from sklearn.model selection import cross val score, KFold, GridSearchCV, train test split from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error, accuracy score from sklearn.linear model import ElasticNet #Problem 1 - Cross Validation #Problem setup #read in data data = scipy.io.loadmat('HW3 1.mat') X = data['X'] #351 count of both X and y y = data['y']lbda = data['lambdas'] #lbda in range [0, 100] (500 count) lbda = lbda.flatten() #2d to 1d the lambda hyperparameter array mix param = 0.95 #alpha hyperparameter #perform cross-validation (5 fold so 20% of data is used for testing/test error) # create outer cv cv_outer = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random state=1) # perform cv operation in each fold ideal model test = list() ideal model train = list() test mse = list() train mse = list() for train_ix, test_ix in cv_outer.split(X): # split data to train and test X train, X test = X[train ix, :], X[test ix, :] y_train, y_test = y[train_ix], y[test_ix] # create inner cv cv_inner = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=1) # define the model model = ElasticNet(11 ratio = mix param) #11 ratio parameter sets the alpha hyperparameter # define param grid (search space -> looking for optimal lambda) parametersGrid = { "alpha": lbda, #alpha parameter sets the lambda hyperparameter # define search for hyperparameter tuning tuning = GridSearchCV(model, parametersGrid, cv=cv inner, refit=True) # search optimal_fit = tuning.fit(X_train, y_train) # get the best performing model and the optimal lambda best model = optimal fit.best estimator #To get test errors for graphing # evaluate best lambda estimator model on X test dataset y pred test = best model.predict(X test) y_pred_train = best_model.predict(X_train) ideal model test.append(y pred test) ideal_model_train.append(y_pred_train) #for 1 (c) # evaluate the model for test errors (mse) test error = mean squared error(v test, v pred test) train_error = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train) # store the mse test mse.append(test error) train mse.append(train error) val_mse = cross_val_score(model, X, y, scoring = 'neg_mean_squared_error', cv = cv_inner) # score of inner # store rmse (errors) test rmse = np.sqrt(test mse) train rmse = np.sqrt(train mse) val rmse= np.sqrt(np.abs(val mse)) #get the needed data for graphing #min and max mse minim = list() maxim = list()std = list() mean = list() opt lambdas = list() for i in range(5): minim.append(round(ideal model test[i][np.argmin(ideal model test[i])], 4)) maxim.append(round(ideal model test[i][np.argmax(ideal model test[i])], 4)) std.append(round(np.std(ideal model test[i]),4)) mean.append(round(np.mean(ideal model test[i]),4)) opt lambdas.append(round(lbda[np.argmax(ideal model test[i])], 6)) print('std for each fold {}'.format(std)) print('mean for each fold: {}'.format(mean)) print('minimum: {}'.format(minim)) print('maximum: {}'. format(maxim)) print() #optimal lbda(better model) print('optimal lambdas: {}'.format(opt lambdas)) std for each fold [0.3843, 0.28, 0.2855, 0.3264, 0.33] mean for each fold: [0.6017, 0.7082, 0.6453, 0.6494, 0.6511] minimum: [-0.7691, -0.2947, -0.1185, -0.4245, -0.5953]maximum: [0.9678, 1.0603, 0.9829, 1.151, 0.9427] optimal lambdas: [3.9e-05, 5.2e-05, 7.2e-05, 4.1e-05, 1.3e-05] from matplotlib import pyplot as plt #Probem 1 (b) - model plotting #plot the mean, std of errors mins = list(set(mean) - set(minim)) maxs = list(set(maxim) - set(mean)) plt.errorbar(np.arange(5), mean, std, fmt = 'ok', lw = 3, ecolor = 'red') plt.errorbar(np.arange(5), mean, [mins, maxs], fmt = '.k', ecolor = 'black', lw = 1) #plot the min and max of errors $x_{points} = [0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0]$ max_points = np.full(5, maxim) min_points = np.full(5, minim) plt.scatter(x_points, max_points, color = 'green') plt.scatter(x_points, min_points, color = 'blue') plt.title('Predictions at Optimal Lambda Hyperparameters {} for each Fold'.format(opt_lambdas)) plt.xlabel('Fold Plots - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5') plt.ylabel('Model Predictions') plt.show() Predictions at Optimal Lambda Hyperparameters [3.9e-05, 5.2e-05, 7.2e-05, 4.1e-05, 1.3e-05] for each Fold 1.5 1.0 Model Predictions 0.5 0.0 -0.50.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 2.5 1.0 3.0 3.5 4.0 Fold Plots - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 #Problem 1 (c) - error plotting and discussion errors_dict = {'Test Errors': test_rmse, 'Train Errors': train_rmse, 'Validation Errors': val_rmse} fig, ax = plt.subplots() ax.boxplot(errors dict.values(), showmeans = True) ax.set_xticklabels(errors_dict.keys()) plt.ylabel('RMSE') plt.title('Error Types for Elastic Model') plt.show() # Errors Discussion # First, let us start with the training errors. These errors are the ones we get when we trained the elastic me # Hence, these errors tell us how well the model learned from the training data. From our data, our training ex # This is to be expected as we trained the model directly on the training data, hence, good results are expecte # Secondly, let us talk about the validation errors. These errors tell us how well the parameters of the model # Hence, in theory, this should make the validation error have a lower RMSE since the model should fit better o # My results do not reflect that and I think that is mainly due to errors where I did not split the validation # Finally, let us talk about the test errors. These errors are completely unseen data and the training model is # Hence, it provides a very good indicator to the model's generalizability. # My results reflect good results in general where the RMSE is relatively low. However, there is a bit of a hig # In general, all 3 errors show good results as a RMSE of between 0.200 to 0.500 shows that the Elastic Net mod # With the exception of validation error, the test and train error results were expected where the model perfor # Hence, I would say that the models selected by nested k-fold CV proprely optimized the bias-variance trade-o Error Types for Elastic Model 0.500 0.475 0.450 0.425 0.400 0.375 0.350 0.325 0.300 Test Errors Train Errors Validation Errors In [5]: #Problem 2 - Elastic Net Logistic Regression import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') #solve optimization problem by minimizing cost function iteratively by solving weighted least squares problem from scipy import linalg N = 351 #number of values in X weights = np.linspace(1, 2, N) #34 iteratively reweighted X Xw = X * np.sqrt(weights)[:, None] yw = y * np.sqrt(weights) newX = linalg.lstsq(Xw, yw)[0] newX = np.reshape(newX, (351, 34))#run the elastic net model on the reweighted values of X mix_param = 0.95 #alpha hyperparameter #perform cross-validation (5 fold so 20% of data is used for testing/test error) # create outer cv cv_outer = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=1) # perform cv operation in each fold ideal_model_test = list() ideal_model_train = list() test mse = list() train_mse = list() for train ix, test ix in cv outer.split(X): # split data to train and test X_train, X_test = newX[train_ix, :], newX[test_ix, :] y_train, y_test = y[train_ix], y[test_ix] # create inner cv cv_inner = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=1) # define the model model = ElasticNet(11_ratio = mix_param) #11_ratio parameter sets the alpha hyperparameter # define param grid (search space -> looking for optimal lambda) parametersGrid = { "alpha": lbda, #alpha parameter sets the lambda hyperparameter # define search for hyperparameter tuning tuning = GridSearchCV(model, parametersGrid, cv=cv_inner, refit=True) # search optimal_fit = tuning.fit(X_train, y_train) # get the best performing model and the optimal lambda best_model = optimal_fit.best_estimator_ #To get test errors for graphing # evaluate best lambda estimator model on X_test dataset y pred test = best model.predict(X test) y pred train = best model.predict(X train) ideal_model_test.append(y_pred_test) ideal_model_train.append(y_pred_train) #Soft-thresholding #Using thresholding helper module to implement soft thresholding # https://pywavelets.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ref/thresholding-functions.html #Soft-threshold for every lambda value import pywt threshold_data = list() for i in range(len(lbda)): for j in range(5): threshold_data.append(pywt.threshold(ideal_model_test[j], lbda[i], mode='soft')) #plot the result import statistics x_plot = list(range(0, len(threshold_data))) for i in range(len(threshold data)): plt.scatter(x plot[i], statistics.mean(threshold data[i])) plt.title('Solution Path for Lambdas') plt.xlabel('Sequence') plt.ylabel('Lambda') plt.show() Solution Path for Lambdas 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 500 2000 1000 1500 2500 Sequence

In [1]: import numpy as np

import scipy.io