# Notes on policy gradients and the log derivative trick for reinforcement learning

David Meyer

dmm@{1-4-5.net,uoregon.edu}

February 18, 2014

#### 1 Introduction

The log derivative  $trick^1$  is a widely used identity that allows us to find various gradients required for policy learning. For policy-based reinforcement learning, we directly parameterize the policy. In value-based learning, we imagine we have value function approximator (either state-value or action-value) parameterized by  $\theta$ :

$$V_{\theta}(s) \approx V^{\pi}(s)$$
 # state-value approximation (1)

$$Q_{\theta}(s, a) \approx Q^{\pi}(s, a)$$
 # action-value approximation (2)

Here our goal is to directly parameterize the policy (i.e., model-free reinforcement learning):

$$\pi_{\theta}(s, a) = \mathbb{P}[a|s, \theta]$$
 # parameterized policy (3)

## 2 Policy Objective Functions

There are three basic policy objective functions, each of which has the goal of given a policy  $\pi_{\theta}(s, a)$  with parameters  $\theta$ , find the best  $\theta$ .

- In episodic environments we can use the start value:  $J_1(\theta) = V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_1) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}[v_1]$
- In continuing environments we can use the average value:  $J_{aaV}(\theta) = \sum_{s} d^{\pi_{\theta}}(s) V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s)$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://blog.shakirm.com/2015/11/machine-learning-trick-of-the-day-5-log-derivative-trick

• Or we can use the average reward per time step:  $J_{avR}(\theta) = \sum_{s} d^{\pi_{\theta}}(s) \sum_{a} \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \mathcal{R}_{s}^{a}$ 

where  $d^{\pi_{\theta}}(s)$  is the stationary distribution of a Markov chain for  $\pi_{\theta}$ .

So now we're casting policy based reinforcement learning as an optimization problem (e.g., there is a neural network that we want to learn the policy, e.g., via gradient ascent). Now, let  $J(\theta)$  be a policy objective function. A policy gradient algorithm searches for a local maximum<sup>2</sup> in  $J(\theta)$  by ascending the gradient of the policy with respect to the parameters  $\theta$ . The update rule for  $\theta$  is

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \tag{4}$$

where  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$  is the policy gradient and  $\alpha$  is the learning rate (sometimes step-size parameter). In particular

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} \\ \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_n} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

#### 3 Computing the gradient analytically

First, we assume that the policy  $\pi_{\theta}$  is differentiable wherever it is non-zero (this is a softer requirement than requiring  $\pi_{\theta}$  be differentiable *everywhere*). In addition, we know the gradient:  $\nabla_{\theta}J(\theta)$ . In this case, let  $p(\mathbf{x};\theta)$  be the likelihood parametrized by  $\theta$  and let  $\log p(\mathbf{x};\theta)$  be the *log likelihood*. Then

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>in the case that  $J(\theta)$  is non-convex.

$$y = p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \qquad \# \text{ define } y; \text{ see above}$$

$$z = \log y = \log p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \qquad \# \text{ definition; } z \text{ is the log likelihood}$$

$$\frac{dz}{d\theta} = \frac{dz}{dy} \cdot \frac{dy}{d\theta} \qquad \# \text{ chain rule}$$

$$\frac{dz}{dy} = \frac{1}{p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)} \qquad \# \frac{d}{dx} \log x \approx \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\theta} = \frac{d}{d\theta} p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta} p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \qquad \# \text{ definition (chain rule, again)}$$

$$\frac{dz}{d\theta} = \frac{dz}{dy} \cdot \frac{dy}{d\theta} = \frac{\nabla_{\theta} p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)}{p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)} \qquad \# \text{ apply chain rule}$$

$$\frac{dz}{d\theta} = \nabla_{\theta} \log p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \qquad \# \text{ set } w = p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \text{ and noting that } \nabla_{\theta} \log w = \frac{1}{w} \nabla_{\theta} w$$

Here  $\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  is known as the score or sometimes the *Fischer* information. So the *log derivative trick* (sometimes *likelihood ratio*) is

$$\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta} p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)}{p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)}$$

Setting  $\pi_{\theta}(s, a) = p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  we see that

$$\nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(s, a) = \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(s, a)}{\pi_{\theta}(s, a)}$$

$$= \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(s, a)$$
# log derivative trick

and the score function is  $\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(s, a)$ .

Another yet similar way to look policy gradients is as follows:<sup>3</sup> First, as Andrej points out policy gradients are a special case of a more general score function gradient estimator. Here we have an expectation of the form  $E_{x\sim p(x|\theta)}[f(x)]$ . This is the expectation of a scalar valued function f(x) under some probability distribution  $p(x|\theta)$ . Here f(x) can be thought of as a reward function and  $p(x|\theta)$  is the policy network.

The problem we want to solve is how we should shift the distribution (though its parameters  $\theta$ ) to increase its score as judged by f. Since the general gradient decent update rule is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>h/t Andrej Karpathy http://karpathy.github.io/2016/05/31/rl/

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \tag{6}$$

our goal is to find  $\nabla_{\theta} E_{x \sim p(x|\theta)}[f(x)]$  and update  $\theta$  in the direction indicated by the gradient. However, what we know is f and p.

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\theta} E_{x \sim p(x|\theta)} \big[ f(x) \big] &= \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{x} p(x) f(x) & \# \text{ defn expectation} \\ &= \sum_{x} \nabla_{\theta} p(x) f(x) & \# \text{ swap sum and gradient} \\ &= \sum_{x} p(x) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} p(x)}{p(x)} f(x) & \# \text{ multiply/divide by } p(x) \\ &= \sum_{x} p(x) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x) f(x) & \# \frac{1}{z} \nabla_{\theta} z = \nabla_{\theta} \log(z) \\ &= E_{x \sim p(x|\theta)} \big[ f(x) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x) \big] & \# \text{ defn expectation again} \end{split}$$

The basic idea here is that we have some distribution  $p(x|\theta)$  which we can sample from,<sup>4</sup> and for each sample we evaluate its score f(x); then the gradient

$$\nabla_{\theta} E_{x \sim p(x|\theta)} [f(x)] = E_{x \sim p(x|\theta)} [f(x) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x)]$$
(7)

is telling us how we should shift the distribution (through its parameters  $\theta$ ) if we wanted its samples to achieve higher scores (as judged by f). The second term  $\nabla_{\theta} \log p(x)$  is telling us which direction in parameter space would lead to increase of the probability assigned to a given x. That is, if we were to move  $\theta$  in the direction  $\nabla_{\theta} \log p(x)$  we would see the new probability assigned to some x slightly increase (see Equation 6).

### 4 The Policy Gradient Theorem

Recall that the start value policy for episodic environments was

$$J_1(\theta) = V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_1) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}[v_1] = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d(s) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \mathcal{R}_s^a$$
 (8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> for example,  $p(x|\theta)$  could be a Gaussian

and thus

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d(s) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(s, a) \mathcal{R}_{s}^{a}$$
(9)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(s, a) r] \tag{10}$$

A few things to notice:

- The policy gradient theorem generalizes the likelihood ratio
- In the policy gradient theorem we replace r with the long-term value  $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  (our estimate of r).
- The policy gradient theorem applies to the start-state, average reward and average value objectives

For any differentiable policy  $\pi_{\theta}(s, a)$  and for any policy objective  $J_1$ ,  $J_{avR}$  or  $\frac{1}{1-\gamma}J_{avV}$ , the **policy gradient** is:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}} \left[ \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(s, a) Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) \right]$$
(11)

Now that we have  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ , we can use this gradient to train a neural network (e.g., the policy networks of AlphaGo).