```
\begin{array}{c} vari-\\ ables \end{array}
ausality:_2\\009 offers the following two, purportedly equivalent, definitions of variables:\\By avariable we will mean an attribute, measurement or inquiry that may take on one of several possible outcomes, or values, from the context of the con
\label{eq:continuous} \begin{array}{l} T\\ feynman_1 979 noted, in order to understand this law, we must bring some pre-existing understanding of force, mass and acceleration independent of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend, this knowledge is a content of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend, this knowledge is a content of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend, this knowledge is a content of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend, this knowledge is a content of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend this law is a content of the law itself of the law itself. Furthermore, we contend this law is a content of the law itself of the law i
F
M
Ae-
sults
of
mea-
sure-
  \widetilde{pro}\underline{\check{n}}t
      \widetilde{dures}
    M
A
F
    -\frac{1}{r} and om {}_2003's notion of variables as ``consistent classes of quantities'' that consist of pairing between real-pairing be
worldobjects and quantities of some type. For ceits elfis not a well-\\ defined mathematical thing, a smeasurement procedures are not mathematically well-\\ defined. At the same time, the set of values it may yield a rewell-\\ defined mathematical things. No actual procedure can be guaranteed to return elements of a mathematical set known in advantage of the control of the contro
    -\dot{a}nythingcanfail
    -but we assume that we can study procedures reliable enough that we don't lose much by making this assumption.\\
\int_{x \in A} Bx
                                                           \circ f) \circ
    Byieldsx
   \overset{Byields}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{(g \circ f)^{-1}(x)}} 
    \overrightarrow{Byields}f^{-1}(g^{-1}(x))
    \underline{Byieldsg^{-1}}(x)
  (f \circ B) yields x
```