Development of the algorithm David Gries

We now develop the algorithm from the invariant (which consists of P1, P2, and P3) and the theorem. The algorithm will be basically a loop. Each iteration of the loop will place one more node in the *settled* set.

Loopy question 1. The first loopy question is: What should be done to truthify the invariant?

Stop the video and figure this out for yourself. Then continue.

Here's what we do: Fix the *frontier* set to contain only start node v and set d[v] to 0. Now P2 is true. Make the *settled* set empty. That makes P1 true. P3 is also true since the *settled* set is empty.

Loopy question 2. We write the loop. When can the loop stop —i.e. when do all elements of array d have their final values?

Stop the video and figure this out. Then continue.

Invariant

- **P1**. For a *settled* node s, at least one shortest v-s path contains only settled nodes and d[s] is its distance.
- **P2**. For f in the *frontier*, at least one v-f path contains only *settled* nodes, except for f, and d[f] is the minimum distance from v to f over all paths from v to f that contain only settled nodes except for the last one, f.
- **P3**. Edges leaving the *settled* set end in the frontier.

Theorem. For f in the *frontier* with minimum d value (over nodes in the *frontier*), d[f] is the shortest-path distance from v to f.

By P1, upon termination, all reachable nodes should be in the *settled* set. By P2 and P3, this will be the case when the *frontier* is empty. For if the *frontier* is empty, v is in the *settled* set (it cannot be in the *far*-off set). Since the *frontier* is empty, no edge leaves the *settled* set, so any node reachable from v is in the *settled* set.

Thus, the loop stops when the *frontier* is empty and continues when *frontier* not empty.

You might have chosen the loop condition *the settled set doesn't contain all nodes reachable from v*. This condition may be much harder to implement than the one we chose because it may be difficult to determine the number of reachable nodes. But the condition *the frontier is not empty* is easy to check. Further, if the *frontier* set is empty, the number of reachable nodes is the size of the *settled* set!

Loopy question 3. How does the repetend make progress toward termination?

Stop the video and figure this out for yourself. Then continue the video.

The repetend should increase the size of the *settled* set, since the loop terminates when the *settled* set contains all nodes reachable from v.

The theorem shows us how to make the *settled* set bigger. Let f be a node in the *frontier* with minimum d-value. The theorem says that d[f] is the shortest-path distance from v to f. So move f from the *frontier* to the *settled* set. This keeps invariant P1 true. We show this happening. We also show that there may be edges leaving f and going to nodes in all three sets. We will have to deal with these to maintain invariants P2 and P3. And we show the shortest path from v to f.

Loopy question 4: How is the invariant maintained?

P2 may be false because there may be a path from v to f to a node like w2 with all but w2 in the settled set, and it may have a shorter distance than d[w]. P3 may be false because there may be an edge from the settled to the far-off set—like node w0. Stop the video and think out how to truthify P2 and P3. Don't be discouraged if you don't get it right; this is a lot harder to answer than the other three questions. Then continue the video.

We use a loop that processes all edges leaving f, that is, all edges (f, w) for some node w. There are two cases to consider, (1) w is in the *far-off* set and (2) w is in the *settled* or *frontier* sets. So we have an if-statement.

1. If w is a *far-off* set, like w0, set d[w] to d[f] + wgt(f, w) and put w in the *frontier* set. After this is done for all edges (f, w) with w in the *far-off* set, P3 is true. Let's remove node w0 from the picture.

2. If w is in the *settled* or *frontier* sets, like nodes w1 and w2, a new path from v to f to w has been created with all *settled* nodes except perhaps for the last one. If its distance, d[f] + wgt(f, w), is less than d[w], a shorter path has been found, so change d[w] accordingly. Note that if w is in the settled set, like w1, nothing will change.

After all edges leaving f are thus processed, invariants P1, P2, and P3 are true.

This completes the development of the algorithm. We give the algorithm below. Isn't it neat? Notice how it is developed quite easily from the invariant. If you can remember the invariant, you can develop the algorithm whenever you have to. Practice it!

```
// Shortest path algorithm: For all nodes w reachable from node v, // set d[w] to the distance of the shortest path from v to w. F= \{v\}; \ d[v]=0; \ S= \{\}; \\ \text{// invariant: P1, P2, and P3} 
\text{while } (F != \{\}) \{
f= \text{node in F with minimum d value;} 
Remove f from F \text{ and add it to S;} 
\text{for each } w \text{ with } (f, w) \text{ an edge } \{
\text{if } (w \text{ not in S or F}) \{
\text{d[w]= d[f] + wgt\{f, w);} 
\text{add w to F;} 
\text{else if } d[f] + wgt(f, w) < d[w] \{
\text{d[w]= d[f] + wgt(f, w);} 
\text{} \}
\text{} \}
```