A Few Notes on Density Operators, Expectation Values and Matrix Shapes

David Meyer

dmm@{1-4-5.net,uoregon.edu}

Last update: April 29, 2021

1 Introduction

These notes started life as an experiment in drawing matrices and their shapes (see Section 4). However, it has evolved into a more ad-hoc collection of notes covering a few topics in quantum mechanics. So its a WIP. We start with a review of Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection...

2 Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection

As we saw above, unitary matrices are matrices which satisfy

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \tag{1}$$

Unitary matrices are ubiquitous and important in quantum mechanics, in particular because they have the following unique and useful properties: Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection [3]. We'll briefly look at each of these below¹.

2.1 Orthornomality

We can rewrite Equation 1 as

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I} \tag{2}$$

where I is the *identity* matrix. What Equation 2 is really telling us is that the columns of the matrix U form a set of orthogramly vectors.

¹I will use the notation $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\mathrm{T}}$ interchangably in the following discussion.

Note that we can interpret a matrix as a row vector where the entries are the columns \mathbf{v}_i of \mathbf{U} . That is

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, \mathbf{U}^{-1} can be written as a column vector where the entries are the row vectors \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\dagger} :

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \ dots \ \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can see that

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2} & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{3} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{N} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2} & \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{3} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{N} \\ \mathbf{v}_{3}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{3}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2} & \mathbf{v}_{3}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{3} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{3}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2} & \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{3} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}$$

or in Dirac notation [2]

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2} & \dots & \mathbf{v}_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_{1} | \\ \langle v_{2} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_{N} | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} |v_{1}\rangle & |v_{2}\rangle & \dots & |v_{N}\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_{1} | v_{1}\rangle & \langle v_{1} | v_{2}\rangle & \langle v_{1} | v_{3}\rangle & \dots & \langle v_{1} | v_{N}\rangle \\ \langle v_{2} | v_{1}\rangle & \langle v_{2} | v_{2}\rangle & \langle v_{2} | v_{3}\rangle & \dots & \langle v_{2} | v_{N}\rangle \\ \langle v_{3} | v_{1}\rangle & \langle v_{3} | v_{2}\rangle & \langle v_{3} | v_{3}\rangle & \dots & \langle v_{N} | v_{N}\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}$$

Note here that $\langle v_i | v_i \rangle = 1$ (the v_i are unit vectors) and $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = 0$ (v_i and v_j are orthogonal). In quantum mechanics two states v_i and v_j are said to be distinguishable or measurable if they are orthogonal, that is, if $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = 0$.

Another way to say this to notice² that since $(\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U})_{ij} = (\mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{U})_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, the columns of \mathbf{U} can be written as the inner product $\langle v_i|v_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Said another way, the vectors v_i form an orthonormal set. In particular, if $\mathbf{V} = \{v_j\}$ is an orthonormal set, then for $v_i, v_j \in \mathbf{V}$, the inner product $\langle v_i|v_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. See Section 4 for a brief discussion on matrix shapes.

2.2 Completeness

From $\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ we saw that we could derive orthonormality. But we also expect that $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{I}$. It turns out that we can get something interesting by observing this. In particular

 $^{^{2}\}delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker Delta function [4], $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i = j \\ 0 & \text{when } i \neq j \end{cases}$

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} |v_1\rangle & |v_2\rangle & |v_3\rangle & \dots & |v_N\rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1| \\ \langle v_2| \\ \langle v_3| \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_N| \end{bmatrix}$$

If we multiply this out we find that

$$|v_1\rangle\langle v_1| + |v_2\rangle\langle v_2| + \dots + |v_N\rangle\langle v_N| = \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle\langle v_i| = \mathbf{I}$$
 (3)

Equation 3 is known as the *completeness* relation.

Completeness turns out to be useful and is a sort of a "dual" of orthonormality. While orthonormality is kind of an "inner product" ($\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U}$), completeness is like an outer product in that $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}$ is a sum over i of $|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$, although the shapes might be seen as reversed (see Section 4 on shapes).

2.3 Projection

To get an idea of what projection is all about, consider the expansion of a vector into components in a basis:

$$|w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i |v_i\rangle \tag{4}$$

Now, if the set of vectors basis vectors $\{v_i\}$ are orthonormal, then we know that

$$w_i = \langle v_i | w \rangle$$

and substituting back into Equation 4 we get

$$|w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle v_i | w \rangle |v_i\rangle$$

Interestingly, there is another way to derive this result: use the completeness relation, which is simply a fancy but useful way to write \mathbf{I} :

$$|w\rangle = \mathbf{I} \cdot |w\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|\right) |w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|w\rangle$$

In words, we were able to use the completeness relation to project a vector onto its components in a particular basis.

For example, we know that for vectors $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, we can take the inner product between them by using their components in a basis $\{v_i\}$:

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^* b_i$$

where $a_i = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle$ and $b_i = \langle v_i | \beta \rangle$. Interestingly, we can again derive this using the completeness relation:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle & = & \langle \alpha | \mathbf{I} | \beta \rangle & \# \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha | \mathbf{I} | \beta \rangle \\ & = & \langle \alpha | \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| \right) | \beta \rangle & \# \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)} \\ & = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \langle \alpha | v_i\rangle \langle v_i | \beta \rangle & \# \text{ rearrange} \\ & = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \langle v_i | \beta \rangle & \# \langle a | b \rangle = \langle b | a \rangle^* \text{ so } \langle \alpha | v_i\rangle = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \\ & = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} a_i^* b_i & \# a_i^* = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \text{ and } b_i = \langle v_i | \beta \rangle \end{array}$$

3 Expectation Values

Consider an observable **A** in the pure state $|\psi\rangle$. The expectation value $\langle A\rangle_{\psi}$ is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \tag{5}$$

where $\dim(\langle \psi |) = 1 \times n$, $\dim(A) = n \times n$, and $\dim(|\psi\rangle) = n \times 1$.

So why is $\langle A \rangle_{\psi}$ an expectation? Well, first, if A is an observable for a system with a discrete set of values $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$, then this observable is represented by a Hermitean operator

 \hat{A} that has these discrete values as its eigenvalues, and associated eigenstates $\{|a_n\rangle\}$, for $n=1,2,3,\ldots$ satisfying the eigenvalue equation $\hat{A}\,|a_n\rangle=a_n\,|a_n\rangle$. I drop the "hat" in most of the below.

First, observe that $\langle a_n | A = a_n \langle a_n |$. Why?

$$A |a_{n}\rangle = a_{n} |a_{n}\rangle \qquad \# \text{ eigenvalue equation for } A (A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v})$$

$$\Rightarrow (A |a_{n}\rangle)^{\dagger} = (a_{n} |a_{n}\rangle)^{\dagger} \qquad \# \text{ conjugate transpose both sides}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} = |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} a_{n}^{\dagger} \qquad \# (AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{\dagger} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# \text{ rearrange } (a_{n}^{\dagger} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{\dagger} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# A \text{ is Hermitean so } A = A^{\dagger}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} (a_{n} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} A = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad \# a_{n}^{\dagger} = a_{n}^{*} |a_{n}\rangle^{\dagger} = |a_{n}\rangle^$$

But why does $a_n^* = a_n$ (last line of (6))? Well, consider

$$AX = \lambda X \qquad \# \text{ eigenvalue equation}$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} = X^{\dagger}\lambda^{\dagger} \qquad \# (AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} = \lambda^{\dagger}X^{\dagger} \qquad \# \text{ rearrange } (\lambda^{\dagger} \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} = \lambda^{*}X^{\dagger} \qquad \# \lambda^{\dagger} = \lambda^{*} (\lambda \text{ is a scalar}) \qquad (7)$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}A = \lambda^{*}X^{\dagger} \qquad \# A^{\dagger} = A \text{ since } A \text{ is Hermitean}$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}A = X^{\dagger}\lambda^{*} \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$\implies X^{\dagger}AX = X^{\dagger}\lambda^{*}X \qquad \# \text{ multiply both sides by } X$$

Now notice that if we multiply both sides of the original eigenvalue equation $(AX = \lambda X)$ by X^{\dagger} we get $X^{\dagger}AX = X^{\dagger}\lambda X$. We know from (7) that $X^{\dagger}AX = X^{\dagger}\lambda^*X$ and therefore that $X^{\dagger}\lambda^*X = X^{\dagger}\lambda X$. This implies that $\lambda^* = \lambda$, so $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly $a_n^* = a_n$ so $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Another way to look at this is to assume the computational basis³ and then

³The approach taken in (6) doesn't seem to require this assumption.

$$\langle a_n | A = a_n \langle n | A \\ = a_n \langle n | A^{\dagger} \\ = a_n \langle n | A^{\dagger} \\ = a_n \langle n | \begin{cases} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{cases}$$

$$= a_n \left[0 \quad \cdots \quad 1 \quad \cdots 0 \right] \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= a_n \left[0 \quad \cdots \quad 1 \quad \cdots 0 \right] \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= a_n \langle a_n |$$

$$\# \langle n | = a_n \left[0 \quad \cdots \quad 1 \quad \cdots 0 \right] = a_n \langle n |$$

$$\# A \text{ is Hermitian so } A = A^{\dagger}$$

$$\# A^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\# \langle n | = \left[0 \quad \cdots \quad 1 \quad \cdots 0 \right]$$

$$\# \langle n | \text{ selects the } n^{th} \text{ element of } A^{\dagger}, \langle a_n |$$

In any event, now we have $\langle a_n | A = a_n \langle a_n |$. So we can observe that

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \qquad \# \text{ definition of } \langle A \rangle_{\psi} \text{ for } pure \text{ state } | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi | IA | \psi \rangle \qquad \# I \cdot A = A$$

$$= \langle \psi | \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n}| \right) A | \psi \rangle \qquad \# \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n}| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n} | A | \psi \rangle \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n} | \psi \rangle \qquad \# \langle a_{n} | A = a_{n}\langle a_{n}| \text{ (see above)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n} | \psi \rangle a_{n} \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle |^{2} a_{n} \qquad \# |\langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle |^{2} = \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle^{*} = \langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n} | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(a_{n}) a_{n} \qquad \# |\langle \psi | a_{n}\rangle |^{2} = p(a_{n}), \text{ the probability of observing eigenvalue } a_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{N_{n}}{N} a_{n} \qquad \# N_{n} \text{ is the number of times } a_{n} \text{ has been measured}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[A] \qquad \# \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(X_{n}) X_{n}$$

So the expectation value for the result of a measurement represented by a self-adjoint operator A, $\langle A \rangle_{\psi}$, is the weighted average of all possible outcomes under A, that is, $\mathbb{E}[A]$.

4 Shapes

One way to visualize $\langle A \rangle_{\psi}$ is

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} \to \underbrace{\left[\dots \dots \right]}_{1 \times n} \underbrace{\left[\dots \dots \dots \dots \right]}_{n \times n} \underbrace{\left[\dots \dots \dots \dots \right]}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{\left[\dots \dots \dots \dots \right]}_{n \times 1}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

The density operator ρ for pure state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. The shape of ρ is

$$\rho \to \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{1 \times n} \to \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n}$$

The shape of the inner product of two $n \times 1$ column vectors $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle u | v \rangle = \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v}$ is

$$\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v} \to \underbrace{\left[\dots\dots\right]}_{1\times n} \underbrace{\left[\dots\right]}_{n\times 1} \to c$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The shape of the outer product $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} = |u\rangle \langle v| = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is

$$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \to \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{1 \times n} \to \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n}$$

5 The Density ρ and the Trace of an Operator D

So ρ is an $n \times n$ linear operator with $\text{Tr}(\rho) = \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) = \langle \psi|\psi\rangle$. In addition, $\text{Tr}(|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|) = \langle \psi_i|\psi_i\rangle = \delta_{ii} = 1$, and if $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis then $\text{Tr}(|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j|) = \langle \psi_i|\psi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

The density matrix [1] ρ has the following important properties:

Projection: $\rho^2 = \rho$ Hermiticity: $\rho^{\dagger} = \rho$ Normalization: $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ Positivity: $\rho \geq 1$

The *trace* of an operator D, Tr(D), is defined to be $\text{Tr}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle n|D|n \rangle$. Now, suppose $D = |\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$. Then we can see that $\text{Tr}(D) = \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|) = \langle\phi|\psi\rangle$ as follows:

So the trace of the outer product $|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$, $\text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|)$, is the inner product $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle$.

A simple theorem relates the expectation value of an observable A in a state represented by a density matrix ρ to the trace of A:

$$\langle A \rangle_{\rho} = \text{Tr}(\rho A)$$
 (8)

The proof of Equation 8 is also pretty simple:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A) &=& \operatorname{Tr}(|\psi\rangle \, \langle \psi| \, A) & \# \, \rho \equiv |\psi\rangle \, \langle \psi| \\ &=& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \, \langle n| \, |\psi\rangle \, \langle \psi| \, A \, |n\rangle & \# \, \operatorname{definition of Tr}(\cdot) \\ &=& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \, \langle n|\psi\rangle \, \langle \psi| \, A \, |n\rangle & \# \, \langle n|\psi\rangle = \langle n| \, |\psi\rangle \\ &=& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \, \langle \psi| \, A \, |n\rangle \, \langle n|\psi\rangle & \# \, \operatorname{rearrange} \\ &=& \langle \psi| \, A \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} |n\rangle \, \langle n| \right) \, |\psi\rangle & \# \, \operatorname{neither} \, A \, \operatorname{nor} \, \psi \, \operatorname{depend} \, \operatorname{on} \, n \\ &=& \langle \psi| \, A \cdot I \, |\psi\rangle & \# \, \sum_{n=1}^{N} \, |n\rangle \, \langle n| = \mathbf{I} \, \left(\operatorname{Equation} \, 3 \right) \\ &=& \langle \psi| \, A \, |\psi\rangle & \# \, \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A} \\ &=& \langle A \rangle & \# \, \langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle \psi| \, A \, |\psi\rangle \, \left(\operatorname{Equation} \, 5 \right) \end{aligned}$$

6 A More General View of the Density Operator

Consider an ensemble of identical quantum systems. The system has probability w_i to be in quantum state $|\psi_i\rangle$. Here $\langle \psi_i|\psi_i\rangle=1$, but the states $|\psi_i\rangle$ aren't necessarily orthogonal to one another. That means that out of all the examples in the ensemble, a fraction w_i are in state $|\psi_i\rangle$, with $w_i>0$ and $\sum_i w_i=1$.

The expectation value for the result of a measurement represented by a self-adjoint operator A is

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle \psi_{i} | A | \psi_{i} \rangle \tag{9}$$

We can write the expectation value in a different way using a basis $|K\rangle$ as

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle \psi_{i} | A | \psi_{i} \rangle \qquad \# \text{ defintion of } \langle A \rangle_{\psi} \text{, Equation 9}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle \psi_{i} | IAI | \psi_{i} \rangle \qquad \# \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle \psi_{i} | \left(\sum_{J} | J \rangle \langle J | \right) | A | \left(\sum_{K} | K \rangle \langle K | \right) | \psi_{i} \rangle \qquad \# \sum_{J} | J \rangle \langle J | = \mathbf{I}, \sum_{K} | K \rangle \langle K | = \mathbf{I}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \sum_{J,K} \langle \psi_{i} | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \langle K | \psi_{i} \rangle \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \psi_{i} \rangle \langle \psi_{i} | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{J,K} \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle K | \psi_{i} \rangle \langle \psi_{i} | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \qquad \# \text{ none of } A, J, \text{ or } K \text{ depend on } i$$

$$= \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \left(\sum_{i} w_{i} | \psi_{i} \rangle \langle \psi_{i} | \right) | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \rho | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \qquad \# \rho \equiv \sum_{i} w_{i} | \psi_{i} \rangle \langle \psi_{i} |$$

$$= \sum_{K} \langle K | \rho I A | K \rangle \qquad \# \sum_{J} | J \rangle \langle J | = \mathbf{I}$$

$$= \sum_{K} \langle K | \rho A | K \rangle \qquad \# \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\rho A) \qquad \# \text{Tr}(D) = \sum_{K} \langle n | D | n \rangle$$

6.1 Properties of the Density Operator

As mentioned above, there are several important properties of the density operator ρ . The first of these is that $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$. This follows from w_i has $w_i > 0$ and $\sum w_i = 1$.

Next, ρ is self-adjoint: $\rho^{\dagger} = \rho$. Because it is self-adjoint, ρ has eigenvectors $|J\rangle$ with eigenvalues λ_J and the eigenvectors form a basis for vector space. Thus ρ has a standard spectral representation

$$\rho = \sum_{I} \lambda_{J} |J\rangle \langle J|$$

We can express λ_J as $\lambda_J = \langle J|\rho|J\rangle$. Then

$$\lambda_{J} = \langle J|\rho|J\rangle \qquad \#$$

$$= \langle J|\left(\sum_{i} w_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|\right) |J\rangle \qquad \# \rho = \sum_{i} w_{i} |w_{i}\rangle \langle w_{i}|$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|J\rangle \qquad \# \text{ rearrange}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle^{*} \qquad \# \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle^{*} = \langle \psi_{i}|J\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} |\langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle |^{2} \qquad \# \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle \langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle^{*} = |\langle J|\psi_{i}\rangle |^{2}$$

Since $w_i > 0$ and $|\langle J|\psi_i\rangle|^2 > 0$, each eigenvalue must be non-negative, that is, $\lambda_J \ge 0$. In addition, the trace of ρ is the sum of its eigenvalues, so $\sum_J \lambda_J = 1$. Since each eigenvalue is non-negative, $\lambda_J \le 1$.

Another way to see why $|\langle a_n | \psi \rangle|^2 = p(a_n)$:

$$|\psi\rangle = I |\psi\rangle \qquad # \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$$

$$= \sum_{n} |a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n}| |\psi\rangle \qquad # \sum_{n} |a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n}| = I$$

$$= \sum_{n} |a_{n}\rangle \langle a_{n}| \psi\rangle \qquad # \langle a_{n}| |\psi\rangle = \langle a_{n}|\psi\rangle$$

So $\langle a_n | \psi \rangle$ is the amplitude of $|a_n\rangle$, making $|\langle a_n | \psi \rangle|^2 = p(a_n)$.

7 Acknowledgements

References

- [1] Frank Porter. Physics 125c Course Notes: Density Matrix Formalism. http://www.cithep.caltech.edu/~fcp/physics/quantumMechanics/densityMatrix/densityMatrix.pdf, 2011. [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2018].
- [2] F. Gieres. Mathematical surprises and Dirac's formalism in quantum mechanics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 63:1893–1931, December 2000.
- [3] J. D. Cresser. Probability, expectation value and uncertainty. http://physics.mq. edu.au/~jcresser/Phys301/Chapters/Chapter14.pdf, 2007. [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2018].
- [4] Wikipedia contributors. Kronecker delta Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Kronecker_delta&oldid=862709627, 2018. [Online; accessed 11-December-2018].