

1a $f(x; r, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[1 + \frac{r}{R} \cos x \right]$, $x \in [0, 2\pi]$, $0 < r < R$
 define $Y \sim U(0, 2\pi)$, so pdf of Y : $g(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$, $0 \leq y \leq 2\pi$
 Note that g & f having the same support $[0, 2\pi]$

Also, $\left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right| = \left| 1 + \frac{r}{R} \cos x \right| \leq 2$, so f/g is bounded on $[0, 2\pi]$

Now, let $M = \sup_x \left\{ \frac{f(x; r, R)}{g(x)} \right\} = \sup_x \left\{ 1 + \frac{r}{R} \cos x \right\}$
 $= 1 + \frac{r}{R}$, occurs at $x=0$ or 2π

Note that Y is our proposed uniform distribution

Algorithm = Step 1: simulate $Y \sim U(0, 2\pi)$
 Simulate $U \sim U(0, 1)$

Step 2: calculate the ratio $\frac{f(Y)}{Mg(Y)}$ and compare with U

If $U < \frac{f(Y)}{Mg(Y)}$

then set $X = Y$, the accepted sample of X
 and return X

else, back to step 1 and repeat

2a $b_1, b_2 \sim \exp(\lambda)$, independent, $\lambda = 0.01$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(b_1, b_2) &= \lambda \exp(-\lambda b_1) \cdot \lambda \exp(-\lambda b_2) \\ &= \lambda^2 \exp(-\lambda(b_1 + b_2)) \\ &\propto \exp(-\lambda(b_1 + b_2))\end{aligned}$$

then given $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, $\sigma^2 = 0.01$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, take $n = 10$
so $y_i \sim N(b_1 \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\}, \sigma^2)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{so, } \pi(y | x, b_1, b_2) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - b_1 \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\})^2\right] \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i^2 - 2b_1 y_i \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + b_1^2 \exp\{2(1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2}))\} \right] \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(-2b_1 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\} + b_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\{2 - 2\exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\}\right)\right]$$

$$\propto \exp\left[\frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\} - \frac{b_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\{2 - 2\exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\}\right]$$

Hence, the posterior distribution, and put back the value

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(b_1, b_2 | y, x) &\propto \pi(y | x, b_1, b_2) \cdot \pi(b_1, b_2) \\ &\propto \exp\left[100b_1 \sum_{i=1}^{10} y_i \exp\{1 - \exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 50b_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^{10} \exp\{2 - 2\exp(-\frac{x_i}{b_2})\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 0.01(b_1 + b_2) \right]\end{aligned}$$

This the detail of the algorithm, in R, ^{plug} ~~plug~~ back the value of $\sigma^2 = 0.01$, $\lambda = 0.01$

2b.

~~Consider $f(b_1, b_2) = \log(\pi(b_1, b_2 | y, x))$~~

by ignoring the ~~proportionality constant~~ normalizing constant,

let

$$\begin{aligned} f(b_1, b_2) &= \log(\pi(b_1, b_2 | y, x)) \\ &= \frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{b_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{2\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right)\right\} \\ &\quad - \lambda(b_1 + b_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right\} - \frac{2b_1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right\} - \lambda$$

~~$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_2} = \frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right\} \cdot \left(-\exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{x_i}{b_1^2}\right)$$~~

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_2} &= \frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right\} \cdot \left(-\exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{x_i}{b_1^2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{b_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left(2 - 2\exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right) \cdot \left(-2\exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{b_1}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{x_i}{b_1^2}\right) \\ &\quad - \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Using Steepest Ascent Algorithm:

Step 1:

starting from an initial guess \underline{x}^0 , and get the gradient vector $\nabla f(\underline{x}^0)$

$$\text{where } \nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: calculate $\underline{x}(s) = \underline{x}^0 + s \nabla f(\underline{x}^0)$

Step 3: If $f(\underline{x}(s)) \geq f(\underline{x}^0) \Rightarrow$ next iterate $\underline{x}' = \underline{x}^0 + s \nabla f(\underline{x}^0)$
 else make smaller s and back to step 2 then replace \underline{x}^0 by our new \underline{x}'

Step 4: repeat step 2 & 3 until $|f(\underline{x}^n) - f(\underline{x}^{n-1})| < \text{tolerance}$

2c we wish to find $\pi(b_2 | x, y) = \int_0^\infty \pi(b_1, b_2 | x, y) db_1$
 let $g(b_1) = g_{b_2}(b_1) = -\log \pi(b_1, b_2 | x, y)$, for fixed b_2

let \hat{b}_1 be the value of b_1 that minimize $g_{b_2}(b_1)$

Note that we have, using my notation, $f = \log \pi(b_1, b_2 | x, y)$ up to proportionality
 so, $f = \log(K \pi(b_1, b_2 | x, y)) = \log K - g$, where K is independent of b_1

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} - \frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} - n$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_2} = \frac{b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} \left(-\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x_i}{b_2^2}\right) \\ - \frac{b_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \sum \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} \left(-2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x_i}{b_2^2}\right) \\ - n$$

~~$$\text{so, } \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial b_1 \partial b_2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} \left(\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x_i}{b_2^2}\right) \\ + \frac{2b_1}{\sigma^2} \sum \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} \left(\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x_i}{b_2^2}\right)$$~~

and since $g = \log K - f \Rightarrow \frac{\partial g}{\partial b_1} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1}$; $\frac{\partial g}{\partial b_2} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_2}$
 $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial b_1^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial b_1^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\}$

Now, by fixing b_2 , $\frac{dg(b_1)}{db_1} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1}$, $\frac{d^2g(b_1)}{db_1^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial b_1^2}$
 and for $\frac{dg(b_1)}{db_1} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1} = 0$, and solve $b_1 =$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 = \frac{\sum y_i \exp\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\} - n \sigma^2}{\sum \exp\left\{2 - 2\exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{b_2}\right)\right\}}$$

let that value be \hat{b}_1 , now, consider first derivative test (quite tedious by factoring it)

b_1	$b_1 < \hat{b}_1$	$b_1 = \hat{b}_1$	$b_1 > \hat{b}_1$	
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_1}$	+	0	-	\Rightarrow so, $\hat{b}_1 = \hat{b}_1$
$\frac{d^2g}{db_1^2}$	-	0	+	

2c Continue :

To test if \tilde{b}_1 minimize g , consider

$$\left. \frac{d^2 g(b_1)}{db_1^2} \right|_{b_1 = \tilde{b}_1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \bar{z} \exp \left\{ 2 - 2 \exp \left(\frac{-x_i}{\tilde{b}_1} \right) \right\} > 0$$

So by 2nd derivative test, we know that \tilde{b}_1 minimize g
take $\hat{b}_1 = \tilde{b}_1$

Now, since we have the Hessian of g at $b_1 = \hat{b}_1$, name it H
so, we can apply the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(b_2 | x, y) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-g(b_1)) db_1 \\ &= e^{-g(\hat{b}_1)} \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (b_1 - \hat{b}_1)^T H (b_1 - \hat{b}_1) \right\} db_1 \\ &= \pi(\hat{b}_1, b_2 | x, y) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{|H|}} \left[1 - \Phi \left(\frac{0 - \hat{b}_1}{1/\sqrt{|H|}} \right) \right] \\ &= \pi(\hat{b}_1, b_2 | x, y) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{|H|}} \left[\Phi(\hat{b}_1 \sqrt{|H|}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(since integral $\rightarrow N(\hat{b}_1, \frac{1}{H})$ pdf)

then plug back the values $\sigma^2 = 0.01$, $\lambda = 0.01$

$\Phi(\cdot)$ is the ~~cdf of standard normal~~
cumulative probability of
Standard normal

$\pi(\hat{b}_1, b_2 | x, y)$ - the Full posterior
distribution, where the value
can be easily compute in R