

**These problems are not due and will not be graded.**

**Reading:** [vK13, Sections 3 and 4] or [Bou79, Sections 1 and 2]. I also found these slides of Aras Ergus helpful [Erg19].

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{S}p_Q$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{S}p$  on the  $Q$ -local spectra (the rational spectra).
- (a) Show that if  $R$  is a ring spectrum, any  $R$ -module is  $R$ -local.
  - (b) Show that any  $Q$ -local spectrum is an  $HQ$ -module in the stable homotopy category.
  - (c) Show that any map of  $Q$ -local spectra is automatically a map of  $HQ$ -modules in the stable homotopy category.
  - (d) Conclude that  $ho(\mathcal{S}p_Q)$  is equivalent to the category of  $HQ$ -modules in  $ho(\mathcal{S}p)$ .

**SOLUTION:**

- (a) Suppose  $M$  is an  $R$ -module spectrum. To show that  $M$  is  $R$ -local, it suffices to show that for any  $R$ -acyclic module  $A$  we have

$$[A, M] = 0$$

To show this, let  $f : A \rightarrow M$  and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & M & & \\ i \wedge id_A \downarrow & & i \wedge id_M \downarrow & \searrow & \\ R \wedge A & \xrightarrow{id_R \wedge f} & R \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is the unit map  $i : S \rightarrow R$ . This diagram commutes. Along the top, we have the map  $f$ , so the same map is the composition along the bottom. However, since  $A$  is  $R$ -acyclic, we know that  $R \wedge A \simeq *$ , so  $f$  factors through  $*$ , and thus must be trivial. This is it.

- (b) Let  $L_{HQ}$  be the localization with respect to  $HQ$ . In fact, we know that this is a smashing localization, so  $L_{HQ}X = HQ \wedge X$  for a given spectrum  $X$ .

If a spectrum  $Z$  is  $Q$ -local, in particular this implies that the localization of  $Z$  is equivalent to  $Z$ , and there is a map  $\eta_Z : Z \rightarrow L_{HQ}Z = HQ \wedge Z$  realizing this. In the homotopy category, weak equivalences are inverted, so we may take our module action map  $\alpha : HQ \wedge Z \rightarrow Z$  to be the inverse of the above weak equivalence.

It remains to check that the proper diagrams commute. However, this is probably obvious.

- (c) Starting with a map  $f : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$  of  $Q$ -local spectra, consider the image of  $f$  under the natural transformation  $\eta : id \Rightarrow L_{HQ}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_2 \\ \eta_{Z_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{Z_2} \\ HQ \wedge Z_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f} & HQ \wedge Z_2 \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes (up to homotopy, by naturality of  $\eta$ ). Since the action is defined through taking a homotopy inverse of  $\eta$ ,  $f$  is a  $HQ$ -module homomorphism.

- (d)

- (2) Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}$  be your favorite symmetric monoidal category of spectra (e.g. symmetric or orthogonal spectra), and let  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}_E$  be the full subcategory of  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}$  on the E-local spectra.

- (a) If  $f: W \rightarrow X$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  are E-equivalences, show that

$$L_E(W \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{L_E(f \wedge g)} L_E(X \wedge Z)$$

is a stable equivalence.

- (b) Define  $X \wedge^E Y := L_E(X \wedge Y)$ . Show that  $\wedge^E$  defines a symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{ho}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}_E)$  with unit  $L_E(S)$ .
- (c) Conclude that  $L_E$  is a strong monoidal functor and the composite  $\text{ho}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}) \xrightarrow{L_E} \text{ho}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}_E) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{ho}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}p})$  is lax symmetric monoidal. Hence,  $L_E(S)$  is always a commutative monoid in the stable homotopy category.

SOLUTION:

- (a) By the E-Whitehead Theorem, it suffices to show that  $L_E(f \wedge g)$  is an E-equivalence. This will follow if we can show that  $f \wedge g$  is an E-equivalence, since  $L_E$  preserves E-homology.

Recall that a map is an E-equivalence iff the fiber is E-acyclic, and observe that  $f \wedge g = (1_Y \wedge g) \circ (f \wedge 1_Y)$ . Then to show that  $f \wedge g$  is an E-equivalence, it suffices to show that  $f \wedge 1_Y$  and  $1_Y \wedge g$  are, i.e., that their fibers are E-acyclic.

Since smashing with a fixed spectrum is a left adjoint, it preserves fibers, and thus  $\text{fib}(f \wedge 1_Y) \simeq \text{fib}(f) \wedge Y$ . Now since  $\text{fib}(f)$  is E-acyclic, we have  $E \wedge \text{fib}(f) \simeq *$ , and it follows that  $\text{fib}(f \wedge 1_Y)$  is E-acyclic as well. Therefore  $f \wedge 1_Y$  is an E-equivalence, and the proof that  $1_Y \wedge g$  is an E-equivalence is essentially identical.

- (b) Recall that  $X \rightarrow L_E X$  is an E-equivalence, for any spectrum  $X$ . If  $X$  is E-local, then this is a stable equivalence.

For the unit, apply part (a) with  $f: S \rightarrow L_E S$  and  $g = \text{id}_X$ . This gives a stable equivalence

$$L_E(X) = L_E(S \wedge X) \simeq L_E(L_E S \wedge X) = L_E S \wedge^E X$$

Composing with  $X \simeq L_E(X)$  yields a stable equivalence  $X \simeq L_E S \wedge^E X$ . Similarly,  $X \simeq X \wedge^E L_E S$ .

For the associativity, apply part (a) with  $f: X \wedge Y \rightarrow L_E(X \wedge Y)$  and  $g = \text{id}_Z$ . This gives a stable equivalence

$$L_E(X \wedge Y \wedge Z) \rightarrow L_E(L_E(X \wedge Y) \wedge Z) = (X \wedge^E Y) \wedge^E Z$$

Similarly,  $X \wedge^E (Y \wedge^E Z)$  is stably equivalent to  $L_E(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)$ , and therefore stably equivalent to  $(X \wedge^E Y) \wedge^E Z$ .

I won't check the coherence axioms here.

- (c) The maps that define the strong monoidal structure on  $L_E$  are  $\text{id}: L_E S \rightarrow L_E S$  and

$$L_E X \wedge^E L_E Y \rightarrow L_E(X \wedge Y)$$

coming from the inverse (in  $\text{ho}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}p}_E)$ ) of the stable equivalence deduced from part (a) using  $f: X \rightarrow L_E(X)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow L_E(Y)$ .

It is a tedious but straightforward exercise to check the right diagrams commute.

- (3) The *Bousfield class* of a spectrum  $E$  is the set of E-acyclic spectra, denoted  $\langle E \rangle$ . The set of Bousfield classes of spectra forms a poset with  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle D \rangle$  if being E-acyclic implies being D-acyclic.

- (a) Show that  $\langle * \rangle$  is a maximum and  $\langle S \rangle$  is a minimum in this poset.
- (b) Show that if  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle D \rangle$ , then there is a natural map  $L_E X \rightarrow L_D X$ .
- (c) Show that if  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle D \rangle$ , then  $L_D L_E X \simeq L_D X$ .

SOLUTION:

- (a) Every spectrum  $X$  is  $*$ -acyclic, because  $* \wedge X \simeq *$ . Therefore, being  $E$ -acyclic implies being  $*$ -acyclic for any  $E$ , so  $\langle * \rangle$  is a minimum in this poset.  
On the other hand, a spectrum  $X$  is  $S$ -acyclic if and only if  $S \wedge X \simeq *$ . So only  $*$  is  $S$ -acyclic. So  $X$  being  $S$ -acyclic implies that  $X$  is  $E$ -acyclic for any  $E$ . So  $\langle S \rangle$  is a maximum.
- (b) If  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle D \rangle$ , then any  $E$ -acyclic spectrum is  $D$ -acyclic. Claim that  $L_D X$  is  $E$ -local, so it admits a map from the initial  $E$ -localization  $L_E X$  of  $X$ . To see that  $L_D X$  is  $E$ -local, we must show that for any  $E$ -acyclic  $A$ ,  $[A, L_D X] = 0$ . But if  $A$  is  $E$ -acyclic, then  $A$  is also  $D$ -acyclic, so  $[A, L_D X] = 0$  because  $L_D X$  is  $D$ -local. Hence,  $L_D X$  is  $E$ -local. Therefore, there is a map  $L_E X \rightarrow L_D X$  from the initial  $E$ -localization of  $X$ .
- (c) The map  $X \rightarrow L_E X$  is an  $E$ -equivalence, which means that the fiber  $F$  of this map is  $E$ -acyclic. Since  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle D \rangle$ , this means the fiber is  $D$ -acyclic, which is equivalent to the map  $X \rightarrow L_E X$  being a  $D$ -equivalence. Then applying  $L_D$  to this map yields  $L_D X \rightarrow L_D L_E X$ , which is a  $D$ -equivalence between  $D$ -local spectra. By the  $D$ -Whitehead theorem, this is a stable equivalence.

## REFERENCES

- [Bou79] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spectra with respect to homology. *Topology*, 18(4):257–281, 1979.
- [Erg19] Aras Ergus. The localization of spectra with respect to homology by A. K. Bousfield, eCHT Kan Seminar 2019. <https://www.aergus.net/academic/documents/assorted/bousfield-localization.pdf>, 2019.
- [vK13] Paul van Koughnett. Spectra and localization. [https://people.math.harvard.edu/~hirolee/pretalbot2013/notes/2013-02-07-Paul-VanKoughnett-Bousfield\\_Localization.pdf](https://people.math.harvard.edu/~hirolee/pretalbot2013/notes/2013-02-07-Paul-VanKoughnett-Bousfield_Localization.pdf), 2013.

*Credit for all problems to Bert Guillou.*