

FYS3150
Project 3 - The Verlet Underground

Hugounet, Antoine & Villeneuve, Ethel

October 2017
University of Oslo
<https://github.com/kryzar/Perseids.git>

Abstract

The aim of this project is to create a simulation of the Solar System. We will consider three cases.

1. The first one will be the simplest, an Earth-Sun system. With it, we can test our program and compare the Euler's and Verlet's method as we know that the orbit of the Earth is supposed to be circular around the Sun, which will be set as the center-of-mass.
2. In the second case, we will add Jupiter. With this model, we can compare the influence of taking into account the real center-of-mass instead of the Sun as center-of-mass.
3. Finally, the third case will be the complete Solar System (without moons, only the eight planets). After checking that our program is stable and viable, we can simulate the Solar System around the years and centuries.

Moreover, we will use the program to find the escape value of the Earth from the Sun and to study the Mercury's perihelion precession with and without a relativistic correction to Newton's law. These are two concrete ways to use this simulation program, but it basically works for many phenomena.

As we expected, Verlet's method is way more precise than Euler's method. That is why we will use Euler's one only for the two-bodies model. In contrast, changing the center-of-mass from the Sun to the real one does not implies big changes as it is really close to the Sun compared to the other distances.

Contents

Introduction	3
1 Theory	4
1.1 Earth-Sun system	4
1.1.1 Physical conditions of the system	4
1.1.2 Escape velocity	5
1.2 Three-body problem	6
1.2.1 The Sun as the center-of-mass	6
1.2.2 Real center-of-mass	6
1.3 The complete Solar system	8
1.3.1 Discretization	8
1.3.2 Euler's method	9
1.3.3 Verlet's method	9
1.4 The special case of Mercury	10
2 Implementation	11
2.1 Euler's and Verlet's methods	11
2.1.1 Calculation of the acceleration	11
2.1.2 Euler's and Verlet's algorithms	12
2.2 Validity	12
2.2.1 Unit-tests	12
2.2.2 Preservation of the energy	12
3 Results	14
3.1 Earth-Sun system	14
3.1.1 Comparison between the two algorithms	14
3.1.2 Escape velocity	15
3.2 Three-body problem	16
3.2.1 The Sun as the center-of-mass	16
3.2.2 The real center-of-mass	16
3.3 Complete Solar System	18
3.4 The special case of Mercury	18
3.5	18
Conclusion	19

Introduction

Chapter 1

Theory

In a first place, we will begin with an Earth-Sun system with the Earth orbiting around the Sun. We will test our simulation on this simple case and add the other planets afterwards.

1.1 Earth-Sun system

1.1.1 Physical conditions of the system

The only force applied to this system is the gravity. According to the Newton's law, we have

$$F_G = \frac{GM_\odot M_\oplus}{r^2} \quad (1)$$

with F_G the norm of the gravitational force, G the gravitational constant ($G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{N.m}^2.\text{kg}^{-2}$), M_\odot the mass of the Sun, M_\oplus the mass of the Earth and r the distance between the Earth and the Sun.

We will neglect the motion of the Sun here as the mass of the Sun is much larger than the mass of the Earth ($M_\odot = 2 \times 10^{30} \text{kg}$ against $M_\oplus = 6 \times 10^{24} \text{kg}$). We want to establish the motion of the Earth around the Sun. Moreover, we will assume that the orbit of the Earth around the Sun is coplanar in the xy -plane.

The Newton's second law of motion states that the total force applied to any system in a Galilean referential is $\vec{F} = M\vec{a}$ with M the mass of the body concerned and \vec{a} the acceleration. Applied to our case, we have $\vec{F}_G = M_\oplus \vec{a}$. Since a is the second derivative of the position :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{G,x} &= M_\oplus \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} \\ F_{G,y} &= M_\oplus \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \frac{F_{G,x}}{M_\oplus} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{F_{G,y}}{M_\oplus}. \quad (3)$$

with $F_{G,x}$ and $F_{G,y}$ the components of the gravitational force.

Remark 1.1. *We will not use the SI units but the Astronomical units (AU) for the distances (with $1\text{AU}=\text{average distance Earth-Sun} = 1.5 \times 10^{11}\text{m}$), kg for masses and years for time units. Thus the initial position of the Earth will be $x_{\oplus} = 1$, $y_{\oplus} = 0$ with the Sun the origin ($x_{\odot} = 0$, $y_{\odot} = 0$).*

To simplify a little bit, we will assume that the Earth's orbit is circular around the Sun. So we can write :

$$F_G = \frac{M_{\oplus}v^2}{r} = \frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\oplus}}{r^2} \quad (4)$$

with v the velocity of Earth. From here we have

$$v^2r = GM_{\odot} = 4\pi^2\text{AU}^3/\text{yr}^2 \quad (5)$$

1.1.2 Escape velocity

In the case where only the gravitational force is applied, the mechanical energy $E_m = E_c + E_p$ with E_c the kinetic energy and E_p the potential energy is conserved (the gravitational force is conservative). For a planet at a distance of 1 AU from the Sun (let's take the Earth as an example), the kinetic energy is given by $E_c = \frac{1}{2}M_{\oplus} \times v^2$ and the potential energy by $E_p = -\frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\oplus}}{r}$ with $r = 1$ AU.

We want this planet to escape from the gravitational attraction of the Sun on it. The escape velocity will be the minimal speed v_e at which the planet escapes from the gravitational influence of the Sun. We consider two positions of the planet : p_i the initial position when $r_i = 1\text{AU}$ and p_f the final position when the planet has escaped and is at an infinite distance from the Sun $r_f = \infty$.

Let's consider the mechanical energy at the final position. We have $E_{m_f} = E_{c_f} + E_{p_f}$, $E_{c_f} = 0$ as the velocity of the planet is zero at the final state, $E_{p_f} = 0$ since $r_f = \infty$ and $E_{m_f} = 0$.

So, with the planet at 1 AU from the Sun at its initial position, of which velocity is the escape velocity v_e , in the case where there is only the gravitational force applied to the system planet-Sun, we have :

$$E_{c_i} + E_{p_i} = \frac{1}{2}M_{\oplus} \times v_e^2 - \frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\oplus}}{r_i} = E_{c_f} + E_{p_f} = 0$$

according to the law of conservation of energy. From this we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}M_{\oplus} \times v_e^2 - \frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\oplus}}{r_i} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow v_e &= \sqrt{\frac{2GM_{\odot}}{r_i}} \\ \Rightarrow v_e &= \sqrt{2GM_{\odot}} \end{aligned}$$

which only depends on the mass of the Sun.

$$\begin{aligned} v_e &= \sqrt{2 \times 4\pi^2}, \quad \text{from(5)} \\ v_e &= 2\sqrt{2}\pi \text{ AU/yr} \end{aligned}$$

Then, for a velocity $v \geq 2\sqrt{2}\pi$, the Earth would escape from the Sun's gravitational attraction.

1.2 Three-body problem

1.2.1 The Sun as the center-of-mass

We add Jupiter to the previous system and place it on the same $x - axis$ that the Earth is on. In the previous case, the Earth's motion was a stable circular orbit in time. Adding Jupiter, it will inevitably be disturbed.

We can write the gravitational between the Earth and Jupiter as

$$F_{\oplus-\jmath} = \frac{GM_{\jmath}M_{\oplus}}{r_{\oplus-\jmath}^2}$$

with M_{\jmath} the mass of Jupiter and $r_{\oplus-\jmath}$ the distance between the Earth and Jupiter. The algorithm used for this case will be exactly the same as the previous case. We will see in section 1.4 how to discretize and implement the algorithm.

1.2.2 Real center-of-mass

To get closer to the reality, we now do not consider the Sun as a static body anymore ; each of three bodies are in motion and considered as planets. The center-of-mass will not be the Sun but the real one. Let's compute the new coordinates of the celestial bodies.

Basic equation

$$\begin{cases} x_c = \frac{1}{M_{tot}} \sum_i M_i x_i \\ y_c = \frac{1}{M_{tot}} \sum_i M_i y_i \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Considering the system Earth-Jupiter-Sun :

$$\begin{aligned} M_{tot} &= M_{\oplus} + M_{\jmath} + M_{\odot} \\ &= 6 \times 10^{24} + 1.9 \times 10^{27} + 2 \times 10^{30} \\ &= 2.00191 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_c = \frac{1}{M_{tot}} [M_{\oplus} x_{\oplus} + M_{\jmath} x_{\jmath} + M_{\odot} x_{\odot}] \\ y_c = \frac{1}{M_{tot}} [M_{\oplus} y_{\oplus} + M_{\jmath} y_{\jmath} + M_{\odot} y_{\odot}] \end{cases}$$

We fix the initial positions with respect to the new center of mass.

Calculations




Figure 1.1: Representation of the initial position of our three-bodies system.

So we look for x_m the x -component of the position of the Sun such that $x_c = y_c = 0$.

$$\begin{cases} x_c = \frac{1}{M_{tot}} [(2 \times 10^{24}) \times (1 + x_m) + (1.9 \times 10^{27}) \times (5.20 + x_m) + (2 \times 10^{30}) \times x_m] = 0 \\ y_c = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_m = -4.936 \times 10^{-3} \\ y_m = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

These are the coordinates of the Sun when the center-of-mass of the system is set as the origin. Let's now compute the Sun's initial velocity such that the total momentum of the three-body system equals 0.

$$\vec{p}_{tot} = \sum_k M_k \vec{k} = \vec{0} \quad (8)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_{\oplus} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\oplus x} \\ v_{\oplus y} \end{pmatrix} + M_{\text{J}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\text{J}x} \\ v_{\text{J}y} \end{pmatrix} + M_{\odot} \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus x} + M_{\text{J}} v_{\text{J}x} + M_{\odot} v_x = 0 \\ M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus y} + M_{\text{J}} v_{\text{J}y} + M_{\odot} v_y = 0 \end{cases}$$

We choose the initial velocities of the Earth and Jupiter so that their orbit will be circular. If the orbit of the Earth is circular around the center-of-mass, $v_{\oplus} = 2\pi \times (1 + x_m)$ AU/yr, because the distance travelled in one Earth-year is $2\pi \times r$ with $r = 1 + x_m$ AU.

Similarly $v_{\oplus} = 6.25217$ AU/yr. The velocity is constant so the initial velocity will be $v_{\oplus_i} = 6.25217$ AU/yr. In the initial configuration represented above, the initial velocity is oriented in the direction of y . So, $v_{\oplus_i} = (0, 6.25217)$.

Jupiter has an orbital period of 11.862 yr. The distance travelled by Jupiter in this period is $2\pi \times r = 2\pi \times (5.20 + x_m) = 32.6415 \text{ AU}$. So $v_{\text{J}_i} = \frac{2\pi \times r}{T} = \frac{2\pi \times (5.20 + x_m)}{11.862} = 2.75177$ AU/yr. Similarly, the initial velocity can be expressed as $v_{\text{J}_i} = (0, 2.75177)$.

$$\begin{cases} (6 \times 10^{24}) \times 0 + (1.9 \times 10^{27}) \times 0 + (2 \times 10^{30}) \times v_x = 0 \\ (6 \times 10^{24}) \times 6.25217 + (1.9 \times 10^{27}) \times 2.75177 + (2 \times 10^{30}) \times v_y = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} v_x = 0 \\ v_y = -2.63294 \times 10^{-3} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, our initial vectors will be :

Body	Position	Velocity
Earth	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.995064 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 6.25217 \end{pmatrix}$
Jupiter	$\begin{pmatrix} 5.19506 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2.75177 \end{pmatrix}$
Sun	$\begin{pmatrix} -4.936 \times 10^{-3} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2.63294 \times 10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$

1.3 The complete Solar system

As the program had been made so that we can add as many planets as we want, modeling the complete Solar System is not more complicated than having only the system Earth-Jupiter-Sun. We will take, in that case, the center-of-mass of the complete Solar System as origin. Therefore the Sun has a motion.

1.3.1 Discretization

The discretization is a crucial step to make the equations "understandable" by our program. From Newton's law of motion, the acceleration of a given body can be written as $a = \frac{F_G}{M}$, with M the mass of the body. a_i is the acceleration at the time t_i , and a_{i+1} is the very next discretized value of the acceleration at $t_{i+1} = t_i + h$.

$$a_i = \frac{\sum_k \frac{GM_k M_N}{r_{ik}^2}}{M}$$

with k the number of bodies involved in the system.

$$a_i = \sum_k \frac{GM_k M_N}{r_{ik}^2}$$

From (4), we have $GM_\odot = 4\pi^2 \text{AU}^3/\text{yr}^2$ and as $M_\odot = 1$, $G = 4\pi^2 \text{AU}^3/\text{yr}^2$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \sum_k \frac{4\pi^2 M_{k_N}}{r_{i_k}^2} \\ a_i &= \sum_k \frac{4\pi^2 M_{k_N} (x_i - x_{i_k})}{r_{i_k}^3} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Remark 1.2. *To implement the accelerations, we normalize the masses with respect to the mass of the Sun. Thus, $M_\odot = 1$, $M_{k_N} = \frac{M_k}{M_\odot}$ is the normalized mass for each body k . We use AU as the distance unit and the year yr as the time unit.*

1.3.2 Euler's method

With Euler's method, we simply compute the derivation formula for a supposed small constant time-step h : $a_i = \frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{h}$. With $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$ and N the number of time-steps, the bigger N , the smaller h , and the more accurate Euler's approximation is.

Remark 1.3. *It is easier to see this formula as the formula of the derivative without its limit, but Euler's demonstrated it in terms of Taylor's expansion.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{h} &= \sum_k \frac{4\pi^2 M_k (x_i - x_{i_k})}{r_{i_k}^3} \\ \Rightarrow v_{i+1} &= h \sum_k \frac{4\pi^2 M_k (x_i - x_{i_k})}{r_{i_k}^3} + v_i \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The velocity can also be discretized as the derivative of the position

$$v_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{h}$$

Therefore,

$$x_{i+1} = hv_i + x_i \quad (12)$$

The initial position and velocity of the body are obtained with the data from the NASA website.

1.3.3 Verlet's method

Verlet's method is almost the same as the Euler's one in the sense that it is based on Taylor's expansion, but goes one step further. We consider two instants $t_i + h$ as $t_i - h$:

$$\begin{cases} x_{i+1} = x(t_i + h) = x(t_i) + h \frac{dx}{dt}(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2!} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{3!} \frac{d^3x}{dt^3}(t_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \\ x_{i-1} = x(t_i - h) = x(t_i) - h \frac{dx}{dt}(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2!} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}(t_i) - \frac{h^3}{3!} \frac{d^3x}{dt^3}(t_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \end{cases}$$

We sum up the two lines to have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1} + x_{i-1} &= 2x_i + h^2 \frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \\ \Rightarrow x_{i+1} &= 2x_i - x_{i-1} + h^2 \frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \end{aligned}$$

Another way to use the Verlet's method is with :

$$\begin{cases} x_{i+1} = x(t_i + h) = x(t_i) + h \frac{dx}{dt}(t_i) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}(t_i) = x(t_i) + h v(t_i) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 a(t_i) \\ v_{i+1} = v(t_i + h) = v(t_i) + \frac{1}{2} h \left(\frac{dv}{dt}(t_i) + \frac{dv}{dt}(t_i + h) \right) = v(t_i) + \frac{1}{2} h (a(t_i) + a(t_{i+1})) \end{cases}$$

where the velocity is explicitly expressed.

1.4 The special case of Mercury

Mercury being the closest planet to the Sun, the influence of the Sun on it is special ; furthermore it is a very light planet, roughly 3.3×10^{23} kg. Its orbit of Mercury is elliptical (not circular). The point where Mercury is the closest to the Sun is called perihelion. At perihelion, $x_{\text{p}} = 0.3075$ [AU], $y_{\text{p}} = 0$ and $v_{\text{p}} = 12.44$ [AU/yr]. The perihelion precession is a phenomenon that describe the perihelion shift, orbit after orbit.

We observe that by substracting the classical effects (basically, the gravitational attraction between Mercury and the other planets), we still have a perihelion shift with an angle of 43" per century. We need to add the relativistic correction to the Newtonian gravitational force.

Thus $F_G = \frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\text{p}}}{r^2}$, with M_{p} the mass of Mercury and r the distance between Mercury and the Sun ($r = 0.39$ AU), becomes

$$F_G = \frac{GM_{\odot}M_{\text{p}}}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{3l^2}{r^2 c^2} \right) \quad (13)$$

with $l = |\vec{r} \times \vec{v}|$ the norm of Mercury's orbital angular momentum per mass unit and c the speed of light in vacuum.

This phenomenon applied to every planets of the Solar System but it is more important in the case of Mercury. The difference between the expected value in Newtonian mechanics and the observed value has been explained with the general theory of relativity. The spacetime curvature near the Sun is more important than further, Mercury is more affected by this phenomenon.

Chapter 2

Implementation

You will find how to run the program in the README.md file from the repository.

The program has been made such as its behavior is exactly the same no matter the number of planets and no matter the center of mass. We created one **planet** class of which role is to initialize planets and one **solver** class of which role is to calculate the interaction between the planets.

When one add a planet to a system simulation, **solver** automatically updates the total mass of the system and is therefore in capability to compute the center of mass, the kinetic, potential and total energies with associated methods. However the two main algorithms are Verlet's method and Euler's method. It creates a vector or double numbers.

2.1 Euler's and Verlet's methods

2.1.1 Calculation of the acceleration

The private method **solver::_acceleration** computes the acceleration of a given planet (the planet of index p given as an argument) of the system using (10). It goes through every planet, copies the position and computes the formula. Contrary to the exemples seen in the lectures, the velocity and positions of a planet are stored in vectors of the standard libraries instead of using four distinct doubles. This was made for readability and better connexion with the mathematic reality. However it is a shame that the **std::vector** class does not support basic arithmetic operations, this would have made the code way cleaner¹.

You will notice that this function requires a boolean argument named **relativity**. It is there to tell the function whether it has to compute the relativistic correction or not. The use of the boolean argument allows us to build only one method for both classical and relativistic formulae, instead of two distinct methods. The less code, the less errors, especially since having two similar functions often implies copy-pasta. Furthermore, if we give the same boolean as an argument of our Verlet's method to compute the positions, velocities and

¹We could have built our own vector class here. It is not very hard to do but it was not the point of this project.

energies of all the planets at all the time-steps, we can choose to add the relativistic effect, still using exactly the same function.

2.1.2 Euler's and Verlet's algorithms

The process of Euler's and Verlet's algorithm are to go through every time-steps of the time period chosen by the user and passed as an argument, and inside each time-step, to go through every planet of the system. We will so use two loops, the loop for the planet being inside the loop for the time-steps. For the non-relativistic case, there are 250 time-steps per year, and $360 \times 3600 \times 7 = 9072000$ for the relativistic case, considering a revolution period for Mercury of one seventh of earthen year. For Euler, the program computes (11) and (12), ie the basic derivative formula approximations. For Verlet, the program computes

$$\begin{cases} x_{i+1} = x(t_i) + hv(t_i) + \frac{1}{2}h^2a(t_i) \\ v_{i+1} = v(t_i) + \frac{1}{2}h(a(t_i) + a(t_{i+1})) \end{cases}$$

Now suppose we are computing all the planets inside a given run of the time-steps loop. Each planet requires the positions of all the planets at the previous time-step and its own previous position and velocity. For Verlet, it evens needs the next acceleration. The key is to create private vectors of vectors (a vector containing the position vectors of all the planets at a given time t_i) which contains the previous position, velocity and acceleration of each planet. Since the program needs those values at t_i and that it modifies those values at the same time by replacing them with the new one, it is crucial to save the values of t_i to be sure that the values calculated for $t_i + 1$ are the correct ones.

Euler is straight-forward, but Verlet requires that we compute the next acceleration. Therefore we first compute the next velocity like for Euler, then compute the next acceleration and store it in a vector of vectors, then computes the position and updates the planets. The program can use those vectors to compute the formula, and meanwhile modify the positions and velocities of each planet without impacting the others. The vector of vectors is then reused as the "next-previous" acceleration.

2.2 Validity

2.2.1 Unit-tests

At each run of the program, a battery of unit-tests is computed to validate the results. They focus on auxiliaries functions, such as the methods calculating the center of mass of the system, the distance between two planets, etc etc. If the unit-tests are not validated, the program doesn't compute any result.

2.2.2 Preservation of the energy

The mechanical energy $E_m = E_c + E_p$ should be conserved because the only force taken into account is the gravitational force, which is conservative. The potential energy is constant

in time ($E_p = -\frac{GM_{\oplus}M_{\odot}}{r}\forall t$), so is conserved. The kinetic energy depends on the velocity of the Earth ($E_c = \frac{1}{2}M_{\oplus}v^2$, which is constant in time as we have a uniform circular motion of the Earth around the Sun. So as both of the kinetic and potential energies are constant in time, for any t_i and t_f we have

$$\begin{cases} E_{c_i} = E_{c_f} \\ E_{p_i} = E_{p_f} \end{cases} \Rightarrow E_{c_i} + E_{p_i} = E_{c_f} + E_{p_f} \\ \Rightarrow E_{m_i} = E_{m_f}$$

Now if we compute the energies of the full solar-system, we observe that our simulation indeed preserves the energy.




Figure 8 : Simulation of the Solar System over 10 years

The "constant" oscillation of the total energy between a min and a max value is due to the imperfection of the circular orbit motions of some bodies. Even with a circular orbit axiom, some bodies like Mercury describe elliptical orbits.

Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Earth-Sun system

3.1.1 Comparison between the two algorithms

Let's compare the results between using the Euler's method and the Verlet's method.




Figure 1 : Euler's method over 1, 2 and 50 years (from left to right)




Figure 2 : Verlet's method over 1, 2 and 50 years (from the left to the right)

We clearly see here the difference of precision between the two algorithms. With the Euler's method, the Earth is not able to come back at its initial position as it should whereas the Verlet's one draws a circular orbit.

3.1.2 Escape velocity

We have computed the theoretical escape velocity in 1.1.2.




Figure 3 : The Earth orbit around the Sun for $v_i = 3.287$ AU/yr, $v_i = 8.0355$ AU/yr, $v_i = 8.77$ AU/yr and $v_i = 8.8756$ AU/yr (from the left to the right, top to bottom)

Experimentally, the escape value is $v_{e_e} = 8.8756$ AU/yr which is really close to the theoretical value $v_{e_t} = 2\sqrt{2}\pi \approx 8.8858$ AU/yr.

3.2 Three-body problem

3.2.1 The Sun as the center-of-mass

Here, we have kept the Sun as the center-of-mass. We have tested two things : the influence of Jupiter on the Earth, and its influence on the Earth when we change the mass of Jupiter.




Figure 4 : The evolution of the position of the Earth, Jupiter and the Sun over 20 and 100 years (from left to right)




Figure 5 : The influence of Jupiter on the Earth for $M_J = 10 \times M_\oplus$, $M_J = 100 \times M_\oplus$ and $M_J = M_\odot$ (from the left to the right)

3.2.2 The real center-of-mass

Here, we change the center-of-mass from the Sun to the real one. The coordinates of the three bodies with respect to the new origin have been computed in 1.2.2.




Figure 6 : The position of the three bodies over 20 and 100 years (from the left to the right)

The orbits of the Earth and Jupiter are quite the same as the case where the center-of-mass was set to the Sun. The orbit of the Sun is however different (the Sun is not fixed anymore).




Figure 7 : The orbit of the Sun around the center-of-mass over 20 and 100 years (from the left to the right)

3.3 Complete Solar System




Figure 8 : Simulation of the Solar System over 10 years

We observe that Saturn covers more or less a third of its complete orbit in ten years. It is consistent with the fact that a year on Saturn lasts about 30 years. Similarly, Jupiter covers almost its complete orbit, which is consistent as it covers its whole orbit in around 12 years.

3.4 The special case of Mercury

3.5

Conclusion

Bibliography

- NASA website <http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi#top>
-