Lesson 14 – Properties of Groebner Bases

I. Groebner Bases Yield Unique Remainders

Theorem Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_t\}$ be a Groebner basis for an ideal $I \subseteq k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, and let $f \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Then there is a unique r with the following properties

- (i) No term of r is divisible by any of $LT(g_1)$, $LT(g_2)$, ..., $LT(g_t)$.
- (ii) There is $g \in I$ such that f = g + r.

In particular, r is the remainder on division of f by G no matter how the elements of G are listed when using the division algorithm.

Proof: The existence of r follows from the division algorithm, which yields $f = a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \cdots + a_tg_t + r = g + r$, where $a_i \in k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and r satisfies condition (i). It suffices, then, to prove the uniqueness of r.

Exercise 1 Prove the uniqueness of r.

Exercise 2 We know from the above theorem that dividing a polynomial $f \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ by a Groebner basis $G = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_t\}$ produces a unique remainder (regardless of the order of the set). Are the quotients unique too? Let's examine this question...

The set $G = \{x + z, y - z\}$ is a Groebner basis for $I = \langle x + z, y - z \rangle$ using the lex order w/ x > y > z.

a) Divide xy by the 2-tuple (x + z, y - z).

Solution.

So $xy = y(x + z) - z(y - z) - z^2$. The remainder is $r = -z^2$.

b) Now divide xy by (y - z, x + z). What do you discover?

Solution.

So $xy = z(x+z) + x(y-z) - z^2$. The remainder is $r = -z^2$ is the same as expected, but the quotients are different.

Notation. We will write \bar{f}^F to denote the remainder of f upon division by an *ordered* t-tuple of polynomials $F = \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_t\}$. If $G = \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_t\}$ is a Groebner basis, then we can regard the t-tuple as a set (without any particular order), and we call \bar{f}^G the **normal form** of f.

As a corollary to Theorem 1, we now know that the division algorithm decides the ideal membership problem as long as we divide the polynomial in question by a Groebner basis.

Corollary (Ideal Membership) Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_t\}$ be a Groebner basis for an ideal $I \subseteq k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, and let $f \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Then $f \in I$ if and only if $\bar{f}^G = 0$.

BUT HOW DO WE KNOW IF WE HAVE A GROEBNER BASIS???

II. S-Polynomials and Buchberger's Criterion

Let's assume we have a potential Groebner basis

$$G = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_t\}$$

for an ideal $I \subseteq k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, with $g_1, g_2, ..., g_t \in I$. It follows from the definition that $\langle \mathrm{LT}(g_1), \mathrm{LT}(g_2), ..., \mathrm{LT}(g_t) \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathrm{LT}(I) \rangle$.

However, it could be that $\langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2), ..., LT(g_t) \rangle \not\supseteq \langle LT(I) \rangle$. Let's remind ourselves of how this can happen with an example...

Exercise 3 Consider the ideal $I = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \subseteq k[x, y]$, where $g_1(x, y) = x^3 - 2x$ and $g_2(x, y) = x^4 - 3x$. Show that $\langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2) \rangle \not\supseteq \langle LT(I) \rangle$.

Question: What is the basic source of the obstruction to Groebner bases?

Hence we define the "S-polynomial".

Definition Let $f, g \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ be nonzero polynomials.

- (i) If $\operatorname{multideg}(f) = \alpha$ and $\operatorname{multideg}(g) = \beta$, then let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_n)$, where $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. We call the least common multiple of $\operatorname{LM}(f)$ and $\operatorname{LM}(g)$, written $x^{\gamma} = \operatorname{LCM}(\operatorname{LM}(f), \operatorname{LM}(g))$.
- (ii) The S-polynomial of f and g is the combination

$$S(f,g) = \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\operatorname{LT}(f)} \cdot f - \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\operatorname{LT}(g)} \cdot g.$$

(Note that we are inverting the leading coefficients here as well.)

Exercise 4 Compute the S-polynomial of $f(x,y) = x^3y^2 - x^2y^3$ and $g(x,y) = 3x^4y + y^2$ under the grlex order.

Notice that the S-polynomial is basically designed to cancel the leading terms of f and g, so what we get is another element of the ideal $I = \langle f, g \rangle$ with a different leading term. Therefore, if we have a Groebner basis G, G must also generate all of the S-polynomials. This is, in fact, one way of checking that we have a Groebner basis, via the following theorem.

Theorem (Buchberger's Criterion) Let $I \subseteq k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ be an ideal. Then $G = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_t\}$ is a Groebner basis for I if and only if for all $i \neq j$, the remainder upon division of $S(g_i, g_j)$ by G is zero.

This theorem gives a fairly simple test for whether or not we have a Groebner basis.

Exercise 5 Consider the ideal $I = \langle x - z^2, y - z^3 \rangle \subseteq k[x, y, z]$. a) Is $G = \{x - z^2, y - z^3\}$ a Groebner bases for I under the lex order with x > y > z?

b) Is $G = \{x - z^2, y - z^3\}$ a Groebner bases for I under the lex order with z > y > x?

Remark You can check that $G = \{x - z^2, y - z^3\}$ is not a Groebner bases for I under the grlex order (with any ordering of the variables). Hence, a set of generators for a given ideal may form a Groebner basis under one monomial order, but not under another.

III. A Sketch of the Proof of Buchberger's Criterion

If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i f_i \in I$ is such that $LT(f) \notin \langle LT(f_1), LT(f_2), ..., LT(f_t) \rangle$ then several of the leading terms of the summands with a common leading power must cancel, leaving lower power terms that aren't generated by the $\{LT(f_i)\}$. This happens, of course, because the *leading coefficients* cancel. So it is useful to consider scalar combinations of the f_i .

Lemma If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i f_i \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ where $c_i \in k$ and multideg $(f_i) = \delta$ for all $1 \le i \le s$, and multideg $(f) < \delta$, then $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i f_i$ is a k-linear combination of the S polynomials $S(f_i, f_j)$; that is,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i f_i = \sum_{j,k} c_{jk} S(f_i, f_j), \text{ for some } c_{jk} \in k$$

Exercise 6 Compute $S(f_i, f_j)$, where $1 \le i, j \le s, i \ne j$, and the polynomials f_i, f_j satisfy the hypotheses of the above lemma (so they have the same multidegree).

