

Fitch Ratings

Fitch Affirms BTG's Group IDRs; Upgrades Banco BTG Pactual's National Scale Ratings

Fitch Ratings-New York-24 February 2017: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) of BTG Pactual S.A. (BTG Pactual) and its related entities: BTG Pactual Holding S.A. (BTGH) and BTGI Investments LP (BTGI).

At the same time, Fitch upgraded BTG Pactual and BTGH national ratings to 'A(bra)' from 'A-(bra)' with a Stable Outlook.

A full list of the actions taken is detailed at the end of this release.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The upgrade of the national scale ratings reflects the local relativity adjustment on BTG Pactual's creditworthiness, to incorporate the bank's reduced refinancing risk and improved liquidity and capital stance, which are now more consistent to the 'A(bra)' rating category. This reflects management's successful efforts towards the repositioning of its franchise, only 14 months after the liquidity crisis that affected the bank.

The Outlook on the IDRs remains Negative and captures the challenges imposed by the current operating environment, which in Fitch's view continues to present a negative trend.

BTG Pactual's management demonstrated a satisfactory ability to address the bank's liquidity concerns, by building a large liquidity cushion and reinforcing its capitalization, through a timely and successful deleveraging process, which included the sale of core and non-core assets throughout 2015 and 2016. In Fitch's view, those were all key measures contributing to a greater restoration of investor's confidence and to the bank's increased capability of accessing long-term funding over the past quarters.

The sale of BSI, concluded on November 2016, and the spin-off of the commodities business were also important to reinforce BTG Pactual's capital and liquidity position, besides allowing it to pre-pay, during October 2016, the remaining portion of the

funding facility obtained with FGC (local FDIC) during the liquidity crisis. Fitch also believes that BTG Pactual's recent announced intention to separate stock market listings for its investment bank and private equity businesses should also favor greater investor confidence, as it will formally separate and add more clarity to the bank's commercial activities separating them from its private equity and proprietary trading operations.

At December 2016, BTG's cash position amounted to BRL12.7 billion - even above pre-crisis level and was enough to meet roughly 84% of its short-term liabilities. Accordingly, BTG Pactual's regulatory capital ratio improved to 21.5% in December 2016 (15.5% in December 2015), while Fitch Core Capital ratio stood at 17% at the same date (10.6% in December 2015).

In the long-run, however, Fitch recognizes that capital consumption may accelerate following the attainment of the bank's business goals. Still, management's intention to leverage under its less balance-sheet intensive businesses (Asset & Wealth Management and Investment Banking) may allow it to maintain improving internal capital generation, without necessarily compressing its capital base. The spin-off of the commodities division and the deleveraging of its Principal Investments division bodes well in the bank's strategy to reduce the group's market risk exposure and free-capital.

Fitch notes that BTG Pactual's overall performance is now naturally lower if compared to the bank's historical returns, as the bank still faces the challenge of repositioning its remaining existing business lines after its liquidity crisis event. Over the last three years prior to the liquidity crisis event, the group's structure benefited from an increasing diversification on its business franchise, expanding its leadership in the Latin American region, reinforcing its fee business through the acquisition of BSI and leveraging on corporate lending opportunities. After BTG's business reorganization, though, a relevant share of its revenues generations is now linked again to its trading units, which Fitch deems are more volatile.

Recent quarterly results suggests early signs of profitability development on the back of BTG Pactual's leaner structure coupled with the gradual improvement on the bank's client divisions. Nevertheless, Fitch does not rule out the possibility of a longer than expected weaker operating environment, which may postpone short-term business targets and delay BTG Pactual's capability to achieve targeted profitability improvements.

KEY RATING DRIVERS: BTGH

BTGH's IDR's and National Scale Ratings are equalized to those of its sole operating subsidiary, BTG Pactual. BTGH is a pure holding company and directly controls 70.3% of BTG Pactual. The equalization of the ratings is based on the high correlation between the probability of default for BTGH and the bank. Both are incorporated in the same jurisdiction, being overseen by Brazilian authorities.

Double leverage (equity investments in subsidiaries and BHC intangibles/equity) is acceptable at 117% in June 2016, and liquidity risk management is centralized at the bank. BTGH shares the same executives, controls and systems with BTG Pactual. They are supervised by the Central Bank of Brazil, which requires the entire group to be responsible for the liabilities and obligations of any group company. Nevertheless, BTGH's support and support floor ratings are assigned at '5' and 'NF', respectively, given BTGH's nature as a holding company, indicating that although possible, external support cannot be relied upon.

Most revenue derives from the equity pickup received from BTG Pactual, with low funding expenses and costs. Average return on equity has surpassed 20% each year, with exception of 2015 when it was 10.8%, as net income was affected by expenses related to the creation of provisions for contingencies.

KEY RATING DRIVERS: BTGI

BTGI's Long-Term IDR rating reflects its implicit and indirect support it receives from BTG Pactual. However, despite its evident links with the group (franchise, common management, relevance of its revenue stream and completely aligned business model), BTGI remains a 'sister company' of BTG within the organization structure, without being a direct subsidiary of BTGH. Hence, its rating remains one notch down from the rating of BTGH, the primary source of support to the entity.

The group's recent announced intention to split the stock market listing for its investment banking and merchant banking division does not alter our expectation that BTGI will continue to benefit from BTG Pactual's indirect support, through BTGH, should it be needed. They will remain sharing the same ultimate owners with majority control, and, in a stress situation, Fitch believes that BTGI may continue to rely on BTG Pactual's willingness to extend support. The group's partnership holds, directly or indirectly, 70.3% of both BTG Pactual and BTGI voting shares.

BTGI concentrates the bulk of the Principal Investment business, which includes the group's Merchant Banking (Private Equity investments), Global Markets (Proprietary Trading) and Real Estate (investment in Real Estate funds and other Real Estate Structures) divisions. Those areas were already planned to reduce in size and

relevance in the group's business, and had their deleverage process accelerated after BTG Pactual's liquidity crises. At the end of 2016, BTGI had total equity of BRL2.1 billion, roughly 10% of BTG Pactual's consolidated equity.

During 2015 and 2016, Principal Investments division accumulated losses of BRL1.1 billion and BRL594 million, respectively, mainly due to negative contribution from private equity and real estate units. With the deleveraging of its principal investments, BTGI should become less important for the group while the volatility in the contribution of global markets business to earnings should fall.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

BTG Pactual IDRs and VR

The potential for an upgrade of BTG Pactual's IDRs remains limited in the short and medium term due to the challenges imposed by the current operating environment.

The bank's ratings could be downgraded in case of a steep deterioration of its profitability metrics to levels below an operating profit ROAA of 1% and/or Fitch Core Capital falls below 10%.

Though there is a lower risk of this scenario materializing, BTG Pactual's ratings could be downgraded in the event of any accusation of wrongdoings and/or official investigations are unveiled related to the bank's business and operations that result in pressures on the bank's reputation and further weaken its franchise and financial profile

BTG Pactual National Ratings

Further positive rating actions on the BTG Pactual's national scale ratings could arise if the entity sustains recent improvements on its business and funding franchise while maintaining satisfactory capitalization and preserving its credit metrics.

BTGH

Changes to the rating of BTG Pactual may lead to changes to BTGH's ratings. Also, an increase of its double leverage ratio above 120% or a deterioration of its debt service metrics may result in a downgrade of BTGH's ratings.

BTGI

Changes to the rating of BTG Pactual or BTGH may lead to changes to BTGI's ratings. Also, the company can be downgraded if Fitch has reason to believe that the

capacity or propensity of support from BTGH has changed, illustrated, for instance, by a lower level of management, operational and balance-sheet integration between BTG Pactual and BTGI.

In addition, a material deterioration of BTGI's financial profile where sustained losses and/or a significant increase of its leverage may hinder the overall financial profile of BTG Group, may trigger a rating downgrade.

Fitch has taken the following rating actions:

Banco BTG Pactual S.A.

- Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs affirmed at 'BB-', Negative Outlook;
- Short-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs affirmed at 'B';
- Viability Rating affirmed at 'bb-';
- Long-term National Rating upgraded to 'A(bra)' from 'A-(bra)'; Stable Outlook;
- Short-term National Rating upgraded to 'F1 ' from 'F2(bra)';
- Support Rating affirmed at '5';
- Support Rating Floor affirmed at 'NF';
- Senior unsecured notes, due in September 2017, foreign currency rating affirmed at 'BB-';
- Senior unsecured notes due in January 2020, foreign currency rating affirmed at 'BB-';
- Senior unsecured notes due in January 2034, foreign currency rating affirmed at 'BB-';
- Subordinated notes due in September 2022, foreign currency rating affirmed at 'B';
- Perpetual non-cumulative junior subordinated notes, foreign currency rating affirmed at 'B-';

BTG Investments LP

- Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs affirmed at 'B+'; Negative Outlook;
- Support Rating affirmed at '4';
- Senior guaranteed notes affirmed at 'BB-'.

BTG Pactual Holding S.A.

- Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs affirmed at 'BB-', Outlook Negative;
- Short-Term Foreign and Local Currency IDRs affirmed at 'B';
- Long-term National Rating upgraded to 'A(bra)' from 'A-(bra)'; Outlook Stable;
- Short-term National Rating upgraded to 'F1(bra)' from 'F2(bra)';
- Support Rating at affirmed '5';
- Support Rating Floor affirmed at 'NF'.

Contact:

Primary Analyst (BTG Pactual, BTGI and BTGH)

Raphael Nascimento

Associate Director

+55-11-4504-2213

Fitch Ratings Brasil Ltda.

Alameda Santos, 700 - 7th floor

Sao Paulo - SP - CEP: 01418-100

Secondary Analyst (BTG Pactual, BTGI and BTGH)

Claudio Gallina

Senior Director

+55-11-4504-2216

Committee Chairperson

Alejandro Garcia, CFA

Managing Director

+52 81 8399-9146

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Global Bank Rating Criteria (pub. 25 Nov 2016)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/891051>)

Global Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria (pub. 15 Jul 2016)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/884128>)

National Scale Ratings Criteria (pub. 30 Oct 2013)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/720082>)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

(https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/press_releases/content/ridf_frame.cfm?pr_id=1019545&cft=0)

Solicitation Status (https://www.fitchratings.com/gws/en/disclosure/solicitation?pr_id=1019545)

Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party

verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the

United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers. For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Solicitation Status

Fitch Ratings was paid to determine each credit rating announced in this Rating Action Commentary (RAC) by the obligatory being rated or the issuer, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated, except for the following:

Endorsement Policy - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.