

A Few Notes on Cayley's Theorem

David Meyer

dmm@{1-4-5.net,uoregon.edu}

Last update: December 18, 2020

1 Introduction

Group Theory is the study of symmetry. Cayley's Theorem is a fundamental theorem in Group Theory, and the topic of these notes.

Before diving into Cayley's Theorem, a couple of notes:

- The Symmetric Group $Sym(G)$ or sometimes S_n , where $n = |G|$ (G is finite), is the set of all bijections from G to itself with function composition as the group operation. That is, $Sym(G) = S_n = S_{|G|} = \{f : G \rightarrow G \mid f \text{ is an bijection}\}$.
- We use the symbol \simeq (or sometimes \cong) to mean that the groups G and H are *isomorphic*. That is, $G \simeq H \implies \exists f \mid f : G \rightarrow H$ where f is a bijection and a homomorphism. See Equation 1.
- To show that f is one-to-one, show that $f(x) = f(y) \implies x = y$.
- To show that f is onto, pick an arbitrary $h \in H$ and show that $\exists g \in G \mid f(g) = h$.

Recall also that if we have two groups $(G, *)$ and (H, \cdot) we say that $(G, *)$ is *isomorphic* to (H, \cdot) if there exists a bijection $f : G \rightarrow H$ which satisfies the *homomorphism* property:

$$f(x * y) = f(x) \cdot f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in G \tag{1}$$

That is, f is a bijection (one-to-one and onto) and f is also a homomorphism.

Any bijective function f which satisfies Equation 1 is called a *group* isomorphism from G to H . The basic idea of $(G, *)$ being isomorphic to (H, \cdot) is that $(G, *)$ and (H, \cdot) are "algebraically equivalent". That is, there is a one-to-one correspondence between elements of G and elements of H where the outcomes of operations on elements of G are matched with the outcomes of the corresponding operations on the corresponding elements of H .

2 Cayley's Theorem

Theorem 2.1. Cayley's Theorem: If G is a group then there exists a subgroup H of $Sym(G)$ such that G is isomorphic to H .

Proof: Suppose that G is a group. Then to prove Cayley's Theorem we need to find a subgroup H of $Sym(G)$ and a bijective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$. My roadmap for the proof looks like

1. Define $\phi_a : G \rightarrow G$ for each $a \in G$ and show that ϕ_a is a bijection
2. Define $H = \{\phi_a \mid a \in G\}$ and show that H is a subgroup of $Sym(G)$
3. Define $f : G \rightarrow H$ and show that f is both a bijection and a homomorphism

BTW, a nice thing about the proof of Cayley's theorem is that it is a *constructive* proof: the statement of the theorem is that a certain group H exists. In the course of the proof of the theorem one can actually show not only that such an H exists but also how to actually find it. We'll see an example of this below (Section 3.2).

2.1 Define $\phi_a : G \rightarrow G$ for each $a \in G$ and show that ϕ_a is a bijection

To start, for each fixed element $a \in G$ define $\phi_a : G \rightarrow G$ by the map $x \mapsto ax$. That is

$$\phi_a(x) = ax \quad \forall x \in G \tag{2}$$

Luckily it turns out that each ϕ_a is a bijection. To see this we need to show that ϕ_a is one-to-one and onto. First, consider that ϕ_a is one-to-one since

$$\begin{array}{ll} \phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y) & \# \text{ to show } \phi_a \text{ is 1-to-1 show } \phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y) \Rightarrow x = y \\ \Rightarrow ax = ay & \# \text{ definition of } \phi_a(x) \text{ (Equation 2)} \\ \Rightarrow a^{-1}(ax) = a^{-1}(ay) & \# \text{ multiply by } a^{-1}; a \in G \text{ \& } G \text{ a group } \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in G \\ \Rightarrow (a^{-1}a)x = (a^{-1}a)y & \# \text{ multiplication is associative} \\ \Rightarrow x = y & \# a^{-1}a = 1 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

So ϕ_a is one-to-one.

Aside on cancellation laws: Note that in (3) we used the fact that $a \in G$ and that G is a group so $a^{-1} \in G$. Here we have $a^{-1}a = 1$, which essentially gives us a *cancellation*

law^1 ; in (3) this allows us to "cancel" the a on both sides. Now, what if we don't have access to multiplicative inverses? We might be faced with this situation if we have a ring, where we don't in general have multiplicative inverses². So if we don't have multiplicative inverses how do we go about showing that something is one-to-one?

One approach is to factor out a and note that by assumption, $a \neq 0$ so something else must be. For example

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_a(x) & = & \phi_a(y) & \# \text{ to show } \phi_a \text{ is 1-to-1 show that } \phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y) \Rightarrow x = y \\
\Rightarrow & ax = ay & & \# \text{ definition of } \phi_a(x) \text{ (Equation 2)} \\
\Rightarrow & ax - ay = 0 & & \# \text{ subtract } ay \text{ from both sides} \\
\Rightarrow & a(x - y) = 0 & & \# \text{ factor out } a \\
\Rightarrow & x - y = 0 & & \# a \neq 0 \text{ by assumption so } x - y = 0 \\
\Rightarrow & x = y & & \# \text{ so } \phi_a \text{ is one-to-one}
\end{array}$$

Getting back to showing that ϕ_a is a bijection, we next need to show that ϕ_a is onto. To do this pick an arbitrary $y \in G$ (here G is the range). Then $a^{-1}y \in G$ (here G is the domain) and so $\phi_a(a^{-1}y) = a(a^{-1}y)$. Since multiplication is associative we have $\phi_a(a^{-1}y) = a(a^{-1}y) = (aa^{-1})y = y$. So ϕ_a is onto and hence ϕ_a is a bijection.

2.2 Define $H = \{\phi_a \mid a \in G\}$ and show that H is a subgroup of $Sym(G)$

Now we can define $H = \{\phi_a \mid a \in G\}$. Since each element of H is a bijection from G to G and since $Sym(G)$ is the set of all bijections from G to G we know that $H \subseteq G$. To show that H is a subgroup of $Sym(G)$ we also need to show that H is closed under function composition and inversion.

To show closure under function composition we need to show that $\alpha, \beta \in H \Rightarrow \alpha \circ \beta \in H$. To see this consider $\alpha, \beta \in H$. Then there exists $a \in G$ such that $\alpha = \phi_a$. Similarly there exists $b \in G$ such that $\beta = \phi_b$. So we know that

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \phi_a \circ \phi_b \tag{4}$$

and so for any $x \in G$ we have

¹Note that having a cancellation law is equivalent to saying there are no *zero divisors*.

²A ring with multiplicative inverses is called a division ring (or skew field). Example: the quaternions.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha \circ \beta)(x) &= (\phi_a \circ \phi_b)(x) && \# \alpha \circ \beta = \phi_a \circ \phi_b \text{ (Equation 4)} \\
&= \phi_a(\phi_b(x)) && \# \text{definition of function composition} \\
&= \phi_a(bx) && \# \phi_b(x) = bx \text{ (definition of } \phi_b) \\
&= a(bx) && \# \phi_a(x) = ax \text{ (definition of } \phi_a) \\
&= (ab)x && \# \text{multiplication is associative} \\
&= \phi_{ab}(x) && \# \phi_g(x) = gx \text{ where } g = ab
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

So $\alpha \circ \beta = \phi_a \circ \phi_b = \phi_{ab}$. Since $ab \in G$ (G is closed under multiplication) we know that $\phi_{ab} \in H$. Now we have $\phi_{ab} \in H$ and $\alpha \circ \beta = \phi_{ab}$ which together imply that $\alpha \circ \beta \in H$. So H is closed under function composition.

To show that H is closed under inversion we need to show that $\alpha \in H \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \in H$. To see this consider $\alpha \in H$. Then there exists an $a \in G$ such that $\alpha = \phi_a$. Since $a \in G$ and since G is a group, $a^{-1} \in G$ and so $\phi_{a^{-1}} \in H$. Note further that for any $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_{a^{-1}} \circ \phi_a)(x) &= \phi_{a^{-1}}(\phi_a(x)) && \# \text{definition: } (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) \\
&= \phi_{a^{-1}}(ax) && \# \phi_a(x) = ax \\
&= a^{-1}(ax) && \# \text{definition: } \phi_{a^{-1}}(x) = a^{-1}(x) \\
&= (a^{-1}a)x && \# \text{multiplication is associative} \\
&= x && \# a^{-1}a = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_a \circ \phi_{a^{-1}})(x) &= \phi_a(\phi_{a^{-1}}(x)) && \# \text{definition: } (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) \\
&= \phi_a(a^{-1}x) && \# \phi_{a^{-1}}(x) = a^{-1}x \\
&= a(a^{-1}x) && \# \text{definition: } \phi_a(x) = ax \\
&= (aa^{-1})x && \# \text{multiplication is associative} \\
&= x && \# aa^{-1} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Recall that if a function f is a bijection we know $(f^{-1} \circ f)(x) = (f \circ f^{-1})(x) = x$. From (6) and (7) we see that $\phi_{a^{-1}}$ is the inverse of ϕ_a . More specifically $\phi_{a^{-1}} = \phi_a^{-1}$. Since $\alpha = \phi_a$, $\alpha^{-1} = \phi_a^{-1} = \phi_{a^{-1}} \in H$. So H is closed under inversion.

2.3 Define $f : G \rightarrow H$ and show that f is a homomorphic bijection

We still need to show a homomorphic bijection f from G to H . One way to do this is to define $f(g) = \phi_g$ for all $g \in G$. Then to show that f is a bijection we need to show that f is both one-to-one and onto.

To see that f is one-to-one consider

$$\begin{array}{lll}
f(a) & = & f(b) & \# \text{ to show } f \text{ is 1-to-1 show that } f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a = b \\
\Rightarrow & \phi_a(x) = \phi_b(x) & \# \text{ definition of } f(g) : f(g) = \phi_g \text{ for all } g \in G \\
\Rightarrow & \phi_a(a) = \phi_b(a) & \# \text{ evaluate at } a \in G \\
\Rightarrow & aa = ba & \# \text{ definition of } \phi_g : \phi_g(x) = gx \text{ for all } g \in G \\
\Rightarrow & (aa)a^{-1} = (ba)a^{-1} & \# \text{ multiply by } a^{-1}; a \in G \text{ and } G \text{ a group } \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in G \\
\Rightarrow & a(aa^{-1}) = b(aa^{-1}) & \# \text{ multiplication is associative} \\
\Rightarrow & a = b & \# aa^{-1} = 1
\end{array}$$

So f is one-to-one.

To show that f is onto, choose a $\alpha \in H$. Then there exists an $a \in G$ such that $\alpha = \phi_a$. However we know that $f(a) = \phi_a$ and $\phi_a = \alpha$ so we know that $f(a) = \alpha$. So f is onto and since we saw that f is one-to-one, f is a bijection.

Finally, to show that f is also a homomorphism we want to show that $f(ab) = f(a) \circ f(b)$. To see this consider that for any $a, b \in G$ we have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
f(ab) & = & \phi_{ab} & \# \text{ definition of } f \\
& = & \phi_a \circ \phi_b & \# \text{ Equation 5} \\
& = & f(a) \circ f(b) & \# \text{ definition of } f
\end{array}$$

So f is a homomorphism.

This completes the proof of Cayley's Theorem.

3 Examples

3.1 $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +) \rightarrow (G, \cdot)$

Let $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +)$ be the set $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with addition modulo 4 and let (G, \cdot) be the set $G = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$ (the fourth roots of unity) with the usual multiplication on \mathbb{C} . Then $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +) \simeq (G, \cdot)$. To see that \mathbb{Z}_4 is isomorphic to G , let $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow G$ be the bijection

$$\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \longrightarrow 1 \\
1 & \longrightarrow i \\
2 & \longrightarrow -1 \\
3 & \longrightarrow -i
\end{array}$$

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	2	3	0
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	0	1	2

Table 1: \mathbb{Z}_4

\cdot	1	i	-1	$-i$
1	1	i	-1	$-i$
i	i	-1	$-i$	1
-1	-1	$-i$	1	i
$-i$	$-i$	1	i	-1

Table 2: G

Here are the Cayley tables for \mathbb{Z}_4 and G :

To show that f is an isomorphism we need to show that f is a homomorphism, that is, that $f(x + y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$. Since there are only $n^2 = 4^2 = 16$ values for $f(x + y)$ we can just enumerate them:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(0+0) &= f(0) = 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = f(0) \cdot f(0) \\
f(0+1) &= f(1) = i = 1 \cdot i = f(0) \cdot f(1) \\
f(0+2) &= f(2) = -1 = 1 \cdot -1 = f(0) \cdot f(2) \\
f(0+3) &= f(3) = -i = 1 \cdot -i = f(0) \cdot f(3) \\
f(1+0) &= f(1) = i = i \cdot 1 = f(1) \cdot f(0) \\
f(1+1) &= f(2) = -1 = i \cdot i = f(1) \cdot f(1) \\
f(1+2) &= f(3) = -i = i \cdot -1 = f(1) \cdot f(2) \\
f(1+3) &= f(0) = 1 = i \cdot -i = f(1) \cdot f(3) \\
f(2+0) &= f(2) = -1 = -1 \cdot 1 = f(2) \cdot f(0) \\
&\vdots \\
f(3+3) &= f(2) = -1 = -i \cdot -i = f(3) \cdot f(3)
\end{aligned}$$

So the bijection $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow G$ above is a homomorphism and hence f is a group isomorphism.

3.2 The Klein 4-group

The Klein 4-group is the group $K = \{e, a, b, c\}$ where e is the identity element and the group operation is defined by the Cayley table below (Table 3).

	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	b	a	e

Table 3: The Klein 4-group Operation

Here K is *not* isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 . To see this notice that there are 24 bijections from \mathbb{Z}_4 and K : $|K| = |\mathbb{Z}_4| = 4$ so there are $n! = 4! = 24$ possible bijections from \mathbb{Z}_4 to K . Since we need $f(0) = e$ that leaves $3! = 6$ bijections that could be homomorphisms. For example, consider the bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & e \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & a \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & c \\ 3 & \longrightarrow & b \end{array}$$

This bijection is not a homomorphism since $f(1+3) = f(4) = f(0) = e$ while $f(1) \cdot f(3) = ab = c$, so $f(1+3) \neq f(1) \cdot f(3)$.

One way to see that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not isomorphic to K is to recognize that every element of K satisfies the equation $x \cdot x = e$ (a key property of the Klein 4-group). However not every element of \mathbb{Z}_4 satisfies the equation $x + x = 0$.

This gives a clue as to how to prove, by contradiction, that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not isomorphic to K . Specifically, suppose that \mathbb{Z}_4 is isomorphic to K . Then there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow K$ such that $f(x+y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Well, we know by definition that $f(0) = e$ and since f is one-to-one we also know that $f(1) \neq e$. Since f is a homomorphism we also know that

$$f(1+1) = f(1) \cdot f(1)$$

However, since $f(1) \in K$ and all elements of K satisfy $x \cdot x = e$ we can conclude that $f(1) \cdot f(1) = e$, so $f(1+1) = f(2) = e$. Now we have $f(0) = e$ and $f(2) = e$ which is a contradiction since we assumed that f was one-to-one. So the original assumption that \mathbb{Z}_4 is isomorphic to K is false.

Ok, but Cayley's Theorem says there is a subgroup H of S_4 which is isomorphic to K . How to find H ? Since as noted above Cayley's Theorem is constructive, we should be able to follow the approach used in the proof to find H . Here we let $H = \{\phi_e, \phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c\}$ where, for all $x \in K$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \phi_e(x) = ex & \# \phi_e(x) = x \\ \phi_a(x) = ax & \\ \phi_b(x) = bx & \\ \phi_c(x) = cx & \end{array}$$

Now we can rewrite the Cayley table for the Klein 4-group (Table 3) as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_e &= \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ e & a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi_a &= \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ a & e & c & b \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi_b &= \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ b & c & e & a \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi_c &= \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ c & b & a & e \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we relabel K by the bijection

$$\begin{array}{cccc} e & a & b & c \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{array}$$

we can represent K in cyclic notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = (1) \\ \phi_a &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (12)(34) \\ \phi_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = (13)(24) \\ \phi_c &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (14)(23)\end{aligned}$$

Now we can see that $K \simeq H$ where $H = \{(1), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$. That is, $f : K \rightarrow H$ is the bijection

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f : & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & (1) & (12)(34) & (13)(24) & (14)(23) \end{array}$$

The Klein 4-group K has many other interesting properties, including

- K is the smallest non-cyclic group
- K is the underlying group of the four-element field

- K is the symmetry group of a non-square rectangle
- K is the group of bitwise exclusive or operations on two-bit binary values
- $K = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the direct product of two copies of the cyclic group of order 2

4 Acknowledgements

References