

Quasi-Frobenius Splittings and Calabi-Yau Varieties in Positive Characteristic

David Hubbard

University of Warwick

Let k be an algebraically closed field and X a smooth, proper variety over k of dimension $n > 0$.

Definition

X is a *Calabi-Yau* variety if $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and for all $1 < i < n$ we have $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

- 1 If $\dim(X) = 1$ then $X = E$ is an elliptic curve.
- 2 If $\dim(X) = 2$ then X is a K3 surface.

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Informally, the ring of *Witt vectors* with coefficients in k : $W(k)$ is the *minimal* complete discrete valuation ring of *characteristic 0* with residue field k such that the extension $W(k) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is unramified and so p is a uniformiser. We write $W_n(k) = W(k)/p^{n+1}W(k)$ for the n -truncated Witt vectors.

Example

$$W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$$

As a set, $W_2(k) = k \oplus k$ with addition and multiplication given as follows:

- ① $(a_0, a_1) +_W (b_0, b_1) := (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1 - \frac{1}{p}((a_0 + b_0)^p - a_0^p - b_0^p));$
- ② $(a_0, a_1) \cdot_W (b_0, b_1) := (a_0 b_0, a_0^p b_1 + a_1 b_0^p + p a_1 b_1)$

The unit is given by $(1, 0)$ and we write $p = (0, 1)$ in $W_2(k)$.

Deformation Theory

Suppose that X is a smooth, proper variety over an algebraically closed field k . Let Art_k the category of artinian local rings (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) with residue field $A/\mathfrak{m}_A = k$. A (smooth) deformation of X to A is a smooth A -scheme \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{X}_k \cong X$. A small extension $A' \twoheadrightarrow A$ is a surjection in Art_k with kernel I satisfying $\mathfrak{m}_{A'} \cdot I = 0$.

Given a small extension $A' \rightarrow A$ and a deformation $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ there is an associated obstruction given by an element of $H^2(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \cong \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If this obstruction is zero then there exists a deformation $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A')$ such that $\mathcal{X}'_A \cong \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, the set of such deformations up to isomorphism is given by $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Definition

We say that deformations of X/k are *unobstructed* if the obstructions for all small extensions are zero.

Deformations in Mixed Characteristic

Classically, given a smooth proper variety X/\mathbb{C} we are interested in smooth schemes \mathcal{X}_1/D , where $D = \mathbb{C}[t]/t^2$, that extend X . Consider the split exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cong t \cdot D \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the section $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow D$ is given by setting $t = 0$. The base change of X with respect to the zero section gives a *trivial lifting* $\mathcal{X}_1^{\text{triv}}$. Indeed, the set of small deformations over D (modulo isomorphism) of X/\mathbb{C} is really a group with identity $\mathcal{X}_1^{\text{triv}}$. On the other hand, if X/k is a smooth proper variety ($\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, $k = \bar{k}$), then there is no distinguished lifting to $W_2(k)$. This is because the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow k \cong pW_2(k) \longrightarrow W_2(k) \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

does not admit a section. The isomorphism classes of small deformations of X are in fact a *(pseudo-)torsor* under $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov)

Let X/\mathbb{C} be a Calabi-Yau variety. Then deformations are unobstructed.

On the other hand, there exist examples of Calabi-Yau threefolds over algebraically closed fields k of characteristic $p > 0$ which admit no lifting to characteristic 0 [Hir99], and thus deformations of CY's in mixed characteristic are generically obstructed. The main theorem of Yobuko is the following [Yob19]:

Theorem (Yobuko)

Let X be a Calabi-Yau variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ with $\text{ht}(X) < \infty$. Then X admits a smooth lifting to $W_2(k)$.

where $W_2(k)$ denotes the length 2 Witt vectors of k . The (Artin-Mazur) height $\text{ht}(X)$ can be defined for any variety X/k . We will give the definition later. Indeed, the example of Hirokado has infinite height.

Degeneration of Hodge de-Rham Spectral Sequence

Theorem (Deligne-Illusie)

Let X/k be a smooth, proper scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq \dim(X)$. Suppose that X admits a lifting to $W_2(k)$. Then the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_{X/k}^p) \implies H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{p+q}(X)$$

degenerates at the E_1 -page.

Suppose that X/\mathbb{C} is a smooth proper variety. Then, by degenerating to a prime $p \geq \dim(X)$ (of good reduction), Deligne-Illusie [DI87] give a purely algebraic proof of the degeneration of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence (well known for compact Kähler manifolds).

On the other hand, there is a counter-example to this phenomenon for a non-liftable variety due to Mumford [Mum61]. Morally, the behaviour of $W_2(k)$ -liftable varieties is ‘closer’ varieties in characteristic 0.

Frobenius Splitting

Let X be a smooth, proper variety over k . We say that X is *Frobenius split* if the absolute Frobenius map:

$$F^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X$$

admits a section as an \mathcal{O}_X -module homomorphism. Namely, there exists an \mathcal{O}_X -module homomorphism $\varphi : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $\varphi \circ F^\# = \text{Id}$.

Example

$X = \mathbb{A}_k^n = \text{Spec}(k[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ is Frobenius split. If t^I is a monomial where $I \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then a splitting $\varphi : k[t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow F_*(k[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ is induced by:

$$\varphi(t^I) = \begin{cases} t^J & \text{if } I = pJ, J \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In fact, if X/k is a smooth *affine* variety, then X is Frobenius split.

Frobenius Splitting

Given a smooth projective variety X/k , a Frobenius splitting always exists affine-locally, however, Frobenius split varieties are quite special. For example they satisfy strong vanishing properties [BK07]:

Proposition

Let X be a proper Frobenius split variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle. Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

If $X = C$ is a genus $g \geq 2$ curve, then ω_X is ample.

Example

Let C/k be a smooth proper curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then C is not Frobenius split.

On the other hand, homogenising the splitting of affine space \mathbb{A}_k^n , one may show that projective space \mathbb{P}_k^n is also Frobenius split.

The key relationship between Frobenius splittings and liftings to $W_2(k)$ is given by the following theorem [Jos07]:

Theorem (Joshi)

Let X/k be a smooth, proper variety. If X is Frobenius split then there exists a flat lifting to $W_2(k)$.

Yobuko defines a new invariant called the *Frobenius split height* $\text{ht}^s(X)$ of X . We say that X is *quasi-Frobenius split* if $\text{ht}^s(X) < \infty$. Indeed, if $\text{ht}^s(X) = 1$ then X is Frobenius split and we will show that, quite generally, any quasi-Frobenius split, smooth variety is $W_2(k)$ -liftable. Finally, we will show that for a Calabi-Yau variety X , the notions of height and Frobenius split height coincide which will conclude the proof.

We saw that curves of general type are never Frobenius split, whereas \mathbb{P}_k^1 is Frobenius split. What about elliptic curves E/k ? Recall that the multiplication by p map $[p] : E \rightarrow E$ is of degree p^2 . However, in characteristic $p > 0$ it factors through the relative Frobenius $F_{E/k} : E \rightarrow E^{(p)}$. In particular, the group of p -torsion points $E[p](k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$ where $i = 0$ or 1 . We call i the p -rank of E .

Definition

We say that an elliptic curve E/k is *ordinary* if it has p -rank 1 and *supersingular* if it has p -rank 0.

One can show that an ordinary elliptic curve is Frobenius split, however, a supersingular elliptic curve is not. On the other hand, $H^2(E, \mathcal{T}_E) = 0$ as $\dim(E) = 1$ so we may always lift an elliptic curve E/k to $W_2(k)$.

Let X/k be a K3 surface. If k is of characteristic 0, it is well known that (when X is algebraic) the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ is free of rank ρ where $1 \leq \rho \leq 20$.

However, when k has characteristic $p > 0$, there exist K3 surfaces with $\rho = 22$. We refer to a K3 surface of rank 22 as a *Shioda supersingular K3 surface*.

It is not hard to calculate that $H^2(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = 0$ for a K3 surface. In fact, Deligne was able to show that every K3 surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ admits a lift to characteristic 0 (he showed that the deformation functor is unobstructed) [DI81].

So we need to look in dimension ≥ 3 to find non-liftable Calabi-Yau varieties.

Formal Groups

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition

A *formal group of dimension n* over k is a homomorphism $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow k[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]] \hat{\otimes}_k k[[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]]$ given by a tuple $f(Y, Z) = (f_i(Y, Z))$ of n power series in $2n$ variables $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ satisfying:

- ❶ $f(X, 0) = f(0, X) = X$;
- ❷ $f(X, f(Y, Z)) = f(f(X, Y), Z)$;
- ❸ $f(X, Y) = X + Y + \text{higher order terms}$

We say that $f(X, Y)$ is *commutative* if $f(X, Y) = f(Y, X)$. This defines a group law on the formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]])$ with multiplication induced by f . Given $m > 0$, we inductively write $[m](X) = f(X, [m-1](X)) \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ for multiplication by m .

Examples of Formal Groups

In characteristic $p > 0$, we have that:

$$[p](X) = X^{p^h} + \text{higher order terms}$$

for an integer $h > 0$ called the *height* of the formal group. Note that, it is possible for $[p](X) = 0$, in which case we write $h = \infty$.

Example

- 1 Let $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} = \text{Spec}(k[T, T^{-1}])$ be the algebraic torus. We have an associated formal group law:

$$f(X, Y) = (X + 1)(Y + 1) - 1 = X + Y + XY$$

The multiplicative formal group has height $h = 1$.

- 2 Associated to $\mathbb{G}_{a,k} = \text{Spec}(k[T])$ we have the additive formal group law:

$$f(X, Y) = X + Y$$

The additive formal group has height $h = \infty$.

Artin-Mazur Formal Groups

Let X be a smooth, proper variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let A be an artinian k -algebra and Pic_X/k the Picard variety associated to k . Let $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_X$ denote the formal completion of Pic_X with respect to the identity. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_X(A) \cong \ker(H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_A, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m))$.

Theorem (Artin-Mazur)

Suppose that X/k is a Calabi-Yau variety of dimension n and let Art_k denote the category of artinian k -algebras. Then the functor:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_X : \text{Art}_k &\longrightarrow \text{Ab} \\ A &\longmapsto \ker(H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_A, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{G}_m))\end{aligned}$$

is pro-representable by a formal group (also denoted by Φ_X) of height 1.

[AM77]. We define the *height* of X to be $\text{ht}(X) = \text{ht}(\Phi_X)$. For an elliptic curve E , the formal group Φ_E coincides with \hat{E} .

Artin-Mazur Formal Groups of K3 Surfaces

Recall that the *Brauer group* of a K3 surface X/k is given by:

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

Unlike the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, there is not usually a k -scheme that parametrises the Brauer group. However, Φ_X can be thought of as a *formal Brauer group* $\widehat{\mathrm{Br}}_X$ as for an artinian k -algebra A we have:

$$\Phi_X(A) = \ker(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_A, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m))$$

Theorem (Artin-Mazur)

Let X/k be a K3 surface, $k = \bar{k}$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Then:

$$\mathrm{ht}(X) \in \{1, \dots, 10\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

When $h = \mathrm{ht}(X) < \infty$, we have that $\rho = \mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(X)) = 22 - h$. We say that X is *Artin supersingular* if $h = \infty$. Thanks to the proof of the Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces, we have that $h = \infty \iff \rho = 22$ and so we can say X is *supersingular* without ambiguity.

Witt Vectors (again)

Consider the polynomials

$$W_0 = X_0, W_1 = X_0^p + pX_1, \dots, W_n = X_0^{p^n} + pX_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n X_n$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then, one can show that there exists polynomials $S_i(X, Y), P_i(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, \dots, X_n, Y_0, \dots, Y_n]$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(S_0, \dots, S_n) &= W_n(X_0, \dots, X_n) + W_n(Y_0, \dots, Y_n) \\ W_n(P_0, \dots, P_n) &= W_n(X_0, \dots, X_n) \cdot W_n(Y_0, \dots, Y_n) \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if A is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra we can define a ring $W_n(A)$ given by $A^{\oplus n}$ as a set with addition and multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} a +_{W_n} b &= (S_0(a, b), \dots, S_n(a, b)) \\ a \cdot_{W_n} b &= (P_0(a, b), \dots, P_n(a, b)) \end{aligned}$$

For example, $S_0 = X_0 + Y_0$ and $S_1 = X_0 + Y_0 - \frac{1}{p}((X_1 + Y_1)^p - X_1^p - Y_1^p)$

Witt Vectors (again)

We have the following additive group homomorphisms associated to the Witt vectors of an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A :

① The *Frobenius*:

$$F : W_m(A) \longrightarrow W_m(A), \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \longmapsto (a_0^p, \dots, a_{m-1}^p);$$

② The *Verschiebung*:

$$V : W_m(A) \longrightarrow W_{m+1}(A), \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \longmapsto (0, a_0, \dots, a_{m-1});$$

③ The *restriction*:

$$R : W_{m+1}(A) \longrightarrow W_m(A), \quad (a_0, \dots, a_m) \longmapsto (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}).$$

Taking the limit, we define the Witt vectors associated to A to be:

$$W(A) := \varprojlim W_m(A)$$

under the restrictions R . The ring of Witt vectors has associated Frobenius and Verschiebung maps defined similarly to above.

Dieudonné Modules

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. As k is perfect, the lift of Frobenius $\sigma : W(k) \rightarrow W(k)$ given by $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \mapsto (a_0^p, a_1^p, \dots)$ is a ring automorphism. We define the *Dieudonné ring* $D(k)$ to be the non-commutative ring obtained by adjoining elements F, V to $W(k)$ with the relations:

$$F \cdot \lambda = \lambda^\sigma, \quad V \cdot \lambda = \lambda^{\sigma^{-1}}, \quad F \cdot V = V \cdot F = p$$

for any element $\lambda \in W(k)$. We use the notation $D(k) = \frac{W(k)\{F,V\}}{(FV-p)}$. There is a fully faithful functor between the category of formal groups over k and the category of *Dieudonné modules* [Dem06]:

$$\mathbb{D} : \mathrm{FGrp}_k \longrightarrow D(k)\text{-Mod}$$

where for a formal group Γ we define $\mathbb{D}(\Gamma) = \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{W}_n)$ where \mathbb{W}_n is the *Witt scheme* of length n . Informally, the Dieudonné module allows us to classify formal groups in terms of linear algebra via the actions Frobenius and Verschiebung.

Properties of Dieudonné Modules

Let M be the Dieudonné module of a formal group Γ (e.g. $M = \mathbb{D}(\Phi_X)$ for a Calabi-Yau X). Then we have the following:

- 1 M is free as a $W(k)$ -module;
- 2 $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} M = \dim(\Gamma)$.

Example

- 1 Let $M = \mathbb{D}(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)$. Then M is the free $W(k)$ -module generated by e say with $F(e) = 0$ and $V(e) = pe$.
- 2 Let $M = \mathbb{D}(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)$. Then $M = W(k) \cdot e$ with $F(e) = pe$ and $V(e) = e$.

Many properties of a formal group Γ can be read off from the Dieudonné module. For example, letting $K = \mathrm{Frac}(W(k))$:

$$\mathrm{ht}(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \dim_K \mathbb{D}(\Gamma) \otimes_{W(k)} K & \text{if } \mathbb{D}(\Gamma) \otimes_{W(k)} K \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Differential Calculus in Positive Characteristic

Let X/k be a smooth proper variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Ω_X^1 denote the sheaf of Kähler differentials. We write $B^1\Omega_X = \text{Im}(\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^1)$ for the subsheaf of *exact* 1-forms and $Z^1\Omega_X = \ker(\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^2)$ for the sheaf of *closed* 1-forms. Recall that the differential $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ satisfies the Leibniz rule $d(fg) = f \cdot dg + g \cdot df$ for local sections $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Of course this is not a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, however, it is \mathcal{O}_X^p -linear:

$$d(f^p g) = p f^{p-1} g \cdot df + f^p \cdot dg = f^p \cdot dg$$

In this way, the derivation becomes \mathcal{O}_X -linear on the complex $0 \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots$ and we may think of:

$$B^1\Omega_X \subseteq Z^1\Omega_X \subseteq F_*\Omega_X^1$$

as \mathcal{O}_X -submodules.

Cartier Operator

Let $\gamma : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow Z^1\Omega_X/B^1\Omega_X$ be defined by $\gamma(f \cdot dg) = f^p g^{p-1} \cdot dg$.

Theorem (Cartier)

Suppose that X/k is a smooth scheme. Then γ admits an inverse:

$$C : Z^1\Omega_X/B^1\Omega_X \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1$$

called the Cartier operator.

Let $X = \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[t])$. Then we have that $F_*k[t]$ is a free $k[t]$ -module of rank p with basis $\{1, t, \dots, t^{p-1}\}$ i.e. we can uniquely express every polynomial $f(t) \in k[t]$ as:

$$f(t) = f_0(t)^p + f_1(t)^p t + \dots + f_{p-1}(t)^p t^{p-1}$$

We then have that:

$$\begin{aligned} C : Z^1\Omega_{k[t]} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{k[t]}^1 \\ f(t)dt &\longmapsto f_{p-1}(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively phrased, we have a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow B^1\Omega_X \rightarrow Z^1\Omega_X \xrightarrow{C} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow 0$$

Inductively, we define the \mathcal{O}_X -submodules:

$$B^m\Omega_X \subseteq Z^m\Omega_X \subseteq (F^m)_*\Omega_X^1$$

by setting:

$$B^{m+1}\Omega_X = \gamma(B^m\Omega_X) \quad \text{and} \quad Z^{m+1}\Omega_X = \gamma(Z^m\Omega_X)$$

We have the *Illusie sheaves* $B^m\Omega_X$, for each $m > 0$ [Ill79]. They will play an important role throughout. Note that, by construction, a local section $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ acts on a local section $\omega \in Z^m\Omega_X$ by $f^{p^m}\omega$.

Let X be a smooth k -scheme. Given a commutative k -algebra A , recall that we defined the rings $W_m(A)$ for $m \geq 0$ and $W(A) = \varprojlim W_m(A)$. Similarly, we can define the *Serre Witt vector sheaf* $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$ by setting:

$$(W_m \mathcal{O}_X)(U) = W_m(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$$

for all opens $U \subseteq X$. Again, we have the associated Frobenius, Verschiebung and restriction morphisms (of sheaves of abelian groups):

$$F : W_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X, \quad (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \longmapsto (f_0^p, \dots, f_{m-1}^p);$$

$$V : W_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X, \quad (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \longmapsto (0, f_0, \dots, f_{m-1});$$

$$R : W_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X, \quad (f_0, \dots, f_m) \longmapsto (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}).$$

The Illusie and Serre Witt vector sheaves are related by [Ser58, Prop. 8]:

$$0 \rightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{D_m} B^m \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

where $D_m(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = df_{m-1} + f_{m-2}^{p-1} df_{m-2} + \dots + f_0^{p^{m-1}-1} df_0$.

Frobenius Liftability

Note that for $m = 1$ we have the short exact sequence \mathcal{E}_1 :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_* \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} B^1 \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

Recall that, given a smooth scheme X/k , we obtain an obstruction $\text{ob}_X \in H^2(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \cong \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to lifting X to $\mathcal{X}_1/W_2(k)$.

Definition

We say that a smooth scheme X/k is Frobenius liftable if it admits a smooth lifting $\mathcal{X}_1/W_2(k)$ and a morphism $F_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$ that extends the absolute Frobenius $F : X \rightarrow X$.

Lemma (Srinivas-Mehta)

- 1 The obstruction to lifting the pair (X, F) is given by $\text{ob}_{(X, F)} \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X^1, B^1 \Omega_X)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^1 \Omega_X)$
- 2 The set of possible liftings $\text{Rel}(X, F)$ is a pseudo-torsor under $\text{Hom}(\Omega_X^1, B^1 \Omega_X)$

Obstructions to lifting Frobenius

Let $X = \bigcup U_\alpha$ be an open affine cover and suppose we pick a flat lifting $\mathcal{X}_1 = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. We can always lift the Frobenius affine-locally on smooth varieties so suppose we pick local liftings $F_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ of $F|_{U_\alpha}$. Then, given a local section $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$, we have $F_\alpha(x) = x^p + p \cdot y$ for another local section y . So on overlaps $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}$ we have:

$$(F_\alpha - F_\beta)|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}} =: p \cdot h_{\alpha\beta}$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies:

- ① $h_{\alpha\beta}(x + y) = h_{\alpha\beta}(x) + h_{\alpha\beta}(y) + \frac{1}{p}(x^p + y^p - (x + y)^p)$;
- ② $h_{\alpha\beta}(xy) = h_{\alpha\beta}(x) \cdot y^p + x^p \cdot h_{\alpha\beta}(y)$

Untangling this, we see that $(h_{\alpha\beta}, U_{\alpha\beta})$ defines a 1-cocycle for the sheaf of derivations on X valued in $F_*\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{O}_X \cong B^1\Omega_X$ i.e. an element:

$$\text{ob}_{(X,F)} \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X^1, B^1\Omega_X)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^1\Omega_X)$$

Similarly, if we have a lifting $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, F_\alpha)$, we may modify it by a p -derivation $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)$ satisfying (1) and (2) to obtain another such lift.

Lifts of the Frobenius and the Cartier Operator

Recall that the Cartier operator induces a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow B^1\Omega_X \rightarrow Z^1\Omega_X \xrightarrow{C} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow 0$$

and thus defines an element in $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^1\Omega_X)$. In fact, this is precisely the obstruction $\text{ob}_{(X,F)}$. Indeed, if (\mathcal{X}_1, F_1) is a lifting of (X, F) then for some local section $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ we have again that $F_1(x) = x^p + p \cdot y$ for another local section y . On differential forms this gives the morphism of sheaves:

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1)_* : \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}^1 &\longrightarrow (F_1)_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}^1 \\ f \cdot dx &\longmapsto F_1(f) \cdot (px^{p-1}dx + pdy) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ‘dividing by p ’ we obtain a morphism of sheaves $\gamma : \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow F_*\Omega_X^1$ sending $f \cdot dx \mapsto f^p x^{p-1} dx$ modulo exact forms, i.e. a section of the above exact sequence (cf. the inverse $\gamma = C^{-1}$).

Frobenius liftability vs. $W_2(k)$ -liftability

The results of the previous slide illustrate the following:

Proposition

The obstruction $\text{ob}_{(X,F)} \in \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^1\Omega_X)$ to lifting the pair (X, F) is given by the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow B^1\Omega_X \rightarrow Z^1\Omega_X \xrightarrow{C} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow 0$$

Finally, we state the following without proof:

Proposition

Let $\delta : \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^1\Omega_X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be the connecting map induced by the extension \mathcal{E}_1 :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} B^1\Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

Then:

$$\delta(\text{ob}_{(X,F)}) = \text{ob}_X$$

Quasi-Frobenius Split Varieties

Let X be a k -scheme. For each $m > 0$, consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_m \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{F} & F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \\ \downarrow R^{m-1} & & \\ \mathcal{O}_X & & \end{array}$$

Definition

The *Frobenius-split height* $\mathrm{ht}^s(X)$ of X is the minimal $m > 0$ such that, there exists a $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$ -linear homomorphism:

$$\varphi : F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

such that $\varphi \circ F = R^{m-1}$. If no such $m > 0$ exists then $\mathrm{ht}^s(X) := \infty$. Otherwise, we say that X is *quasi-Frobenius split*.

Clearly, X is Frobenius split if and only if it has $\mathrm{ht}^s(X) = 1$.

Proof of Main Theorem 1

Theorem

Suppose that X/k is smooth and quasi-Frobenius split. Then X is $W_2(k)$ -liftable.

Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{D_m} B^m \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

and pushout along the restriction $R^{m-1} : W_m \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ to obtain the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m \rightarrow B^m \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

Then, this sequence splits if and only if there exists a quasi-Frobenius splitting $\varphi : F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Therefore, we have that:

$$\mathrm{ht}^s(X) = \inf\{m > 0 \mid \mathcal{E}_m \text{ splits}\}$$

(if such an $m > 0$ exists, otherwise it is infinite).

Proof of Main Theorem 1

Moreover, as the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F_*W_{m+1}\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{D_{m+1}} & B^{m+1}\Omega_X \\
 \downarrow R & & \downarrow C \\
 F_*W_m\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{D_m} & B^m\Omega_X
 \end{array}$$

commutes, we obtain the extension \mathcal{E}_m by pulling back the exact sequence \mathcal{E}_1 along $C^{m-1} : B^m\Omega_X \rightarrow B^1\Omega_X$. In other words, we have a morphism of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_m & \longrightarrow & B^m\Omega_X \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow C^{m-1} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F_*\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B^1\Omega_X \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Proof of Main Theorem 1

Suppose X/k is smooth with $\text{ht}^s(X) < \infty$. Then the extension \mathcal{E}_m is zero in $\text{Ext}^1(B^m\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $x \in \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, B^m\Omega_X)$ denote the extension in the top row of the below diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B^m\Omega_X & \longrightarrow & Z^m\Omega_X & \xrightarrow{C^m} & \Omega_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow C^{m-1} & & \downarrow C^{m-1} & & \downarrow \sim \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^1\Omega_X & \longrightarrow & Z^1\Omega_X & \longrightarrow & \Omega_X \xrightarrow{C} 0 \end{array}$$

Consider the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, B^m\Omega_X) \otimes \text{Ext}^1(B^m\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{(-,-)} & \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \downarrow C_*^{m-1} & (C^{m-1})^* \uparrow & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, B^1\Omega_X) \otimes \text{Ext}^1(B^1\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{(-,-)} & \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows represent pushout and pullback by C^{m-1} respectively, and the horizontal arrows are the Yoneda product.

Then overall we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ob}_X &= (\mathrm{ob}_{(X,F)}, \mathcal{E}_1) \\ &= (C_*^{m-1}x, \mathcal{E}_1) \\ &= (x, (C^{m-1})^*\mathcal{E}_1) \\ &= (x, \mathcal{E}_m) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where by assumption \mathcal{E}_m is the trivial extension.

Proof of Main Theorem 2

Theorem

Let X/k be a Calabi-Yau variety. Then $\mathrm{ht}^s(X) = \mathrm{ht}(X)$.

Equivalently, we can show that $\mathrm{ht}(X) \leq m$ if and only if \mathcal{E}_m is zero in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(B^m \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let X be a Calabi-Yau variety of dimension n and Φ_X the Artin-Mazur formal group of X . Recall that the height of X is given by the dimension of the Dieudonné module as a $K = \mathrm{Frac}(W(k))$ vector space. In fact, there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{D}(\Phi_X) \cong \varprojlim_m H^n(X, W_m \mathcal{O}_X)$$

The following characterisation of $\mathrm{ht}(X)$ is due to van der Geer and Katsura [GK03]:

Theorem (van der Geer-Katsuya)

The height of X is equal to the minimum number $m > 0$ such that F acts trivially on $H^n(X, W_m \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proof of Main Theorem 2

As a corollary, we have:

$$\dim_k H^i(X, B^m \Omega_X) = \begin{cases} \min\{m, \text{ht}(X) - 1\} & \text{if } i = n - 1, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow F_* B^{m-1} \Omega_X \xrightarrow{\iota} B^m \Omega_X \xrightarrow{C^{m-1}} B^1 \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

Recall that $\mathcal{E}_1 \in \text{Ext}^1(B^1 \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(C^{m-1})^* \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_m \in \text{Ext}^1(B^m \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. As X is Calabi-Yau, it has trivial dualising sheaf $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and so by Serre duality we have that:

$$\text{Ext}^i(B^m \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Ext}^i(B^m \Omega_X, \omega_X) \cong H^{n-i}(X, B^m \Omega_X)^\vee$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. In particular, $\text{Ext}^2(B^1 \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Thus, we see that ι^* is surjective from the exact sequence:

Proof of Main Theorem 2

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^1(B^1\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{(C^{m-1})^*} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(B^m\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(F_*B^{m-1}\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ & & \mathcal{E}_1 \longmapsto \mathcal{E}_m & & \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Ext}^2(B^1\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(B^1\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \min\{1, \mathrm{ht}(X) - 1\}$. Then, as \mathcal{E}_1 is zero if and only if $\mathrm{ht}(X) = 1$ we see that \mathcal{E}_1 generates $\mathrm{Ext}^1(B^1\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Finally, as F is finite, F_* is exact and so:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(F_*B^{m-1}\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^{n-i}(X, F_*B^{m-1}\Omega_X)^\vee \cong H^{n-i}(X, B^{m-1}\Omega_X)^\vee$$

Overall, \mathcal{E}_m is the trivial extension if and only if ι^* is an isomorphism:

$$\iota^* : H^{n-1}(X, B^m\Omega_X)^\vee \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, F_*B^{m-1}\Omega_X)^\vee \cong H^{n-1}(X, B^{m-1}\Omega_X)^\vee$$

which happens if and only if:

$$\min\{m, \mathrm{ht}(X) - 1\} = \min\{m - 1, \mathrm{ht}(X) - 1\}$$

i.e. $\mathrm{ht}(X) \leq m$.

Remarks

- ① The discussion on liftings also holds for all small extensions $R : W_{n+1}(k) \rightarrow W_n(k)$. In particular, if X/k is a Calabi-Yau of finite height, then one can build a compatible system of lifts:

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_n \hookrightarrow \dots$$

where $\mathcal{X}_n/W_n(k)$ to define a *formal lifting* $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{X}_n$ of X . However, this does not imply that there is a $W(k)$ -scheme \mathcal{X} lifting X which algebraises $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

- ② By work of Mumford [Mum69], it is known that every polarised abelian variety A/k admits a lifting to an abelian scheme \mathcal{A}/R without giving information on the characteristic 0 ring R . However, there are examples due to Norman [Nor81] of abelian varieties A/k that do not admit liftings to $W(k)$, but rather to *ramified extensions* $R \supseteq W(k)$.

Final Comments

Let A/k be an abelian variety of dimension g . Then $[p] : A \rightarrow A$ is finite of degree p^{2g} , however, in characteristic $p > 0$ we have that:

$$|A[p](k)| = p^i$$

where i is an integer $0 \leq i \leq g$ called the p -rank of A . Indeed, it is not hard to show that $\text{ht}(A) = 2g - i$. When $i = g$ we say that A is *ordinary*. The theory of Serre-Tate moduli tells us that, for an ordinary abelian variety A , the deformation functor is unobstructed and pro-represented by a formal torus $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_{m,W(k)}^{g^2}$ [LST64]. In particular, there exists a *canonical lifting* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{can}}/W(k)$ corresponding to the identity of the formal torus which is the unique lifting of A (up to isomorphism) that lifts the absolute Frobenius F_A on A :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{F_A} & A \end{array}$$

- [AM77] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur. “Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties”. In: *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*. Vol. 10. 1. 1977, pp. 87–131.
- [BK07] Michel Brion and Shrawan Kumar. *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*. Vol. 231. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [Dem06] Michel Demazure. *Lectures on p -divisible groups*. Vol. 302. Springer, 2006.
- [DI81] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie. “Relevement des surfaces K3 en caractéristique nulle”. In: *Surfaces Algébriques: Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay 1976–78*. Springer. 1981, pp. 58–79.

- [DI87] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie. “Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 89 (1987), pp. 247–270.
- [GK03] Gerard van der Geer and Toshiyuki Katsura. “On the height of Calabi-Yau varieties in positive characteristic”. In: *Documenta Mathematica* 8 (2003), pp. 97–113.
- [Hir99] Masayuki Hirokado. “A non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefold in characteristic 3”. In: *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series* 51.4 (1999), pp. 479–487.
- [Ill79] Luc Illusie. “Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline”. In: *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*. Vol. 12. 4. 1979, pp. 501–661.

- [Jos07] Kirti Joshi. “Exotic torsion, Frobenius splitting and the slope spectral sequence”. In: *Canadian mathematical bulletin* 50.4 (2007), pp. 567–578.
- [LST64] J Lubin, JP Serre, and J Tate. “Seminar at Woods Hole Institute on algebraic geometry”. In: (1964).
- [Mum61] David Mumford. “Pathologies of modular algebraic surfaces”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 83.2 (1961), pp. 339–342.
- [Mum69] David Mumford. “Bi-extensions of formal groups”. In: *Algebraic geometry* 307-322 (1969).
- [Nor81] Peter Norman. “Lifting abelian varieties”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 64.3 (1981), pp. 431–443.

- [Ser58] Jean-Pierre Serre. “Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p ”. In: *Symposion Internacional de topologia algebraica* (1958), pp. 24–53.
- [Yob19] Fuetaro Yobuko. “Quasi-Frobenius splitting and lifting of Calabi–Yau varieties in characteristic p ”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 292 (2019), pp. 307–316.