Grading form for Master's Project Markers

Reminder: Logged in administrators have the ability to edit all reports in order to fix mistakes or unfortunate phrasing. Please do not do so lightly.

Student

Samraat Pawar

CID

2222222

Course Presentation

CMEE MRes

Marker

Samraat Pawar

Marker Role

Marker

Status

Not started

Marking critera

Project_Marking_Criteria.pdf (/marking_reports/static/marking_criteria/Project_Marking_Criteria.pdf)

Instructions

- Tick one box in each row, using the centre box (Average) to indicate the normal, adequate standard. The endpoints of the scales show the expectations for the lowest and highest standards.
- Comments can be made on individual assessment points as well as in the provided section for general comments and summary below.
- Please note that all of this form *excluding private comments and the final grade* will be provided to students as feedback on their work. You should treat this report as you would responses to authors for a journal review and should provide constructive feedback with the aim of improving the work.

Presentation standards

Rubric

Messy	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Publication Standard
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Introduction to the project

Rubric

Trivial	Below average	Average	Above average	Publishable
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Methods exposition

Rubric

Incomprehensible	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Perfectly Clear
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Quantity of work done (to-date for mid-project reports)

Rubric

Very little	Below Average	Average	Above Average	A great deal
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Quality of underlying research (data, theory, or both)

Rubric

Very thin or provided	Below Average	Average	Above Average	New and publishable
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Technical quality (empirical / theoretical / computational / statistical)

Rubric

Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Discussion (or Outlook for mid-project reports)

Rubric

Very shallow	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Publication Standard
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Understanding of research

Rubric

Very little	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Research level
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Scientific rigour

Rubric

Weak	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Strict
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Originality

Rubric

Almost none	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Highly original
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Public Comments

Comment

```
Use this box to provide public comments, which the student will see




```

Private Comments

Comment

```
Use this box to provide private comments if neccessary, which only staff will see




```

Grade

Select a grade

```
                                                                      ▼
```

SAVE   SUBMIT