

For office use only  
T1 \_\_\_\_\_  
T2 \_\_\_\_\_  
T3 \_\_\_\_\_  
T4 \_\_\_\_\_

Team Control Number

**28218**

Problem Chosen

**A**

For office use only  
F1 \_\_\_\_\_  
F2 \_\_\_\_\_  
F3 \_\_\_\_\_  
F4 \_\_\_\_\_

---

**2014 Mathematical Contest in Modeling (MCM) Summary Sheet**

(Attach a copy of this page to each copy of your solution paper.)

**Abstract**

Traffic rule has a great impact on the efficiency and safety of a traffic system. Thus to build a model to evaluate the rule is of great importance. In most countries, there exists such a rule: unless overtaking another car, drivers are supposed to drive on the right-most lane. In our passage, we are trying to develop a model to evaluate this rule from two aspects: the traffic flow and the safety. We construct a blank situation that is without the right-most rule. Using our model, we compare the safety and the traffic flow under the two situation, with the right-most rule and without the right-most rule.

To evaluate the safety, we build a model to calculate the safety distance. If the distance between two car is less than the safety distance. The collision will happen. The less the probability of the collision is, the safer. So we use the probablity of the collision to evaluate the

title

February 10, 2014

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Other Assumptions . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Analysis of the Problem</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	safety . . . . .	4
2.2	traffic flow . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Calculating and Simplifying the Model</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The Model Results</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Validating the Model</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>A Summary</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Evaluate of the Mode</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Strengths and weaknesses</b>	<b>9</b>
9.1	Strengths . . . . .	9

# 1 Introduction

In bidirectional traffic, right- and left-hand traffic requires vehicles keep either to the right or the left side of the road, respectively.[1] The first right-hand traffic law in United State dates back to 1792, applied to the Philadelphia and Lancaster Turnpike.[2]

The right-hand traffic rule derives regulations on multi-lane freeways, which often requires drivers to drive in the right-most lane unless they are passing another vehicle, in which case they move one lane to the left, pass, and return to their former travel lane. The overtaking lane provides redundant convenience and safety for vehicles to pass others, but lowers freeway's traffic flow capability.

Different models may exist that provide a better tradeoff among traffic flow, safety and convenience. This paper considers these three criteria, introduces a different freeway traffic model and analyses performances of the two models.

## 1.1 Other Assumptions

- 
- 
- 
- 

Under the above and basic assumptions, we can set out to construct our model (show our approach in detail).

# 2 Analysis of the Problem

We are going to evaluate the right-most rule' performance from two aspects: the safety and the traffic flow.

## 2.1 safety

### *Assumptions*

- The value of acceleration subjects to normal distribution,  $\mu = \frac{\max(a)}{2}$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}\mu$ , for  $p(0 < a_2 < \frac{1}{4}\mu)$  and  $p(\frac{7}{4}\mu < a_2 < 2\mu)$  is very small.

**Safe Distance Model** On the highway, if the car in front suddenly slows down, it is likely that a car crash happens. We build this model to calculate the safe distance between two adjacent cars. That is , if the adjacent  $d \leq l$  and the car in front suddenly slows down,the accident will not happen.

Parameter	Meaning
$a_1$	the acceleration of the car in behind
$v_1$	the velocity of the car in front
$a_2$	the acceleration of the car in front
$v_2$	the velocity of the car in front
$t_r$	the driver's reaction time
$t$	the total time whole process
$l$	the safe distance
$d$	a constant which is the real distance between the car in front and the car in behind.

Table 1: Model parameter




Figure 1:

There are two possible situations that the accident will happen:

- The accident happens after the car in front stopped. That is, when

$$\frac{v_2}{a_2} \geq \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r$$

We have:

$$\frac{v_1^2 - v_0^2}{2a_1} + v_1 t_r - l = \frac{v_2^2 - v_0^2}{2a_2} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{v_2 - v_0}{a_2} = t \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{v_1 - v_0}{a_1} = t - t_r \quad (3)$$

- The collision happens before the car in front stopped. That is, when

$$\frac{v_2}{a_2} > \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r$$

We have:

$$v_1 t_r + \frac{v_1^2}{2a_1} - l = \frac{v_2^2}{2a_2} \quad (4)$$

Solve this equation array, we have

$$l(a_1, a_2, v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{(a_1 a_2 t_r^2 + 2a_1 t_r v_2 + v_1^2 - 2v_1 v_2 + v_2^2)}{2(a_1 - a_2)} & \frac{v_2}{a_2} \geq \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r \\ v_2 t_r + \frac{v_1^2}{2a_1} - \frac{v_2^2}{2a_2} & \frac{v_2}{a_2} < \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r \end{cases}$$

To calculate  $l_{max}$ , firstly, we are going to find the extrema,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial l}{\partial a_1} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial a_2} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial v_1} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial v_2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

This equation array has no solution, which indicates that we can get the  $l_{max}$  at the boundary of domain.

$l$  reaches the maximum when  $a_1$  equals to  $a_{min}$ ,  $v_1$  equals to  $v_{1max}$ ,  $v_2$  equals to  $v_{2min}$ . (If the front car drives with the lowest speed, the rear car drives with the highest speed, and at the same time, rear car's acceleration is the minimum, when emergency happens, the braking distance is the longest.)

Thus, we have:

$$l_{max}(a_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{(a_{min}a_2t_r^2 + 2a_{min}t_rv_{min} + v_{max}^2 - 2v_{max}v_{min} + v_{min}^2)}{2(a_{min} - a_2)} & \frac{v_{min}}{a_2} \geq \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r \\ v_{min}t_r + \frac{v_{max}^2}{2a_{min}} - \frac{v_{min}^2}{2a_2} & \frac{v_2}{a_2} < \frac{v_1}{a_1} + t_r \end{cases}$$

**$l$  Distribution** From the result above, we can get  $a_2(l)$

$$a_2(l) = \begin{cases} -\frac{v_{max}^2 - 2v_{max}v_{min} + 2a_{min}t_rv_{max} + v_{min}^2 - 2a_{min}t_rv_{min} - 2la_{min}}{a_{min}t_r^2 + 2l}, & l \leq \frac{v_{max}^2 - v_{max}v_{min} + 2v_{max}a_{min}t_r - a_{min}v_{min}t_r}{2a_{min}} \\ \frac{a_{min}v_{min}^2}{v_{max}^2 + 2a_{min}t_rv_{max} - 2la_{min}}, & l > \frac{v_{max}^2 - v_{max}v_{min} + 2v_{max}a_{min}t_r - a_{min}v_{min}t_r}{2a_{min}} \end{cases}$$

**Safety Factor  $\alpha$**  For every car, it has a unique safety factor  $\alpha$ , the closer the distance between two adjacent cars is, the smaller the  $\alpha$  is, vice versa.

$$\alpha(l) = \int_0^l f(x)dx.$$

We can see that, when  $a_2 = 0$ , that is, the front car has the lowest deceleration. Since  $l(a)$  is a monotone increasing function, at this time,  $l$  is minimal. In other word, it is of little possibility that the distance is less than the  $l$ , that is,  $\alpha(l) \rightarrow 0$

## 2.2 traffic flow

Since the layout of the cars on the freeway is complicated, to simplify, we use a special model to discuss the relationship between the safety and traffic flow under the situation with and




Figure 2: safety factor distribution

without the right-most rule.

### Assumption

- Only one car are overtaking, the others are driving with a constant speed
- Every overtaking, pass only one car
- Without the right-most rule, there is no overtaking lane, vehicle can stay on either lane at any time.
- On the freeway, except the overtaking car, others are subject to the uniform distribution.

$$\beta = A\beta_1 + B\beta_2$$

(A, B are weight)

•

$$\beta_1 = \frac{J_1 - J_2}{J_2}$$

Supply Demand

Parameter	Meaning
$J$	traffic flow
$J_1$	the supply traffic flow
$J_2$	the demand traffic flow
$s$	the length of a car
$l$	the safe distance
$\bar{v}$	the average velocity

Table 2: Model parameter

### 3 Calculating and Simplifying the Model

“A is equivalent to B” Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse “feels like the right thing” and that he remained optimistic the race “is going to tip at some point,” the friend said.

### 4 The Model Results

Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse “feels like the right thing” and that he remained optimistic the race “is going to tip at some point,” the friend said.

### 5 Validating the Model

Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse “feels like the right thing” and that he remained optimistic the race “is going to tip at some point,” the friend said. Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse “feels like the right thing” and that he remained optimistic the race “is going to tip at some point,” the friend said.

Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse “feels like the right thing” and that he remained optimistic the race “is going to tip at some point,” the friend said.



## 6 Conclusions

Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse "feels like the right thing" and that he remained optimistic the race "is going to tip at some point," the friend said.

## 7 A Summary

Although Mr. Gore has expressed concerns to some associates about the damage a brokered convention could cause, several associates said he was hopeful that one candidate would soon break through, sparing the party such an outcome. He told a close friend recently that his decision not to endorse "feels like the right thing" and that he remained optimistic the race "is going to tip at some point," the friend said.

## 8 Evaluate of the Mode

## 9 Strengths and weaknesses

Like any model, the one present above has its strengths and weaknesses. Some of the major points are presented below.

### 9.1 Strengths

- **Applies widely**  
This system can be used for many types of airplanes, and it also solves the interference during the procedure of the boarding airplane, as described above we can get to the optimization boarding time. We also know that all the service is automate.
- **Improve the quality of the airport service**  
Balancing the cost of the cost and the benefit, it will bring in more convenient for airport and passengers. It also saves many human resources for the airline.
- 

## References

- [1] Draper, Geoff (1993). "Harmonised Headlamp Design for Worldwide Application". Motor Vehicle Lighting. Society of Automotive Engineers. pp. 23-36.
- [2] Weingroff, Richard. "On The Right Side of the Road". United States Department of Transportation. Retrieved 10 January 2014.
- [3] Left is right on the road', Mick Hamer New Scientist, 25 December 1986 - 1 January 1987 No 1540/1541, p.16.