds, so also $RS_3^1 \vdash \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 1 = 1$. In this case we conclude, for the definition of \vee , we conclude $RS_3^1 \vdash (\mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 1 = 1) \vee (\neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 1 = 0)$, that is $RS_3^1 \vdash (\exists y)((\mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 0))$. If $RS_3^1 \vdash \neg \mathbf{Flip}(x)$, let $y = 0$. By the reflexivity of identity $RS_3^1 \vdash 0 = 0$ holds. Thus, for the definition of \wedge , $RS_3^1 \vdash \neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 0 = 0$ and, for that of \vee , we conclude again $RS_3^1 \vdash (\mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 0 = 1) \vee (\neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge 0 = 0)$. that is $RS_3^1 \vdash (\exists y)((\mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \mathbf{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 0))$.

2. Uniqueness is established relying on the transitivity of identity.
3. Finally, it is shown that, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $Q(n, \omega^*) = m$ if and only if $\omega^* \in \llbracket G_Q(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \rrbracket$.

Assume $m = \mathbf{1}$. Then $Q(n, \omega^*) = \mathbf{1}$, which is $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{1}) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{0}) \rrbracket &= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket) \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \mathbb{O}) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \emptyset) \\
&= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \\
&= \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\omega^* \in \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{1}) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = \mathbf{0}) \rrbracket$. The case $m = \mathbf{0}$ and the opposite direction are proved in a similar way.

Inductive case. If f is defined by composition or bounded recursion from Σ_1^b -representable functions, then f is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 :

- *Composition.* Assume that f is defined by composition from functions g, h_1, \dots, h_k , so that

$$f(\vec{x}, \omega) = g(h_1(\vec{x}, \omega), \dots, h_k(\vec{x}, \omega), \omega)$$

and that g, h_1, \dots, h_k are represented in RS_3^1 by (resp.) the Σ_1^b -formulas $G_g, G_{h_1}, \dots, G_{h_k}$. By Proposition 2, there exist suitable terms $t_g, t_{h_1}, \dots, t_{h_k}$ such that condition 1. of Definition 9 can be strengthened to $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y \preceq t_i) G_i(\vec{x}, y)$, for each $i \in \{g, h_1, \dots, h_k\}$. We conclude that $f(\vec{x}, \omega)$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the following formula:

$$G(x, y) := (\exists z_1 \preceq t_{h_1}(\vec{x})) \dots (\exists z_k \preceq t_{h_k}(\vec{x})) (G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, z_1) \wedge \dots \wedge G_{h_k}(\vec{x}, z_k) \wedge G_g(z_1, \dots, z_k, y)).$$

Indeed, by IH, $G_g, G_{h_1}, \dots, G_{h_k}$ are Σ_1^b -formulas, so also G is in Σ_1^b . Moreover, conditions 1.-3. are proved to hold by slight variations of the standard proofs.

- *Bounded Recursion.* Assume that f is defined by bounded recursion from f, h_0, h_1 so that:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\vec{x}, \epsilon, \omega) &= g(\vec{x}, \omega) \\
f(\vec{x}, y\mathbf{i}, \omega) &= h_i(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y, \omega), \omega)|_{t(\vec{x}, y)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{i} \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$, so that $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{0}$ when $i = 0$ and $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{1}$ when $i = 1$. In addition, let g, h_0, h_1 be represented in RS_3^1 by (resp.) the following Σ_1^b -formulas G_g, G_{h_0}, G_{h_1} . Moreover, by Proposition 2, there exist some suitable terms t_g, t_{h_0}, t_{h_1} such that condition 1. of Definition 9 can be strengthened to its “bounded version”. Then, it can be proved that $f(\vec{x}, y)$ is Σ_1^b -defined in RS_3^1 by the formula below:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x, y) &:= (\exists v \preceq t_g(\vec{x}) t_g(\vec{x})(y \times t(\vec{x}, y) t(\vec{x}, y) \mathbf{11})) \Big(F_{lh}(v, \mathbf{1} \times y \mathbf{1}) \\
&\quad \wedge (\exists z \preceq t_g(\vec{x})) (F_{eval}(v, \epsilon, z) \wedge G_g(\vec{x}, z)) \\
&\quad \wedge (\forall u \subseteq y) (\exists z) \Big(\tilde{z} \preceq t(\vec{x}, y) \Big(F_{eval}(v, \mathbf{1} \times u, z) \wedge F_{eval}(v, \mathbf{1} \times u \mathbf{1}, \tilde{z}) \\
&\quad \wedge (u\mathbf{0} \subseteq y \rightarrow (\exists z_0 \preceq t_{h_0}(\vec{x}, u, z)) (G_{h_0}(\vec{x}, u, z, z_0) \wedge z_0|_{t(\vec{x}, u)} = \tilde{z})) \\
&\quad \wedge (u\mathbf{1} \subseteq y \rightarrow (\exists z_1 \preceq t_{h_1}(\vec{x}, u, z)) (G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, u, z, z_1) \wedge z_1|_{t(\vec{x}, u)} = \tilde{z})) \Big) \Big) \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

where F_{lh} and F_{eval} are Σ_1^b -formulas defined as in [7]. Roughly, $F_{lh}(x, y)$ states that the number of 1s in the (s -)encoding of x is yy , while $F_{eval}(x, y, z)$ is a “decoding” formula (strongly resembling the acting of Gödel’s β -formula), expressing that the “bit” encoded in x at position y is z . Moreover, $x \subset y$ is an abbreviation for $x \subseteq y \wedge x \neq y$. This formula G satisfies all the desired requirements to Σ_1^b -represent in RS_3^1 the function f , obtained by bounded recursion from g, h_0 and h_1 . In particular, conditions 1. and 2., concerning existence and uniqueness, have already been proved to hold in Ferreira’s [7]. Moreover, G basically expresses that, given the desired encoding sequence v , (i) the ϵ -th bit of v is (the encoding of) z' , such that $G_g(\vec{x}, z')$ holds, where (for IH) G_g is the Σ_1^b -formula representing the function g , and (ii) given that for each $u \subset y$, z denotes the “bit” encoded in v at position $1 \times u$ and, similarly, \tilde{z} is the next “bit”, encoded in v at position $1 \times u1$, then if $u\mathbf{b} \subseteq y$ (that is, if we are considering an initial substring of y the last bit of which is b) then there is a z_b , such that $G_{h_b}(\vec{x}, y, z, z_b)$, where G_{h_b} Σ_1^b -represents the function f_{h_b} and the truncation of z_b at $t(\vec{x}, u)$ is precisely \tilde{z} , with $b = 0$ when $\mathbf{b} = 0$ and $b = 1$ when $\mathbf{b} = 1$.³

□

2 All Functions which are Σ_1^b -Representable in RS_3^1 are in \mathcal{POR}

In this Section, we show that if a function is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 , then it is in \mathcal{POR} . The proof basically adapts the strategy used by Cook and Urquhart’s for system IPV^ω [5] and is structured as follows:

1. First, in Section 2.1, we define a basic equational theory \mathcal{POR}^λ for a simply typed λ -calculus endowed with primitives corresponding to functions of \mathcal{POR} .
2. Then, in Section 2.2, we define a first-order *intuitionistic* theory $IPOR^\lambda$, which extends \mathcal{POR}^λ with usual predicate calculus as well as an **NP**-induction schema. It is also shown that $IPOR^\lambda$ is strong enough to prove all theorems of IRS_3^1 , the intuitionistic version of RS_3^1 .
3. In Section 2.3 we develop a realizability interpretation of $IPOR^\lambda$ (inside itself), showing that from any derivation of $(\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$, where A is a Σ_0^b -formula, one can extract a λ -term t of \mathcal{POR}^λ such that $(\forall x)A(x, tx)$ is provable in $IPOR^\lambda$. From this we deduce that every function which is Σ_1^b -representable in IRS_3^1 is in \mathcal{POR} .
4. Finally, in Section 2.4, we extend this result to classical RS_3^1 , by showing that any Σ_1^b -formula provable in $IPOR^\lambda + \text{Excluded Middle}$ is already provable in $IPOR^\lambda$.

2.1 The System \mathcal{POR}^λ

We define an equational theory for a simply typed λ -calculus augmented with primitives for functions of \mathcal{POR} . Actually, these primitives do not exactly correspond to the ones in the definition

³Otherwise said, if $u0 \subseteq y$, there is a z_0 such that the Σ_1^b -formula $G_{h_0}(\vec{x}, u, z, z_0)$ represents the function h_0 and, in this case, \tilde{z} corresponds to the truncation of z_0 at $t(\vec{x}, u)$, that is the “bit” encoded by v at the position $1 \times u1$ (i.e. corresponding to $u0 \subseteq y$) is precisely such \tilde{z} . Equally, if $u1 \subseteq y$, there is a z_0 such that the Σ_1^b -formula $G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, u, z, z_1)$ represents now the function h_1 and \tilde{z} corresponds to the truncation of z_1 at $t(\vec{x}, u)$, that is the “bit” encoded by v at position $1 \times u1$ (i.e. corresponding to $u1 \subseteq y$) is precisely such \tilde{z} .

of \mathcal{POR} , although the resulting function algebra is proved equivalent. The choice of the primitives follows the principle that the defining equations for the functions different from the recursion operator should not depend on it, whereas its defining equations should depend on it and on functions defined without it.

2.1.1 The Syntax of \mathcal{POR}^λ

Definition 10 (Types of \mathcal{POR}^λ). *Types of \mathcal{POR}^λ are defined by the grammar below:*

$$\tau := s \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau.$$

Definition 11 (Terms of \mathcal{POR}^λ). *Terms of \mathcal{POR}^λ are standard, simply typed λ -terms plus the constants from the signature below:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0, 1, \epsilon &: s \\ \circ &: s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s \\ \text{Tail} &: s \Rightarrow s \\ \text{Trunc} &: s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s \\ \text{Cond} &: s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s \\ \text{Flipcoin} &: s \Rightarrow s \\ \text{Rec} &: s \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s. \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, $\text{Tail}(x)$ computes the string obtained by deleting the first digit of x ; $\text{Trunc}(x, y)$ computes the string obtained by truncating x at the length of y ; $\text{Cond}(x, y, z, w)$ computes the function that yields y when $x = \epsilon$, z when $x = x'\mathbf{0}$, and w when $x = x'\mathbf{1}$; $\text{Flipcoin}(x)$ indicates a random $\mathbf{0}/\mathbf{1}$ generator; Rec is the operator for bounded recursion on notation.

Notation 5. We will usually denote $x \circ y$ simply as xy . Moreover, to enhance readability, let \mathbf{T} be any constant Tail , Trunc , Cond , Flipcoin , Rec of arity n , we indicate $\mathbf{T}u_1 \dots u_n$ as $\mathbf{T}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$.

\mathcal{POR}^λ is reminiscent of PV^ω by Cook and Urquhart [5] without the induction rule (R5) that we do not need. The main difference being the constant Flipcoin , which, as said, intuitively denotes a function which randomly generates either $\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{1}$ when it reads a string. These interpretations will be made clearer by Definition 14 below.

Remark 2. *In the following, we often define terms implicitly using bounded recursion on notation. Otherwise said, we define new terms as $\mathbf{F} : s \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow s$ by equations of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}\vec{x}\epsilon &:= \mathbf{G}\vec{x} \\ \mathbf{F}\vec{x}(y\mathbf{0}) &:= \mathbf{H}_0\vec{x}y(\mathbf{F}\vec{x}y) \\ \mathbf{F}\vec{x}(y\mathbf{1}) &:= \mathbf{H}_1\vec{x}y(\mathbf{F}\vec{x}y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{H}_1$ are already-defined terms, and the second and third equations satisfy a length bound given by some term \mathbf{K} (which is usually simply $\lambda\vec{x}.\lambda y.0$). The term \mathbf{F} can be explicitly defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{F} := \lambda\vec{x}.\lambda y.\text{Rec}(\mathbf{G}\vec{x}, \lambda y y'.\mathbf{H}_0\vec{x}y y', \lambda y y'.\mathbf{H}_1\vec{x}y y', \mathbf{K}\vec{x}, y).$$

We now introduce some abbreviations for composed functions:

- $B(x) := \text{Cond}(x, \epsilon, 0, 1)$ indicates the function that computes the last digit of x , i.e. coerces x to a Boolean value.
- $\text{BNeg}(x) := \text{Cond}(x, \epsilon, 1, 0)$ indicates the function that computes the Boolean negation of $B(x)$.
- $\text{BOr}(x, y) := \text{Cond}(B(x), B(y), B(y), 1)$ indicates the function that coerces x, y to Booleans and then performs the OR-operation.
- $\text{BAnd}(x, y) := \text{Cond}(B(x), \epsilon, 0, B(y))$ indicates the function that coerces x, y to Booleans and then performs the AND-operation.
- $\text{Eps}(x) := \text{Cond}(x, 1, 0, 0)$ indicates the characteristic function of the predicate “ $x = \epsilon$ ”.
- $\text{Bool}(x) := \text{BAnd}(\text{Eps}(\text{Tail}(x)), \text{BNeg}(\text{Eps}(x)))$ indicates the characteristic function of the predicate “ $x = 0 \vee x = 1$ ”.
- $\text{Zero}(x) := \text{Cond}(\text{Bool}(x), 0, \text{Cond}(x, 0, 0, 1), 0)$ indicates the characteristic function of the predicate “ $x = 0$ ”.
- $\text{Conc}(x, y)$ indicates the concatenation function defined by the equations below:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Conc}(x, \epsilon) &:= x \\ \text{Conc}(x, y0) &:= \text{Conc}(x, y)0 \\ \text{Conc}(x, y1) &:= \text{Conc}(x, y)1.\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{Eq}(x, y)$ indicates the characteristic function of the predicate “ $x = y$ ” and is defined by double recursion by the equations below:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Eq}(\epsilon, \epsilon) &:= 1 \\ \text{Eq}(\epsilon, y0) = \text{Eq}(\epsilon, y1) &:= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Eq}(x0, \epsilon) &:= 0 \\ \text{Eq}(x0, y0) &:= \text{Eq}(x, y) \\ \text{Eq}(x0, y1) &:= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Eq}(x1, \epsilon) &:= 0 \\ \text{Eq}(x1, y0) &:= 0 \\ \text{Eq}(x1, y1) &:= \text{Eq}(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{Times}(x, y)$ indicates the function for self-concatenation, $x, y \mapsto x \times y$, and is defined by the equations below:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Times}(x, \epsilon) &:= \epsilon \\ \text{Times}(x, yb) &:= \text{Conc}(\text{Times}(x, y), x),\end{aligned}$$

where $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

- $\text{Sub}(x, y)$ indicates the initial-substring function $x, y \mapsto S(x, y)$, and is defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sub}(x, \epsilon) &:= \text{Eps}(x) \\ \text{Sub}(x, y0) &:= \text{BOr}(\text{Sub}(x, y), \text{Eq}(x, y0)) \\ \text{Sub}(x, y1) &:= \text{BOr}(\text{Sub}(x, y), \text{Eq}(x, y1)).\end{aligned}$$

Definition 12 (Formulas of \mathcal{POR}^λ). *Formulas of \mathcal{POR}^λ are all equations $t = u$, where t, u are terms of type s .*

Definition 13 (The Theory \mathcal{POR}^λ). *Axioms of \mathcal{POR}^λ are the following ones:*

- Defining equations for the constants of \mathcal{POR}^λ :

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon x &= x\epsilon = x \\ x(yb) &= (xy)b\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tail}(\epsilon) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Tail}(xb) &= x\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Trunc}(x, \epsilon) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Trunc}(\epsilon, y) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Trunc}(xb, y0) &= \text{Trunc}(xb, y1) = \text{Trunc}(x, y)b\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cond}(\epsilon, y, z, w) &= y \\ \text{Cond}(x0, y, z, w) &= z \\ \text{Cond}(x1, y, z, w) &= w\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Bool}(\text{Flipcoin}(x)) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Rec}(x, h_0, h_1, k, \epsilon) &= x \\ \text{Rec}(x, h_0, h_1, k, y0) &= \text{Trunc}(h_0y(\text{Rec}(x, h_0, h_1, k, y)), ky) \\ \text{Rec}(x, h_0, h_1, k, y1) &= \text{Trunc}(h_1y(\text{Rec}(x, h_0, h_1, k, y)), ky)\end{aligned}$$

where $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

- The (β) - and (ν) -axioms:

$$C[(\lambda x.t)u] = C[t\{u/x\}] \quad (\beta)$$

$$C[\lambda x.tx] = C[t] \quad (\nu)$$

where $C[\cdot]$ indicates a context with a unique occurrence of the hole $[\]$, so that $C[t]$ denotes the variable-capturing replacement of $[\]$ by t in $C[\]$.

The inference rules of \mathcal{POR}^λ are the following ones:

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u} \vdash \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{t} \quad (\text{R1})$$

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \vdash \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{v} \quad (\text{R2})$$

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u} \vdash \mathbf{v}\{\mathbf{t}/x\} = \mathbf{v}\{\mathbf{u}/x\} \quad (\text{R3})$$

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u} \vdash \mathbf{t}\{\mathbf{v}/x\} = \mathbf{u}\{\mathbf{v}/x\}. \quad (\text{R4})$$

Moreover, let $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}$ indicate that the equation $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}$ is deducible using instances of the axioms and inference rules above. Given any set T of equations, we let $T \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}$ indicate that the equation $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}$ is deducible using instances of the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{POR}^λ together with equations from T .

2.1.2 Relating \mathcal{POR} and \mathcal{POR}^λ

For any string $s \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\bar{s} : \mathbb{S}$ denote the term of \mathcal{POR}^λ corresponding to it, i.e. $\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon$, $\overline{s\mathbf{0}} = \bar{s}\mathbf{0}$, and $\overline{s\mathbf{1}} = \bar{s}\mathbf{1}$. For any $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, let T_ω be the set of all equations of the form $\text{Flipcoin}(\bar{s}) = \overline{\omega(s)}$.

Definition 14 (Provable Representability). Let $f : \mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{S}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$. A term $\mathbf{t} : \mathbf{s} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ *provably represents* f if and only if for all strings $s_1, \dots, s_j, s \in \mathbb{S}$, and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$,

$$f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega) = s \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{\mathbf{t}\bar{s}_1 \dots \bar{s}_j} = \bar{s}.$$

Example 1. The term $\text{Flipcoin} : \mathbf{s} \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ provably represents the query function $Q(x, \omega) = \omega(x)$ of \mathcal{POR} , since for any $s \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$,

$$\text{Flipcoin}(\bar{s}) = \overline{\omega(s)} \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Flipcoin}(\bar{s}) = \overline{Q(s, \omega)}.$$

Let us show that some of the terms described above provably represent the intended functions. Let $f_{\text{Tail}}(s, \omega)$ indicate the string obtained by chopping the first digit of s (with $E(s, \omega) = \epsilon$) and $f_{\text{Trunc}}(s_1, s_2, \omega) = s_1|_{s_2}$

Lemma 1. *The terms Tail, Trunc, Cond provably represent the functions f_{Tail} , f_{Trunc} and C, respectively.*

Proof Sketch. For Tail and Cond, the claim follows immediately from the defining axioms of the corresponding constants. For example, if $s_1 = s_2\mathbf{0}$, then $f_{\text{Tail}}(s_1, \omega) = s_2$ and $\bar{s}_1 = \overline{s_2\mathbf{0}} = \bar{s}_2\mathbf{0}$. Using the defining axioms of Tail:

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Tail}(\bar{s}_1) = \text{Tail}(\bar{s}_2\mathbf{0}) = \bar{s}_2.$$

For Trunc by double induction on two strings $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}$ we conclude that:

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Trunc}(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2) = \overline{s_1|_{s_2}}.$$

□

Theorem 1. 1. Any function $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ is provably represented by a term $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{POR}^\lambda$.

2. For any term $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{POR}^\lambda$, there is a function $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ such that f is provably represented by \mathbf{t} .

Proof Sketch. (\Rightarrow) The proof is by induction on the structure of $f \in \mathcal{POR}$.

Base case. Each base function is provably represented. Let us consider just two examples:

- E is provably represented by the term $\lambda x.\epsilon$. Indeed for any string $s \in \mathbb{S}$, $\overline{\overline{E(s, \omega)}} = \overline{\overline{\epsilon}} = \epsilon$ holds and $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (\lambda x.\epsilon)\overline{s} = \epsilon$ is an instance of the (β) -axiom. So, we conclude:

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (\lambda x.\epsilon)\overline{s} = \overline{\overline{E(s, \omega)}}.$$

- Q is provably represented by Flipcoin, as observed in Example 1

Inductive case. Each function defined by composition or bounded recursion from provably represented functions, is provably represented by a term of \mathcal{POR}^λ as well. Let us consider the case of bounded recursion. Let f be defined by bounded recursion, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \epsilon, \omega) &= g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega) \\ f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s\mathbf{0}, \omega) &= h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)} \\ f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s\mathbf{1}, \omega) &= h_1(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)}. \end{aligned}$$

By IH, g, h_0, h_1, k are provably represented by the corresponding terms G, H_0, H_1, K (resp.). So, for any $s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, s \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, we derive:

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} G\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} = \overline{\overline{g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}} \quad (\text{G})$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} H_0\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_{n+2}} = \overline{\overline{h_0(s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, \omega)}} \quad (\text{H}_0)$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} H_1\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_{n+2}} = \overline{\overline{h_1(s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, \omega)}} \quad (\text{H}_1)$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} K\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} = \overline{\overline{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}}. \quad (\text{K})$$

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n, s \in \mathbb{S}$. We can prove by induction on s that

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s} = \overline{\overline{f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}},$$

where

$$F := \lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n. \lambda x. \text{Rec}(Gx_1 \dots x_n, H_0x_1 \dots x_n, H_1x_1, \dots, x_n, Kx_1 \dots x_n, x).$$

- If $s = \epsilon$, then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega) = g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)$. Using the (β) -axiom, we deduce

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s} = \text{Rec}(G\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}, H_0\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}, H_1\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}, K\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}, \overline{s})$$

and, using the axiom $\text{Rec}(Gx_1 \dots x_n, H_0x_1 \dots x_n, H_1x_1 \dots x_n, Kx_1 \dots x_n, \epsilon) = Gx_1 \dots x_n$, we also obtain:

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s} = G\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n},$$

by (R2) and (R3). We conclude using (G) together with (R2).

- If $s = s_m\mathbf{0}$, then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega) = h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m)}$. By IH, we can suppose that:

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s_m} = \overline{\overline{f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', \omega)}}.$$

Then, using the (β) -axiom $\overline{F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s}} = \text{Rec}(\overline{G\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}}, \overline{H_0\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}}, \overline{H_1\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}}, \overline{K\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n}}, \overline{s})$, the axiom $\text{Rec}(g, h_0, h_1, k, x0) = \text{Trunc}(h_0x(\text{Rec}(g, h_0, h_1, k, 0)), kx)$ and IH we deduce,

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s}} = \overline{\text{Trunc}(H_0\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s_m} \overline{f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m, \omega)}, K\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n})}$$

by (R2) and (R3). Using (H₀) and (K), we finally conclude using (R3) and (R2):

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{F\overline{s_1} \dots \overline{s_n} \overline{s}} = \overline{h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m, \omega))|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_m)}}.$$

- The case $s = s_m \mathbf{1}$ is proved in a similar way.

(\Leftarrow) It is a consequence of the normalization property for the simply typed λ -calculus: a β -normal term $t : s \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow s$ cannot contain variables of higher types. By enumerating all possible normal forms one can check that these all represent functions in \mathcal{POR} . \square

Corollary 1. *For any function $f : \mathbb{S}^j \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ if and only if f is provably represented by some term $t : s \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow s \in \mathcal{POR}^\lambda$.*

2.2 The Theory $IPOR^\lambda$

In this section we introduce a first-order intuitionistic theory, called $IPOR^\lambda$, which extends \mathcal{POR}^λ with basic predicate calculus as well as a restricted induction principle. We also define IRS_3^1 as a variant of RS_3^1 having the intuitionistic predicate calculus as its logical basis, instead of the classical one. All theorems of both \mathcal{POR}^λ and IRS_3^1 are provable in $IPOR^\lambda$. In particular, $IPOR^\lambda$ can be seen as an extension of \mathcal{POR}^λ . The theory $IPOR^\lambda$ provides the language to associate derivations in IRS_3^1 with polytime computable functions (corresponding to terms of $IPOR^\lambda$) and, thus, construct a polytime realizability interpretation of RS_3^1 .

2.2.1 The Syntax of $IPOR^\lambda$

Indeed, the equational theory \mathcal{POR}^λ is rather weak. In particular, one cannot prove even simple equations, as $x = \text{Tail}(x)\mathbf{B}(x)$. The only viable way to prove it is by some form of induction:

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \epsilon = \epsilon\epsilon = \text{Tail}(\epsilon)\mathbf{B}(\epsilon) \\ &x = \text{Tail}(x)\mathbf{B}(x) \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} x0 = \text{Tail}(x0)\mathbf{B}(x0) \\ &x = \text{Tail}(x)\mathbf{B}(x) \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} x1 = \text{Tail}(x1)\mathbf{B}(x1). \end{aligned}$$

From this we would like to deduce, by induction, that $x = \text{Tail}(x)\mathbf{B}(x)$. So, we introduce $IPOR^\lambda$, the language of which is basically an extension of that of \mathcal{POR}^λ including (a translation for) any expression of RS_3^1 . In particular, the grammar for terms of $IPOR^\lambda$ is precisely the same as that of Definition 11.

Definition 15 (Formulas of $IPOR^\lambda$). *Formulas of $IPOR^\lambda$ are defined as follows:*

- All equations $t = u$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ are formulas of $IPOR^\lambda$
- For all (possibly open) terms $t, u : s$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ , $t \subseteq u$ and $\text{Flip}(t)$ are formulas of $IPOR^\lambda$
- Formulas of $IPOR^\lambda$ are closed under $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \forall, \exists$, where $t : s$ is a possibly open term of \mathcal{POR}^λ .

Notation 6. We define $\perp := 0 = 1$ and $\neg A := A \rightarrow \perp$.

The notion of Σ_0^b - and Σ_1^b -formula of $IPOR^\lambda$ is defined as for RS_3^1 , Definition 4.

Remark 3. Any formula of RS_3^1 can be seen as a formula of $IPOR^\lambda$, where each occurrence of the symbol 0 is replaced by 0 , 1 by 1 , \neg by \circ (usually abbreviated as xy), \times by **Times**. In the following we will suppose that any formula of RS_3^1 is a formula of $IPOR^\lambda$.

Definition 16 (The Theory $IPOR^\lambda$). The axioms and inference rules of $IPOR^\lambda$ include the standard rules of the intuitionistic first-order predicate calculus, usual rules for the equality symbol, together with the axioms below:

1. All axioms of POR^λ
2. $x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1$
3. $x = \epsilon \vee x = \text{Tail}(x)0 \vee x = \text{Tail}(x)1$
4. $0 = 1 \rightarrow x = \epsilon$
5. $\text{Cond}(x, y, z, w) = w' \leftrightarrow (x = \epsilon \wedge w' = y) \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x)0 \wedge w' = z) \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x)1 \wedge w' = w)$
6. $\text{Flip}(x) \leftrightarrow \text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1$
7. Any formula of the form

$$(A(\epsilon) \wedge (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x0)) \wedge (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x1))) \rightarrow (\forall y)A(y)$$

where A is of the form $(\exists z \preceq t)u = v$, with t containing only first-order open variables.

Notation 7. In what follows, we will refer to a formula of the form $(\exists z \preceq t)u = v$, with t containing only first-order open variables, as an **NP-predicate**.

Observe that, in $IPOR^\lambda$, for any $s \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, the theory T_ω is equivalent to the theory generated by all formulas $\text{Flip}(\bar{s})$ if $\omega(s) = 1$, and $\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{s})$ if $\omega(s) = 0$.

2.2.2 Relating $IPOR^\lambda$ with POR^λ and IRS_3^1

Now that we've formally introduced $IPOR^\lambda$, it can be shown that all theorems of both POR^λ and the intuitionistic version of RS_3^1 are derivable in it. In particular, Proposition 3 can be easily established by inspecting all rules of POR^λ .

Proposition 3. Any theorem of POR^λ is a theorem of $IPOR^\lambda$.

Let us now consider the intuitionistic version of RS_3^1 , i.e. IRS_3^1 . In order to prove that every theorem of IRS_3^1 is derivable in $IPOR^\lambda$ we first need to establish a few useful properties concerning $IPOR^\lambda$.⁴ Notice, in particular, that the recursion schema of $IPOR^\lambda$ differs from that of IRS_3^1 as dealing with formulas of the form $(\exists y \preceq t)u = v$ and not with all the Σ_1^b -ones. The two schemas can be related due to Proposition 14 below, proved by induction on the structure of formulas.

Proposition 4. For any Σ_0^b -formula $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathcal{L} , there exists a term $t_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of POR^λ such that:

⁴Full details can be found in Appendix 3.1.4.

1. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow t_A = 0$
2. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \vee t_A = 1$.

This leads us to the following immediate corollary and allows us to prove Theorem 2 relating $IPOR^\lambda$ and IRS_3^1 .

Corollary 2. *i For any Σ_0^b -formula A , $\vdash_{IPOR} A \vee \neg A$.*

ii For any closed Σ_0^b -formula A in \mathcal{L} and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, either $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$ or $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg A$.

Theorem 2. *Any theorem of IRS_3^1 is a theorem of $IPOR^\lambda$.*

Proof. First, observe that, as a consequence of Proposition 14, for any Σ_1^b -formula $A = (\exists x_1 \preceq t_1) \dots (\exists x_n \preceq t_n) B$ of IRS_3^1 ,

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow (\exists x_1 \preceq t_1) \dots (\exists x_n \preceq t_n) t_B = 0.$$

Therefore, any instance of the Σ_1^b -recursion schema of IRS_3^1 is derivable in $IPOR^\lambda$ from the **NP**-induction schema. Then, to prove that $IPOR^\lambda$ extends IRS_3^1 it suffices to check that all basic axioms of IRS_3^1 are provable in $IPOR^\lambda$. \square

Due to Corollary 11, we can even establish the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let A be a closed Σ_0^b -formula of IRS_3^1 and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, then:*

$$T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \omega \in \llbracket A \rrbracket.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This soundness result is easily established by induction on the rules of $IPOR^\lambda$.

(\Leftarrow) From Corollary 11, we know that either $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$ or $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg A$. Hence, if $\omega \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$, then it cannot be $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg A$ (by soundness). So, we conclude $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$. \square

2.3 Realizability

In this section, we introduce realizability as internal to $IPOR^\lambda$. As a corollary, we obtain that from any derivation in IRS_3^1 (actually, in $IPOR^\lambda$) of a formula in the form $(\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$, one can extract a functional term $f : s \Rightarrow s$ of POR^λ , such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, fx)$. This allows us to conclude that if a function f is Σ_1^b -representable in IRS_3^1 , then $f \in POR$.

Notation 8. Let \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} denote finite sequences of term variables (resp.) x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_k and $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y})$ be an abbreviation for $y_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, y_k(\mathbf{x})$. Let Λ be a shorthand for the empty sequence and $y(\Lambda) := y$.

Definition 17. Formulas $x \textcircled{R} A$ are defined by induction on the structure of A of $IPOR^\lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \textcircled{R} A &:= A \quad (A \text{ atomic}) \\ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} (B \wedge C) &:= (\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B) \wedge (\mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} C) \\ z, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} (B \vee C) &:= (z = 0 \wedge \mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B) \vee (z \neq 0 \wedge \mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} C) \\ \mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} (B \rightarrow C) &:= (\forall \mathbf{x})((\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B) \rightarrow \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) \textcircled{R} C) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \\ z, \mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} (\exists y)B &:= \mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B\{z/y\} \\ \mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} (\forall y)B &:= (\forall y)(\mathbf{x}(y) \textcircled{R} B), \end{aligned}$$

where no variable in \mathbf{x} occurs free in A . Given terms $\mathbf{t} = t_1, \dots, t_n$, we let:

$$\mathbf{t} \textcircled{R} A := (\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} A)\{\mathbf{t}/\mathbf{x}\}.$$

We can also link the derivability of such new formulas with that of formulas of $IPOR$. Both results are established by induction (resp., on the structure of formulas of $IPOR$ and on the height of derivations).

Theorem 3 (Soundness). *If $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t} \textcircled{R} A$, then $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$.*

Notation 9. Given $\Gamma = A_1, \dots, A_n$, let $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma$ be a shorthand for $\mathbf{x}_1 \textcircled{R} A_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \textcircled{R} A_n$.

Theorem 4 (Completeness). *If $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$, then there exist terms \mathbf{t} , such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t} \textcircled{R} A$.*

Proof Sketch. We prove that if $\Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$, then there exist terms \mathbf{t} such that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n \textcircled{R} A$. The proof is by induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$. Let us here consider just the case of rule $\vee R_1$ as an example:

$$\frac{\vdots}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B \vee C} \vee R_1}$$

By IH, there exist terms \mathbf{u} , such that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B$. Since $x, y \textcircled{R} B \vee C$ is defined as $(x = 0 \wedge y \textcircled{R} B) \vee (x \neq 0 \wedge y \textcircled{R} C)$, we can take $\mathbf{t} = 0, \mathbf{u}$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let $(\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$ be a closed theorem of $IPOR^\lambda$, where A is a Σ_1^b -formula. Then, there exists a closed term $\mathbf{t} : \mathbf{s} \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ of POR^λ such that:*

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, \mathbf{t}x).$$

Proof. By Theorem 6, there exist $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{t}, w$ such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{w} \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$, that is, by Definition 17,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y) &\equiv (\forall x)(\mathbf{w}(x) \textcircled{R} (\exists y)A(x, y)) \\ &\equiv (\forall x)(w(x) \textcircled{R} A(x, \mathbf{t}x)). \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce, by Theorem 3, that

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, \mathbf{t}x).$$

\square

Now, we have all the ingredients to prove that if a function is Σ_1^b -representable in IRS_3^1 , in the sense of Definition 4, then it is in POR .

Corollary 4. *For any function $f : \mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, if there is a closed formula Σ_1^b -formula $A(x, y)$ in \mathcal{L} such that*

1. $IRS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$
2. $\llbracket A(\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}) \rrbracket = \{\omega \mid f(\omega, s_1) = s_2\},$

then $f \in \mathcal{POR}$.

Proof. Since $\vdash_{IRS_3^1} (\forall x)(\exists! y)A(x, y)$, by Theorem 2, also $\vdash_{IPOR} (\forall x)(\exists! y)A(x, y)$. From $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$ we deduce $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, gx)$ for some closed term $g : s \Rightarrow s$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ , by Corollary 3 and, by Theorem 5, there is a function $g \in \mathcal{POR}$ such that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A(\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}) \Leftrightarrow g(s_1, \omega) = s_2.$$

From this we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} g(s_1, \omega) = s_2 &\Leftrightarrow T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A(\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}) \\ &\stackrel{L_2}{\Leftrightarrow} \omega \in \llbracket A(\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}) \rrbracket \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(s_1, \omega) = s_2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $f = g$ and, thus, $f \in \mathcal{POR}$. □

2.4 $\forall NP$ -Conservativity of $IPOR^\lambda + (EM)$ over $IPOR^\lambda$

Corollary 4 is already very close to the result we are looking for. The remaining step to conclude our proof is its extension from intuitionistic IRS_3^1 to classical RS_3^1 , showing that any function which is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 is also in \mathcal{POR} . The proof is obtained by adapting the method from [CoquardHofmann]. In doing so, we start by considering an extension of $IPOR^\lambda$ via the excluded middle (EM). Then, we show that the realizability interpretation extends to such $IPOR^\lambda + (EM)$, so that for any of its closed theorems $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$, with A in Σ_1^b , there is a closed term $t : s \Rightarrow s$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ such that $\vdash_{IPOR} (\forall x)A(x, tx)$.

From $IPOR^\lambda$ to $IPOR^\lambda + (\text{Markov})$. Let (EM) be the Excluded-Middle schema, $A \vee \neg A$ and *Markov's principle* be defined as follows.

$$\neg\neg(\exists x)A \rightarrow (\exists x)A, \tag{Markov}$$

where A is a Σ_1^b -formula.

Proposition 5. *For any Σ_1^b -formula A , if $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda + (EM)} A$, then $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda + (Markov)} A$.*

Proof Sketch. The claim is proved by applying the double negation translation, with the following two remarks. First, for any Σ_0^b -formula A , $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$. Using (Markov), the double negation of an instance of the **NP**-induction can be shown equivalent to an instance of the **NP**-induction schema. □

So, we basically need to show that the realizability interpretation defined in Section 2.3 extends to $IPOR^\lambda + (\text{Markov})$, that is for any of its closed theorems $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$, with A in Σ_1^b , there is a closed term $t : s \Rightarrow s$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, tx)$.

From $IPOR^\lambda$ to $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$ Let us assume given a subjective encoding $\sharp : (s \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow s$ in $IPOR^\lambda$ of first-order unary functions as strings, together with a “decoding” function $\mathbf{app} : s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s$ satisfying:

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{app}(\sharp f, x) = fx.$$

Moreover, let

$$x * y := \sharp(\lambda z. \mathbf{BAnd}(\mathbf{app}(x, z), \mathbf{app}(y, z)))$$

and

$$T(x) := (\exists y)(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{app}(x, y)) = 0).$$

There is a *meet semi-lattice* structure on the set of terms of type s defined by $t \sqsubseteq u$ iff $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} T(u) \rightarrow T(t)$ with top element $\mathbb{1} = \sharp(\lambda x. 1)$ and meet given by $x * y$. Indeed, from $T(x * 1) \leftrightarrow T(x)$, $x \sqsubseteq \mathbb{1}$ follows. Moreover, from $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{app}(x, u)) = 0$, we obtain $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{app}(x * y, u)) = \mathbf{BAnd}(\mathbf{app}(x, u), \mathbf{app}(y, u)) = 0$, whence $T(x) \rightarrow T(x * y)$, i.e. $x * y \sqsubseteq x$. One can similarly prove $x * y \sqsubseteq y$. Finally, from $T(x) \rightarrow T(v)$ and $T(y) \rightarrow T(v)$, we deduce $T(x * y) \rightarrow T(v)$, by observing that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} T(x * y) \rightarrow T(y)$. Notice that the formula $T(x)$ is *not* in Σ_1^b , as its existential quantifier is not bounded.

Definition 18. For any formula A of $IPOR^\lambda$ and fresh variable x , we define formulas $x \Vdash A$ inductively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \Vdash A &:= A \vee T(x) && (A \text{ atomic}) \\ x \Vdash B \wedge C &:= x \Vdash B \wedge x \Vdash C \\ x \Vdash B \vee C &:= x \Vdash B \vee x \Vdash C \\ x \Vdash B \rightarrow C &:= (\forall y)(y \Vdash B \rightarrow x * y \Vdash C) \\ x \Vdash (\exists y)B &:= (\exists y)x \Vdash B \\ x \Vdash (\forall y)B &:= (\forall y)x \Vdash B. \end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma 3 is then established by induction on the structure of formulas in $IPOR^\lambda$.

Lemma 3. *If A is provable in $IPOR^\lambda$ without using **NP**-induction, then $x \Vdash A$ is provable in $IPOR^\lambda$.*

Lemma 4. *Let $A = (\exists x \preceq t)B$, where B is a Σ_0^b -formula. Then, there exists a term $u_A : s$, with $FV(u_A) = FV(B)$, such that:*

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow T(u_A).$$

Proof. Since $B(x)$ is a Σ_0^b -formula, for all terms $v : s$, $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(x) \leftrightarrow t_{x \preceq t \wedge B}(x) = 0$ (where $t_{x \preceq t \wedge B}$ has the free variables of t and B). Let $C(x)$ be a Σ_0^b -formula, one can show by induction on its structure that for all term $v : s$, $t_{C(v)} = t_C(v)$. Then,

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow (\exists x)t_{x \preceq t \wedge B}(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists x)T(\sharp(\lambda x. t_{x \preceq t \wedge B}(x))).$$

So, we let $u_A = \sharp(\lambda x. t_{x \preceq t \wedge B}(x))$. □

From which we obtain the following three properties:

$$\text{i. } \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash A) \leftrightarrow (A \vee T(x))$$

- ii. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash \neg A) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow T(x))$
- iii. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash \neg \neg A) \leftrightarrow (A \vee T(x))$.

where A is a Σ_1^b -formula.

Corollary 5 (Markov's Principle). *If A is a Σ_1^b -formula, then*

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x \Vdash \neg \neg A \rightarrow A.$$

Then, to define the extension $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$ of $IPOR$, we start by formally introducing PIND.

Definition 19 (PIND). Let $\text{PIND}(A)$ indicate the formula:

$$(A(\epsilon) \wedge ((\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x0)) \wedge (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x1)))) \rightarrow (\forall x)A(x).$$

Observe that if $A(x)$ is a formula of the form $(\exists y \preceq t)u = v$, then the formula $z \Vdash \text{PIND}(A)$ is of the form $\text{PIND}(A(x) \vee T(z))$, which is *not* an instance of the **NP**-induction schema (as the formula $T(z) = (\exists x)B(\text{app}(z, x)) = 0$ is not bounded).

Definition 20 (The Theory $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$). Let $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$ indicate the theory extending $IPOR^\lambda$ with all instances of the induction schema $\text{PIND}(A(x) \vee B)$, where $A(x)$ is of the form $(\exists y \preceq t)u = v$, and B is an arbitrary formula with $x \notin FV(B)$.

From the discussion above we deduce:

Proposition 6. *For any Σ_1^b -formula A , if $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$, then $\vdash_{(IPOR^\lambda)^*} x \Vdash A$.*

Then, we can extend the realizability interpretation of Section 2.3 to $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$ by simply constructing a realizer for $\text{PIND}(A(x) \vee B)$.

Lemma 5. *Let $A(x) = (\exists y \preceq t)u = 0$ and B be any formula not containing free occurrences of x . Then, there exist terms t such that:*

$$\vdash_{IPOR} t \text{ @ } \text{PIND}(A(x) \vee B).$$

So, by Theorem 3, we obtain immediately, for any Σ_1^b -formula A and formula B , with $x \notin FV(A)$,

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{PIND}(A(x) \vee B).$$

Corollary 6 ($\forall \text{NP}$ -Conservativity of $IPOR^\lambda + (\text{EM})$ over $IPOR^\lambda$). *Let A be a Σ_1^b -formula, if $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda + (\text{EM})} (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$ then $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$.*

Concluding the Proof. We can finally conclude proving Proposition 7.

Proposition 7. *Let $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$ be a closed theorem of $IPOR^\lambda + (\text{Markov})$, where A is a Σ_1^b -formula. Then, there exists a closed term $t : s \Rightarrow s$ of POR^λ such that:*

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)A(x, tx).$$

Proof. If $IPOR^\lambda + (\text{Markov})$ proves $(\forall x)(\exists y)A(x, y)$, then by Parikh's Proposition 2, it also proves $(\exists y \preceq \mathbf{t})A(x, y)$ and $(IPOR^\lambda)^*$ proves $z \Vdash (\exists y \preceq \mathbf{t})A(x, y)$. Let $B := (\exists y \preceq \mathbf{t})A(x, y)$. By taking $z = \mathbf{u}_C$, using Lemma 4, we deduce $\vdash_{(IPOR^\lambda)^*} B$ and thus, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 29, we deduce that there exist \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} \text{ @ } B$, which implies $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A(x, \mathbf{t}x)$. Thus, $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)(A(x), \mathbf{t}x)$. \square

So, by Proposition 5, if $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda + (EM)} (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq \mathbf{t})A(x, y)$, where A is a closed Σ_1^b -formula, then there is a closed term $\mathbf{t} : \mathbf{s} \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ of POR^λ such that $\vdash_{POR} (\forall x)A(x, \mathbf{t}x)$. From this we conclude our proof arguing as for Corollary 4.

Corollary 7. *Let $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$, where A is a Σ_1^b -formula with only x, y free. For any function $f : \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, if $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t)A(x, y)$ represents f so that:*

1. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists! y)A(x, y)$
2. $\llbracket A(\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}) \rrbracket = \{\omega \mid f(s_1, \omega) = s_2\},$

then $f \in POR$.

3 On the Equivalence between POR and SFP

Appendix

3.1 Section 1

3.1.1 A Sequent Calculus for RS_3^1

Theorem 1.1 can be proved using any appropriate deductive system. Following [9], we extend standard **G3c** (with equality) with some specific rules.

G3cS₃¹

Logical Axioms

$$\frac{}{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A} Ax$$

$$\frac{}{\perp, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \perp L$$

Logical Rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{A, B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \wedge L \\ \frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \vee B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \vee L \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \rightarrow B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \rightarrow L \\ \frac{A(t/x), (\forall x)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\forall x)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \forall L \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \wedge B} \wedge R \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \vee R \\ \frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow R \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(y/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x)A} \forall R \end{array}$$

where y is an *eigenvariable*.

$$\frac{A(y/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x)A, A(t/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x)A} \exists R$$

where y is an *eigenvariable*.

Equality Rules

$$\frac{x = x, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} Ref$$

$$\frac{P(z/x), y = z, P(y/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{y = z, P(y/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta} Repl$$

Word Rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{x\epsilon = x, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} F1 \\ \frac{x\epsilon = x, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} F4 \\ \frac{x \subseteq \epsilon, x = \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x \subseteq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F7a \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{x(y\mathbf{b}) = (xy)\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} F2 \\ \frac{x \times y\mathbf{b} = (x \times y)x, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} F5 \\ \frac{x = \epsilon, x \subseteq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x = \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F7b \end{array}$$

$$\frac{x \subseteq y, x \subseteq y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad x = y\mathbf{b}, x \subseteq y\mathbf{b}, \Delta \vdash \Gamma}{x \subseteq y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F8a$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x \subseteq y\mathbf{b}, x \subseteq y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x \subseteq y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F8b \qquad \frac{x \subseteq y\mathbf{b}, x = y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x = y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F8c \\
\\
\frac{x = y, x\mathbf{b} = y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x\mathbf{b} = y\mathbf{b}, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F10 \\
\\
\frac{}{x0 = y1, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F12 \qquad \frac{}{x\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F13
\end{array}$$

Induction Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, B(\epsilon) \quad B(y), \Gamma \vdash \Delta, B(y\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, B(x)} \Sigma_1^b\text{-}NIA$$

where $B(x)$ is a Σ_1^b -formula.

Notation 10. Let us denote as $F10^*$ the following instance of *Repl*:

$$\frac{x\mathbf{b} = y\mathbf{b}, x = y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x = y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F10^*$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{x\mathbf{b} = y\mathbf{b}, x\mathbf{b} = x\mathbf{b}, x = y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{x\mathbf{b} = x\mathbf{b}, x = y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} Repl}{x = y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} Ref$$

3.1.2 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Preliminaries. For simplicity's sake, we will introduce some abbreviation and notational conventions.

Notation 11. Let $t \subset s$ be an abbreviation for $t \subseteq s \wedge t \neq s$. Let $t \equiv s$ be an abbreviation for $1^t = 1^s$.

Moreover, let us use $(\forall x \subseteq y)A$ and $(\exists x \subseteq y)A$ as shorthands of (resp.) $(\forall x)(x \subseteq y \rightarrow A)$ and $(\exists x)(x \subseteq y \rightarrow A)$.

For readability, we will also use the following compact rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, z \preceq y \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \preceq y)A} \forall_{\preceq} R \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \preceq y)A, t \preceq y \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \preceq y)A, A(t/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \preceq y)A} \exists_{\preceq} R$$

where z is an *eigenvariable*

$$\frac{(\forall x \preceq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, x \preceq t \quad A(t/x), (\forall x \preceq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\forall x \preceq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \forall_{\preceq} L \qquad \frac{z \preceq y, A(z/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x \preceq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists_{\preceq} L$$

where z is an *eigenvariable*.

$$\frac{z \subseteq y, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \subseteq y)A} \forall_{\subseteq} R \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \subseteq y)A, t \subseteq y \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \subseteq y)A, A(t/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\exists x \subseteq y)A} \exists_{\subseteq} R$$

where z is an *eigenvariable*.

$$\frac{(\forall x \subseteq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, x \subseteq t \quad A(t/x), (\forall x \subseteq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\forall x \subseteq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \forall_{\subseteq} L$$

$$\frac{z \subseteq y, A(z/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x \subseteq y)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists_{\subseteq} L$$

where z is an *eigenvariable*.

Notice that if $y = \epsilon$, we have:⁵

$$\frac{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(\epsilon/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \preceq \epsilon)A} \forall_{\preceq} R^*$$

$$\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(\epsilon/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \subseteq \epsilon)A} \forall_{\subseteq} R^*$$

$$\frac{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon, A(\epsilon/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x \preceq \epsilon)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists_{\preceq} L^*$$

$$\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon, A(\epsilon/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x \subseteq \epsilon)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists_{\subseteq} L^*$$

Proving Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Every $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of $f \in \mathcal{POR}$.⁶

Base case. If f is a basic function, then there are five possible sub-cases:

- i. $E(x, \omega) = \epsilon$. The function is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$x = x \wedge y = \epsilon.$$

1. (Existence.)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{z = z \vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), z = z}{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), z = z} Ax}{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), z = z} Ref \quad \frac{\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), \epsilon = \epsilon}{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), \epsilon = \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), \epsilon = \epsilon} Ref}{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon), z = z \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon} \wedge R} \exists R$$

$$\frac{\vdash (\exists y)(z = z \wedge y = \epsilon)}{\vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)(x = x \wedge y = \epsilon)} \forall R$$

2. (Uniqueness.)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x_2 = x_3, x_1 = x_1, x_2 = \epsilon, x_3 = \epsilon \vdash x_2 = x_3}{x_1 = x_1, x_2 = \epsilon, x_1 = x_1, x_3 = \epsilon \vdash x_2 = x_3} Ax}{x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon, x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon \vdash x_2 = x_3} Repl}{x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon, x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge Ls} \wedge L$$

$$\frac{(x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon) \wedge (x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon) \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\vdash (x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon) \wedge (x_1 = x_1 \wedge x_2 = \epsilon) \rightarrow x_2 = x_3} \rightarrow R$$

$$\frac{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((x = x \wedge y = \epsilon) \wedge (x = x \wedge z = \epsilon) \rightarrow y = z)}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((x = x \wedge y = \epsilon) \wedge (x = x \wedge z = \epsilon) \rightarrow y = z)} \forall Rs$$

3. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $E(n, \omega^*) = m$ if and only if $\omega \in \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket$.

⁵Derivability is proved in Proposition 8.

⁶For readability's sake, in what follows, we will avoid to use the s -word notation whenever there is no ambiguity between terms in \mathcal{L} and strings, i.e. in the syntactical conditions 1. and 2.

(\Rightarrow .) Assume $m = \epsilon$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \epsilon = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O} \\ &= \mathbb{O}. \end{aligned}$$

As for any ω^* , $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, which is $\omega^* \in \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket$.

(\Leftarrow .) Assume (by contraposition) $m \neq \epsilon$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket &= \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \bar{m} = \epsilon \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O} \cap \emptyset \\ &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, for any ω^* , $\omega^* \notin \emptyset$, so $\omega^* \notin \llbracket \bar{n} = \bar{n} \wedge \bar{m} = \emptyset \rrbracket$.

- $P_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega) = x_i$. The function is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$\bigwedge_{j \in J} (x_j = x_j) \wedge y = x_i$$

where $J = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus i$. The proof is similar to the one above.

- $S_b(x, \omega) = x\mathbf{b}$. the function is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$y = x\mathbf{b}$$

where $\mathbf{b} \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. (Existence.)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{z\mathbf{b} = z\mathbf{b} \vdash (\exists y)(y = z\mathbf{b}), z\mathbf{b} = z\mathbf{b}}{\vdash (\exists y)(y = z\mathbf{b}), z\mathbf{b} = z\mathbf{b}} \text{Ax}}{\vdash (\exists y)(y = z\mathbf{b}), z\mathbf{b} = z\mathbf{b}} \text{Ref}}{\vdash (\exists y)(y = z\mathbf{b})} \exists R \quad \frac{\vdash (\exists y)(y = z\mathbf{b})}{\vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)(y = x\mathbf{b})} \forall R$$

2. (Uniqueness.)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{x_2 = x_3, x_2 = x_1\mathbf{b}, x_3 = x_1\mathbf{b} \vdash x_2 = x_3}{x_2 = x_1\mathbf{b}, x_3 = x_1\mathbf{b} \vdash x_2 = x_3} \text{Ax}}{x_2 = x_1\mathbf{b} \wedge x_3 = x_1\mathbf{b} \vdash x_2 = x_3} \text{Repl}}{\vdash (x_2 = x_1\mathbf{b}) \wedge (x_3 = x_1\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow x_2 = x_3} \wedge L \quad \frac{\vdash (x_2 = x_1\mathbf{b}) \wedge (x_3 = x_1\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow x_2 = x_3}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((y = x\mathbf{b}) \wedge (z = x\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow y = z)} \rightarrow R$$

3. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $S_b(n, \omega^*) = m$ if and only if $\omega^* \in \llbracket \overline{m} = \overline{n}\mathbf{b} \rrbracket$.
 (\Rightarrow) Assume $b = 0$, so $m = n\mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{b} = 0$). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \overline{m} = \overline{n}\mathbf{0} \rrbracket &= \llbracket \overline{n\mathbf{0}} = \overline{n}\mathbf{0} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \overline{n}\mathbf{0} = \overline{n}\mathbf{0} \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O}.\end{aligned}$$

So, for any $\omega^*, \omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, which is $\omega^* \in \llbracket \overline{m} = \overline{n}\mathbf{0} \rrbracket$.

Analogously, if $b = 1$ and $m = n\mathbf{1}$ ($\mathbf{b} = 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \overline{m} = \overline{n}\mathbf{1} \rrbracket &= \llbracket \overline{n\mathbf{1}} = \overline{n}\mathbf{1} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \overline{n}\mathbf{1} = \overline{n}\mathbf{1} \rrbracket \\ &= \mathbb{O}.\end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Assume (by contraposition), $b = 0$ and $m \neq n\mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{b} = 0$). Then clearly $\llbracket \overline{m} = \overline{n}\mathbf{0} \rrbracket = \emptyset$, and clearly, for any $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $\omega^* \notin \emptyset$. The case of $b = 0$ and $m \neq n\mathbf{1}$ ($\mathbf{b} = 0$) is equivalent.

- $C(x, z, \omega) = y$. The function is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 by the formula:

$$(x \subseteq z \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x \subseteq z) \wedge y = 0).$$

1. (Existence.) Let,

$$\mathcal{D}_C$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x_1 \subseteq x_2 \vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1, x_1 \subseteq x_2}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2)} \neg R \quad \frac{0 = 0 \vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1, 0 = 0}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1, 0 = 0} Ax}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge 0 = 0} \wedge R \quad \frac{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, (x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 0 = 1) \vee (\neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge 0 = 0)}{\vdash (\exists y)((x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge y = 0)), x_1 \subseteq x_2, 1 = 0} \vee R \quad \exists R$$

So,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x_1 \subseteq x_2 \vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2)} \neg R \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_C \quad \vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, 1 = 0}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge 1 = 0} \wedge R \quad \frac{1 = 1 \vdash \dots, 1 = 1}{\vdash \dots, 1 = 1, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge 1 = 0} Ax}{\vdash \dots, x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 1 = 1, \neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge 1 = 0} \wedge R \quad \frac{\vdash \dots, (x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge 1 = 1) \vee (\neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge y = 0)}{\vdash (\exists y)((x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge y = 0))} \vee R \quad \exists R \quad \frac{\vdash (\exists y)((x_1 \subseteq x_2 \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x_1 \subseteq x_2) \wedge y = 0))}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)((x \subseteq z \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x \subseteq z) \wedge y = 0))} \forall Rs$$

2. (Uniqueness.) Let $F(x, v, y)$ be an abbreviation for $(x \subseteq v \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg(x \subseteq v) \wedge y = 0)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_{C1}$$

- $Q(x, \omega) = y$. The function is Σ_1^b -representable in RS_3^1 by the formula, $G_Q(x, y)$,

$$(\text{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 0).$$

Notice that the query function always invokes (precisely) *one* oracle, whose choice is basically enucleated by the interpretation of the predicate $\text{Flip}(\cdot)$.

1. (Existence.)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{Flip}(z) \vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z)}{\vdash \dots \text{Flip}(z), \neg \text{Flip}(z)} \neg R \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{0 = 0 \vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z), 0 = 0}{\vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z), 0 = 0} Ax}{\vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z), 0 = 0} Ref}{\vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z), \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 0 = 1, \neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 0 = 0} \wedge R}{\vdash \dots, \text{Flip}(z), (\text{Flip}(z) \wedge 0 = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 0 = 0)} \vee R}{\vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z), 1 = 0} \exists R \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{Flip}(x) \vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z)}{\vdash (\exists y) F_Q, \text{Flip}(z), \neg \text{Flip}(z)} \neg R \quad \frac{\vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z), 1 = 0}{\vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z), \neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 0} \wedge R}{\vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z), \neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 0} \wedge R \\
\frac{\vdash (\exists y) G_Q(z), \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 1, \neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 0}{\vdash G_Q(z), (\text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 0)} \vee R \\
\frac{\vdash G_Q(z), (\text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge 1 = 0)}{\vdash (\exists y)(\text{Flip}(z) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge y = 0)} \exists R \\
\frac{\vdash (\exists y)(\text{Flip}(z) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(z) \wedge y = 0)}{\vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)((\text{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(x) \wedge y = 0))} \forall R
\end{array}$$

2. (Uniqueness.) First,

$$\mathcal{D}_{Q1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{x_2 = x_3, \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \text{Flip}(x_1), x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Ax}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Repl \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3, \text{Flip}(x_1)}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Ax}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} \neg L}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3 \quad \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L}{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L \\
\frac{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 1, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_2 = 1, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L
\end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{Q2}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{Flip}(x_1), x_3 = 1, y = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3, \text{Flip}(x_1)}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), y = 0, \text{Flip}(x_1), x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Ax}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), y = 0, \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3} \neg L \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{x_2 = x_3, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 0, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Ax}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 0, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} Repl}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), y = 0, \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 1 \vdash x_2 = x_3 \quad \neg \text{Flip}(x_1), x_2 = 0, \neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_3 = 0 \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), y = 0, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L \\
\frac{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1), y = 0, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge y = 0, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L
\end{array}$$

We conclude as follows,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_{Q1} \quad \mathcal{D}_{Q2}}{\text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge x_2 = 1, G_Q(x_1, x_2) \vdash x_2 = x_3 \quad \neg \text{Flip}(x_1) \wedge y = 0, G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \vee L}{\frac{G_Q(x_1, x_2), G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3}{G_Q(x_1, x_2) \wedge G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3} \wedge L}{\frac{G_Q(x_1, x_2) \wedge G_Q(x_1, x_3) \vdash x_2 = x_3}{\vdash G_Q(x_1, x_2) \wedge G_Q(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow x_2 = x_3} \wedge L}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(G_Q(x, y) \wedge G_Q(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)} \forall Rs
\end{array}$$

3. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $Q(n, \omega^*) = m$ if and only if $\omega^* \in \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 0) \rrbracket$.
 (\Rightarrow) Assume $Q(n, \omega^*) = m$ and $m = \mathbf{1}$, which is $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{1}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 0) \rrbracket &= \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{1} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{1} = 0) \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{1} = 1 \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{1} = 0 \rrbracket \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{1} = 1 \rrbracket) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{1} = 0 \rrbracket) \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \mathbb{O}) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \emptyset) \\
&= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cup \emptyset \\
&= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \\
&= \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, as seen, $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{1}$, clearly $\omega^* \in \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{1}\} = \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket$.

Assume $Q(n, \omega^*) = m$ and $m = \mathbf{0}$, which is $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{0}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{m} = 0) \rrbracket &= \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{0} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{0} = 0) \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{0} = 1 \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \mathbf{0} = 0 \rrbracket \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{0} = 1 \rrbracket) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \mathbf{0} = 0 \rrbracket) \\
&= (\llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \emptyset) \cup (\llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \cap \mathbb{O}) \\
&= \emptyset \cup \llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket \neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \\
&= \mathbb{O} - \llbracket \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket \\
&= \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{0}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, as seen $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{0}$, clearly $\omega^* \in \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{0}\} = \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume (by contraposition) $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{1}$, so $Q(n, \omega^*) = \mathbf{1}$ and $m = \mathbf{0}$. As shown above, in this case $\llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 0) \rrbracket = \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{0}\}$. For assumption, ω^* is such that $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{1}$, so $\omega^* \notin \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{0}\}$, i.e. $\omega^* \notin \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{0}} = 0) \rrbracket$.

Assume (by contraposition) $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{0}$, so $Q(n, \omega^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $m = \mathbf{1}$. As shown above, in this case $\llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket = \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{1}\}$. For assumption, ω^* is such that $\omega^*(n) = \mathbf{0}$, so $\omega^* \notin \{\omega \mid \omega(n) = \mathbf{1}\}$, i.e. $\omega^* \notin \llbracket (\text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 1) \vee (\neg \text{Flip}(\bar{n}) \wedge \bar{\mathbf{1}} = 0) \rrbracket$.

Inductive Case. Let $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ be obtained from Σ_1^b -representable functions by either composition of bounded reduction:

- *Composition.* Let $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ be defined by composition from functions g, h_1, \dots, h_k , so that

$$f(\vec{x}, \omega) = g(h_1(\vec{x}, \omega), \dots, h_k(\vec{x}, \omega), \omega)$$

and g, h_1, \dots, h_k are represented in RS_3^1 by (resp.) the Σ_1^b -formulas $G_g, G_{h_1}, \dots, G_{h_k}$. By Proposition 2, there is some suitable terms $t_g, t_{h_1}, \dots, t_{h_k}$, such that $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall \vec{x})(\exists y \preceq t_i)F_i(\vec{x}, y)$, with $i \in \{g, h_1, \dots, h_k\}$. We conclude that $f(\vec{x}, \omega)$ is Σ_1^b -represented in RS_3^1 by the following formula:

$$G_f := (\exists z_1 \preceq t_{h_1}(\vec{x})) \dots (\exists z_k \preceq t_{h_k}(\vec{x})) (G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, z_k) \wedge \dots \wedge G_{h_k}(\vec{x}, z_k) \wedge G_g(z_1, \dots, z_k, y)).$$

Indeed, since for assumption $G_g, G_{h_1}, \dots, G_{h_k}$ are Σ_1^b -formulas, also G_f is a Σ_1^b -formula. For simplicity's sake, let us prove the claim for the simple case $f(x, \omega) = g(h(x, \omega), \omega)$. The proof can be extended to the general case with h_1, \dots, h_k in the straightforward way.

1. (Existence.) For hypothesis h, g are represented in RS_3^1 by the Σ_1^b -formulas (resp.) G_h and G_g . So, by Condition 1 (resp.) $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)G_h$ and $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y)G_g(x, y)$. By Proposition 2, in particular, there are two \mathcal{L} -term, t_h and t_g , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} RS_3^1 &\vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_h)G_h(x, y) \\ RS_3^1 &\vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_g)G_g(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where, as said $(\exists y \preceq t)F$ is a shorthand for $(\exists y)(1^y \subseteq 1^t \wedge F)$. We can prove that:

$$RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x))(\exists z \preceq t_h)(G_h(x, y) \wedge G_g(z, y)).$$

For readability's sake, the us use F_h to abbreviate $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_h(x))G_h(x, y)$ and F_g to abbreviate $(\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_g(x))G_g(x, y)$. So,

$$\mathcal{D}_{comp.e}$$

$$\frac{\frac{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), \dots \vdash z_1 \preceq t_h(y_1)}{Ax} \quad \frac{\frac{G_h(x_1, z_1), \dots \vdash G_h(x_1, z_1)}{Ax} \quad \frac{G_g(z_1, y_1), \dots \vdash G_g(z_1, y_1)}{Ax}}{G_h(x_1, z_1), G_g(z_1, y_1), \dots \vdash G_h(x_1, z_1) \wedge G_g(z_1, y_1)} \wedge R}{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, z_1), G_g(z_1, y_1), \dots \vdash (\exists z \preceq t_h(y_1))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, y_1))} \exists \preceq R$$

We conclude,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{y_1 \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1)}{Ax} \quad \frac{y_1 \preceq t_g(z_1), z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1)}{L?} \quad \frac{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, z_1), G_g(z_1, y_1) \dots \vdash (\exists z \preceq t_h(y_1))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, y_1))}{\mathcal{D}_{comp.e}}}{\frac{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, z_1), y_1 \preceq t_g(z_1), G_g(z_1, y_1) \dots \vdash (\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, y))}{\exists \preceq L} \quad \frac{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, z_1), (\exists y \preceq t_g(z_1))G_g(z_1, y) \dots \vdash (\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))}{\forall L}}{\frac{z_1 \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, z_1), F_f, F_g \vdash (\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))}{\exists \preceq L} \quad \frac{(\exists z \preceq t_h(x_1))G_h(x_1, z)F_f, F_g \vdash (\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))}{\forall L}}{\frac{F_f, F_g \vdash (\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x_1))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x_1, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))}{\forall R} \quad \frac{F_f, F_g \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))}{\wedge L}}{F_f \wedge F_g \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \preceq t_g(t_h/x))(\exists z \preceq t_h(y))(G_h(x, z) \wedge G_g(z, h))} \wedge L$$

2. (Uniqueness.) Uniqueness is proved relying on the uniqueness conditions for G_g and G_h . Let F_g, F_h and F_f be abbreviations for (resp.) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(G_g(x, y) \wedge G_g(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)$, $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(G_h(x, y) \wedge G_h(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)$ and $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(G_f(x, y) \wedge G_f(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)$. Then,

$\mathcal{D}_{comp.u}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{y_1 = z_1, v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1} Ax}{\frac{G_g(v, y_1) \wedge G_g(v, z_1) \rightarrow y_1 = z_1, v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, y_1), G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1}{v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, y_1), G_g(v, y_1), v \preceq t_h, G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1} \forall Ls} \frac{Ax}{\frac{G_g(v, y_1) \dots \vdash G_g(v, y_1)}{v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1, G_g(v, y_1) \wedge G_g(v, z_1)} \wedge R} \frac{Ax}{\frac{G_g(v, z_1) \dots \vdash G_g(v, z_1)}{v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1, G_g(v, y_1) \wedge G_g(v, z_1)} \wedge R} \rightarrow L} \frac{}{v = w, v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, y_1), w \preceq t_h, G_h(x_1, w), G_g(w, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1} Repl$$

We conclude,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{v = w, v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1} \mathcal{D}_{comp.u}}{\frac{G_h(x_1, v) \wedge G_h(x_1, w) \rightarrow v = w, v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v), G_g(v, y_1), w \preceq t_h, G_h(x_1, w), G_g(w, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1}{v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v) \wedge G_g(v, y_1), w \preceq t_h, G_h(x_1, w) \wedge G_g(w, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1} \wedge Ls} \frac{Ax}{\frac{G_h(x_1, v) \dots \vdash G_h(x_1, v)}{v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1, y_1 = z_1, G_h(x_1, v) \wedge G_h(x_1, w)} \wedge R} \frac{Ax}{\frac{G_h(x_1, w) \dots \vdash G_h(x_1, w)}{v \preceq t_h(x_1) \dots \vdash y_1 = z_1, y_1 = z_1, G_h(x_1, v) \wedge G_h(x_1, w)} \wedge R} \rightarrow L} \frac{}{v \preceq t_h(x_1), G_h(x_1, v) \wedge G_g(v, y_1), w \preceq t_h, G_h(x_1, w) \wedge G_g(w, z_1), F_g, F_h \vdash y_1 = z_1} \forall Ls} \frac{}{F_g, F_h, G_f(x_1, y_1), G_f(x_1, z_1) \vdash G_f(y_1, z_1)} \wedge L} \frac{}{F_g, F_h, G_f(x_1, y_1) \wedge G_f(x_1, z_1) \vdash y_1 = z_1} \wedge L} \frac{}{F_g, F_h \vdash G_f(x_1, y_1) \wedge G_f(x_1, z_1) \rightarrow y_1 = z_1} \rightarrow R} \frac{}{F_g, F_h \vdash F_f} \forall Rs} \frac{}{F_g \wedge F_h \vdash F_f} \wedge L$$

3. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\omega^* \in \mathbb{O}$, $f(n, \omega^*) = m$ if and only if $\omega^* \in \llbracket (\exists z \preceq t_h)(G_h(\bar{n}, z) \wedge G_g(z, \bar{m})) \rrbracket$.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that $g(h(n, \omega^*), \omega^*) = m$. Let $h(n, \omega^*) = s$, for some $s \in \mathbb{S}$. Since $s \in \mathbb{S}$, there is a $t \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $s \subseteq t$ (e.g. $t = s1$). Then, for IH, $\omega^* \in \llbracket G_h(\bar{n}, \bar{s}) \rrbracket$. For assumption $g(h(n, \omega^*), \omega^*) = m$. Thus, for IH, $\omega^* \in \llbracket G_g(\bar{s}, \bar{m}) \rrbracket$. Since $\omega^* \in \llbracket G_h(\bar{s}, \bar{s}) \rrbracket$, for basic set theory also $\omega^* \in \llbracket G_h(\bar{n}, \bar{s}) \rrbracket \cap \llbracket G_g(\bar{s}, \bar{m}) \rrbracket = \llbracket G_h(\bar{n}, \bar{s} \wedge G_g(\bar{s}, \bar{m})) \rrbracket$ and clearly, also $\omega^* \in \llbracket (\exists z)(G_h(\bar{n}, z) \wedge G_g(z, \bar{m})) \rrbracket = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \llbracket G_h(\bar{n}, z) \wedge G_g(z, \bar{m}) \rrbracket_{z \leftarrow i}$. Furthermore, as seen, $\llbracket \bar{s} \subseteq \bar{t} \rrbracket = \mathbb{O}$. We conclude that $\omega^* \in \llbracket (\exists z \preceq t)(G_h(\bar{n}, z) \wedge G_g(z, \bar{m})) \rrbracket = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \llbracket z \subseteq \bar{t} \wedge (G_h(\bar{n}, z) \wedge G_g(z, \bar{m})) \rrbracket_{z \leftarrow i}$.

(\Leftarrow) Similarly proved, due to Proposition 2.

- *Bounded Recursion.* In order to deal with bounded recursion some preliminary work is needed, [7].

Counting within RS_3^1 . Given a string, we need to count within RS_3^1 the number of its 1s. This can be formalized due to some auxiliary formulas. The intuitive idea is to assign to each “string” x another string $z = z_0 z_1 \dots z_n$ such that the length of x is equal to n for each z_i , the length of z_i is equal to i , and each z_{k+1} with $1 \leq k \leq n$ is obtained from z_k so that: (i) if the $(k+1)$ -th element of x is 0, then $z_k + 1 = z_k 0$, (ii) otherwise, $z_{k+1} = 1z_k$. Let us call the

string z so defined, the x -ordered string. This construction is formalized due to the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{o1}(x, y) &:= (\exists u)((u0 = x \wedge y = x) \vee u1 = x \wedge y = 1u) \\
F_{o2}(x) &:= (\exists u)(\exists v)(u = 1 \times u \wedge v = 0 \times v \wedge x = uv) \\
F_{o3}(x, z) &:= zz \equiv x \times x1 \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)(\exists z' \subseteq z)(z'z' \equiv x' \times x'1 \\
&\quad \wedge (\forall z'')(\forall y')(y' \equiv x' \wedge z''y' = z' \rightarrow (\exists y)(z'y \subseteq z \\
&\quad \wedge ((x'0 \subseteq x \rightarrow F_{o1}(y'0, y)) \wedge (x'1 \subseteq x \rightarrow F_{o1}(y'1, y)))).
\end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, $F_{o1}(x, y)$ says that: if the last bit of x is 0, then $y = x$; if the last bit of x is 1, then $y = 1u$, where $u1 = x$, which is where u is equal to x after removing its last bit, 1. $F_{o2}(x)$ basically expresses that x is made of a (possibly empty) sequence of 1s concatenated with a sequence of 0s. According to $x = uv$, where u is a (possibly empty) sequence of 1s and v of 0s, i.e. roughly x is in the form $11 \dots 00 \dots$. $F_{o3}(x, z)$ enucleates the construction described above, saying that z is the x -ordered string. Indeed, $F_{o3}(x, z)$ says that (1) the length of zz is equal to that of $x \times x1$, (2) for each $x' \subseteq x$, there is a $z' \subseteq z$, such that (i) the length of $z'z'$ is equal to the length of $x' \times x'1$ and (ii) for each z'' and y' , with $|y'| = |x'|$ and $z''y' = z'$, there is a y such that (a) $z'y \subseteq z$ and (b) if $x'0 \subseteq x$, then $F_{o1}(y'0, y)$; if $x'1 \subseteq x$, then $F_{o1}(y'1, y)$. Basically, (2) ensures that each (initial) substring of z is defined in the proper way.

It is now possible to define the desired $F_{ones}(\cdot, \cdot)$:⁷

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ones}(x, u) &:= u = 1 \times u \wedge (\exists z)(F_{o3}(x, z) \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)(\forall z') \\
&\quad (((x = \epsilon) \vee (x'1 \equiv x \wedge F_{o3}(x', z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge z = z'uy))).
\end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, $F_{ones}(x, u)$ expresses that u is a sequence of 1s the length of which corresponds to the number of 1s in x . Indeed, $F_{ones}(x, u)$ says that (1) u is a sequence of 1s of length u (as $u = 1 \times u$) and (2) that there is a z such that (i) z is the x -ordered string (as $F_{o3}(x, z)$), (ii) for all x', z' with $x' \subseteq x$: if $x = \epsilon$ or the length of x is equal to that of x plus one and z' is the x' -ordered string, then there is a sequence of 0s, y , such that $z = z'uy$. Notice that, as said, z is the x -ordered string, so uy is a string of 1s, u , concatenated with by a string of 0s, y , so that the number of 1s in uy is the same as the number of 1s in x .

It is also intuitively clear that the following properties hold: (i) the number of 1s in the empty string is 0, (ii) given a string x such that it contains a number of 1s equal to the length of y , then $x0$ contains the same number of 1s, while $x1$ contains a number of 1s equal to $|y| + 1$, (iii) given a string x , its number of 1s is defined by a unique string of 1s (the length of which corresponds to the number of 1s in x).⁸ Formally:

- i. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon)$
- ii. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x1, y1)$
- iii. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(x, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x, z) \rightarrow y = z$.

⁷Notice that this formula is slightly different from the corresponding one defined in [7, p. 97]. Indeed, Ferreira's $Ones(\cdot, \cdot)$ is such that $Ones(\epsilon, u)$ is derivable for every $u = 1 \times u$ in contrast to the required condition 3 of Proposition 9. For further details, see Section 3.1.4.

⁸For further details, see Proposition 9 in Section 3.1.3.

Encoding. It is possible to formally encode sequences of $\epsilon, 0, 1$, separated by commas as strings. Following Ferreira [7, p. 97], we encode 0 as 01, 1 as 11. First, we introduce the formula $F_{seq}(\cdot)$ below:

$$F_{seq}(x) := (\exists y \subseteq x)(x \equiv yy \wedge (\forall z \subseteq y) \neg (x|_{zz} 00 \subseteq x)).$$

The formula $F_{seq}(x)$ basically says that x is in the form of an encoding sequence. Indeed, it expresses that x is a string made of initial-couple substrings (indeed, its length corresponds to an even number) and does not contain any initial-couple substrings in the form 00, where given a string $y = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$, we call its *initial-couple substring* each string $y_i y_{i+1}$, for $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, n-1\}$. Then, $F_s(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_s(x, y) := & y \equiv x \wedge F_{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall z)(zz \preceq x \rightarrow (x|_{zz} 01 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y) \\ & \wedge (x|_{zz} 10 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y) \\ & \wedge (x|_{zz} 11 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 11 \subseteq y)). \end{aligned}$$

The formula $F_s(x, y)$ expresses that (1) $|x| = |y|$, (2) x is in the form of an encoding sequence, as $F_{seq}(x)$ and (3) y is such that each initial-couple substring in x , which does not encode a separation mark, corresponds to the initial-couple substring 00 in y (i.e. $x|_{zz} 01 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y$ and $x|_{zz} 10 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y$), while initial-couple substrings in x encoding the separation mark, 11, correspond to the same substring 11 in y (i.e. $x|_{zz} 11 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 11 \subseteq y$). Notice that, in this way, y somehow indicates the number of “bits” (either 0 or 1) and of separation marks of the sequences encoded by x . For simplicity’s sake, let us call y the *s-encoding* of x .

Decoding. In order to measure the length of a sequence, let us introduce the following formula:

$$F_{lh}(x, u) := (\exists y \equiv x)(F_s(x, y) \wedge F_{ones}(y, uu))$$

where clearly $(\exists y \equiv x)G$ is a shorthand for $(\exists y)(y \equiv x \wedge G)$. The formula $F_{lh}(x, u)$ intuitively says that there is a y , of the same length of x , such that (1) y is the *s-encoding* of x and (as $F_s(x, y)$) and (2) the number of 1s in y is uu . Clearly, as 11 represents the encoding in y of initial-couples 01 or 10 in x (i.e. the encoding in x of a “bit” in the sequence) u is precisely the number of “bits” in the original sequence, encoded by x (so, excluding the number of separation marks in it).

Then, $F_{word}(\cdot)$ is defined as below:

$$F_{word}(x) := (\exists y \subseteq x)(x \equiv yy \wedge (\forall z \subset y)(x|_{zz} 01 \subseteq x \vee x|_{zz} 10 \subseteq x))$$

where, as predictable, $(\forall z \subset y)G$ is an abbreviation for $(\forall z)(z \subset y \rightarrow G)$. $F_{word}(x)$ basically says that x is a string made of initial-couple substrings in either the form 01 or 10, which is x is a string encoding a sequence of (possibly alternated) 0s and 1s.

In order to define the desired “decoding” formula, two other auxiliary formulas need to be

introduced:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{e1}(s, r, v) &:= F_{seq}(s) \wedge F_{word}(v) \wedge (\exists y)(\exists u)(F_{lh}(s, u) \wedge r \subset u \wedge F_s(s, y) \\
&\quad \wedge (\forall y' \subseteq y)(F_{ones}(y', rr) \rightarrow s|_{y'}v \equiv s \vee s|_{y'}v\mathbf{11} \subseteq s)) \\
F_{e2}(v, x) &:= F_{word}(v) \wedge v \equiv xx \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)((x'0 \subseteq x \rightarrow v|_{x'x'}\mathbf{01} \subseteq v) \\
&\quad \wedge (x'\mathbf{1} \subseteq x \rightarrow v|_{x'x'}\mathbf{10} \subseteq v)).
\end{aligned}$$

Given a string s encoding a sequence and a string v of initial-couple substrings either in the form $\mathbf{01}$ or $\mathbf{10}$, $F_{e1}(s, r, v)$ allows one to “select” the *encoding for a bit* in s . The formula $F_{e2}(v, x)$ says (1) that v is a string, the initial-couple substrings of which are either in the form $\mathbf{01}$ or $\mathbf{10}$, (2) that the length of w is equal to that of xx , (3) that for each (initial) substring x' of x : (3.i) if $x'0 \subseteq x$, then the concatenation of v at the length of $x'x'$ is a(n initial-) substring of v , (3.ii) if $x'\mathbf{1} \subseteq x$, then the concatenation of the truncation of v at the length of $x'x'$ with $\mathbf{10}$ is a(n initial-) substring of v . Otherwise said, $F_{e2}(w, x)$ expresses that, given a string x of (possibly alternated) 0s and 1s, v , the length of which is double that of x , such that each occurrence of 0 in x corresponds to a couple-substring $\mathbf{01}$ in (the corresponding position of) v and each occurrence of 1 in x corresponds to a couple-substring $\mathbf{10}$ in (the corresponding position of) v .

We can now define F_{eval} as follows:

$$F_{eval}(x, y, z) := (\exists u \preceq x)(F_{e1}(x, y, u) \wedge F_{e2}(u, z)).$$

Intuitively, this formula allows one to “decode” each encoding couple-substring of x . Indeed, it says that x is a string encoding a sequence of $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$,es, separated by commas, defined as described above (as $F_{seq}(x)$). Moreover, there is a string u , which is a string of initial couple-substrings either in the form $\mathbf{01}$ or $\mathbf{10}$ (as $F_{word}(u)$). When the length of y indicate a couple-substring encoding a “bit”, z is a string such that each of its “bit” is $\mathbf{0}$ if the corresponding couple-substring in u is $\mathbf{01}$ and is $\mathbf{1}$ if the corresponding couple-substring in u is $\mathbf{10}$. Therefore, $F_{eval}(x, y, z)$ expresses that the “bit” encoded in x at position y is z .

Bounded Recursion. These auxiliary functions make it possible to conclude the proof. Let f be defined from g, h_0, h_1 by bounded recursion as below:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\vec{x}, \epsilon) &= g(\vec{x}) \\
f(\vec{x}, y\mathbf{0}) &= h_0(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y))|_{t(\vec{x}, y)} \\
f(\vec{x}, y\mathbf{1}) &= h_1(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y))|_{t(\vec{x}, y)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{i} \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ and $i = 0$ if $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{0}$ and $i = 1$ if $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{1}$, and t is an \mathcal{L} -term. For hypothesis g, h_0, h_1 are Σ_1^b -represented by (resp.) F_g, F_{h_0}, F_{h_1} and by Proposition 2, there are some terms t_g, t_{h_0}, t_{h_1} so that the existential conditions can be strengthened. Then, f is Σ_1^b -represented

in RS_3^1 by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_f(\vec{x}, y) := & (\exists s \preceq t_g(\vec{x})(y \times t(\vec{x}, y)t(\vec{x}, y)11))(F_{lh}(s, 1 \times y1) \\
& \wedge (\exists z \preceq t_g(\vec{x}))(F_{eval}(s, \epsilon, z) \wedge F_g(\vec{x}, z)) \\
& \wedge (\forall u \subseteq y)(\exists v)(\tilde{v} \preceq t(\vec{x}, y) \wedge F_{eval}(s, 1 \times u, v) \wedge F_{eval}(s, 1 \times u1, \tilde{v})) \\
& \wedge (u0 \subseteq y \rightarrow (\exists v_0 \preceq t_{h_0}(\vec{x}, u, v))(G_{h_0}(\vec{x}, y, v, v_0) \wedge v_0|_{t(\vec{x}, u)} = \tilde{v})) \\
& \wedge (u1 \subseteq y \rightarrow (\exists v_1 \preceq t_{h_1}(\vec{x}, u, v))(G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, u, v, v_1) \wedge v_1|_{t(\vec{x}, u)} = \tilde{v}))).
\end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness and existence can be proved due to a quite convoluted machinery, already defined by Ferreira. Semantically, this formula intuitively says that given the sequences \vec{x} , y is so defined to precisely correspond to the output obtained from g, h_0, h_1 by bounded recursion. Indeed, G_f , intuitively says that there is a string s (with the proper term bound), such that there is a $1 \times y1$ is the number of “bits” in the sequence encoded by s , as $F_{lh}(s, 1 \times y1)$. Then, y is so constructed that, there is a z , which corresponds to the ϵ -th “bit” in the sequence encoded by s and such that $G_g(s, z)$, where G_g is the Σ_1^b -formula representing g (for IH). Moreover, for each $u \subseteq y$, there are v, \tilde{v} which are respectively the $|u|$ -th and the $|u| + 1$ -th “bits” of s . If $u0 \subseteq y$, then there is a (properly bounded) v_0 such that such that $G_{h_0}(\vec{x}, y, v, v_0)$, where G_{h_0} represents the function f_{h_0} (for IH) and its truncation at $t(\vec{x}, u)$ is precisely \tilde{v} .⁹ Similarly, if $u1 \subseteq y$, then there is a (properly bounded) v_1 such that $G_{h_1}(\vec{x}, y, v, v_1)$, where G_{h_1} represents the function G_{h_1} (for IH) and its truncation at $t(\vec{x}, u)$ is precisely \tilde{v} .

□

3.1.3 On Bounded Recursion

Auxiliary Lemmas.

Lemma 6. *The sequent $\vdash (\forall x)(1 \times x = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon)$ is derivable in $\mathbf{G3cS}_3^1$.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon} Ax \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon}{\vdash 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon = \epsilon} Ref \\
\frac{\vdash 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon = \epsilon}{\vdash 1 \times z = \epsilon \rightarrow z = \epsilon} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{\vdash 1 \times z = \epsilon \rightarrow z = \epsilon}{\vdash (\forall x)(1 \times x = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon)} \forall R
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\frac{(1 \times y)\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = (1 \times y)\mathbf{b}, 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon}{1 \times y\mathbf{b} = (1 \times y)\mathbf{b}, 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon} F13 \\
\frac{1 \times y\mathbf{b} = (1 \times y)\mathbf{b}, 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon}{1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon} F5 \\
\frac{1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon, 1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon}{1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon \rightarrow y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{1 \times y = \epsilon \rightarrow y = \epsilon \vdash 1 \times y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon \rightarrow y\mathbf{b} = \epsilon}{\vdash 1 \times z = \epsilon \rightarrow z = \epsilon} \Sigma_1^b-NIA \\
\frac{\vdash 1 \times z = \epsilon \rightarrow z = \epsilon}{\vdash (\forall x)(1 \times x = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon)} \forall R
\end{array}$$

□

Proposition 8. *The rules $\forall_{\preceq}R^*$, $\exists_{\preceq}L^*$, $\forall_{\subseteq}R^*$ and $\exists_{\subseteq}L^*$ are derivable in $\mathbf{G3cS}_3^1$.*

Proof. The proofs are equivalent.

⁹ So, if we are considering an (encoded) sub-sequence in which the last bit is $\mathbf{0}$, then \tilde{v} (which, as said, is the initial-substring $\tilde{v} = vb$, where b is either 0 or 1) roughly corresponds to the output of $h_0(\vec{x}, y, v)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{z = \epsilon, \dots \vdash \Gamma, A(z/x)}{1 \times z = \epsilon, \dots \vdash \Gamma, A(z/x)} L6 \\
\frac{1^z \subseteq \epsilon, \dots \vdash \Gamma, A(z/x)}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, z \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)} F7a \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, z \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{z \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)} Repl \\
\frac{z \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \preceq \epsilon)A} F4 \\
\frac{z \preceq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, (\forall x \subseteq \epsilon)A} \forall_{\preceq} R
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\frac{z = \epsilon, A(z/x), \dots \vdash \Delta}{1 \times z = \epsilon, A(z/x), \dots \vdash \Delta} L6 \\
\frac{1^z \subseteq \epsilon, A(z/x), \dots \vdash \Delta}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, z \preceq \epsilon, A(z/x) \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F7a \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, z \preceq \epsilon, A(z/x) \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{z \preceq \epsilon, A(z/\epsilon), \Gamma \vdash \Delta} Repl \\
\frac{z \preceq \epsilon, A(z/\epsilon), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\exists x \preceq \epsilon)A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} F4 \\
\frac{z \preceq \epsilon, z \subseteq \epsilon, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(z/x)}{z \subseteq \epsilon, A(z/x), \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \exists_{\preceq} L
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 7. *The following rule is derivable in $\mathbf{G3cS}_3^1$,*

$$\frac{x = \epsilon \vdash A(\epsilon/x) \quad x = \mathbf{b} \vdash A(\mathbf{b}/x)}{\vdash (\forall x \subseteq \mathbf{b})A} \forall_{\subseteq} R^{**}$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{x_1 = \epsilon, x_1 \subseteq \epsilon, x_1 \subseteq \mathbf{b} \vdash A(x_1/\mathbf{b})}{x_1 \subseteq \epsilon, x_1 \subseteq \mathbf{b} \vdash A(x_1/\mathbf{b})} F7a \quad x_1 = \mathbf{b}, x_1 \subseteq \mathbf{b} \vdash A(x_1/\mathbf{b})}{\frac{x_1 \subseteq \mathbf{b} \vdash A(x_1/\mathbf{b})}{\vdash (\forall x \subseteq \mathbf{b})A} \forall_{\subseteq} R^*} F8a$$

□

Lemma 8. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((xy)z = x(yz))$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon} \\
\frac{\frac{(x_1 x_2) \epsilon = x_1 (x_2 \epsilon), x_2 \epsilon = x_2, (x_1 x_2) \epsilon = x_1 x_2 \vdash (x_1 x_2) \epsilon = x_1 (x_2 \epsilon)}{x_2 \epsilon = x_2, (x_1 x_2) \epsilon = x_1 x_2 \vdash (x_1 x_2) \epsilon = x_1 (x_2 \epsilon)} Ax}{\vdash (x_1 y_1) \epsilon = x_1 (y_1 \epsilon)} Repl \\
\mathcal{D}_{ind} \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{(x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b})) \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))}{(y_1 z_2) \mathbf{b} = y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}), (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 ((y_1 z_2) \mathbf{b}) \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))} Ax}{(x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 ((y_1 z_2) \mathbf{b}) \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))} Repl \\
\frac{(x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 ((y_1 z_2) \mathbf{b}) \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))}{(x_1 (y_1 z_2)) \mathbf{b} = x_1 ((y_1 z_2) \mathbf{b}), (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = (x_1 (y_1 z_2)) \mathbf{b} \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))} F2 \\
\frac{(x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = (x_1 (y_1 z_2)) \mathbf{b} \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))}{(x_1 y_1) z_2 = x_1 (y_1 z_2), (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = ((x_1 y_1) z_2) \mathbf{b} \dots \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))} Repl \\
\frac{(x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = ((x_1 y_1) z_2) \mathbf{b}, (\forall x)(\forall y)((xy) z_2 = x(y z_2)) \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))}{(\forall x)(\forall y)((xy) z_2 = x(y z_2)) \vdash (x_1 y_1)(z_2 \mathbf{b}) = x_1 (y_1 (z_2 \mathbf{b}))} \forall L \\
F2
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_\epsilon \quad \vdash (x_1 y_1) \epsilon = x_1 (y_1 \epsilon) \quad (\forall x)(\forall y)((xy)z_2 = x(yx_2)) \vdash (x_1 y_1)z_2 \mathbf{b} = x_1 (y_1 (x_2 \mathbf{b}))}{\vdash (x_1 y_1)z_1 = x_1 (y_1 z_1)} \quad \Sigma_1^b\text{-}NIA}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((xy)z = x(yz))} \forall Rs$$
$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon}{\vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon} \quad Ax \quad F1}{\vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon} \quad Ax \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = y_1\mathbf{b}, \epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon y_1)\mathbf{b}, \epsilon y_1 = y_1 \vdash \epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = y_1\mathbf{b}}{\epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon y_1)\mathbf{b}, \epsilon y_1 = y_1 \vdash \epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = y_1\mathbf{b}} \quad Ax \quad Repl}{\epsilon y_1 = y_1 \vdash \epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = y_1\mathbf{b}} \quad F2 \\
\frac{\epsilon y_1 = y_1 \vdash \epsilon(y_1\mathbf{b}) = y_1\mathbf{b}}{\vdash \epsilon y = y} \quad \Sigma_1^b-NIA \\
\frac{\vdash \epsilon y = y}{\vdash (\forall x)(\epsilon x = x)} \quad \forall R
\end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{y_1 0 = y_1, (y_1 0) 0 = y_1 0 \vdash y_1 0 = y_1} Ax}{(y_1 0) 0 = y_1 0 \vdash y_1 0 = y_1} F10}{\frac{}{\epsilon 0 = 0 \vdash} F13 \quad \frac{}{(y_1 0) 0 = y_1 0, \neg(y_1 0 = y_1) \vdash} \neg L \quad \frac{}{(y_1 1) 0 = y_1 1, \neg(y_1 0 = y_1) \vdash} F12} \frac{}{\vdash \neg(\epsilon 0 = 0)} \neg R \quad \frac{}{\neg(y_1 0 = y_1) \vdash \neg((y_1 1) 0 = y_1 1)} \neg R}{\frac{}{\vdash \neg(y 0 = y)} \forall R} \Sigma_1^b - NIA
\end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\vdash \neg(\epsilon 1 = \epsilon)} \neg R \quad \frac{(y_1 0) 1 = y_1 0, \neg(y_1 1 = y_1) \vdash}{\neg(y_1 1 = y_1) \vdash \neg((y_1 0) 1 = y_1 0)} F12 \quad \frac{}{y_1 1 = y_1, (y_1 1) 1 = y_1 1 \vdash y_1 1 = y_1} Ax \\
\frac{}{(y_1 1) 1 = y_1 1, \neg(y_1 1 = y_1) \vdash}{(y_1 1) 1 = y_1 1, \neg(y_1 1 = y_1) \vdash} \neg L \quad \frac{}{\neg(y_1 1 = y_1) \vdash \neg((y_1 1) 1 = y_1 1)} \neg R \\
\hline
\frac{}{\vdash \neg(y_1 = y)} \forall R \quad \Sigma_1^b-NIA
\end{array}$$

38

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}), \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon \times y_1)\epsilon, \epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon}{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon\epsilon, \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon \times y_1)\epsilon, \epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon} \text{Repl}}{\epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon\epsilon, \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon \times y_1)\epsilon, \epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon} F1 \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon\epsilon, \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = (\epsilon \times y_1)\epsilon, \epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon}{\epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon} \text{Repl}}{\epsilon \times y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times (y_1 \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon} F5 \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \times \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \times \epsilon = \epsilon}{\vdash \epsilon \times \epsilon = \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash \epsilon \times \epsilon = \epsilon} F4 \quad \frac{\frac{\vdash \epsilon \times y = \epsilon}{\vdash (\forall x)(\epsilon \times x = \epsilon)} \forall R}{\vdash (\forall x)(\epsilon \times x = \epsilon)} \Sigma_1^b\text{-NIA}
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 12. $RS_3^1 \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon = \epsilon\epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon}{\epsilon = \epsilon\epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon} Ax \\
\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon\epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon}{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon} F1 \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon}{\vdash 1 \times (\epsilon\epsilon) = 1 \times \epsilon} Ref
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 13. $RS_3^1 \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{\epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1} Ax \\
\frac{\epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1} L\ 11 \\
\frac{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{\vdash \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon \times \epsilon 1} F1
\end{array}$$

□

Corollary 8. $RS_3^1 \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1), \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)}{\epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)} Ax \\
\frac{\epsilon \times \epsilon 1 = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)}{1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)} L\ 11 \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)}{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)} Repl \\
\frac{\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)}{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)} F1 \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)}{\vdash 1 \times \epsilon\epsilon = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)} Ref
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 14. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(1 \times x = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon)$

Proof. Indeed,

1

1

1

□

Corollary 10. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)((1 \times (xx) = 1 \times (\epsilon \times \epsilon 1)) \rightarrow x = \epsilon)$.

Proof. By Lemma 9 and Lemma 15. □

More in general,

Lemma 17. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)\neg(xby = \epsilon)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x_1b = \epsilon, x_1b\epsilon = x_1b, x_1b\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash}{x_1b\epsilon = x_1b, x_1b\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash} F13}{x_1b\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash} Repl}{\vdash \neg x_1b\epsilon = \epsilon} F1 \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{(x_1by_1)b = \epsilon, x_1b(y_1b) = (x_1by_1)b, x_1b(y_1b) = \epsilon, (\forall x)\neg(xby_1 = \epsilon) \vdash}{x_1b(y_1b) = (x_1by_1)b, x_1b(y_1b) = \epsilon, (\forall x)\neg(xby_1 = \epsilon) \vdash} F13}{x_1b(y_1b) = \epsilon, (\forall x)\neg(xby_1 = \epsilon) \vdash} Repl}{\frac{x_1b(y_1b) = \epsilon, (\forall x)\neg(xby_1 = \epsilon) \vdash}{(\forall x)\neg(xby_1 = \epsilon) \vdash \neg(x_1b(y_1b) = \epsilon)} L 8} \neg R}{\vdash \neg(x_1by_1 = \epsilon)} \Sigma_1^b-NIA}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)\neg(xby = \epsilon)} \forall Rs$$

□

Lemma 18. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(xy = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon \wedge y = \epsilon)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon, \epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon}{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon} Ax}{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon} Ref}{\frac{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon}{\vdash \epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon} \rightarrow R} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash y_1 = \epsilon}{\epsilon y_1 = y_1, \epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash y_1 = \epsilon} Ax}{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash y_1 = \epsilon} Repl}{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash y_1 = \epsilon} L 9}{\frac{\epsilon y_1 = \epsilon \vdash y_1 = \epsilon}{\vdash x_1y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow x_1 = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon} \wedge R} \quad \frac{\frac{(x_1b)y_1 = \epsilon, (\forall y)(x_1y = \epsilon \rightarrow x_1 = \epsilon \wedge y = \epsilon) \vdash x_1b = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon}{(\forall y)(x_1y = \epsilon \rightarrow x_1 = \epsilon \wedge y = \epsilon) \vdash (x_1b)y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow x_1b = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon} L 17}{\vdash x_1y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow x_1 = \epsilon \wedge y_1 = \epsilon} \rightarrow R}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(xy = \epsilon \rightarrow x = \epsilon \wedge y = \epsilon)} \Sigma_1^b-NIA \quad \forall Rs$$

□

Lemma 19. *The following rule is derivable in $\mathbf{G3cS}_3^1$,*

$$\frac{y = \epsilon \vdash A(y/x)}{\vdash (\forall x)(xx \preceq \epsilon \rightarrow A)} R 19$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{y = \epsilon \dots \vdash A(y/x)}{yy = \epsilon, 1 \times yy = \epsilon \dots \vdash A(y/x)} L 16}{1 \times yy = \epsilon, 1 \times yy \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash A(y/x)} L 14}{1 \times yy \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash A(y/x)} F7a}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times yy \subseteq 1 \times \epsilon \vdash A(y/x)} Repl}{1 \times yy \subseteq 1 \times \epsilon \vdash A} F4}{\frac{1 \times yy \subseteq 1 \times \epsilon \vdash A}{\vdash yy \preceq \epsilon \rightarrow A(y/x)} \rightarrow R} \forall R}{\vdash (\forall x)(xx \preceq \epsilon \rightarrow A)}$$

□

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon 1 = \epsilon, \epsilon 11 = \epsilon 1, 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, (1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon 1) \dots \vdash}{\epsilon 11 = \epsilon 1, 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, (1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon 1) \dots \vdash} F13 \\
\frac{\epsilon 11 = \epsilon 1, 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, (1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon 1) \dots \vdash}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, (1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon 1) \dots \vdash} F10 \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, (1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon 1) \dots \vdash}{(1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash} Repls \\
\frac{(1 \times \epsilon) 11 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash}{1 \times \epsilon 0 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = (1 \times \epsilon) 11, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash} F4 \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon 0 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = (1 \times \epsilon) 11, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash}{1 \times 01 = (1 \times \epsilon) 11, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash} F5 \\
\frac{1 \times 01 = (1 \times \epsilon) 11, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash}{1 \times 0 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = (1 \times 0) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \vdash} Repl \\
\frac{1 \times 0 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1, 1 \times 01 = (1 \times 0) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \vdash}{1 \times 01 = (1 \times 0) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \vdash} F5 \\
\frac{1 \times 01 = (1 \times 0) 1, 1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \vdash}{1 \times 01 = 1 \times \epsilon 0 \vdash} F5
\end{array}$$

□

Bounded Iteration. Auxiliary Formulas are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{o1}(x, y) &:= (\exists u)((u0 = x \wedge y = x) \vee u1 = x \wedge y = 1u) \\
F_{o2}(x) &:= (\exists u)(\exists v)(u = 1 \times u \wedge v = 0 \times v \wedge x = uv) \\
F_{o3}(x, z) &:= zz \equiv x \times x1 \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)(\exists z' \subseteq z)(z'z' \equiv x' \times x'1 \wedge \\
&\quad (\forall z'')(\forall y')(y' \equiv x' \wedge z''y' = z' \rightarrow (\exists y)(z'y \subseteq z \wedge \\
&\quad ((x'0 \subseteq x \rightarrow F_{o1}(y'0, y)) \wedge (x'1 \subseteq x \rightarrow F_{o1}(y'1, y))))),
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 22. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Proof. For readability's sake, formulas are abbreviated in the obvious way.

$\mathcal{D}_{o3\wedge}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon 0 = \epsilon, \epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)}{\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon, z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)} F7a \\
\frac{\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon, z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, (\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(\epsilon 1, y_1))} \wedge R
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{o3\vee}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon \epsilon = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} Ax \\
\frac{\epsilon \epsilon = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} F7b \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, (\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(\epsilon 1, y_1))} F1 \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, (\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(\epsilon 1, y_1))}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon \wedge ((\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(\epsilon 1, y_1)))} \wedge R \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}, \epsilon \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon \wedge ((\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, \epsilon)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o1}(\epsilon 1, y_1)))}{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}} \exists R \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{z_1 \epsilon = z_1, z_1 \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}} Repl \\
\frac{z_1 \epsilon = z_1, z_1 \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{z_1 \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}} F1 \\
\frac{z_1 \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}} Repl \\
\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{y_1 \equiv \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}} L 14 \\
\frac{y_1 \equiv \epsilon, z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}} \wedge L \\
\frac{y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{\vdash y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o3\exists}} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{\vdash y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_1 y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o3\exists}}{\vdash (\forall z'')(\forall y')(y' \equiv \epsilon \wedge z''y' = \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o3\exists})} \forall Rs
\end{array}$$

So, we conclude as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon, \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{F7b} Ax}{\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} Ref \quad \frac{\frac{\vdash \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{C8} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_{o3\forall}}{\vdash F_{o3\forall}}}{\vdash \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall}} \wedge R \\
\frac{\vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{\vdash (\exists z' \subseteq \epsilon) z' z' \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall}} \exists \subseteq R \\
\frac{\vdash \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{C8} \quad \frac{\vdash (\forall x' \subseteq \epsilon)(\exists z' \subseteq \epsilon)(z' z' \equiv x' \times x' 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall})}{\vdash F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \forall \subseteq R^* \wedge R
\end{array}$$

☐

Lemma 23. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x' \subseteq \epsilon)(\forall z')(((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee x'1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(x', z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z'\epsilon y)).$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon} Ax \\
\frac{}{z_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon} F4 \\
\frac{z_1 = \epsilon, z_1 z_1 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{o3\forall\exists}, \epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon \epsilon}{z_1 = \epsilon, z_1 z_1 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{o3\forall\exists}, \epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)} \wedge R \\
\frac{z_1 z_1 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{o3\forall\exists}, \epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)}{\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon, F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)} \exists R \\
\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon, F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)}{(\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)} \wedge L \\
\frac{(\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)}{\vdash ((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1)) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{\vdash ((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1)) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z_1 \epsilon y)}{\vdash (\forall z')(((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z' \epsilon y))} \forall R \\
\frac{\vdash (\forall z')(((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z' \epsilon y))}{\vdash (\forall x' \subseteq \epsilon)(\forall z')(((\epsilon = \epsilon \vee \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon) \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = z' \epsilon y))} \forall \subseteq R^*
\end{array}$$

☐

Lemma 24. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{o3}(\epsilon 0, 0)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{03.ii} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 = y_1 0 \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Ax}{0 = \epsilon 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Repl}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 = y_1 0 \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Ax}{0 = \epsilon 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Repl}{\frac{}{0 = 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} L\ 9} Repl} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 = y_1 0 \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Ax}{0 = \epsilon 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Repl}{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Ref} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 = 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} L\ 9}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} Ref}{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash 0 = y_1 0} \wedge R} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0), \epsilon 0 = y_1 0 \wedge 0 = y_1 0, \epsilon 1 = y_1 0 \wedge 0 = 1\epsilon} \wedge R}{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0), (\epsilon 0 = y_1 0 \wedge 0 = y_1 0) \vee (\epsilon 1 = y_1 0 \wedge 0 = 1\epsilon)} \vee R} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)} \rightarrow R}{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon 0 \subseteq \dots \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)} \rightarrow R} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\epsilon 1 \subseteq \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)} F13}{\epsilon 1 \subseteq \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)} F7a}{\frac{}{\epsilon 1 \subseteq \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)} F12} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\epsilon 1 \subseteq 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)} \rightarrow R}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon 1 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)} \rightarrow R}{\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash (\epsilon 0 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0))} \wedge R} \\
\\
\frac{}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash (\epsilon 0 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0))} F8a
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{o3.i}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\epsilon 0 \subseteq 0, \epsilon 0 = 0 \dots \vdash 0 \subseteq 0}{}{Ax}}{\epsilon 0 = 0 \dots \vdash 0 \subseteq 0}{F8c}}{\epsilon 0 = 0, 0 = 0 \dots \vdash 0 \subseteq 0}{Repl}}{\frac{0 = 0, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon 0 \subseteq 0}{L 9}}{Ref} \\
\frac{y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon 0 \subseteq 0}{\frac{y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash ((\epsilon 0 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)))}{\mathcal{D}_{o3.ii}}} \wedge R \\
\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, z_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon 0 \subseteq 0 \wedge ((\epsilon 0 \subseteq \epsilon 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 0, 0)) \wedge (\epsilon 1 \subseteq 0 \rightarrow F_{o1}(y_1 1, 0)))}{\exists R} \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, z_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash F_{o3\exists}}{L 18}}{y_1 = \epsilon, z_2 \epsilon = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{Repl}}{\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, 1 \times y_1 = \epsilon, z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{L 14}}{Repl} \\
\frac{1 \times y_1 = \epsilon, y_1 \equiv \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, z_2 y_1 = \epsilon}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 \equiv \epsilon, z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{Repl} \\
\frac{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, y_1 \equiv \epsilon, z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{F4} \\
\frac{y_1 \equiv \epsilon, z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{\wedge R} \\
\frac{y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \vdash \dots F_{o3\exists}}{\rightarrow R} \\
\frac{\vdash \dots y_1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge z_2 y_1 = \epsilon \rightarrow F_{o3\exists}}{\forall Rs} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{o3\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, 0, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\wedge R} \\
\frac{\vdash \dots \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1}{C 8} \\
\vdash \dots \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall\forall}
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{o3\forall}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\epsilon \subseteq 0 \dots \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon 0}{}{Ax}}{\epsilon 0 = 0, \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq 0}{Repl}}{\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq 0}{L 9}}{F8b} \\
\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq 0}{F7b} \\
\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq 0}{Ref} \\
\frac{\vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq 0}{\frac{\vdash (\exists z' \subseteq 0)(z' z' \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall\forall})}{\mathcal{D}_{o3.i}}} \exists \subseteq R \\
\frac{\vdash \dots \epsilon \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall\forall}}{\frac{\vdash (\exists z' \subseteq 0)(z' z' \equiv 0 \times 0 1 \wedge F_{o3\forall\forall})}{\mathcal{D}_{o3.ii}}} \exists \subseteq R^* \\
\frac{\vdash F_{o3\forall\exists}(\epsilon 0, 0)}{L 7}
\end{array}$$

We conclude as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash 0 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \times (\epsilon 0) 1}{L 20} \quad \frac{\frac{\vdash F_{o3\forall\exists}(\epsilon 0, 0)}{\mathcal{D}_{o3\forall\exists}}} \wedge R \\
\vdash F_{o3}(\epsilon 0, 0)$$

□

Definition 21. The formula $F_{ones}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ones}(x, u) &:= u \times 1 \times u \wedge (\exists z)(F_{o3}(x, z) \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)(\forall z') \\
&\quad ((x = \epsilon \vee x' 1 \equiv x) \wedge F_{o3}(x', z')) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge z = z' u y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9. 1. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon)$

2. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x 0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x 1, y 1)$

3. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{ones}(x, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x, z) \rightarrow y = z$.

Proof of 1. For readability's sake, formulas are abbreviated in the obvious way.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon}{\vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon} Ax \\
\frac{\vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon}{\vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} F4 \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones\exists 1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{ones\exists 1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{ones\forall}} L 22 \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{ones\forall}} L 23 \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones\exists 1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{ones\forall}}{\vdash F_{ones\exists 1}} \exists R \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones\exists 1}}{\vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R
\end{array}$$

□

Proof Sketch of 2. Let us use $H^*(x, y)$ as an abbreviation for the formula $(F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x1, y1))$. The overall structure of the proof derivation is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 1}}{\frac{F_{ones}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1) \quad F_{ones}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 1, y_1 1)}{F_{ones}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1) \wedge F_{ones}(\epsilon 1, y_1 1)} \wedge R} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon, y_1) \rightarrow F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1) \wedge F_{ones}(\epsilon 1, y_1 1)}{\vdash F_{ones}(x_1, y_1) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x_1 1, y_1 1) \wedge F_{ones}(x_1 1, y_1 1)} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{\vdash F_{ones}(x_1, y_1) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x_1 1, y_1 1) \wedge F_{ones}(x_1 1, y_1 1)}{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x1, y1))} \forall R \\
\frac{\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x1, y1))}{\vdash F_{ones}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{ones}(x0, y) \wedge F_{ones}(x1, y1)} \Sigma_1^b-NIA
\end{array}$$

For space reason we will prove $\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0}$ only.

$\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0.iiiL}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{0 = 0 \times 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0}{\epsilon 0 = 0, 0 = 0 \times 0 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots 0 \times 0} Ax}{\frac{0 \times 0 = \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0}{0 \times 0 = (0 \times \epsilon) 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0} Repl} L 9 \\
\frac{\frac{0 \times 0 = \epsilon 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0}{0 \times 0 = (0 \times \epsilon) 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0} Repl}{\frac{0 \times 0 = (0 \times \epsilon) 0 \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0}{z_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0} F4} F5 \\
\frac{z_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0}{z_2 = \epsilon, y_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots 0 = 0 \times 0 \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 0} \exists R \\
\frac{z_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{z_2 z_2 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{\forall \exists o 3} \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} C 9 \\
\frac{z_2 z_2 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{\forall \exists o 3} \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0, F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2) \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \wedge L \\
\frac{\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0, F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2) \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2) \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \wedge L \\
\frac{\epsilon 0 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = \epsilon y_1 y)}{(\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2)) \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} F13 \\
\frac{(\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2)) \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots ((\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots ((\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0, \epsilon)} \forall R
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0.iiiR}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\epsilon 0 = \epsilon \dots \vdash (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0, F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} F13 \\
\frac{\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0, F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \wedge R \\
\frac{\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{\epsilon 0 = \epsilon \vee (\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2)), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \vee L \\
\frac{\epsilon 0 = \epsilon \vee (\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2)), y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots ((\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)} \rightarrow R \\
\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots ((\epsilon 0 = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 0 \equiv \epsilon 0 \wedge F_{o 3}(\epsilon, z_2))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge 0 = z_2 y_1 y)}{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0, 0)} \forall R
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0.ii}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0.iiiL} \quad y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0, \epsilon) \quad y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0, 0)}{L \ 7} \\
\frac{\frac{y_1 = \epsilon, y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)}{y_1 = \epsilon \wedge y_2 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} \wedge L}{\epsilon = y_1 y_2 \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} L \ 18 \\
\frac{\epsilon y_1 y_2 = y_1 y_2, y_2 = 0 \times y_2, \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y_2 \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)}{y_2 = 0 \times y_2, \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y_2 \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} Repl \\
\frac{}{L \ 9} \\
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0.i} \\
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon = \epsilon, \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge F_{o2}(\epsilon, \epsilon)}{z_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon = \epsilon, \epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} Ax \quad Ref}{z_1 = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots (\epsilon = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon))} \vee R \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{y_2 = 0 \times y_2, \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y_2 \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)}{y_2 = 0 \times y_2 \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y_2 \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} \wedge L}{(\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y) \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} \exists L}{((\epsilon = \epsilon) \vee (\epsilon 1 \equiv \epsilon \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y = 0 \times y \wedge \epsilon = \epsilon y_1 y) \dots \vdash \dots F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)} \rightarrow L \\
\frac{F_{ones\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, \epsilon) \dots \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1, 0)}{\forall L} \quad \frac{\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon \dots \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon = \epsilon \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} Ax \quad F7b}{F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, \epsilon) \dots \vdash \dots \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} Ref \\
\frac{}{\forall \subseteq L} \\
\frac{\frac{F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, \epsilon) \dots \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)}{z_1 = \epsilon, F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, z_1) \dots \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)} Repl}{z_1 z_1 \equiv \epsilon \times \epsilon 1, F_{\forall \exists o3}, F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, z_1), y_1 = 1 \times y_1 \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)} C \ 9 \\
\frac{}{\wedge L} \\
\frac{F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, z_1), y_1 = 1 \times y_1 \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)}{F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \wedge F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon, y_1, z_1), y_1 = 1 \times y_1 \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)} \wedge R \\
\frac{}{\exists R} \\
F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1), y_1 = 1 \times y_1 \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1), F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, y_1 0)
\end{array}$$

We conclude as follows

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon 0} \\
\frac{\frac{y_1 = 1 \times y_1, F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash y_1 = 1 \times y_1}{y_1 = 1 \times y_1, F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1)} Ax \quad \frac{\frac{F_{\exists ones1} \dots \vdash F_{\exists ones1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon 0, 0)}{y_1 = 1 \times y_1, F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{\exists ones1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon 0, 0) \wedge F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, 0)} L \ 24 \quad \frac{F_{\exists ones1} \dots \vdash F_{\exists ones1}, F_{ones\forall\forall}(\epsilon 0, 0)}{y_1 = 1 \times y_1, F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon 0, y_1)} \wedge R}{y_1 = 1 \times y_1, F_{\exists ones1}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1)} \exists R \\
\frac{}{\wedge L} \\
F_{ones}(\epsilon, y_1) \vdash F_{ones}(\epsilon 0, y_1)
\end{array}$$

□

Remark 4. Notice that Proposition 9.1 also holds for Ferreira's $Ones(\cdot, \cdot)$, but, differently from $F_{ones}(\epsilon, 11)$, also $Ones(\epsilon, 11)$ is derivable, which contradicts Proposition 9.3.¹⁰

Sequences are coded by encoding 0 as 01, 1 as 10, and the separation mark as 11, [7, p. 97].

Definition 22. The formula $F_{seq}(\cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$F_{seq}(x) := (\exists y \subseteq x)(x \equiv yy \wedge (\forall z \subseteq y) \neg (x|_{zz} 00 \subseteq x)).$$

The auxiliary formula $F_s(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the one below:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_s(x, y) := & y \equiv x \wedge F_{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall z)(zz \preceq x \rightarrow (x|_{zz} 01 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y) \\
& \wedge (x|_{zz} 10 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 00 \subseteq y) \\
& \wedge (x|_{zz} 11 \subseteq x \rightarrow y|_{zz} 11 \subseteq y)).
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁰For further details, see Appendix 3.1.4.

Definition 23. The formula $F_{lh}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$F_{lh}(x, u) := (\exists y \equiv x)(F_s(x, y) \wedge F_{ones}(y, uu)).$$

Proposition 10. 1. $RS_3^1 \vdash F_{seq}(\epsilon) \wedge F_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon)$
 2. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(F_{seq}(x) \rightarrow (\exists! y \preceq x)(y = 1 \times y \wedge F_{lh}(x, y)))$.

Proof of 1. Let us prove the first clause only.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{D}_{seq}(\epsilon) \\
 \hline
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \subseteq \epsilon, \epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon = \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon} F7b \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \epsilon}{\epsilon \epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \epsilon} Repl \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon|_{\epsilon\epsilon}11 = \epsilon, \epsilon|_{\epsilon\epsilon}11 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash}{\epsilon|_{\epsilon\epsilon}11 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash} F13}{\vdash \neg \epsilon|_{\epsilon\epsilon}11 \subseteq \epsilon} F7a}{\vdash (\forall z \subseteq \epsilon) \neg (\epsilon|_{zz}00 \subseteq \epsilon)} \neg R}{\vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon \wedge (\forall \epsilon \subseteq \epsilon) \neg (x|_{zz}00 \subseteq \epsilon)} \forall \subseteq R^*}{\vdash F_{seq}(\epsilon)} \exists \subseteq R^* \\
 \\
 \mathcal{D}_s(\epsilon, \epsilon) \\
 \hline
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon \equiv \epsilon \vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon}{\vdash \dots \epsilon \equiv \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash \dots \epsilon \equiv \epsilon} Ref \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon|_{vv}01 = \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} F13}{\dots \vdash \epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} F7a}{\vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} \rightarrow R \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon|_{vv}01 = \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} F13}{\epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} F7a}{\dots \vdash \epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon} \rightarrow R \quad \frac{\frac{\epsilon|_{vv}11 = \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv} \subseteq \epsilon}{\epsilon|_{vv}11 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv} \subseteq \epsilon} F13}{\epsilon|_{vv}11 \subseteq \epsilon \vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv} \subseteq \epsilon} F7a}{\dots \vdash \epsilon|_{vv}11 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv} \subseteq \epsilon} \rightarrow R}{\vdash \dots \epsilon|_{vv}01 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv}00 \subseteq \epsilon \wedge \dots \vdash \epsilon|_{vv}11 \subseteq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon|_{vv} \subseteq \epsilon} \wedge R}{\vdash \dots F_{s1} \wedge (\epsilon, \epsilon, y)} R 19}{\vdash \dots F_{\forall s}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R}{\vdash \dots F_{seq}(\epsilon) \wedge F_{\forall s}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R}{\vdash \dots F_s(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R \\
 \\
 \mathcal{D}_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \\
 \hline
 \frac{\frac{\frac{1 \times \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon, \vdash \epsilon \equiv \epsilon}{\vdash F_{lh}, \epsilon \equiv \epsilon} Ax}{\vdash F_{lh}, \epsilon \equiv \epsilon} Ref \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_s(\epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{lh}, F_s(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \quad \frac{\vdash F_{lh}, F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{lh}, F_s(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon \epsilon)} L 9.1}{\vdash F_{lh}, F_s(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{ones}(\epsilon, \epsilon \epsilon)} \wedge R}{\vdash F_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \exists \equiv R
 \end{array}$$

We conclude as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_{seq}(\epsilon)}{\vdash F_{seq}(\epsilon)} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon)}}{\vdash F_{seq}(\epsilon) \wedge F_{lh}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R$$

□

Due to some auxiliary formulas, it is defined a formula to “decode” the encoding strings.

Definition 24. The formula $F_{word}(\cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$F_{word}(x) := (\exists y \subseteq x)(x \equiv yy \wedge (\forall z \subset y)(x|_{zz}01 \subseteq x \vee x|_{zz}10 \subseteq w)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{e1}(s, r, w) &:= F_{seq}(s) \wedge F_{word}(w) \wedge (\exists y)(\exists u)(F_h(s, u) \wedge r \subset u \wedge F_s(s, y) \\ &\quad \wedge (\forall y' \subseteq y)(F_{ones}(y', rr) \rightarrow s|_{y'}w \equiv s \vee s|_{y'}w11 \subseteq s)) \\ F_{e2}(w, x) &:= F_{word}(w) \wedge w \equiv xx \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)((x'0 \subseteq x \rightarrow w|_{x'x'}01 \subseteq w) \\ &\quad \wedge (x'1 \subseteq x \rightarrow w|_{x'x'}10 \subseteq w)) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 25. The formula $F_{eval}(x, y, z)$ is defined as follows:

$$F_{eval}(x, y, z) := (\exists u \preceq x)(F_{e1}(x, y, u) \wedge F_{e2}(u, z)).$$

Proposition 11. 1. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(F_{seq}(x) \wedge F_{lh}(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall z \subset y)(\exists! u \preceq x)F_{eval}(x, z, u))$
 2. $RS_3^1 \vdash (\forall x)(\forall s)(\forall u)(F_{seq}(s) \wedge F_{lh}(s, u) \rightarrow (\exists \tilde{s} \preceq sxx11)(F_{lh}(\tilde{s}, u1) \wedge F_{eval}(\tilde{s}, u, x) \wedge (\forall r \subset u)(\forall y)(F_{eval}(\tilde{s}, r, y) \leftrightarrow F_{eval}(s, r, y))))).$

3.1.4 Comparing $F_{ones}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and Ferreira's $Ones(\cdot, \cdot)$

As anticipated, our definition of $F_{ones}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is slightly different from Ferreira's corresponding formula [7, p. 97]:

$$\begin{aligned} Ones(x, y) &:= y = 1 \times y \wedge (\exists z)(F_{o3}(x, z) \wedge (\forall x' \subseteq x)(\forall z')((x'1 = x \\ &\quad \wedge F_{o3}(x', z')) \rightarrow (\exists u)(u = 0 \times u \wedge z = z'yu))). \end{aligned}$$

It seems that this formula satisfies the desired conditions 1 and 2 of Proposition 9, but not 3, as $RS_3^1 \vdash Ones(\epsilon, \epsilon)$, but also $RS_3^1 \vdash Ones(\epsilon, 1)$.

Proposition 12. $RS_3^1 \vdash Ones(\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon \vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon}{\vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon} Ax \\ \frac{\vdash \epsilon = 1 \times \epsilon}{\vdash Ones(\epsilon, \epsilon)} F4 \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}(\epsilon, \epsilon), F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} L\ 22 \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}(\epsilon, \epsilon), F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}(\epsilon, \epsilon)} \exists R \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} \forall \subseteq R^* \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} \forall R \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge L \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R \\ \frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)} \wedge R \end{array}$$

□

So, the property corresponding of Proposition 9.1 is proved to hold also for Ferreira's $Ones(x, y)$. However, in the specific case of x is ϵ , this formula seems not to satisfy uniqueness (Proposition 9.3) as, for example, $RS_3^1 \vdash Ones(\epsilon, 1)$.

Proposition 13. $RS_3^1 \vdash \text{Ones}(\epsilon, 1)$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{1 = 1 \times 1 \dots \vdash 1 = 1 \times 1}{\epsilon 1 = 1, 1 \times 1 = \epsilon 1 \dots \vdash 1 = 1 \times 1} \text{Ax}}{\frac{1 \times 1 = \epsilon 1 \dots \vdash 1 = 1 \times 1}{1 \times \epsilon = \epsilon, 1 \times 1 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1 \vdash 1 = 1 \times 1} \text{Repl}} \text{L 9} \\
\frac{\frac{1 \times 1 = (1 \times \epsilon) 1 \vdash 1 = 1 \times 1}{\vdash 1 = 1 \times 1} \text{F5}}{\vdash \text{Ones}(\epsilon, 1)} \text{F4}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\epsilon 1 = \epsilon, F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash \dots F_{\exists Fer2}}{\epsilon 1 = \epsilon \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1) \vdash \dots F_{\exists Fer2}} \text{F13}}{\vdash \dots (\epsilon 1 = \epsilon \wedge F_{o3}(\epsilon, z_1)) \rightarrow F_{\exists Fer2}} \text{F13}}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall Fer}(\epsilon, 1, \epsilon)} \text{F13} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall Fer}(\epsilon, 1, \epsilon)}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}} \text{F13} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{\forall \forall Fer}}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{\forall \forall Fer}} \text{F13} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}, F_{o3}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \wedge F_{\forall \forall Fer}}{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}(\epsilon, 1)} \text{F13} \\
\frac{\vdash F_{\exists Fer1}(\epsilon, 1)}{\vdash \text{Ones}(\epsilon, 1)} \text{F13}
\end{array}$$

□

Remark 5. Generally speaking, it seems that $RS_3^1 \vdash \text{Ones}(\epsilon, y)$ holds for each $y = 1 \times y$.

Section 2

Theorem 5. For any function $f : \mathbb{S}^j \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, $f \in \mathcal{POR}$ if and only if f is provably represented by some term $t : \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}$.

Proof. \Rightarrow The proof is by induction on the structure of \mathcal{POR} -functions. Each basic function is provably represented:

- The function E is provably represented by the term $\lambda x. \epsilon$. Indeed for any string s , $\overline{\overline{E(s)}} = \overline{\epsilon} = \epsilon$ and $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (\lambda x. \epsilon) \overline{s} = \epsilon$ is an instance of the (β) -axiom.
- The function P_i^n is provably represented by the term $\lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n. x_i$. Indeed, given strings s_1, \dots, s_n , $\overline{\overline{P_i^n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}} = \overline{\overline{s_i}}$ and $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (\lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n. x_i) \overline{s_1}, \dots, \overline{s_n} = \overline{\overline{s_i}}$ is an instance of the (β) -axiom.
- The functions S_0 and S_1 are provably represented by $\lambda x. x0$ and $\lambda x. x1$, respectively. Indeed, for any string s , $\overline{\overline{S_0(s)}} = \overline{\overline{s0}} = \overline{s0}$ and $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (\lambda x. x0) \overline{s} = \overline{s0}$ is an instance of the (β) -axiom. One can argue similarly for S_1 .
- The function C is provably represented by Sub . The proof is based on the inductive cases below, following the definition of Sub .
- The function Q is provably represented by Flipcoin , as observed in Example 1

Moreover, each function defined by composition or bounded recursion from provably represented functions, is provably represented by a term as well.

- If f is defined by composition, i.e. $f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega) = h(g_1(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega), \dots, g_k(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega))$, then by IH h, g_1, \dots, g_k are represented by terms (resp.) H, G_1, \dots, G_n . Hence, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$ and strings $s_1, \dots, s_{\max\{n, k\}}$,

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} H \overline{s_1}, \dots, \overline{s_k} = \overline{\overline{h(s_1, \dots, s_k, \omega)}} \quad (\text{H})$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} G_i \overline{s_1}, \dots, \overline{s_n} = \overline{\overline{g_i(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}} \quad (\text{G}_i)$$

Then, f is provably represented by $T := \lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n. H(G_1 x_1 \dots x_n) \dots (G_k x_1 \dots x_n)$. Indeed, using (G_i) and $(R3)$ we can derive

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} H(\overline{G_1 \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n}) \dots (\overline{G_k \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n}) = \overline{\overline{H(g_1(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)) \dots g_k(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}}$$

and using (H) and $(R2)$ we can further derive

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} H(\overline{G_1 \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n}) \dots (\overline{G_k \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n}) = \overline{\overline{h(g_1(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega), \dots, g_k(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega))}}.$$

Finally, using the equation above, the instance of (β) -axiom $\overline{T \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n} = H(\overline{G_1 \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n}) \dots (\overline{G_k \bar{s}_1}, \dots, \overline{\bar{s}_n})$ and $(R3)$ we can finally derive

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{T \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n} = \overline{\overline{h(g_1(s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, g_k(s_1, \dots, s_n))}}.$$

- if f is defined by bounded recursion, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \epsilon, \omega) &= g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega) \\ f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s\mathbf{0}, \omega) &= h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)} \\ f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s\mathbf{1}, \omega) &= h_1(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by IH, g, h_0, h_1, k are provably represented by (resp.) terms G, H_0, H_1, K . So, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$ and strings s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, s we can derive

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{G \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n} = \overline{\overline{g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}} \quad (G)$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{H_0 \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_{n+2}} = \overline{\overline{h_0(s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, \omega)}} \quad (H_0)$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{H_1 \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_{n+2}} = \overline{\overline{h_1(s_1, \dots, s_{n+2}, \omega)}} \quad (H_1)$$

$$T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{K \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n} = \overline{\overline{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}}. \quad (K)$$

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n, s \in \mathbb{S}$. We will prove by induction on s that $T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{T \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n s} = \overline{\overline{f(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)}}$, where

$$T := \lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n. \lambda x. \text{Rec}(Gx_1 \dots x_n, H_0 x_1 \dots x_n, H_1 x_1, \dots, x_n, Kx_1 \dots x_n, x).$$

- If $s = \epsilon$, then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega) = g(s_1, \dots, s_n, \omega)$. So, using the (β) -axiom we deduce

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{T \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n s} = \text{Rec}(\overline{G \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n}, \overline{H_0 \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n}, \overline{H_1 \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n}, \overline{K \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n}, \overline{s})$$

and using the axiom $\text{Rec}(Gx_1 \dots x_n, H_0 x_1 \dots x_n, H_1 x_1 \dots x_n, Kx_1 \dots x_n, \epsilon) = Gx_1 \dots x_n$ we deduce using $(R3)$ and $(R2)$

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{T \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n s} = \overline{G \bar{s}_1} \dots \overline{\bar{s}_n}.$$

We conclude using (G) together with $(R2)$.

- If $s = s'0$, then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega) = h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s, \omega), \omega)|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n, s')}$.
By IH we can suppose that $T_\omega \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{\overline{s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n s'}} = \overline{\overline{f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', \omega)}}$. Then, using the (β) -axiom $\overline{\overline{s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n s}} = \text{Rec}(\overline{\overline{G s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n}}, \overline{\overline{H_0 s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n}}, \overline{\overline{H_1 s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n}}, \overline{\overline{K s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n}}, \overline{\overline{s}})$, the axiom $\text{Rec}(g, h_0, h_1, k, x0) = \text{Trunc}(h_0 x (\text{Rec}(g, h_0, h_1, k, 0)), kx)$, and the IH we deduce, using $(R3)$ and $(R2)$,

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{\overline{s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n s}} = \text{Trunc}(\overline{\overline{H_0 s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n s' f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', \omega)}}, \overline{\overline{K s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n}}).$$

Now, using (H_0) and (K) , we finally conclude using $(R3)$ and $(R2)$

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \overline{\overline{s_1}} \dots \overline{\overline{s_n s}} = \overline{\overline{h_0(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', f(s_1, \dots, s_n, s', \omega))|_{k(s_1, \dots, s_n)}}}$$

- The case $s = s'1$ can be proved in a similar way.

\Rightarrow It is a consequence of the normalization property for the simply-typed λ calculus: a β -normal term $t : s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s \rightarrow s$ cannot contain variables of higher-types. By enumerating all possible normal forms one can check that these all represent functions in \mathcal{POR} . \square

Lemma 25. *i. $\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Tail}(x) = \epsilon \leftrightarrow x = 0 \vee x = 1 \vee x = \epsilon$*

$$ii. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \neg(x = \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Cond}(x, y, z0, w0) = \text{Cond}(x, y, z, w)0$$

$$iii. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \neg(x = \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Cond}(x, y, z1, w1) = \text{Cond}(x, y, z, w)1$$

$$iv. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (B(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = \text{Tail}(x)0) \wedge (B(x) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = \text{Tail}(x)1)$$

$$v. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (BNeg(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = \text{Tail}(x)1) \wedge (BNeg(x) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = \text{Tail}(x)0)$$

$$vi. \begin{aligned} x = 0 \vee x = 1, y = 0 \vee y = 1 &\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{BOr}(x, y) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0 \wedge x = 0, \\ x = 0 \vee x = 1, y = 0 \vee y = 1 &\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{BOr}(x, y) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = 1 \vee x = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$vii. \begin{aligned} x = 0 \vee x = 1, y = 0 \vee y = 1 &\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{BAnd}(x, y) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0 \vee x = 0, \\ x = 0 \vee x = 1, y = 0 \vee y = 1 &\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{BAnd}(x, y) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = 1 \wedge x = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$viii. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Eps}(x) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = \epsilon$$

$$ix. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Flipcoin}(x) = 0 \vee \text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1$$

$$x. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Flip}(x) \vee \neg \text{Flip}(x)$$

$$xi. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$xii. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Eq}(x, y) = 0 \vee \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1$$

$$xiii. \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Sub}(x, y) = 0 \vee \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1$$

$$xiv. \begin{aligned} \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Sub}(x, \epsilon) &= 1 \leftrightarrow x = \epsilon, \\ \vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Sub}(x, yb) &= 1 \leftrightarrow \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1 \vee x = yb, \text{ with } b \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. i. From the defining axioms of Tail , together with $\epsilon 0 = \epsilon$ and $\epsilon 1 = \epsilon$, it follows that

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} (x = 0 \vee x = 1 \vee x = \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Tail}(x) = \epsilon.$$

Conversely, from the axiom $(x = \epsilon) \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x) \circ 0) \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x) \circ 1)$ it follows that

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{POR}^\lambda} \text{Tail}(x) = \epsilon \rightarrow (x = \epsilon \vee x = 0 \vee x = 1).$$

ii. Using Axiom 3 and Axiom 5 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg(x = \epsilon) \rightarrow & (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \wedge \mathbf{Cond}(x, y, z0, w0) = z0 \wedge \mathbf{Cond}(x, y, z, w) = z) \\ & \wedge (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)1 \wedge \mathbf{Cond}(x, y, z0, w0) = w0 \wedge \mathbf{Cond}(x, y, z, w) = w) \end{aligned}$$

from which the desired claim can be deduced.

iii. Similar to the previous case.

iv. Recall that $\mathbf{B}(x) = \mathbf{Cond}(x, \epsilon, 0, 1)$. From Axiom 3, it follows that

$$\vdash_{POR^\lambda} \mathbf{B}(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow (x = \epsilon \wedge \epsilon = 0) \vee (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \wedge 0 = 0) \vee (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)1 \wedge 0 = 1),$$

from which we deduce

$$x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{B}(x) = 0.$$

Moreover, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} x = \epsilon, \epsilon = 0 & \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \\ x = \mathbf{Tail}(x), 0 = 0 & \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \\ x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)1, 0 = 1 & \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude

$$\mathbf{B}(x) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0.$$

v. Similar to the previous case.

vi. Proved using the defining axioms of \mathbf{Cond} together with b. and c..

vii. Similar to the previous one.

viii. Recall that $\mathbf{Eps}(x) = \mathbf{Cond}(x, 1, 0, 0)$. From Axiom 5 it follows that

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{Eps}(x) = 1 \leftrightarrow (x = \epsilon \wedge 1 = 1) \vee (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)0 \wedge 0 = 1) \vee (x = \mathbf{Tail}(x)1 \wedge 0 = 1),$$

from which, using Axiom 4, we can deduce

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{Eps}(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = \epsilon.$$

ix. From the axioms of $\mathbf{Flipcoin}$ and points iv., v., vii. and viii., we deduce

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{Tail}(\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x)) = \epsilon$$

and

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg(\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x) = \epsilon).$$

Hence, from Axiom 3, we deduce

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x) = \mathbf{Tail}(\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x))0) \vee (\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x) = \mathbf{Tail}(\mathbf{Flipcoin}(x))1)$$

and, using $0 = \epsilon 0$ and $1 = \epsilon 1$, we finally deduce

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{Flipcoin}(x) = 0 \vee \mathbf{Flipcoin}(x) = 1.$$

x. From the previous point and Axiom 6.

xi. Using the axiom for Rec, we can prove all recursive equations of Eq. We show that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = y \rightarrow \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1$ as follows. Let $B(x) := \text{Eq}(x, x) = 1$. Then, we have that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Moreover, we can prove $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(x) \rightarrow B(x0)$, using $\text{Eq}(x0, x0) = \text{Eq}(x, x)$ and, similarly, that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(x) \rightarrow B(x1)$. We can conclude then by **NP**-induction that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eq}(x, x) = 1$ holds and finally that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x = y \rightarrow \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1$.

For the converse direction, let $B(x, y) := \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x, y) = x$. We will show by a double **NP**-induction that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(x, y)$. From this, using the fact that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1 \rightarrow \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x, y) = y$, we can deduce $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eq}(x, y) = 1 \rightarrow x = y$. The following equalities are all provable:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(\epsilon, \epsilon), \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x0, \epsilon), \epsilon, x0, \epsilon) &= x0 \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x1, \epsilon), \epsilon, x1, \epsilon) &= x1. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can prove $B(\epsilon, \epsilon), B(x, \epsilon) \rightarrow B(x0, \epsilon)$ and $B(x, \epsilon) \rightarrow B(x1, \epsilon)$. Then, by applying **NP**-induction, we deduce that $B(x, \epsilon)$ is derivable. Suppose now that $B(x, y)$, i.e. $\text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x, y) = x$ holds. The following equalities are provable:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(\epsilon, y0), \epsilon, \epsilon, y0) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x0, y0), \epsilon, x0, y0) &= \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x0, y0) \\ &\stackrel{ii.}{=} \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x, y)0 \\ &= x0 \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x1, y0), \epsilon, x1, y0) &= x1 \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(\epsilon, y1), \epsilon, \epsilon, y1) &= \epsilon \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x0, y1), \epsilon, x0, y1) &= x0 \\ \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x1, y1), \epsilon, x1, y1) &= \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x1, y1) \\ &\stackrel{iii.}{=} \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), \epsilon, x, y)1 \\ &= x1. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain that $B(x, y) \rightarrow B(x, y0)$ and $B(x, y) \rightarrow B(x, y1)$ are derivable. Then, by applying **NP**-induction, we conclude that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(x, y)$.

xii. We will show that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eps}(\text{Eq}(x, y)) = 0 \wedge \text{Tail}(\text{Eq}(x, y)) = \epsilon$, from which the claim follows using the defining axioms of Eq and Cond, together with Axiom 3. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eps}(\text{Eq}(x, y)) = \text{Cond}(\text{Eq}(x, y), 1, 0, 0) = 0$ can be proved using a double **NP**-induction, similarly to what was done in the previous point. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Tail}(\text{Eq}(x, y)) = \epsilon$ is also proved in a similar manner.

xiii. Both $\text{Eps}(\text{Tail}(\text{Sub}(x, y))) = 1$ and $\text{Eps}(\text{Sub}(x, y)) = 0$ can be proved by derivable **NP**-induction, similarly to the two cases above.

xiv. From the defining axioms of Rec and the definition of Sub we have that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Sub}(x, \epsilon) = \text{Eps}(x)$. So, the first claim follows from viii. Moreover, from the same axioms, using vi., we immediately deduce that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Sub}(x, yb) = 1 \leftrightarrow \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1 \vee \text{Eq}(x = yb)$. So, we conclude using xi. \square

Lemma 26. *lemma6 For any formula A , $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$*

Proof.

\vdots

□

Proposition 14. *For any Σ_0^b -formula $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, there exists a term $t_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathcal{POR}^λ such that:*

1. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow t_A = 0$
2. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \vee t_A = 1$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of A :

- If $A = \text{Flip}(u)$, then $t_A = \text{BNeg}(\text{Flipcoin}(u))$. Indeed, using the points from Lemma 3.1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 &\stackrel{v}{\leftrightarrow} \text{Flipcoin}(u) = \text{Tail}(\text{Flipcoin}(u))1 \\ &\stackrel{ix}{\leftrightarrow} \text{Flipcoin}(u) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce claim 1. using Axiom 6. Claim 2. follows from Lemma 3.1.4.ix.

- if A is $u = v$, then $t_A = \text{BNeg}(\text{Eq}(u, v))$. From Lemma .xi and Lemma 3.1.4.xii we have that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eq}(u, v) = 1 \leftrightarrow v$ together with $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Tail}(\text{Eq}(u, v)) = \epsilon$. Using Lemma 3.1.4.v we deduce then $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eq}(u, v) = 1 \leftrightarrow \text{BNeg}(\text{Eq}(u, v)) = 0$ and finally $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{BNeg}(\text{Eq}(u, v)) = 0 \leftrightarrow u = v$. Claim 2. is Lemma 3.1.4.xii.
- If A is $u \subseteq v$, then $t_A = \text{BNeg}(\text{Sub}(u, v))$. Claim 1. follows from Axiom 2. together with Lemma 3.1.4.v. Claim 2 is Lemma 3.1.4.v.
- If $A = \neg B$, then $t_A = \text{Cond}(t_B, \epsilon, 1, 0)$. Indeed, by the IH, we know that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B$. Indeed, by IH, we know that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B \leftrightarrow t_B = 0$ and that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_B = 0 \vee t_B = 1$. Using these together with Axiom 5 and Axiom 7 we deduce that

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \leftrightarrow t_B = \text{Tail}(t_B)0 \stackrel{IH,2}{\leftrightarrow} \neg(t_B = 0) \stackrel{IH,1}{\leftrightarrow} \neg B.$$

Moreover, for IH, $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_B = 0 \vee t_B = 1$ it also follows that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \vee t_A = 1$ sing the defining axioms of **Cond**.

- If $A = B \wedge C$, then $t_A = \text{BOr}(t_B, t_C)$. By IH, we have that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (B \leftrightarrow t_B = 0) \wedge (C \leftrightarrow t_C = 0)$ and that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B = 0 \vee t_B = 1) \wedge (t_C = 1 \vee t_C = 1)$. Using these facts together with Lemma 3.1.4.vi and Lemma 3.1.4.vii and the axioms of **Cond**, it is shown that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \leftrightarrow B \wedge C$ and $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0 \vee t_A = 1$.
- If $A = B \vee C$, then $t_A = \text{BAnd}(t_B, t_C)$. We can argue similarly to the previous case.
- If $A = (\exists x \subseteq u)B$, then $t_A = (t_B)^\exists(\vec{x}, u)$, where for any function $f : s^{n+1} \rightarrow s$, we let $f^\exists : s^{n+1} \rightarrow s$ be defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^\exists(\vec{x}, \epsilon) &= f(\vec{x}, \epsilon) \\ f^\exists(\vec{x}, y0) &= \text{BAnd}(f^\exists(\vec{x}, y, z), f(\vec{x}, y0)) \\ f^\exists(\vec{x}, y1) &= \text{BAnd}(f^\exists(\vec{x}, y, z), f(\vec{x}, y1)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = s$ and $f : s \rightarrow s$ be fresh variables, and let $C(f, a, y)$ be the formula $\text{Cond}(\text{Sub}(a, y)\epsilon, 0, f^\exists(y)) = 0$. We will show that $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y)$. From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (a \subseteq u \wedge B(a)) &\leftrightarrow (a \subseteq u \wedge t_B(a)00) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Sub}(a, u) = 1 \wedge C(t_B, a, y) = 0 \\ &\rightarrow (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

from which we can deduce $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\exists x \subseteq u)B \rightarrow (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0$. We will prove that $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y)$ can be derived using **NP**-induction. Let us first show $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, \epsilon)$: from $\text{Sub}(a, \epsilon) = 1 \leftrightarrow a = \epsilon$ (Lemma 3.1.4.xiv), we deduce $C(f, a, \epsilon) \leftrightarrow (\text{Sub}(a, \epsilon) = 0) \vee (f^\exists(\epsilon) = 0) \leftrightarrow \neg(a = \epsilon) \vee (f(\epsilon) = 0)$. Hence, using $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg(a = \epsilon) \vee f(\epsilon) = 0$, which can be proved using Axiom 3, we can conclude $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, \epsilon)$. Let us now show that $f(a) = 0, C(f, a, y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y0)$. From $\text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1 \leftrightarrow \text{Sub}(a, y) = 1 \vee a = y0$ (Lemma 3.1.4.xiv) and $\text{Sub}(a, y0) = 0 \vee \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1$ (proved below), we deduce $C(f, a, y0) \leftrightarrow (\text{Sub}(a, y0) = 0) \vee ((\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1 \vee a = y0) \wedge f^\exists(y0) = 0)$. Using Lemma 3.1.4.vii we are reduced then to

$$\begin{aligned} C(f, a, y0) &\leftrightarrow ((\text{Sub}(a, y0) = 0) \vee (\text{Sub}(a, y) = 0 \wedge f^\exists(y) = 0) \\ &\vee (\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1 \wedge f(y0) = 0) \vee (a = y0 \wedge f^\exists(y) = 0) \\ &\vee (a = y0 \wedge f(y0) = 0)). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Moreover, we also have that

$$C(f, a, y) \leftrightarrow ((\text{Sub}(a, y) = 0) \vee (\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1 \wedge f^\exists(y) = 0)). \quad (19)$$

From the equivalence (18) we deduce

$$f(a) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR} C(f, a, y0).$$

Moreover, using the fact that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1 \wedge \text{Sub}(a, y) = 0) \rightarrow a = y0$ we deduce $f(a) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} f(y0) = 0$ and, thus, using the equivalence (18),

$$f(a) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y0).$$

Since $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 0 \vee \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1$, we can conclude that

$$f(a) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y0). \quad (20)$$

From the equivalence (18), it also follows that:

$$f(a) = 0, \text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, f^\exists(y) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y0). \quad (21)$$

Now, from (19), (20), and (21), we can deduce $f(a) = 0, C(f, a, y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y0)$. In a similar way, we can also deduce $f(a) = 0, C(f, a, y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y1)$. By applying the induction schema to $C(f, a, y)$, we finally conclude $f(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(f, a, y)$.

To prove the converse direction of claim 1., let $D(y)$ be the formula:

$$D(y) := (\exists x \subseteq y)(\text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y), \epsilon, t_B(x), 0) = 0).$$

We will show that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y)$ holds. This will suffice, since we can deduce $D(u) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0 \rightarrow (\exists x \subseteq u)B$: from $\text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y), \epsilon, t_B(a), 0) = 0$ and $(t_B)^\exists(u) = 0$, it follows that $t_B(a) = 0$, so in particular we deduce:

$$\text{Sub}(a, u) = 1, \text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y), \epsilon, t_B(a), 0) = 0, (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} a \subseteq u \wedge B(a)$$

and thus,

$$\text{Sub}(a, u) = 1, \text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y), \epsilon, t_B(a), 0) = 0, (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\exists x \subseteq u)B(x).$$

Finally, $D(u), (t_B)^\exists(u) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\exists x \subseteq u)B(x)$, as desired. Let us show that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y)$, using **NP**-induction. First, we prove that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(\epsilon)$. From $f^\exists(\epsilon) = f(\epsilon)$ we deduce that $\text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(\epsilon), \epsilon, t_B(\epsilon), 0) = \text{Cond}(t_B(\epsilon), \epsilon, t_B(\epsilon), 0)$. Since, $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_B(\epsilon) = 0 \vee t_B(\epsilon) = 1$, we deduce $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(\epsilon), \epsilon, t_B(\epsilon), 0) = 0$, and finally $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(\epsilon)$. We now prove that $D(y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0)$: suppose $\text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y), \epsilon, t_B(a), 0) = 0$ and $\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1$ hold. This is equivalent to supposing

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1 \wedge (((t_B)^\exists(y) = 0 \wedge t_B(a) = 0) \vee (t_B)^\exists(y) = 1). \quad (22)$$

We can deduce

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 0, t_B(a) = 0, (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Sub}(a, y0) = 1 \wedge (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \wedge t_B(a) = 0,$$

from which we deduce

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 0, t_B(a) = 0, (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0).$$

Moreover, we can deduce

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 0, t_B(a) = 0, (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0).$$

So, using point 2., $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \vee (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 1$, proved below, we can deduce:

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 0, t_B(a) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0). \quad (23)$$

Now, using the fact that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \leftrightarrow (t_B)^\exists(y) = 0 \vee t_B(y0) = 0$, we can deduce

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y0) = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_B(y0) = 0$$

from which we obtain

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Cond}((t_B)^\exists(y0), \epsilon, t_B(y0), 0) = 0$$

and finally,

$$\text{Sub}(a, y) = 1, (t_B)^\exists(y) = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0). \quad (24)$$

From (22), (23) and (24), we now deduce $D(y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y0)$. We can deduce $D(y) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y1)$ in a similar way. By applying the induction schema to $D(y)$, we conclude $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} D(y)$.

It remains to establish point 2. We will prove that

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{BOr}(\text{Eq}((t_B)^\exists(y), 0), \text{Eq}((t_B)^\exists(y), 1)) = 1$$

from which the claim follows using Lemma 3.1.4.vi, .xi, and .xii. Let $E(x)$ be the formula $\text{BOr}(\text{Eq}((t_B)^\exists(y), 0), \text{Eq}((t_B)^\exists(y), 1)) = 1$. From $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(\epsilon) = t_B(\epsilon)$ and $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} t_B(\epsilon) = 0 \vee t_B(\epsilon) = 1$ we deduce $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(\epsilon)$ using Lemma 3.1.4.vi and .xi. We now show that $E(x) \vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0)$: using Lemma 3.1.4.vi and .xi, together with the definition of f^\exists , we deduce the following equivalences:

$$E(x) \leftrightarrow (t_B)^\exists(x) = 0 \vee (t_B)^\exists(x) = 1 \quad (25)$$

$$E(x0) \leftrightarrow ((t_B)^\exists(x) = 0 \wedge t_B(x0) = 0) \vee (t_B)^\exists(x) = 1 \vee t_B(x0) = 1.$$

Furthermore, we have the following deductions

$$\begin{aligned} (t_B)^\exists(x) = 0, t_B(x0) = 0 &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0) \\ (t_B)^\exists(x) = 1, t_B(x0) = 0 &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0) \\ (t_B)^\exists(x) = 0, t_B(x0) = 1 &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0) \\ (t_B)^\exists(x) = 1, t_B(x0) &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0) \end{aligned}$$

from which, using (25), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} E(x), t_B(x0) = 0 &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0) \\ E(x), t_B(x0) = 1 &\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} t_B(x0) = 0 \vee t_B(x0) = 1, E(x) \vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x0)$. In a similar way, it can be deduced $E(x) \vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x1)$. By applying the induction schema to $E(x)$, we finally conclude $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} E(x)$.

- If $A = (\forall x \subseteq u)B$, then $t_A = (t_B)^\forall(\vec{x}, u)$, where for all functions f , $f^\forall(y)$ is defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^\forall(\vec{x}, \epsilon) &= f(\vec{x}, \epsilon) \\ f^\forall(\vec{x}, y0) &= \text{BOr}(f^\forall(\vec{x}, y), f(\vec{x}, y0)) \\ f^\forall(\vec{x}, y1) &= \text{BOr}(f^\forall(\vec{x}, y), f(\vec{x}, y1)). \end{aligned}$$

The arguments to establish claim 1. and 2. are analogous to those of the previous point.

- If $A = (\exists x \subseteq^* u)B$, then let $\mathbf{h}(y, z) := \text{Cond}(\text{Sub}(y, z), \epsilon, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}(y, z))$, where $\mathbf{k}(y, z)$ is defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}(y, \epsilon) &= y \\ \mathbf{k}(y, z0) &= \text{Cond}(\text{Sub}(y, z), \epsilon, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}(y, z)0) \\ \mathbf{k}(y, z1) &= \text{Cond}(\text{Sub}(y, z)\epsilon, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}(y, z)1) \end{aligned}$$

using z as bound. Then, we can prove that

$$\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} (\exists x \subseteq^* u)B \leftrightarrow (\exists w \subseteq u)(\exists x \subseteq \mathbf{h}(w, u))B \quad (26)$$

from which it will follow that we can let $t_A := t_{(\exists w \subseteq u)(\exists x \subseteq \mathbf{h}(w, u))B}$. Proving (27?) amounts at showing that $\vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} ((\exists w \subseteq u)w \circ x \subseteq u) \leftrightarrow ((\exists w \subseteq u)x \subseteq \mathbf{h}(w, u))$, i.e. $w \subseteq u \vdash_{\text{IPOR}^\lambda} w \circ x \subseteq u \leftrightarrow x \subseteq \mathbf{h}(w, u)$. This can be proved by applying the induction schema to the formula $F(y) := \text{Sub}(w \circ x, u) = \text{Sub}(x, \mathbf{h}(w, u))$.

- If $A = (\forall x \subseteq^* u)B$, then we can show that

$$\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x \subseteq^* u)B \leftrightarrow (\forall w \subseteq u)(\forall x \subseteq h(w, u))B \quad (27)$$

by arguing similarly to the case above. Thus, let $t_A := t_{(\forall w \subseteq u)(\forall x \subseteq h(w, u))}$.

□

Corollary 11. *For any closed Σ_0^b -formula A and $\omega \in \mathbb{O}$, either $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$ or $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \neg A$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for all closed A , $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 0$ or $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = 1$. This can be proved by induction on A . Let us only consider the non-trivial cases:

- If $A = \text{Flip}(u)$, then for hypothesis u is closed, so it corresponds to a string s_u . Then, we deduce $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t_A = \overline{\omega(s_u)}$, depending on $\omega(s_u) = 0, 1$.
- If $A = (\exists x \subseteq u)B$, then, by IH, for any choice of a string s , we know that $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(\bar{s}) = 0$ or $T_\omega \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (t_B)^\exists(\bar{s}) = 1$. The claim can be proved by induction on s .

□

Lemma 27. *For any formula A there exists terms \mathbf{t}_A^\perp such that $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_A^\perp \textcircled{R} A$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of A :

- If A is $t = u$, by Axiom 4, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (\forall x)(x = \epsilon)$, from which we can deduce $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t = u$. Since $\Lambda \textcircled{R} A \leftrightarrow A$, we are done.
- If A is $t \subseteq u$, from $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t = u$ and $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t \subseteq t$, we deduce $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} t \subseteq u$. Again, since $\Lambda \textcircled{R} A \leftrightarrow A$, we are done.
- If $A = \neg B$, since $0 = 1, \mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} B \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} 0 = 1$, we can take $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp := \Lambda$.
- If $A = B \wedge C$, by IH, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_B^\perp \textcircled{R} B$ and $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_C^\perp \textcircled{R} C$. So, we let $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp := \mathbf{t}_B^\perp, \mathbf{t}_C^\perp$.
- If $A = B \vee C$, by IH, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_B^\perp \textcircled{R} B$. So, we can take $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp := 0, \mathbf{t}_B^\perp$.
- If $A = B \rightarrow C$, by IH, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_C^\perp \textcircled{R} C$. So, we can take $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp = \lambda \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{t}_C^\perp$.
- If $A = (\exists x)B$, by IH, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_B^\perp \textcircled{R} B$. So, we can take $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp = x, \mathbf{t}_B^\perp$.
- If $A = (\forall x)B$, by IH, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_B^\perp \textcircled{R} B$. So, we take $\mathbf{t}_A^\perp = \lambda x. \mathbf{t}_B^\perp$.

□

Theorem 6 (Completeness). *If $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$, then there exist terms \mathbf{t} , such that $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t} \textcircled{R} A$.*

Proof. We prove the following statement: if $\Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$, then there exist terms \mathbf{t} such that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n \textcircled{R} A$. We argue by induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A$. For what concerns the rules of the intuitionistic predicate calculus, the cases of the identity rule and of the rules WL, CL (left weakening and left contraction), $\wedge R, \wedge L_i, \rightarrow R, \rightarrow L, \forall R, \forall L, \exists R, \exists L$ can be proved in the standard way. Let us consider below the cases of the rules $\vee R_1, \vee L$ and $\perp R$:

- For the rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} \vee R_1$$

by IH, there exist terms \mathbf{u} , such that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} A$. Since $x, y \textcircled{R} A \vee B \leftrightarrow (x = 0 \wedge y \textcircled{R} A) \vee (x = 1 \wedge y \textcircled{R} B)$, we can take $\mathbf{t} := 0, \mathbf{u}$.

The rule $\vee R_2$ can be treated similarly, by letting $\mathbf{t} := 1, \mathbf{u}$.

- For the rule

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash C} \vee L$$

by IH, there exist terms $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$ such that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma, y \textcircled{R} A \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{x}y \textcircled{R} C$ and $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma, y \textcircled{R} B \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{x}y \textcircled{R} C$. Since $y_1y_2 \textcircled{R} A \vee B \leftrightarrow (y_1 = 0 \wedge y_2 \textcircled{R} A) \vee (y_1 = 1 \wedge y_2 \textcircled{R} B)$, we can take

$$\mathbf{t} := \lambda \mathbf{x}. \lambda y_1y_2. \text{Cond}(y_1, \epsilon, \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{x}y_2, \mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{x}y_2).$$

- For the rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash 0 = 1}{\Gamma \vdash A} \perp R$$

By IH, we have that $\mathbf{x} \textcircled{R} \Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} 0 = 1$. By Lemma 27, $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathbf{t}_A^\perp \textcircled{R} A$. So, we can take $\mathbf{t} := \lambda \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{t}_A^\perp$.

We now consider the realization of the axioms of $IPOR^\lambda$. All atomic axioms (hence all axioms of POR^λ) are trivially realized. Let us consider the remaining ones:

- For Axiom 2 we have $x, w \textcircled{R} (x \subseteq y \rightarrow \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1) \wedge (\text{Sub}(x, y) = 1 \rightarrow x \subseteq y)$ if and only if $u \textcircled{R} (x \subseteq y) \rightarrow z(u) \textcircled{R} \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1, x \subseteq y \rightarrow \text{Sub}(x, y) = 1, u \textcircled{R} (\text{Sub}(x, y) = 1 \rightarrow z(u) \textcircled{R} x \subseteq y)$ and $w \textcircled{R} (\text{Sub}(x, y) = 1 \rightarrow x \subseteq y)$. So, we can take $z := \lambda x. x$ and $w := \lambda x. x$.
- For Axiom 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} y, z, w \textcircled{R} (x = \epsilon) \vee ((x = \text{Tail}(x)0) \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x)1)) &\leftrightarrow (y = 0 \wedge z, w \textcircled{R} x = \epsilon) \\ &\vee ((y = 1 \wedge z = 0 \wedge w \textcircled{R} x = \text{Tail}(x)0) \\ &\vee (y = 1 \wedge z = 1 \wedge w \textcircled{R} x = \text{Tail}(x)1)). \end{aligned}$$

So, we can take $y := \text{BNeg}(\text{Eps}(x))$, $z := \text{BNeg}(\text{Zero}(x))$ and w any term.

- For Axiom 4, we have $(y \textcircled{R} 0 = 1 \rightarrow x = \epsilon) \leftrightarrow (\forall z)(y \textcircled{R} 0 = 1 \rightarrow yz \textcircled{R} x = \epsilon)$. So, we can take $y := \lambda z. \text{Eps}(x)$. Since $0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eps}(x) = 1$ and $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \text{Eps}(x) = 1 \leftrightarrow x = \epsilon$ (Lemma 3.1.4.viii).
- For Axiom 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x'y' \textcircled{R} (\text{Cond}(x, y, z, w) = w' \rightarrow (x = \epsilon \wedge w' = y)) \\ \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x)0 \wedge w' = z) \\ \vee (x = \text{Tail}(x)1 \wedge z = w)) &\leftrightarrow \text{Cond}(x, y, z, w) = w' \rightarrow ((x' = 0 \wedge x = \epsilon \wedge w' = y) \\ &\vee (x' = 1 \wedge y' = 0 \wedge x = \text{Tail}(x)0 \wedge w' = z) \\ &\vee (x' = 1 \wedge y' = 1 \wedge x = \text{Tail}(x)1 \wedge z = w)). \end{aligned}$$

So, we can let $x' = \text{BNeg}(\text{Eps}(x))$ and $y' := \text{BNeg}(\text{Zero}(x))$.

- For Axiom 6, we have

$$\Lambda \textcircled{R} (\text{Flip}(x) \rightarrow \text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1) \wedge (\text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1 \rightarrow \text{Flip}(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{Flip}(x) \rightarrow \text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1) \wedge (\text{Flipcoin}(x) = 1 \rightarrow \text{Flip}(x)).$$

So, the axiom is automatically realized.

- For the axiom schema 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} \textcircled{R} (A(\epsilon) \wedge (\forall x. A(x) \rightarrow A(x0)) \wedge (\forall x. A(x) \rightarrow A(x1))) &\rightarrow (\forall x) A(x) \leftrightarrow (\forall x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4) (x_1 \textcircled{R} A(\epsilon) \\ &\wedge ((\forall x') x' \textcircled{R} A(x) \rightarrow x_2 x' \textcircled{R} A(x0)) \\ &\wedge ((\forall x') x' \textcircled{R} A(x) \rightarrow x_3 x' \textcircled{R} A(x1))) \\ &\rightarrow y x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \textcircled{R} A(x_4). \end{aligned}$$

where $A(x) = (\exists x \preceq t)u = v$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \textcircled{R} A(\epsilon) &\leftrightarrow x_1 \subseteq t[\epsilon/z] \wedge y[\epsilon/z, x_1/x] = v[\epsilon/z, x_1/x] \\ x_2 x' \textcircled{R} A(x0) &\leftrightarrow x_2 x' \subseteq t[x0/z] \wedge u[x0/z, x_2/x] = v[x0/z, x_2/x] \\ x_3 x' \textcircled{R} A(x1) &\leftrightarrow x_3 x' \subseteq t[x1/z] \wedge u[x1/z, x_3/x] = v[x1/z, x_3/x]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, y can be taken as the term T defined by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 \epsilon &= x_1 \\ \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z1 &= x_2 z(\mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z) \\ \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z1 &= x_3 z(\mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z) \end{aligned}$$

where the bound is proved by $\lambda z.t$. To establish this claim, let $C(z) := \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z \textcircled{R} A(z)$, we will show that $x_1 \textcircled{R} A(\epsilon), x_2 \textcircled{R} ((\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x0))), x_3 \textcircled{R} ((\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x1))) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(z)$. Let $\Gamma = x_1 \textcircled{R} A(\epsilon), x_2 \textcircled{R} ((\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x0))), x_3 \textcircled{R} ((\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow A(x1)))$. We argue by **NP**-induction on $C(z)$:

- From $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 \epsilon = x_1$, we deduce $\Gamma \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} C(\epsilon)$
- From $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 (z0) = x_2(\mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z)$ and $\Gamma, C(z) \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} x_2 z(\mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 z) \textcircled{R} A(z0)$ we deduce $\Gamma, C(z) \vdash C(z0)$.
- $\Gamma, C(z) \vdash C(z1)$ can be proved similarly to the pervious point.

We conclude that $\Gamma \vdash C(z)$, i.e. that $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{T}_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \textcircled{R} A(x_4)$, which proves the claim. \square

Lemma 28. *Let A be a Σ_1^b -formula. Then,*

- i. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash A) \leftrightarrow (A \vee T(x))$
- ii. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash \neg A) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow T(x))$
- iii. $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} (x \Vdash \neg\neg A) \leftrightarrow (A \vee T(x))$.

Proof. i. $x \Vdash (\exists x \preceq t)B \leftrightarrow x \Vdash (\exists x) t_{x \subseteq t \wedge B}(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists x)((x \subseteq t \wedge B) \vee T(x)) \leftrightarrow A \vee T(x)$.

- ii. $x \Vdash \neg(\exists x \preceq t)B \leftrightarrow (\forall y)(y \Vdash A \rightarrow T(x * y))$, which, by the previous point, is equivalent to $(\forall y)(A \vee T(y) \rightarrow T(x * y))$, which is equivalent to $A \rightarrow T(x)$, using $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} T(y) \rightarrow T(x * y)$ and $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} T(x * 1) \leftrightarrow T(x)$.

- iii. On the one hand, $x \Vdash A \rightarrow x \Vdash \neg\neg A$ is easy proved, using point i. On the other hand, using point ii., $x \Vdash \neg\neg A$ is equivalent to $(\forall y)((A \rightarrow T(y)) \rightarrow T(x * y))$. By Lemma 4, given $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} A \leftrightarrow T(u_A)$, we deduce $(x \Vdash \neg\neg A) \rightarrow T(x * u_A)$. To conclude, we observe that $T(x * u_A)$ is equivalent to $A \vee T(x)$, as it can be deduced using Lemma 4 and $\vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} T(x * u_A) \leftrightarrow T(x) \vee T(u_A)$.

□

Lemma 29. *Let $A(x) = (\exists y \preceq t)u = 0$ and B be any formula not containing free occurrences of x . Then, there exists a term t such that:*

$$\vdash_{IPOR} t \text{ @ } PIN D(A(x) \vee B).$$

Proof. Let $D(x) = A(x) \vee B$. It suffices to construct a realizer of

$$(D(\epsilon) \wedge (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x0)) \wedge (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x1))) \rightarrow (\forall x)(D(x)).$$

Let us assume that:

- $\mathbf{x}_1 \text{ @ } D(\epsilon)$, that is $\mathbf{x}_1 = x_1^0 x_1^1 \mathbf{x}_1^2$, where

$$(x_1^0 = 0 \wedge x_1^1 \preceq t[\epsilon/x] \wedge u[\epsilon/x, x_1^1/y] = 0) \vee (x_1^0 = 1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_1^2 \text{ @ } B).$$

- $\mathbf{x}_2 \text{ @ } (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x0))$, where $\mathbf{x}_2 = x_2^0 x_2^1 \mathbf{x}_2^2$ and under the assumption $y \preceq t$ and $u = 0$,

$$(x_2^0 xy = 0 \wedge x_2^1 xy \preceq t[y0/x] \wedge u[y0/x, x_2^1 xy/y] = 0) \vee (x_2^0 xy = 1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2^2 xy \text{ @ } B).$$

- $\mathbf{x}_3 \text{ @ } (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x1))$, where $\mathbf{x}_3 = x_3^0 x_3^1 \mathbf{x}_3^2$ and under the assumption $y \preceq t$ and $u = 0$,

$$(x_3^0 xy = 0 \wedge x_3^1 xy \preceq t[y1/x] \wedge u[y1/x, x_3^1 xy/y] = 0) \vee (x_3^0 xy = 1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3^2 xy \text{ @ } B).$$

We will construct a term $U : s \rightarrow s$, satisfying the following specifications:

- $\vdash_{IRS_3^1} \text{Eps}(Ux) = 0$
- If $B(Ux) = 0$, then $\text{Tail}(Ux) \text{ @ } A(x)$
- If $B(Ux) = 1$, then

$$x_1^0 = 1 \vee (y_0 \preceq t[x_0/y] \wedge u[x_0, y_0/x] = 0 \wedge \text{BOr}(\mathbf{x}_2^2 x_0 y_0, \mathbf{x}_3^2 x_0 y_0) = 1)$$

where $x_0 = \text{Tail}(Ux)$ and $y_0 = \text{Tail}(x_0)$.

Notice that the three conditions above can all be specified by way of an equation of the form $v[U, x] = 0$. Indeed, if such a term exists, then we can define $t = t_1 t_2 t_3$ realizing $A(x) \vee B$ by

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 x &:= B(Ux) \\ t_2 x &:= \text{Tail}(Ux) \\ t_3 x &:= \text{Cond}(x_1^0, \epsilon, \mathbf{x}_1^2, \text{BOr}(\mathbf{x}_2^2 x_0 y_0, \mathbf{x}_3^2 x_0 y_0)). \end{aligned}$$

We can define U by bounded recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U\epsilon &:= \text{Cond}(x_1^0, \epsilon, x_1^1 0, 1) \\ U(x0) &:= \text{Cond}(Ux, \epsilon, \text{Cond}(x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)), \epsilon, x_2^1 x(\text{Tail}(Ux))1), \text{Cond}(x_1^0, \epsilon, \epsilon, 1)1) \\ U(x1) &:= \text{Cond}(Ux, \epsilon, \text{Cond}(x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)), \epsilon, x_2^1 x(\text{Tail}(Ux))0, \mathbf{x}_2^2 x(\text{Tail}(Ux))1), \text{Cond}(x_1^0, \epsilon, \epsilon, 1)1). \end{aligned}$$

We show that $\Gamma \vdash_{IRS_3^1} v[U, x] = 0$, where $\Gamma = \mathbf{x}_1 \textcircled{R} D(\epsilon), \mathbf{x}_2 \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x0)), \mathbf{x}_3 \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x1))$, by **NP**-induction:

- $v[U, \epsilon] = 0$:
 - a. From $\mathbf{x}_1 \textcircled{R} D(\epsilon)$ it can be deduced that $x_1^0 = 0 \vee x_1^0 = 1$. Using this fact, together with $\text{Eps}(U\epsilon) = \text{Eps}(\text{Cond}(x_1^0, \epsilon, x_1^1 0, 1) = 0$, the claim can be proved using the defining axioms of Cond .
 - b. $B(U\epsilon) = 0 \rightarrow U\epsilon = x_1^1 0$, whence $\text{Tail}(U\epsilon) = x_1^1$ which, by hypothesis, realizes $A(\epsilon)$.
 - c. $B(U\epsilon) = 1 \rightarrow x_1^0 = 1$.
- $v[U, x] = 0 \rightarrow v[U, x0] = 0$. Suppose $v[U, x] = 0$ holds, i.e. a., b. and c. holds for x :
 - a. From $x_1^0 = 0 \vee x_1^0 = 1$ and the fact that $x_1^0 = 0 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(U(x0)) = 0$ and $x_1^0 = 1 \vdash_{IPOR^\lambda} B(U(x0)) = 1$, we deduce $B(U(x0)) = 0 \vee B(U(x0)) = 1$, which implies $\text{Eps}(U(x0)) = 0$.
 - b. $B(U0) = 0$ implies $Ux = 0$ together with

$$\text{Tail}(U(x0)) = \text{Cond}(x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)), \epsilon, x_2^1 x(\text{Tail}(Ux))0, \mathbf{x}_1^2 x(\text{Tail}(Ux))1)x_2^0(\text{Tail}(Ux)) = 0.$$

From $v[U, x]$ and $Ux = 0$, we deduce $\text{Tail}(Ux) \textcircled{R} A(x)$ and, thus, by $\mathbf{x}_2 \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x0))$, also $\mathbf{x}_2 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) \textcircled{R} D(x0)$. From $x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) = 0$, we conclude $\text{Tail}(U(x0)) = x_2^1 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) \textcircled{R} A(x0)$.

- c. If $B(U(x0)) = 1$, then two possible cases arise:
 - * $B(Ux) = 1$ and $x_1^0 = 1$.
 - * $B(Ux) = 0$ and $x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) = 1$. Then, by $v[U, x]$, b. we deduce that $\text{Tail}(Ux) \textcircled{R} A(x)$. So, by $\mathbf{x}_2 \textcircled{R} (\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow D(x1))$, also $\mathbf{x}_2 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) \textcircled{R} D(x0)$. Moreover, from $x_2^0 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) = 1$, we conclude $\text{Tail}(U(x0)) = \mathbf{x}_2^2 x(\text{Tail}(Ux)) \textcircled{R} B$.

- $v[U, x] = 0 \rightarrow v[U, x1] = 0$. This can be proved similarly to the previous case.

□

References

- [1] M. Antonelli, U. Del Lago, and P. Pistone. “On Measure Quantifiers in First-Order Arithmetic”. In: *Connecting with Computability*. Ed. by L. De Mol et al. Springer, 2021, pp. 12–24.
- [2] S.R. Buss. *Bounded Arithmetic*. Bibliopolis, 1986.
- [3] S.R. Buss. “First-Order Proof Theory of Arithmetic”. In: *Handbook of Proof Theory*. Ed. by S.R. Buss. Elsevier, 1998.
- [4] A. Cobham. “The intrinsic computational difficulty of functions”. In: *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science II*. Ed. by Y. Bar-Hillel. North-Holland, 1964, pp. 24–30.
- [5] S. Cook and A. Urquhart. “Functional interpretations of feasibly constructive arithmetic”. In: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 63 (1993), pp. 103–200.
- [6] F. Ferreira. “Polynomial-Time Computable Arithmetic”. In: *Logic and Computation*. Ed. by W. Sieg. Vol. 106. Contemporary Mathematics. AMS, 1990, pp. 137–156.
- [7] F. Ferreira. “Polynomial Time Computable Arithmetic and Conservative Extensions”. Ph.D. Dissertation. Dec. 1988.
- [8] G. Ferreira and I. Oitavem. “An Interpretation of S_2^1 in Σ_1^b -NIA”. In: *Portugaliae Mathematica* 63 (2006), pp. 427–450.
- [9] S. Negri and J. von Plato. *Proof Analysis: A Contribution to Hilbert’s Last Problem*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [10] R. Parikh. “Existence and feasibility in arithmetic”. In: *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 36 (1971), pp. 494–508.