

Notes on ICA Tutorial

June 15, 2017

1 Component Analysis

The model underlying the different types of component analyses is

$$X = As \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{s} = Wx$$

Where for this simple linear generative model, the Matrix function transforming x into \hat{s} is linear, too, i.e. a matrix W .

The Idea now is to find W such that \hat{s} is *interesting* the different types of analysis are different instantiations of what is considered “interesting”.

Properties of \hat{s}

- Maximum variance: \rightarrow PCA, Dimensionality reduction
- Independence: $MI(s_1, s_2, \dots) \rightarrow$ Source separation/identification
- non-Gaussianity: (Sparseness, Kurtosis) \rightarrow Projection Pursuit, Sparse coding

Cost functions: “quantifications of interestingness”

- Variance (+Orthogonality) \rightarrow PCA
- independence: \rightarrow MI Entropy
- non-Gaussianity \rightarrow kurtosis, negentropy (see independence)

Algorithms: How to optimize the costfunctions

- Analytical solutions (Eigenvalue problem for PCA)
- Gradient Methods (Oja’s Rule, plain gradient, natural gradient)
- Fixed Point methods \rightarrow **fastICA**

2 Non-Gaussian means independent

Central limit theorem: distribution of a sum of RVs is more gaussian than the individual RV distributions. Sum of 2 RVs is more gaussian than the individual ones.

$$x = a_1 s_1 + a_2 s_2 \quad |X = as$$

with $Z = WA \rightarrow W \approx \mathbf{P}A^-$ and therefore

$$\hat{S} = Wx = WAs = Zs \quad \rightarrow \hat{s}_1 = z_{1,1}s_1 + z_{1,2}s_2$$

this means that \hat{s} is maximally non-gaussian if Z is a permutation matrix \mathbf{P} , i.e. the identity matrix (potentially with permuted rows).

Measures of non-Gaussianity

Kurtosis

$$kurt(y) = E(y^4) - 3E(y^2)^2$$

which is simply $kurt(y) = E(y^4) - 3$ for whitened variables. Note that $kurt(\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)) = 0$ and furthermore, for independent random variables y_1 and y_2 .

$$k(y_1 + y_2) = k(y_1) + k(y_2) \quad \text{and} \quad k(ay_1) = a^4 k(y_1)$$

therefore we have:

$$\hat{s} = Wx = WAs = Zs \rightarrow k(\hat{s}) = z_{1,1}^4 k(s_1) + z_{1,2}^4 k(s_2)$$

where we need to impose the constraint $var(\hat{s}_1) = z_{1,1}^2 + z_{1,2}^2 = 1$. and find the z^* maximizing the absolute value of the kurtosis. One can then show that z is a row of the identity matrix, i.e. one entry is 1 and all other entries are zero.

Finding this z can be implemented using the gradient of the kurtosis wrt. W .

Problem: Kurtosis is non-robust

3 Alternative Measures of Non-Gaussianity

Entropy of a continuous random variable is defined as:

$$H(x) = - \int f(x) \log(f(x)) dx$$

where $f(x)$ is the density of x . Ideally, one would like to use this directly, but this requires estimation of the density of X . This is even harder than estimating kurtosis reliably.

Negentropy is defined as:

$$J(Y) = H(\nu) - H(Y)$$

where ν is a Gaussian Random variable with the same mean and covariance matrix.

Because the Gaussian is the maximum Entropy distribution for a RV with fixed variance, $J(Y) \geq 0$.

Although $J(Y)$ is difficult to compute, there are ways to efficiently approximate it.

- $\hat{J}(Y)_1 = \frac{1}{2}E(X^3)^2 + \frac{1}{48}k(x)^2$
- $\hat{J}(Y)_2 = \sum_i k_i [EG_i(X) - EG_i(\nu)]^2$

the first one clearly has the problem of being at least as unstable as kurtosis itself but the second one can be good for good choices of the *contrast function* G . and in the simplest case of $N=1$

$$\hat{J}(Y)_2 = [EG_i(X) - EG_i(\nu)]^2$$

Popular choices (implemented in fastICA) yielding good & robust estimates are

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{a} \log \cosh au \quad \text{or} \quad G(x) = -\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right)$$

optimize $\hat{J}(Wx)$ wrt. x yields fast and robust algorithms to minimize gaussianity