

# BOREL MEASURES AND THE LEBESGUE-STIELTJES INTEGRAL

DAVID SNIDER

UNC CHAPEL HILL  
MATH 753 WITH IDRIS ASSANI

NOVEMBER 30, 2022

- History
- Borel Measures
  - Definition
  - Characterization of  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Application: Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral
  - Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure
  - Definition of Integral

- Émile Borel (1871-1956)
  - “Sur quelques points de la théorie des fonctions” in 1893.
- Henri Lebesgue (1875-1941)
  - Advised by Borel
  - Used Borel’s theory to develop his integration theory
- Thomas Jan Stieltjes (1856-1894)
  - Integration of one function with respect to another

# What is it?

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Its Borel sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is the sigma-algebra generated by the open sets of  $X$ . A Borel measure is a measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .

# Examples

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Use  $\mu((a, b)) = b - a$  and Caratheodory.
- $X =$  unit sphere. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{intersections of open balls in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and the sphere}\}$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is a basis for the topology of  $X$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , define  $\mu(U)$  as its surface area. Use Caratheodory.
- $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $F(x) = e^x$ , and let  $\mu((a, b)) = F(b) - F(a)$ . Use Caratheodory.

# Examples

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Use  $\mu((a, b)) = b - a$  and Caratheodory.
- $X =$  unit sphere. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{intersections of open balls in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and the sphere}\}$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is a basis for the topology of  $X$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , define  $\mu(U)$  as its surface area. Use Caratheodory.
- $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $F(x) = e^x$ , and let  $\mu((a, b)) = F(b) - F(a)$ . Use Caratheodory.

## Guiding Questions:

- Relationship between functions and Borel measures.
- Does continuity play a role?

## Definition

A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is right-continuous if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  from above implies  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ .

In our notation, we say a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing if it is nondecreasing.

## Theorem

*Given a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure, one obtains an increasing, right-continuous function via*

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -\mu((-x, 0]) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$



## Proof.

Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  from the right, where  $x \geq 0$ . Using continuity of measure from above,

$$F(x) = \mu((0, x]) = \mu \left( \bigcap_n (0, x_n] \right) = \lim_n \mu(0, x_n] = \lim_n F(x_n)$$

For the case when  $x < 0$ , use continuity of measure from below. It is increasing by monotonicity. □

## Theorem

*If  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing, right continuous function, there is a unique Borel measure  $\mu_F$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$  for all  $a, b$ . If  $G$  is another function, then  $\mu_F = \mu_G$  iff  $F = G$  plus a constant.*

Proof: Show  $F$  gives a  $\sigma$ -finite pre-measure  $\mu_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra  $\{\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j]\}$ , where  $\bigsqcup$  denotes a union of disjoint sets. We aim to show

$$\mu_0 \left( \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j] \right) := \sum_{j=1}^n [F(b_j) - F(a_j)]$$

is a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$  by definition.

Proof (cont'd): Well-definedness of  $\mu_0$ : Let  $\bigsqcup_1^n (a_j, b_j] = (a, b]$ .

After relabeling  $j$ , we have  $a = a_1 < b_1 = a_2 < \dots < b_n = b$ .

Then,  $\sum_1^n [F(b_j) - F(a_j)] = F(b) - F(a)$ .

So, if  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n I_i = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m J_j$ , then

$$\mu_0 \left( \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n I_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_0(I_i) = \sum_{i,j} \mu_0(I_i \cap J_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_0(J_j) = \mu_0 \left( \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m J_j \right)$$

Proof (cont'd): WTS  $\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mu_0(\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(I_i)$ .  
We have  $\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i = \bigsqcup_1^n (a_j, b_j]$ . By finite additivity,

$$\mu_0 \left( \bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_0 \left( \bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i \cap (a_j, b_j] \right),$$

If the identity holds for any summand in RHS, then it holds for  $\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i$ . So we reduce to the case where  $\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i = (a, b]$ .

Proof (cont'd): Let  $I = (a, b]$ . We have

$$\mu_0(I) = \mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^n I_i\right) + \mu_0\left(I \setminus \bigcup_1^n I_i\right) \geq \mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^n I_i\right) = \sum_1^n \mu_0(I_i)$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to get  $\mu_0(\bigsqcup_1^\infty I_i) \geq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(I_i)$ .

# Characterization

For reverse inequality, first assume  $a$  and  $b$  are finite. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Use right-continuity of  $F$ .  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $F(a + \delta) - F(a) < \epsilon$  and  $\exists \delta_i > 0$  such that

- $F(b_i + \delta_i) - F(b_i) < \epsilon 2^{-i}$
- Under some relabeling,  $\{(a_i, b_i + \delta_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  covers  $[a + \delta, b]$
- $b_i + \delta_i \in (a_{i+1}, b_{i+1} + \delta_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(I) &< F(b) - F(a + \delta) + \epsilon \\ &\leq F(b_N + \delta_N) - F(a_1) + \epsilon \\ &= F(b_N + \delta_N) - F(a_N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [F(a_{i+1}) - F(a_i)] + \epsilon \\ &\leq F(b_N + \delta_N) - F(a_N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [F(b_i + \delta_i) - F(a_i)] + \epsilon \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^N [F(b_i) + \epsilon 2^{-1} - F(a_i)] + \epsilon < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(I_i) + 2\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont'd): When  $a$  or  $b$  is not finite: Let  $a = -\infty$ . We notice that for  $M < \infty$ , the intervals  $(a_i, b_i + \delta_i)$  cover  $[-M, b]$ , so  $F(b) - F(-M) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(I_i) + 2\epsilon$ . If  $b = \infty$ , then for  $P < \infty$  we obtain  $F(P) - F(a) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(I_i) + 2\epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, M, P \rightarrow \infty$ .



Proof (cont'd):

- $\mu_0$  is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.
- Using Folland Theorem 1.14,  $\exists$  a unique measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  that extends  $\mu_0$ .
- $F - G = k$  iff  $F$  and  $G$  give the same premeasure.

Notice the similarity between the following isomorphisms.

$$\frac{\{F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \nearrow, \text{right-continuous}\}}{\{\text{constant functions}\}} \cong \{\sigma\text{-finite Borel measures on } \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\frac{C^1(\mathbb{R})}{\{\text{constant functions}\}} \cong C(\mathbb{R})$$

Questions:

- Why are the conditions on  $F$  so strict?
- What if we consider Borel signed measures?

Questions:

- Why are the conditions on  $F$  so strict?
- What if we consider Borel signed measures?

## Example

Let  $F(x) = \sin x$ . What is  $\mu_F((0, \infty))$ ?

## Definition

Given interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with non-negative, Borel measurable  $f$ , and increasing, right-continuous  $g$ , we define

$$\int_a^b f dg(x) := \int_a^b f d\mu_g,$$

where  $\mu_g$  is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure given by  $g$ .

Using the theory of bounded variation, we extend the definition.

Using the theory of bounded variation, we extend the definition.

## Definition

Given interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with bounded, Borel measurable  $f$ , and  $g$  of bounded variation in  $[a, b]$  and right continuous. We decompose  $g$  into the difference of two increasing functions  $g_1 - g_2$  and define

$$\int_a^b f dg(x) := \int_a^b f dg_1 - \int_a^b f dg_2,$$

Question: Why can't we just define the integral using the Radon-Nikodym derivative?

$$\int_a^b f dg(x) := \int_a^b f \frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu} d\mu?$$



Question: Why can't we just define the integral using the Radon-Nikodym derivative?

$$\int_a^b f dg(x) := \int_a^b f \frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu} d\mu?$$

Answer: The Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral is a generalization of the above integral.

## Example

Let  $\delta_0$  be the Dirac measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta_0$  its CDF.  $\Delta_0$  is increasing and right continuous, but we do not have that  $\mu_{\Delta_0} \ll \mu$ , the Lebesgue measure. So the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral with respect to  $\Delta_0$  cannot be defined using a Radon-Nikodym derivative.

# An Application of the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral

The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral allows for a definition of probabilistic concepts when the probability measure is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

# An Application of the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral

The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral allows for a definition of probabilistic concepts when the probability measure is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

$$E[f(x)] := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d\mu_G(x).$$

- “Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications.”  
Gerald B. Folland.
- MacTutor History of Mathematics Archive
- Abstract from “The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral” by M. Carter  
and B. van Brunt.