

# FREE ANALYSIS

These problems arose during the AIM workshop “Free Analysis”, June 19 - 23, 2006, organized by Dimitri Shlyakhtenko and Dan Voiculescu.

## 1. $X$ -CONSTANTS AND FREE POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

[Voiculescu]

In a von Neumann algebra  $M$  with a faithful normal trace-state  $\tau$  let  $X = X^* \in M$  and let  $1 \in B \subset M$  be an infinite-dimensional von Neumann subalgebra so that  $B$  and  $X$  are free in the algebraic sense and  $M = W^*(X, B)$ .

Assume that  $\partial_{X:B}$  is closable in  $L^2(M, \tau)$  (this is the case for instance if  $X$  is a free semicircular perturbation  $X = X_0 + \varepsilon S$ , with  $S$  a semicircular free from  $X_0$  and  $B$ ).

**Problem 1.1.** *Under what conditions are the  $L^2$  solutions of*

$$\overline{\partial_{X:B} u} = 0$$

*in  $L^2(B, \tau)$ ?*

A related question about a stronger condition:

**Problem 1.2.** *When does the free Poincaré inequality*

$$C \|\partial_{X:B} \xi\|_2 \geq \|\xi - E_B \xi\|_2$$

*hold for  $\xi \in B\langle X \rangle$ ?*

## 2. LARGE DEVIATIONS

[Guionnet, Hiai, and Cabanal-Duvillard]

**Problem 2.1.** *Given a tracial state  $\tau$  corresponding to a free stochastic process, does there exist a sequence of tracial states  $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$  with  $\chi_p^*(\tau_n) \rightarrow \chi_p^*(\tau)$  where  $\tau_n$  corresponds to the process  $dA_i(t) = dS_i(t) + k_t(A_1(s), \dots, A_m(s))_{s \leq t} dt$  with  $k_t$  stepwise constant in  $s$ , and  $\chi_p^*$  denotes the quantity  $\chi^*$  defined for processes in the paper of Guionnet and Cabanal-Duvillard.*

**Problem 2.2.** *In the one variable case, if  $A(t)$  follows a process  $dA(t) = dS(t) + k_t(A(s))_{s \leq t}$  then replacing  $A(t)$  with  $A(t) + C_\epsilon$  (with  $C$  having Cauchy distribution and free from  $A(t)$ ) then  $k_t$  is replaced by  $k_t^\epsilon = \tau(k_t|A(t) + C_\epsilon)$ . Thus,  $k_t^\epsilon$  is smooth. Is there an analog of this smoothing in the several-variable case?*

**Problem 2.3.** *We know that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  Hermitian random matrix, then there exists a random matrix  $C_\epsilon$  with Cauchy distribution such that  $\mathbb{E}f(A + C_\epsilon) = P_\epsilon f(A)$  with  $P_\epsilon f(x) = \int \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^2 + \epsilon^2} dy$  the usual Cauchy (Poisson) kernel. Can this be done for several variables?*

**Problem 2.4.** Given  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in (\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  a tracial unital vN algebra, do the conjugate variables belong to the  $L^2$  closure of cyclic gradient space? i.e. do there exist  $H_k \in \mathbb{C} \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle$  such that  $\mathcal{J}(x_i) = \lim_k D_i H_k$  where  $\partial_{x_i} : L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \otimes L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  by  $x_j \mapsto \delta_{ij} 1 \otimes 1$  as a densely defined operator,  $\mathcal{J}(x_i) = \partial_{x_i}^*(1 \otimes 1)$ , and  $D_i = m \circ \partial_{x_i}$  ( $m$  is the flip-multiplication  $x \otimes y \mapsto yx$ ).

**Problem 2.5.** Does the change of variables formula for  $\chi$  also hold for  $\chi^*$ ?

**Problem 2.6.** Is there a change of variables formula for processes? i.e. suppose that we start with random variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in (\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  which can be reached by a process  $dA_i(t) = dS_i(t) + k_t(A_1(s), \dots, A_m(s))_{s \leq t}$ ,  $\mu_{A_1(1), \dots, A_m(1)} = \mu_{x_1, \dots, x_m}$ . We define new random variables via functional calculus  $y_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, y_m = f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Can we apply a function  $P$  to  $k_t$  to get  $dB_i(t) = dS_i(t) + P(k_t(B_1(s), \dots, B_m(s))_{s \leq t})$  such that  $\mu_{B_1(1), \dots, B_m(1)} = \mu_{y_1, \dots, y_m}$ .

**Problem 2.7.** Open problem: Can we replace  $\limsup$  with  $\liminf$  in the microstates definition of the free entropy  $\chi$ ?

**Problem 2.8.** Hiai introduced the free pressure  $\pi_R(h)$  for a self-adjoint element (regarded as a free hamiltonian)  $h$  of the universal free product  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \star_{i=1}^n C([-R, R])$ , and defined a free entropy-like quantity  $\eta_R(\tau)$  of a tracial state  $\tau \in TS(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ . The inequality  $\eta_R(\tau) \geq \chi(\tau)$  holds.  $\tau$  is called an equilibrium tracial state with respect to  $h$  if the variational equality  $\eta_R(\tau) = \tau(h) + \pi_R(h)$  holds. Such a  $\tau$  always exists for each  $h$ . For which  $h$  there is a unique equilibrium tracial state? A way to prove this is the free transportation inequality.

It was recently shown by Guionnet and Maurel-Segala that for the vN algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  generated by  $m$  free semicirculars,

$$\sup_{\tau \in TS(\mathcal{A})} \left\{ \chi(\tau) - \tau\left(\sum t_i q_i\right) \right\} = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_m} \prod_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \frac{(t_i)^{p_i}}{k_i!} C(q, k_1, \dots, k_m)$$

where  $C(q, k_1, \dots, k_m)$  enumerated planar maps with colored edges and vertices of types  $q, k_1, \dots, k_m$ .

**Problem 2.9.** Is there a similar interpretation for the non-microstates analog

$$\sup_{\tau \in TS(\mathcal{A})} \left\{ \chi^*(\tau) - \tau\left(\sum t_i q_i\right) \right\} ?$$

### 3. FREE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA

[Dykema, Ricard]

**Problem 3.1.** Given  $A, B$  free group factors with a common diffuse subalgebra  $D \subset A, B$ , what conditions on  $A, B, D$  guarantee that  $A \star_D B$  is a free group factor?

**Problem 3.2.** For a regular weakly-rigid (in the sense of Popa) subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra, is the free entropy dimension  $\leq 1$ ?

**Problem 3.3. Open Problem:** For generators  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$  with the first  $L^2$ -Betti number  $\beta_1(\Gamma)$  large, is the microstates free entropy dimension of this family of generators large?

*Remark.* This is known for the non-microstates free entropy dimension [work of Mineyev-Shlyakhtenko]

**Problem 3.4.** Consider  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{x_i}^* \partial_{x_i}$  and the corresponding completely positive map  $\varphi_t = \exp(-t\Delta)$ , where  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  have finite Free Fisher Information. Can  $\varphi_t$  converge uniformly to the identity map on the unit ball of  $W^*(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ? If no, it follows that the von Neumann algebra generated by  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is not weakly rigid if it is non-hyperfinite.

Let  $\Gamma_{q,n} = W^*(s_q(g) = l(g) + l(g)^* | g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$  with  $n = \dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $-1 < q < 1$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by fields operators acting on a  $q$ -deformed Fock space

**Problem 3.5.** Does  $\Gamma_{q,n}$  depend on  $q$ ?

A way to approach this question could come from the following observation. In the free case,  $q = 0$ , the natural orthormal basis of the Fock space consists of vectors  $e_{\underline{i}} = e_{i_1}^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\otimes \alpha_k}$  with  $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_k$  and  $\alpha_1 > 0$ . This basis can be recovered from the algebra as  $e_{\underline{i}} = T_{\alpha_1}(s_0(e_1)) \dots T_{\alpha_k}(s_0(e_k)) \Omega$ , where  $T_k$  are Chebychev polynomials. It would be interesting to find an analogue for these formulas in the general case and to understand the underlying combinatorics.

The  $q$ -deformation leads to the commutation relations  $l(e)^* l(f) = ql(f)l(e)^* + \langle f, e \rangle Id$ . Instead consider themore general relations  $l(e_i)^* l(e_j) = \sum_{s,t} t_{i,j}^{s,t} l(e_s)l(e_t)^* + \delta_{i,j} Id$ .

**Problem 3.6.** When does the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by these operators is an extension of a Cuntz algebra by compacts ? When does the fields operators associated to them produce a type  $II_1$  factor ?

Consider the projection  $P_k$  from  $\Gamma_{q,n}$  to its subspace consisting of  $x$  such that  $x.\Omega$  has length at most  $k$  in the Fock space.

**Problem 3.7.** Is  $\|P_k\|_{cb}$  polynomially bounded in  $k$  ?

This would prove the CBAP for the associated  $L_p$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and the exactness of the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $q$ -gaussians.

**Problem 3.8.** To prove the existence of an embedding  $\Gamma_{q,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\omega$ , one uses Speicher's central limit theorem. In this procedure, is it possible to find explicitly uniformly bounded matrix whose mixed moments approach those of  $q$ -gaussians ? More precisely, let  $c_{i,j}$  be unitary generators of the CAR-algebra (or  $-1$ -gaussians), are the matrices  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[c_{i,j}]_{i,j \leq n}$  uniformly bounded ?

**Problem 3.9.** For the random matrix model  $\exp(-n \text{Tr}(p(A_1, A_1^*, \dots, A_m, A_m^*)))$  we know that the conjugate variables satisfy  $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{D}_i P$ . Is the operator  $\exp(-t \sum \partial_j^* \partial_j)$  compact in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (where  $\partial_j$  is Voiculescu's partial difference quotient on the limit algebra with respect to the limit of  $A_j$ )?

*Remark.* As a starting point, consider  $P = \sum A_i^2 + \sum t_i q_i(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  where Guionnet and Maurel-Segala have shown convergence of the model.

## 4. FOCUS GROUP ON FREE ENTROPY

The problems in this section arose during a discussion group on Free Entropy during the 3rd day of the AIM workshop.

Let

$$\delta^* = n - \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\chi^*(x_1 + \sqrt{t}s_1, \dots, x_n + \sqrt{t}s_m)}{\log t^{1/2}}$$

and

$$\delta^* = n - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n t \Phi^*(x_1 + \sqrt{t}s_1, \dots, x_m + \sqrt{t}s_m).$$

**Problem 4.1.** Does  $\delta^* = \delta^*$ ?

**Problem 4.2.** What is the non-microstates analogue of free entropy in the presence,  $\chi(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ?

## 5. FOCUS GROUP ON OPERATOR THEORY

The problems in this section arose during a discussion group on Operator Theory during the 3rd day of the AIM workshop.

**Problem 5.1.** What is the boundary behavior of the subordination functions which appear in free convolution of operator-valued random variables?

**Problem 5.2.** What are examples/conditions for freely strongly unimodal variables, i.e. unimodal random variables that when freely convolved with a unimodal variables remain unimodal?

*Remark.* Unimodal means that the law of the random variable has a smooth density with a unique maximum; example: Gaussian law or the semicircle law.

**Problem 5.3.** More specifically, if  $\mu, \nu$  are symmetric unimodal distribution, is  $\mu \boxplus \nu$  unimodal?

## 6. INVARIANT SUBSPACES FOR AN OPERATOR

[Haagerup]

**Problem 6.1.** Let  $x, y$  be two free circular elements, and let  $S, T$  be two operators in a  $II_1$  factor, which is free from  $x, y$ . In the Haagerup-Schultz estimate

$$(\star\star) \quad \|(S + xy^{-1})^{-1} - (T + xy^{-1})^{-1}\|_p \leq c(p) \|S - T\|_p < \infty$$

with  $0 < p < \frac{2}{3}$ , can one use  $x$  instead of  $xy^{-1}$ ?

(Brown measure of unbounded operators): As defined by (Haagerup and Schultz),  $\Delta(T)$  makes sense for  $T \in M^\Delta$  where  $M^\Delta = \left\{ T \in \tilde{M} \mid \int_0^\infty \log t \, d\mu_T(t) < \infty \right\}$ . Then  $\Delta(T) = \exp(\int_0^\infty \log t \, d\mu_T(t)) \in [0, \infty]$ .

**Problem 6.2.** Can one make sense of  $\mu_T$  for such unbounded  $T$ ?

## 7. FREE GROUP FACTOR

[Ozawa]

**Conjecture 7.1.** *If  $\mathcal{H}$  an  $M$ - $M$  bimodule  $M = L\mathbb{F}_n$ , and  ${}_M\mathcal{H}_M \preceq L^2M \otimes L^2M$ , (weak containment) then*

$$\text{Hom}({}_M\mathcal{H} \otimes_M \mathcal{H} \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_M, L^2M \otimes L^2M) \neq 0.$$

Note that the assumption of weak containment is equivalent that the map

$$x \otimes y \mapsto (\lambda(x)\rho(y) : \mathcal{H}_M \ni h \mapsto xhy) \in B({}_M\mathcal{H}_M)$$

is continuous for the min-tensor product on  $M \otimes M$ . Examples of bimodules with this property come from the basic construction

$${}_M\mathcal{H}_M = M \otimes_A M$$

over a hyperfinite subalgebra  $A \subset M$ .

## 8. COMBINATORICS OF RANDOM MATRIX MODELS

The material in this section arose during a discussion group on the 4th day of the AIM workshop.

Given random matrices  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  with corresponding measures  $\mu_{A_n}$  and  $\mu_{B_n}$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we define their Itzykson-Zuber integral as

$$IZ(A_n, B_n) = \int \exp(-n\text{Tr}(AU^*BU)) d\mu_{A_n}(A) d\mu_{B_n}(B).$$

**Theorem 8.1.** [Guionnet and Zeitouni] *If  $\|A_n\| < c$ ,  $\|B_n\| < c$  then  $IZ(A_n, B_n) \sim \exp(-n\psi)$ .*

**Problem 8.2.** *There is another result that states that*

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \log IZ(tA_n, B_n)|_{t=0} \text{ converges.}$$

*Does this expression match  $\psi$  above? Can we extend Guionnet and Zeitouni's result to complex parameters?*

**Problem 8.3.** *Extend the model  $\exp(-n\text{Tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_m) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2)) dA_1 \dots dA_m$  of Guionnet and Maurel-Segala to non-selfadjoint  $P$  (i.e. polynomials with complex coefficients).*

**Problem 8.4.** *Is there a combinatorial interpretation of free cumulants in terms of enumeration of maps and operations on maps?*

Consider the spherical integrals

$$I_n(z, E_n) := \int \exp\{n\text{tr}(UD_nU^*E_n)\} d_{m_n}(U),$$

where  $D_n = \text{diag}(z, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $E_n$  is a sequence of  $n \times n$  selfadjoint (diagonal) matrices, with spectrum uniformly bounded in  $n$ , and converging in distribution to  $\mu_E$

The sequence of functions of  $z$

$$f_n(z) = \partial_z \frac{1}{n} \log I_n(z, E_n),$$

has been shown by Guionnet and Maida to converge to  $R_{\mu_E}(z)$  for  $|z|$  small enough.

start of prob  
block

end of prob  
block

start of prob  
block

**Problem 8.5.** *What is the largest domain in the complex plane on which this convergence takes place? If  $\mu_E$  is  $\boxplus$ -infinitely divisible, is the convergence happening on all the upper half-plane? Is there any possible generalization to measures with noncompact support?*

*Remark.* One could probably approach this problem by trying to study the normality of the family/sequence  $f_n$ .

problem

## 9. INVARIANT SUBSPACES

The questions in this section arose during the focus group on Invariant Subspaces during the 4th day of the workshop.

If  $M$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor,  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in M$ ,  $[T_i, T_j] = 0$ , then we have the “Brown Measure” defined as the unique measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$(\star) \quad \log \Delta(1 - \sum \alpha_i T_i) = \int \log(1 - \sum \alpha_i \zeta_i) d\mu_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n).$$

**Problem 9.1.** *Is  $\text{supp} \mu_{T_1, \dots, T_n} \subset \sigma(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , the Taylor spectrum of  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ ?*

**Problem 9.2.** *Which functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  have an integral representation as in  $(\star)$ ?*

$M$  a  $\text{II}_1$  factor and  $T \in M$ . Define

$$K(T, r) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists \xi_n \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } \|\xi_n - \xi\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \limsup \|T^n \xi_n\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \right\},$$

$$\text{and } E(T, r) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \limsup \|T^n \xi_n\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \right\}.$$

**Problem 9.3.** *Does  $K(T, r) = E(T, r)$ ?*

*Remark.* The  $DT$  quasinilpotent operator may be a counterexample.

problem

**Problem 9.4.** *Let  $c$  be a circular element ( $\sigma(c) = \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ ), and let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ . Can we make sense of  $f(c)$  as an (unbounded) operator affiliated with  $\{c\}''$ ?*

**Problem 9.5.** *Let  $(\Gamma, \tau)$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  factor,  $T \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mu_T = \delta_0$ . Does  $T$  have a non-trivial invariant subspace affiliated with  $\Gamma$ ?*

**Problem 9.6.** *Let  $B_c$  be a band limited operator obtained from  $c$  a circular element, and let  $D$  be the band limited operator obtained from the identity. Then  $D$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\star$ -free from  $\{B_c, B_c^*\}$ .*

*Is  $D \in W^*(B_c)$ ? Or is  $W^*(B_c) = L\mathbb{F}_t$  with  $t = 1 + 2c(1 - \frac{c}{2})$ ?*

## 10. INFINITE DIVISIBILITY

[Nica]

problem

Given  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  in a  $\text{vNa}$  such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is tensor-independent of  $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$  and such that  $\mu_{x_1, \dots, x_k}, \nu_{y_1, \dots, y_k}$  are freely infinitely divisible, we can apply the Fourier transform to get the power-series of the classical convolution of  $\mu_{x_1, \dots, x_k}$  and  $\nu_{y_1, \dots, y_k}$ .

**Problem 10.1.** *How do such power-series relate to the noncommutative power series obtained from free convolution?*

In other words how does the set of classically obtainable power-series relate to the set of freely obtainable power-series?

**Problem 10.2.** *Can we make sense of the  $R$ -transform for  $x_1, x_2$  unbounded (power-series are insufficient to encode all the information)?*

Easier question is for infinitely divisible unbounded operators.

**Problem 10.3.** *If  $c$  is unbounded  $R$ -diagonal, what is the  $R$ -transform of  $c, c^*$ ?*

## 11. DIRICHLET FORMS, FROM CLASSICAL TO QUANTUM

This section comes from a focus group on the 5th day of the AIM workshop.

**Problem 11.1.** *For the  $q$ -deformed semicircular, the analogue of  $\partial^*\partial$  exists (it is the number operator). Describe explicitly the associated  $\partial$ .*

It exists by the work of Sauvageot.

**Problem 11.2.** *More generally, given a negative definite function on a group  $\Gamma$  (i.e. a Dirichlet form), we know it gives a representation by affine actions on  $L^2\Gamma$ . When is it a multiple of the left regular representation? What conditions on the negative definite function guarantee this?*

**Problem 11.3.** *What conditions on a Dirichlet form  $\delta^*\delta$  guarantee that the bimodule associated to  $\delta$  embeds into  $\bigoplus L^2N \otimes L^2N$ ?*

**Problem 11.4.** *What is the analogue of the Bakry-Emery criterion in the noncommutative case? i.e. what is  $\Gamma_2$  for noncommutative Dirichlet forms?*

**Problem 11.5.** *Let  $\partial : M \rightarrow L^2(M) \bar{\otimes} L^2(M^\circ)$  be a closable derivation, and let  $\Delta = \partial^*\partial$ ,  $S_t = \exp(-t\Delta)$ . If the semigroup  $S_t$  converges uniformly to the identity in  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on the unit ball, is the derivation inner when considered with values in the algebra of unbounded operators affiliated to  $M \bar{\otimes} M^\circ$ ?*