

#### Winter 2018 Final

24 students responded out of the total enrolled 28

# **Instructor with Comments Report**

2018-04-04 - 2018-04-18 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Li,David Jing STATS 250 016

#### Other Users of This Item\*

	Responses from your Students**							University Wide			School/College		
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	1	6	5	5	5	1	2.70	3.67	4.17	4.67	2.83	3.33	3.83
891 As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	0	2	6	10	4	1	2.20	2.83	3.12	3.46			
1631 This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	2	17	2	0	2	0	3.94	4.12	4.50	4.75			
1632 My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	0	11	4	3	5	0	3.38	3.79	4.25	4.67			
1633 I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	6	13	4	0	0	0	4.08	4.02	4.40	4.71			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	14	10	0	0	0	0	4.64	4.52	4.81	4.93			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	16	8	0	0	0	0	4.75	4.30	4.70	4.88			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	21	3	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.68	4.87	4.95			
431 Overall, the GSI was an excellent teacher.	17	6	1	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.39	4.80			
432 The GSI motivated me to do my best work.	13	7	3	1	0	0	4.58	3.94	4.25	4.63			
433 The GSI gave clear and understandable explanations.	12	11	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.43	4.80			
434 The GSI appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.	20	4	0	0	0	0	4.90	4.23	4.64	4.86			
435 The GSI graded papers (exams, homework) fairly.	14	8	2	0	0	0	4.64	4.21	4.58	4.75			
1133 The GSI handled questions well.	13	10	1	0	0	0	4.58	4.29	4.65	4.83			
1134 The GSI seemed well prepared for each class.	13	10	0	0	0	0	4.62	4.50	4.75	4.88			
892 Students felt comfortable asking questions.	7	15	1	0	0	0	4.20	4.25	4.63	4.83			

#### **Written Comments**

1135 Please comment on the effectiveness of the GSI.

Student 1

He was a good GSI, I recommend him.

Student 2

NA

Student 3

David was helpful in answering questions and making our labs as productive as possible. I really enjoyed that he played music during class.

Student 4

Seemed prepared and experienced.

Student 5

David was extremely effective during the lab times for answering questions and clarifying all of the material that students were struggling with. He structured the lab to make sure that everyone understood the material

Date Printed: 4/25/2018 10:25:25 AM Page 1 of 3

# University of Michigan Office of the Registrar - Evaluations ro.umich.edu/evals/

#### Winter 2018 Final

24 students responded out of the total enrolled 28

# **Instructor with Comments Report**

2018-04-04 - 2018-04-18 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Li,David Jing STATS 250 016

before getting started on the individual work.

Student 6

David was terrific. Really nice and approachable and always was on top of his work.

Student 7

David was a great GSI. He was always understanding and wanted us to succeed.

Student 8

David was an awesome GSI; very approachable and extremely helpful. His laidback teaching philosophy is perfect for this kind of course, which can be inherently stressful.

Student 9

David was an excellent GSI. He came to class ready to get through the day's work and to explain difficult concepts to his students. David made lab as relaxing as it could be with music to lighten the mood of our labs. David did an amazing job of explaining each concept to the class in a way that was different from the lecture explanations and so we were able to understand each concept fully.

Student 10

I think David did a better job after exam I, because he had a better idea of where students might need clarification/help.

Student 11

NA

Student 12

David was a very god GSI, labs were very well run and organized. All students were treated with respect. David did a good job at making sure that students felt like they were actually getting what they needed out of the lab.

Student 13

NA

Student 14

I really enjoyed his lab, and how he reviewed the concepts in an understandable way before we moved on to the lab. If anything I would have liked more work with the whole class, instead of just on our own. Overall he was a really great teacher.

Student 15

NA

Student 16

NA

Student 17

NA

Student 18

David was a great GSI. He explained the concepts well, was approachable, and was eager to help.

Student 19

I've never had a GSI for any class that cared as much about the students performance in the class as David

Student 20

NA

Date Printed: 4/25/2018 10:25:25 AM Page 2 of 3

#### Winter 2018 Final

24 students responded out of the total enrolled 28

### **Instructor with Comments Report**

2018-04-04 - 2018-04-18 Report ID: MSR04734

# Instructor: Li,David Jing STATS 250 016

Student 21

I thought that my GSI taught the lab section well. He explained the material in a way that both supplemented the lecture and clarified the concepts.

Student 22

NA

Student 23

Knowledgeable in the subject-matter. Helped explain concepts in different, more clear ways compared to lecture.

Student 24

NA

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.

Date Printed:4/25/2018 10:25:25 AM Page 3 of 3

<sup>\*</sup> The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2018 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.