

# Linear Regression with Gaussian Processes

Manel Martínez-Ramón

ECE, UNM

October, 2018

- Assume a linear estimator

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w} \quad y = f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon$$

- where  $\varepsilon$  is the estimation error, and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  are the regressors. The bias is included in the input, which has the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \{1, x_1, \dots, x_d\}^\top$$

The error  $\varepsilon$  is assumed to be an i.i.d. Gaussian process with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_n^2$  or, in other words, additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

- Now let us take care of the noise process  $\varepsilon$  and take  $f(\mathbf{x})$  as a constant term. Then,  $y$  is a Gaussian process with a mean equal to  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and a variance  $\sigma_n^2$ .
- The likelihood of sample  $y[n]$  given the input  $\mathbf{x}[n]$  and the parameters  $\mathbf{w}$  is

$$p(y[n]|\mathbf{x}[n], \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n} \exp\left(-\frac{|y[n] - \mathbf{x}[n]^\top \mathbf{w}|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right)$$

- We can compute the distribution of the joint process  $\mathbf{y}$  by applying the independence assumption. Indeed

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(y[n]|\mathbf{x}[n], \mathbf{w})$$

- Then, the likelihood is a joint Gaussian of the form

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n} \exp\left(-\frac{|y[n] - \mathbf{x}[n]^\top \mathbf{w}|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_n^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Assume now that parameters  $\mathbf{w}$  are a linear combination of a data set. In that case, these parameters are also a random process that depends on  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ .
- We assume that the process  $\mathbf{w}$  satisfies the conditions of the Central Limit Theorem: it is a Gaussian random variable, for which the mean is zero.

- We can assume that the prior distribution of the parameters is

$$\mathbf{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi|\Sigma_p|)^{(D+1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top \Sigma_p^{-1} \mathbf{w}\right)$$

where  $\Sigma_p$  is the covariance of the process. It can be shown that this covariance can be arbitrarily set as an identity matrix.

- The posterior with respect to  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is then

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w})}{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X})}$$

where the numerator contains the prior and the likelihood, and the denominator, the marginal likelihood.

- Actually, what we need here is to maximize the posterior, this is, to find the set of parameters  $\mathbf{w}$  with maximum probability given  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , so the denominator is irrelevant because it does not depend on the parameters. Then we can use

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \propto p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w})$$

Hence

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top \Sigma_p^{-1} \mathbf{w}\right)$$

which is a product of two Gaussians, so it must be a Gaussian.

- Ignoring the term  $1/2$  the exponent can be arranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sigma_n^{-2} \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w} \right)^\top \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w} \right) - \mathbf{w}^\top \Sigma_p^{-1} \mathbf{w} \\
 &= \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\top \left( \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top + \Sigma_p^{-1} \right) \mathbf{w} - 2 \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w} \\
 &= \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w} - 2 \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathbf{A} = \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top + \Sigma_p^{-1}$ . The expression of the Gaussian must have an exponent

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}})^\top \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}})$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  play the role of a mean and a covariance.

- If we equal both expressions and simplify the terms (again ignoring the term  $1/2$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w} - 2\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w} &= (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}})^\top \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}) \\ \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - 2\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w} &= \bar{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{w}} - 2\bar{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}\end{aligned}$$

- Then, necessarily

$$\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}^\top = \bar{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{y}$$

which, in turn, satisfies  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{w}} = \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}$



- Finally, multiplying again by  $1/2$

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \propto \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}})^\top \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}) \right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \sigma_n^{-2} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top + \Sigma_p^{-1}$$

is the inverse of the covariance, and

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \left( \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top + \sigma_n^2 \Sigma_p^{-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{y}$$

- This result is exactly equal to the ridge regression if  $\Sigma_p^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$
- The optimal value for  $\sigma_n^2$  can be estimated by Maximum Likelihood, as we will see in next lessons.

- Assume that a new sample  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , not belonging to the training set  $\mathbf{X}$ , is available. The estimator will produce a prediction

$$f_* = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}^*$$

Using the expression of slide 4, we can compute the likelihood of  $f_*$  given the new sample  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and a particular value of  $\mathbf{w}$ , which can be expressed as

$$p(f_*|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$$

We also have the posterior on  $\mathbf{w}$ . Using the Total Probability Theorem we have

$$p(f_*|\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \int_{\mathbf{w}} p(f_*|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})d\mathbf{w}$$

- Solving the integral we have

$$p(f_*|\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}^{*\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}^*\right)$$

- The advantage of the Gaussian Process over the standard MMSE or Ridge Regression is that now we have a distribution on the prediction. In other words, we can judge how accurate is our prediction just taking a look to the variance  $\sigma_{f_*}^2 = \mathbf{x}^{*\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}^*$  of the output.
- Finally, the whole method can be kernelized and we can still make inference and obtain a predictive likelihood under the Gaussian hypothesis.

In this lesson we have introduced the linear Gaussian Process for regression. The main aspects to retain are:

- The concept of regression.
- The idea of data likelihood: the probabilistic model for  $y_n$ .
  - We assume that  $y_n$  is iid: joint likelihood as product of likelihoods.
- $\mathbf{w}$  is treated as a latent random variable with a Gaussian prior.
- The posterior is proportional to this prior times the likelihood (Bayes rule).
- With the posterior and the Total Probability rule, we find the posterior of the predictions.