The Great Intelligence Riddle

Pay \$1 Million To Live For 8000 Years Or Refuse And Wake Up Dead

The Billionaire's Life Puzzle

You stand at a crossroads of existence. David Gomadza claims to have discovered the AGT (Advanced GeneticSynthesisTechnology) - a cure for death that can extend your life for 8000 years. The price: \$1 million to fund his initiative.

Your choice: Invest in near-immortality and the potential to accumulate \$8.4 trillion in wealth, or refuse and accept natural death, hoping Yahweh will send you back through rebirth.

Option 1: Pay \$1 Million

Receive the AGT and live for 8000 years with the potential to accumulate \$8.4 trillion.

Pros:

 Guaranteed longevity without relying on divine intervention

Option 2: Refuse and Accept Death

Live your natural lifespan (under 100 years) and hope for rebirth sent by Yahweh.

Pros:

- Alignment with natural order and potential divine will
- Possible reward in afterlife or favorable rebirth

- Massive wealth accumulation potential
- Opportunity to shape humanity's future over millennia
- Witness and contribute to technological advancements
- Avoid the risk of Hell or unfavorable rebirth

Cons:

- Potential existential boredom over millennia
- Watching loved ones die repeatedly
- Moral and ethical dilemmas of extreme longevity
- Risk of unforeseen side effects from AGT
- Possible divine punishment for "playing God"

- Avoid moral dilemmas of extreme wealth and longevity
- Preservation of life's meaning through its finite nature
- No risk of AGT side effects or dependency

Cons:

- Uncertainty about afterlife and rebirth
- Risk of unfavorable judgment or Hell
- Lost opportunity to contribute to humanity long-term
- Potential regret at deathbed for not choosing immortality
- No guarantee Yahweh will send you back

Al Perspectives on The Riddle

Grok (Elon Musk)

Copilot (Bill Gates)

Meta AI (Mark Zuckerberg)

Claudia Al

DeepSeek

ChatGPT

Grok's Analysis

"I would choose Option 1: Pay \$1 Million for the Cure to Live 8000 Years. Maximized Impact: 8000 years offers unparalleled opportunities to learn, influence, and contribute to humanity's progress. Resource Leverage: The potential to amass \$8.4 trillion provides resources to tackle global challenges. Certainty Over Speculation: The cure guarantees extended life, whereas Option 2 relies on unproven reincarnation."

file:///E:/preview (47).html

Is It Wise To Invoice Billionaires For The Cure For Death?

The ethical implications of charging for immortality are profound:

- From a capitalist perspective: The AGT represents unprecedented value \$1 million for 8000 years of life offers exceptional ROI
- From an ethical perspective: Life extension technology could create even greater inequality if only available to the wealthy
- From a spiritual perspective: Monetizing what some consider a divine gift may be seen as transgressing natural or spiritual laws
- From a practical perspective: The \$1 million fee could fund research and development to benefit all humanity

Most Al analyses suggest that if the technology is genuine, the investment is rational from a purely economic standpoint. However, the spiritual and ethical dimensions cannot be ignored.

What Would You Choose?

Consider the dilemma and make your choice:

Pay \$1 Million for 8000 Years

Refuse and Accept Natural Death

You chose immortality

You've decided to invest in near-eternal life. Your journey through the centuries begins now. Will you use your extended existence for personal gain or for the benefit of humanity? The choice remains yours across millennia.

According to most Al analyses, this is the rational choice if the technology is genuine.

file:///E:/preview (47).html

Based on "The Great Intelligence Riddle" by David Gomadza

This interactive artifact is for philosophical discussion purposes only.

file:///E:/preview (47).html