

Roots, Symmetries, and Galois

Linxuan Ma

April 20, 2022

This exploratory question paper is inspired by IB Mathematics' paper 3 and its chapter on complex number. This question paper aims to demonstrate some observations regarding polynomials and roots as an extension to the respective topics in IB Math, and in the end, helps the reader gain some intuition towards Galois theory and abstract algebra in general.

Exercises marked with * are optional; the understanding of them are not necessary to progress in this paper, but nonetheless they might be good practices.

1 Field

As Yink once said, "mathematics is the constant discovery of new objects". Indeed, we've covered numerous mathematical constructs in high school math, but can we generalize those constructs under some common attributes?

Exercise 1.1. Calculate the following expressions and state what type of object (aka. construct) their results are:

- a. $5 + 3$
- b. $[2, 7, 3] \times [2, 2, 1]$
- c. $\frac{1}{2} * \frac{3}{5}$

What are some observations? Well, it seems that the type of the answers to the above expressions are of the same type as their constituent components, e.g. 8 is a natural number, and as is 5 and 3 in $5 + 3$.

This is the idea of a closure; intuitively, a closure states that "I have some elements of the same type, imma do some operations on them, and the result is also gonna be of that same type".

Definition 1.1. A set A forms a *closure* over $f : (A, \dots, A) \rightarrow A$. This generalizes the aforementioned binary operations as a binary operator \otimes is just a function $\otimes : (A, A) \rightarrow A$.

Exercise 1.2. Give 3 more examples of closure.

Definition 1.2. A *rational number* is a number that can be expressed in the form $\frac{p}{q}$ where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \neq 0$.

Exercise 1.3. Does the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} form a closure under division? Proof or provide a counter-example.

Exercise 1.4. Does the natural numbers \mathbb{N} form a closure under subtraction? Proof or provide a counter-example.

***Exercise 1.5.** Let $M(m, n)$ denote the set of matrices of size $m \times n$. Under what condition for m and n does $M(m, n)$ form a closure over matrix multiplication?

It is evident that not all operators preserve the type of the operands, such as division in the set of natural numbers. However, we notice that most mathematical construct that we've learned thus far has some sort of "addition" and "multiplication".

Take vectors for example. Vectors form a closure over addition. Moreover, we can define its multiplication as element-wise multiplication. Now, observe that the addition of vectors satisfies the following properties:

1. $\vec{a} + \vec{b} = \vec{b} + \vec{a}$
2. $(\vec{a} + \vec{b}) + \vec{c} = \vec{a} + (\vec{b} + \vec{c})$
3. $\vec{a} + \vec{0} = \vec{0} + \vec{a} = \vec{a}$
4. $\vec{a} + (-\vec{a}) = \vec{0}$

Exercise 1.6. If we replace additions in the above equation with the aforementioned element-wise multiplication, which rules still hold? For the ones that do not hold, what are some edits that we can make to make them hold while retaining the general structure of the rule?

The properties described above are so prevalent in mathematics that we assigned objects that satisfy the above criteria a special name. If addition and multiplication are defined (in a certain way; see below) for a type of object, then we call it a *field*.

Definition 1.3. A *field* (A, \oplus, \otimes) consists of a set A , an addition operation $\oplus : (A, A) \rightarrow A$ and a multiplication operation $\otimes : (A, A) \rightarrow A$ such that \oplus and \otimes satisfies:

1. **Commutativity:** $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ and $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$ for all $a, b \in A$.
2. **Associativity:** $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ and $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.
3. **Identity:** There exists an identity element $0 \in A$ such that $\forall x \in A, 0 \oplus x = x$. The same applies for the multiplication operation, except that the multiplicative identity is often referred to as 1.
4. **Identity:** For all $x \in A$ there exists an additive $-x$ such that $x \oplus (-x) = 0$. Similarly, for all $x \in A$ there exists a multiplicative inverse x^{-1} such that $x \otimes x^{-1} = 1$.
5. **Distributivity:** \otimes is distributive over \oplus : $a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$.

Exercise 1.7. Proof that vectors in \mathbb{R}^n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a field under vector addition and element-wise multiplication.

Exercise 1.8. Vector cross product is distributive over addition.