Grading form for Master's Project Supervisors

Reminder: Logged in administrators have the ability to edit all reports in order to fix mistakes or unfortunate phrasing. Please do not do so lightly.

Student

Samraat Pawar

CID

223322

Course Presentation

EEC MSc

Marker

Samraat Pawar

Marker Role

Supervisor

Status

Not started

Marking critera

Supervisor_Marking_Criteria.pdf
(/marking_reports/static/marking_criteria/Supervisor_Marking_Criteria.pdf)

Instructions

- Supervisor's reports for this course are **not a review of the written project report**, which is graded by two independent markers. Instead, this form is used to grade the student's performance as a member of your research group and their engagement with the research.
- Tick one box in each row, using the centre box (Average) to indicate the normal, adequate standard. The endpoints of the scales show the expectations for the lowest and highest standards.
- Comments can be made on individual assessment points as well as in the provided section for general comments and summary below.
- Please note that all of this form *excluding private comments and the final grade* will be provided to students as feedback on their work. You should treat this report as you would responses to authors for a journal review and should provide constructive feedback with the aim of improving the work.

How likely was the project to produce analysable results

Rubric

Almost Guaranteed	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Speculative
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

How much did the project depend on the mastery of difficult techniques?

Rubric

Very easy	Below average	Average	Above average	Very difficult
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

Were there unanticipated constraints to the project (bad weather, culture failure, etc.)?

Rubric

None relevant	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Disasterous
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

How much did the student put into the design of the project?

Rubric

Almost Nothing	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Student's idea
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

How diligently did the student work?

Rubric

Indolently	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Intensively
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

Optional comments

How well did the student perform in laboratory or field or in accessing other data sources?

Rubric

Slapdash	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Research Level
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

> Optional comments

How much help did the student get (from _anyone_) during the project?

Rubric

A great deal	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Hardly any
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

> Optional comments

How demanding was the analysis of the data, other materal or literature

Rubric

Simple	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Very Difficult
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

> Optional comments

How much help did the student get in writing up the following parts of the thesis?

The written text

A Great Deal	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Virtually None
○	○	○	○	○

The theory and analysis

A Great Deal	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Virtually None
○	○	○	○	○

Figures and tables

A Great Deal	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Virtually None
○	○	○	○	○

Comment

> Optional comments

Public Comments

Comment

```
Use this box to provide public comments, which the student will see
```

Private Comments

Comment

```
Use this box to provide private comments if neccessary, which only staff will see
```

Grade

Select a grade

```
                                                                    ▼
```

SAVE   SUBMIT

Powered by web2py (http://www.web2py.com/)