Homework 2 The can maximise  $l(y, \sigma y \bar{x}) = log p(\bar{x}y_0, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  $l(u, \sigma_1 \bar{z}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log (2\pi) - n \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i - y_i)$  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} = 0 \implies -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 + \hat{\sigma}^2 +$  $T \cdot X \sim \mathcal{U}([0,0]) \Rightarrow p(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} 6 & x \in [0,0] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Now  $\mathcal{L}(\theta|z\bar{z}) = \prod_{i} p(z_i|\theta) = \frac{1}{6^n}$  if  $\mathcal{L}(\theta|\bar{z}) = 0$ The junction  $\Theta \mapsto \frac{1}{2}$  is a strictly decreasing function (for  $\Theta > 0$ ). Then the maximum of  $\mathcal{L}(\Theta | \overline{X})$  is achieved at  $\Theta = \max_{x \in X} \{x_i\}$ This is quite strange because  $\hat{\Theta} = x_i$  for some i. And we would expect that in order to get a measurement  $x_i$  we would need to have  $\Theta > x_i$ .

Also, as  $\hat{\Theta} = x_i$  for some i. The MILE is the smallest possible value of  $\Theta$  compatible with the measurement. Now the number of ways to pick k bulls whose maximum is m is equal to the number of ways to pick (k-1) balls smaller than m. ie (m-1) hall smaller than m. ie (m-1) hall the total way to pick k balls from the thank is (N). Then II A For mek PN(m) = 0

B Now K=4 = PN(14) a (N-4) (For N=14)

As it torms out this function N! decreasing in N

Minue the MLE:s [N=14] then there apply the same considerations as in [IIA]

To German Tank Problem.

There is no disenable difference between A and B. expensive.