

Master's Programme in Computer, Communication and Information Sciences

# Performance of Server Message Block implementations over QUIC

---

David Enberg

© 2025

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons](#)  
“Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International” license.



---

**Author** David Enberg

---

**Title** Performance of Server Message Block implementations over QUIC

---

**Degree programme** Computer, Communication and Information Sciences

---

**Major** Communications Engineering

---

**Supervisor** PhD Pasi Sarolahti

---

**Advisor** Bastian Shajit (MSc)

---

**Collaborative partner** Tuxera Oy

---

**Date** 28 November 2025

**Number of pages** 16

**Language** English

---

**Abstract**

---

**Keywords** For keywords choose, concepts that are, central to your, thesis

---

---

**Författare** David Enberg

---

**Titel** Arbetets titel

---

**Utbildningsprogram** Elektronik och electroteknik

---

**Huvudämne** Communications Engineering

---

**Övervakare** Prof. Pirjo Professori

---

**Handledare** TkD Alan Advisor, DI Elsa Expert

---

**Samarbetspartner** Company or institute name in Swedish (if relevant)

---

**Datum** 28 November 2025

**Sidantal** 16

**Språk** engelska

---

**Sammandrag**

---

**Nyckelord** Nyckelord på svenska, temperatur

---

# **Preface**

Otaniemi, 30 June 2025

Eddie E. Engineer

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Abstract (in Swedish)</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1 Research questions and objectives . . . . .	8
1.2 Thesis structure . . . . .	8
<b>2 Background</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Internet transport protocols . . . . .	9
2.1.1 Transmission Control Protocol . . . . .	9
2.1.2 User Datagram Protocol . . . . .	10
2.2 The SMB protocol . . . . .	10
<b>3 QUIC</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Information about the QUIC protocol . . . . .	11
<b>4 The SMB protocol</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1 Information about the SMB protocol . . . . .	12
<b>5 Implementing QUIC as transport for SMB server</b>	<b>13</b>
5.1 MsQuic architecture and API . . . . .	13
5.2 Fusion SMB server QUIC transport layer design . . . . .	13
<b>6 Performance and interoperability benchmarking</b>	<b>14</b>
6.1 Test environment . . . . .	14
6.1.1 Hardware environment . . . . .	14
6.1.2 SMB over QUIC implementations analyzed . . . . .	14
6.2 Test scenarios . . . . .	14
6.2.1 interoperability tests . . . . .	14
6.2.2 Benchmarking workloads . . . . .	14
6.3 Results . . . . .	14
<b>7 Conclusions</b>	<b>15</b>
7.1 Discussion . . . . .	15
7.2 Future work . . . . .	15
<b>References</b>	<b>16</b>

## **Abbreviations**

ACK	acknowledgment
IP	Internet Protocol
ISN	Initial Sequence Number
RFC	Request For Comment
SMB	Server Message Block
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol

# **1 Introduction**

## **1.1 Research questions and objectives**

## **1.2 Thesis structure**



## 2 Background

### 2.1 Internet transport protocols

#### 2.1.1 Transmission Control Protocol

The Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), as outlined in Request For Comment (RFC) 793 is a foundational internet transport protocol. It was originally published in September 1981, focusing primarily on solving military communication challenges. It is intended to be a highly reliable transport protocol between hosts in a packet switched network. The TCP is connection-oriented, providing reliable, end-to-end, bi-directional communication between a pair of processes, in the form of a continuous stream of bytes. The TCP protocol is designed to fit into a layered hierarchy of protocols, slotting in on top of the internet protocol (IP)[1]. IP handles the addressing and routing of datagrams between the hosts, while TCP aims to ensure that information is delivered correctly, in order, and without duplications, without any reliability guarantees needed from the underlying protocol, which may lose, fragment or reorder the datagrams[2].

TCP ensures reliable communication by using a system of sequence numbers and acknowledgments (ACKs). Each transmitted byte of data is assigned a sequence number, and the peer is required to send an ACK to acknowledge that the data was received. On the receiver side the sequence numbers are used to reconstruct the data, ensuring that the data is received in order. If the sender does not receive an ACK within a timeout period, the missing segment will be retransmitted. A checksum is included with each segment, ensuring that the datagram was not corrupted during transport. If data corruption is detected, the receiver will discard the damaged segment, and rely on the retransmission mechanic to recover. The TCP uses a receive window for flow control, allowing the receiver to decide the amount of data that the sender may send before waiting for further ACKs. The reliability and flow control aspects of the TCP demands that the TCP store some information about the transmission. The data stored about the data stream, sockets, sequence numbers and windows sizes, is referred to as a connection. The network address and port tuple is referred to as a socket, and a pair of sockets is used in identifying the connection. Using this mechanic to uniquely identify connections, allows for multiple processes to simultaneously communicate using the TCP[2].

The TCP header, figure 1, encodes the functionality of the TCP. It follows the IP header in a datagram. The header is usually 20 bytes long, but can be extended using options. It begins with the source and destination port, which together with the source and destination addresses, are used to identify the connection. The next two fields in the header are the sequence and acknowledgement numbers. The sequence number is the sequence number of the first data byte in the data segment. If the SYN flag is set the sequence number is referring to the initial sequence number (ISN). The acknowledgement refers to the next sequence number the receiver is expecting to receive, at the same time acknowledging that all sequence numbers up to this point was received. Next is the data offset field, indicating where the data begins. The reserved

Source Port 2 Bytes			Destination Port 2 Bytes		
Sequence Number 4 Bytes					
Acknowledgment Number 4 Bytes					
Data Offset 4 Bits	Reserved 4 Bits	Control Flags 1 Byte		Window Size 2 Bytes	
Checksum 2 Bytes			Urgent Pointer 2 Bytes		
Option 0-320 Bits					Padding
Data					

**Figure 1:** The TCP header

field following this must be 0. After this is the 1 byte flags field

- **URG** Urgent pointer field is set
- **ACK** acknowledgement field is set
- **PSH** Push function, requesting that buffered data is sent immediately to the receiver
- **RST** Reset the connection
- **SYN** Synchronize sequence numbers
- **FIN** Sender is done sending data

### 2.1.2 User Datagram Protocol

## 2.2 The SMB protocol

## **3 QUIC**

### **3.1 Information about the QUIC protocol**

## **4 The SMB protocol**

### **4.1 Information about the SMB protocol**

## **5 Implementing QUIC as transport for SMB server**

### **5.1 MsQuic architecture and API**

### **5.2 Fusion SMB server QUIC transport layer design**

## **6 Performance and interoperability benchmarking**

### **6.1 Test environment**

#### **6.1.1 Hardware environment**

#### **6.1.2 SMB over QUIC implementations analyzed**

**Windows SMB client/server**

**Fusion SMB server**

### **6.2 Test scenarios**

#### **6.2.1 interoperability tests**

#### **6.2.2 Benchmarking workloads**

### **6.3 Results**

## **7 Conclusions**

### **7.1 Discussion**

### **7.2 Future work**

## References

- [1] *Internet Protocol*. RFC 791. Sept. 1981. DOI: [10.17487/RFC0791](https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0791). URL: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc791>.
- [2] *Transmission Control Protocol*. RFC 793. Sept. 1981. DOI: [10.17487/RFC0793](https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0793). URL: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc793>.