"Mimoza: Web-based Semantic Zooming and Navigation in Metabolic Networks" Anna Zhukova and David J Sherman, Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest

## Authors' response to reviewer comments.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers of our manuscript for their many constructive comments and especially for time they have dedicated to evaluating our work so carefully. We hope that they will agree that this revised manuscript addresses the issues they have raised and implements their recommendations. In the following we will describe the changes we have made and respond to each of the specific issues.

Since there is a great deal of overlap between the suggestions and the criticisms made by the reviewers, we will first describe the changes we have made, and then provide a concordance for each reviewer.

- **R1**. We have added 8 paragraphs on related work on zoomable user interfaces (ZUIs) to the Background section as well as a discussion of Reactome.
- **R2**. We have documented in the Background two use cases that Mimoza is designed to address: initial modeling of metabolism, and curation of metabolic models. Figure 1 illustrates the links between different tasks in modeling, where different use cases are paths between these tasks, and explain which Mimoza is designed to assist. We agree that it would be interesting to understand the ways that Mimoza could assist in modeling other kinds of biological networks such as signaling networks, and review in the Discussion some of the extensions that would be necessary. Unfortunately these considerations are beyond the scope of the software that we present here, and so in the manuscript we maintain the focus on metabolic networks.
- **R3**. We have provided a definition for "similar," and explained what criteria may be used during curation to determine whether the model is complete and consistent. We use the word "similar" in order to evoke in the reader the commonsense notion used by the biologist for functional grouping, although precisely we mean that there is a proximate upper bound in the lattice induced by the *is-a* relations in the corresponding ontology.
- **R4**. We have made explicit the requirements upon which Mimoza depends: SMBL levels, the ChEBI ontology, Leaflet, Python, Java. Mimoza will use existing layout information and relative compartment positions from the SMBL model, so users can edit the layout made by Mimoza using their favorite tools and reload them into Mimoza.

The Implementation section has been divided into different paragraphs, as recommended by the reviewers.

- **R5**. We describe the pipeline of how one uses Mimoza, according to the use case.
- **R6**. We describe the programs and algorithms used by Mimoza, its hardware requirements, and library versions.
- **R7**. We describe how users may choose the size of the viewing window, and embed one or several Mimoza map views in a web page.
- **R8**. We have included for reference a brief overview of the algorithmic method for building the generalization, and explain very briefly that the technical paper (Zhukova, Sherman 2014) addresses questions concerning race conditions, the relations of subnetworks to networks, the question of whether generalization can disconnect a network, and the role of transport reactions in the generalized model. The focus of this manuscript is a software tool that builds zooming interfaces for exploring metabolic networks. It uses, and calls as a subroutine, an algorithmic method defined in (Zhukova,

Sherman 2014), but further adds processing of SMBL files, adaptive layout of layers depending on their zoom level, construction of a ZUI, and services for embedding and sharing zooming maps. Supplementary Table 2 also shows statistical results of running the model generalization algorithm on a collection of 269 genome-scale SMBL models from the BioModels database.

- R9. We have improved and reordered the figures, following reviewer recommendations.
- R10. We have fixed the references, added many suggested references, and repaired the typographic errors noted by the reviewers.
- R11. We have improved the examples on the Mimoza web page. The explanatory film on the web page is currently being redesigned by the Inria's external communications department and will be on-line in the next few weeks.
- R12. We have addressed several bugs noted by the reviewers, in particular those revealed by deeper testing on the Windows platform.

## **Reviewer checklist**

```
Reviewer 1
                 examples: R5
1.
2.
                 performance: R6
3.
                 beta-oxidation: R2
4.
                 use case: R2
Reviewer 2
                 SMBL level: R4
1.
                 layout algorithms: R6
2.
                 metabolites: R4
3.
4.
                 minor: R10
Reviewer 3
                 Related work: R1
1.
                 Layout in BioModels: R4
2.
3.
                 Unclear procedures: R5, R6
4.
                 Figure 1: R9
5.
                 What is meant by SMBL: R4, R6
                 Layout information in SMBL: R4
6.
7.
                 COMBINE: R10
                 Zoom changes: R5, R7
8.
9.
                 Minor: R10
Reviewer 4
                 R3
1.
2.
                 R2
3.
                 R10
                 R4
4.
             R3
5-6.
           R8
7-11.
12.
               R4
13.
               R8
14.
               R4
15.
               R8
16-17.
        R6
18-19.
        R8
```

```
20.
                R7
                R8
21.
22.
                R6
23.
                R8
24.
                R3
25.
                R8
26.
                R2
27.
                R6
28-30.
         R10
                R6
31.
32-33.
         R12
34.
                R11
35.
                R12
36.
                R11
37.
                R12
38.
                R7
39-40.
         R12
minor.
           R10
general.
                             R5, R7
Reviewer 5
                  R3
1.
2.
                  R2
3-5.
             R1
6.
                  R9
                  R5
7.
                  R6
8.
9-10.
           R1
         R7, R11
11-12.
13.
                minor p.2, p.3: R10
14.
                minor p.3: R1
15.
                minor p.5, p.6: R10
               minor p.7: R11
16.
17.
                recommendation: R14
```

We believe that we have addressed the issues raised by the five reviewers, and request that the revised manuscript be reconsidered for publication. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Anna Zhukova David James Sherman