



The absorption laws for the generalized inverses[☆]

Xiaoji Liu^{a,b}, Hongwei Jin^c, Dragana S. Cvetković-Ilić^{d,*}

^a School of Science, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, PR China

^b Guangxi Key Laboratory of Hybrid Computational and IC Design Analysis, Nanning 530006, PR China

^c College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, PR China

^d University of Niš, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, 18000 Niš, Serbia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Generalized inverses

Absorption law

Mixed absorption law

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the absorption laws in terms of $\{1\}$, $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{1, 4\}$ -inverses. Also, we consider the various types of mixed absorption law for the generalized inverses.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be complex Hilbert spaces and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} . For a given $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, the symbols $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ denote the null space and the range of A , respectively.

Recall that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has the Moore–Penrose inverse if there exists an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$(1) AXA = A \quad (2) XAX = X \quad (3) (AX)^* = AX \quad (4) (XA)^* = XA. \quad (1.1)$$

The Moore–Penrose inverse of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ exists if and only if A has closed range and in this case it is unique. It is denoted by A^\dagger .

If $\eta \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is arbitrary we shall say that $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ is a η -inverse of $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ if B satisfies the Penrose equation (j) for each $j \in \eta$. We shall write $A\eta$ for the collection of all η -inverses of A , and A^η for an unspecified element $X \in A\eta$. Evidently, $A\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{A^\dagger\}$, when A has closed range.

The various types of generalized inverse have been widely used in practise. The reverse order law for generalized inverse plays an important role in theoretical research and numerical computations in many areas, including the singular matrix problem, ill-posed problems, optimization problems, and statics problems (see for instance [1,15,5,11,12,14,16]). These problems have attracted considerable attention since the middle 1960s, and many interesting results for generalized inverses of products of matrices or operators have been obtained (see [3,4,7,8,13,15]). Greville [6] first proved that $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(A^*AB) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$ and $\mathcal{R}(BB^*A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$, for matrices A and B . This result was extended to linear bounded operators on Hilbert spaces in [8]. Later, the reverse order law for the Moore–Penrose inverse was considered in rings with involution (see [9]).

The “absorption law” for the two-sided inverse, in a ring A or additive category, says that if $a, b \in A$ are both invertible then

$$a^{-1} + b^{-1} = a^{-1}(a + b)b^{-1}$$

[☆] This work was supported by the NSFC grant (11061005 and 61165015), the Ministry of Education Science and Technology Key Project under grant 210164 and Grants (HCIC201103) of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Hybrid Computational and IC Design Analysis Open Fund Key issues for Department of Education of Guangxi (201202ZD031) and by Grant No. 174007 of the Ministry of Science, Technology and Development, Republic of Serbia.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xiaojiliu72@yahoo.com.cn (X. Liu), jinhongweie300@yahoo.com (H. Jin), dragana@pmf.ni.ac.rs, gagamaka@ptt.rs (D.S. Cvetković-Ilić).

and it is a natural question whether this has extension to generalized inverses. The question certainly makes sense for specific inverses such as the Moore–Penrose or the Drazin inverse, although can also be formulated for arbitrary generalized inverses.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. If for every $E \in A\eta$ and every $F \in B\eta$,

$$E + F = E(A + B)F, \quad (1.2)$$

then we say that the absorption law for η -inverses of A and B is satisfied. Moreover, if (1.2) holds for every $E \in A\eta$ and every $F \in B\mu$, then we say that the mixed absorption law for A and B is satisfied.

The absorption laws for matrices were studied by Chen et al. [2] and Lin and Gao [10]. In [2], the maximal and the minimal ranks of $G + H - G(A + B)H$ are described using the rank of the generalized Schur complement in the case when G and H are generalized inverse of A and B , respectively. Based on that, the rank conditions for the absorption laws for $\{1, 3\}$ -inverses and $\{1, 4\}$ -inverses were obtained. In [10], the authors considered the mixed first and second absorption laws for $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{1, 3\}$ -inverses using the matrix rank method, the generalized Schur complement and singular value decompositions. In this paper, we will give the equivalent conditions for the absorption laws for the various generalized inverses of operators on Hilbert spaces. Also, we consider the mixed absorption laws.

First, we will state some auxiliary lemmas:

Lemma 1.1 [2]. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range. Then

$$A\{1\} = \{A^\dagger + X - A^\dagger A X A A^\dagger : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})\}.$$

Lemma 1.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range. Then

$$A\{1, 2\} = \left\{ \left(A^\dagger + (I - A^\dagger A)X \right) A \left(A^\dagger + Y(I - A A^\dagger) \right) : X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$

Lemma 1.3 [2]. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $ABA = A$ and $(AB)^* = AB$;
- (b) $B = A^\dagger + (I - A^\dagger A)X$, for some $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 1.4 [2]. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $ABA = A$ and $(BA)^* = BA$;
- (b) $B = A^\dagger + Y(I - A A^\dagger)$, for some $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 1.5 [3]. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range. Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be closed and mutually orthogonal subspaces of \mathcal{H} , such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ and let \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 be closed and mutually orthogonal subspaces of \mathcal{K} , such that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$. Then the operator A has the following matrix representations with respect to the orthogonal sums of subspaces $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{R}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$:

(a)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \mathcal{H}_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = A_1 A_1^* + A_2 A_2^* : \mathcal{R}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $D > 0$. Also,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* D^{-1} & 0 \\ A_2^* D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A^*) \\ \mathcal{N}(A) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_1 \\ \mathcal{K}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $E = A_1^* A_1 + A_2^* A_2 : \mathcal{R}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^*)$ and $E > 0$. Also,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}A_1^* & E^{-1}A_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here A_i denotes different operators in any of these two cases.

2. The absorption laws for Moore–Penrose inverse, $\{1\}$, $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{1, 4\}$ -inverses

In this section we will present necessary and sufficient conditions for the absorption laws of the Moore–Penrose inverse, $\{1\}$, $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{1, 4\}$ -inverses.

Throughout the paper we will assume that A and B are given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(B^*) \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(B^*) \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Also,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & 0 \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = A_1A_1^* + A_2A_2^*$ and $E = B_1^*B_1 + B_2^*B_2$.

In the following theorem, we proved that $A^\dagger + B^\dagger = A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger$ if and only if $BA^\dagger A = B$ and $BB^\dagger A = A$, i.e., $R(B^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$ and $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A^\dagger + B^\dagger = A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger$,
- (ii) $R(B^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$ and $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): From $A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger$, we get that the following four equalities hold:

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_1^* + A_1^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = A_1^*D^{-1} + E^{-1}B_1^*, \quad (2.3)$$

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_2^* + A_1^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = E^{-1}B_2^*, \quad (2.4)$$

$$A_2^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_1^* + A_2^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = A_2^*D^{-1}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$A_2^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_2^* + A_2^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Now, from (2.3) $\times B_1 +$ (2.4) $\times B_2$, we get

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 + A_1^*D^{-1}B_1 = A_1^*D^{-1}B_1 + I, \quad \text{i.e., } A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I.$$

From (2.5) $\times B_1 +$ (2.6) $\times B_2$, we get

$$A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 + A_2^*D^{-1}B_1 = A_2^*D^{-1}B_1, \quad \text{i.e., } A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0.$$

From $A_1 \times$ (2.3) $+ A_2 \times$ (2.5), we get

$$A_1E^{-1}B_1^* + B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I + A_1E^{-1}B_1^*, \quad \text{i.e., } B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I.$$

From $A_1 \times$ (2.4) $+ A_2 \times$ (2.6), we get

$$A_1E^{-1}B_2^* + B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = A_1E^{-1}B_1^*, \quad \text{i.e., } B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0.$$

Now, it is easy to check that $B^\dagger BA^\dagger A = B^\dagger B$ and $AA^\dagger BB^\dagger = AA^\dagger$ which is equivalent to $BA^\dagger A = B$ and $BB^\dagger A = A$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that $R(B^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$ and $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$. Then, $B^\dagger BA^\dagger A = B^\dagger B$ and $AA^\dagger BB^\dagger = AA^\dagger$, which imply that $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$. Now, by computation we get that (i) holds. \square

Now, we consider the absorption law for $\{1\}$ -inverses:

Theorem 2.2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A^{(1)} + B^{(1)} = A^{(1)}(A + B)B^{(1)}$, for any $A^{(1)} \in A\{1\}$ and any $B^{(1)} \in B\{1\}$,
(ii) $A^\dagger A = I, BB^\dagger = I$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, arbitrary $A^{(1)}$ and $B^{(1)}$ have the following matrix forms:

$$A^{(1)} = A^\dagger + X - A^\dagger A X A A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21} & X_{12} \\ A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21} & X_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B^{(1)} = B^\dagger + Y - B^\dagger B Y B B^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - (Y_{11} B_1 + Y_{12} B_2) E^{-1} B_1^* & E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - (Y_{11} B_1 + Y_{12} B_2) E^{-1} B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple computation shows

$$A^{(1)}(A + B)B^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11} &= (A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21})(A_1 + B_1)(E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_1^*) \\ &\quad + X_{12} B_2 (E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_1^*) + (A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21}) A_2 Y_{21}, \\ G_{12} &= (A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21})(A_1 + B_1)(E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_2^*) \\ &\quad + X_{12} B_2 (E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_2^*) + (A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21}) A_2 Y_{22}, \\ G_{21} &= (A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21})(A_1 + B_1)(E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_1^*) \\ &\quad + X_{22} B_2 (E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_1^*) + (A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21}) A_2 Y_{21}, \\ G_{22} &= (A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21})(A_1 + B_1)(E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_2^*) \\ &\quad + X_{22} B_2 (E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_2^*) + (A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21}) A_2 Y_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$A^{(1)} + B^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11} &= A_1^* D^{-1} + X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_1^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21} \\ &\quad + E^{-1} B_1^* + Y_{11} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_1^*, \\ F_{12} &= X_{12} + E^{-1} B_2^* + Y_{12} - Y_{11} B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* - Y_{12} B_2 E^{-1} B_2^*, \\ F_{21} &= A_2^* D^{-1} + X_{21} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 X_{11} - A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 X_{21} + Y_{21}, \\ F_{22} &= X_{22} + Y_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since $A^\dagger A = I$ and $BB^\dagger = I$, we get

$$A_1^* D^{-1} A_1 = I, A_2^* D^{-1} A_1 = 0, A_2^* D^{-1} A_2 = I \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$B_1 E^{-1} B_1^* = I, B_1 E^{-1} B_2^* = 0, B_2 E^{-1} B_2^* = I. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.7) and (2.8) in the expressions of $A^{(1)}(A + B)B^{(1)}$ and $A^{(1)} + B^{(1)}$, we have

$$A^{(1)}(A + B)B^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* D^{-1} + E^{-1} B_1^* & X_{12} + E^{-1} B_2^* \\ A_2^* D^{-1} + Y_{21} & X_{22} + Y_{22} \end{pmatrix} = A^{(1)} + B^{(1)}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): From $A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger$ by Theorem 2.1, we have $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$. Now, $M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & X_{12} \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A\{1\}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B\{1\}$, for arbitrary operators X_{12} and Y_{21} on appropriate subspaces. From $M(A+B)B^\dagger = M + B^\dagger$, i.e., from the part $(M(A+B)B^\dagger)_{12} = (M + B^\dagger)_{12}$, we obtain

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_2^* + A_1^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_2^* + X_{12}B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = E^{-1}B_2^* + X_{12}$$

which is by $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$, equivalent to

$$X_{12}B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = X_{12}.$$

Since X_{12} is arbitrary, we get $B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$. Similarly, by $A^\dagger(A+B)N = A^\dagger + N$, we get $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$. Now, it is easy to verify that $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$ imply $A^\dagger A = I$ while $B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0, B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$ imply $BB^\dagger = I$. \square

In the following theorem we proved that the absorption law for $\{1, 2\}$ -inverses holds under the same conditions as in the case of $\{1\}$ -inverses.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A^{(1,2)} + B^{(1,2)} = A^{(1,2)}(A+B)B^{(1,2)}$, for any $A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1\}$ and any $B^{(1,2)} \in B\{1\}$,
- (ii) $A^\dagger A = I, BB^\dagger = I$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, $A^{(1,2)} = (A^\dagger + (I - A^\dagger A)X)A(A^\dagger + Y(I - AA^\dagger))$, where $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ are arbitrary operators. Similarly, $B^{(1,2)} = (B^\dagger + (I - B^\dagger B)U)B(B^\dagger + V(I - BB^\dagger))$, where $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ are arbitrary operators. Now, we have

$$A^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{11} &= A_1^*D^{-1} + (I - A_1^*D^{-1}A_1)X_{11} - A_1^*D^{-1}A_2X_{21}, \\ H_{12} &= (A_1^*D^{-1} + (I - A_1^*D^{-1}A_1)X_{11} - A_1^*D^{-1}A_2X_{21})(A_1Y_{12} + A_2Y_{22}), \\ H_{21} &= A_2^*D^{-1} - A_2^*D^{-1}A_1X_{11} + (I - A_2^*D^{-1}A_2)X_{21}, \\ H_{22} &= (A_2^*D^{-1} - A_2^*D^{-1}A_1X_{11} + (I - A_2^*D^{-1}A_2)X_{21})(A_1Y_{12} + A_2Y_{22}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } B^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11} &= E^{-1}B_1^* + V_{11}(I - B_1E^{-1}B_1^*) - V_{12}B_2E^{-1}B_1^*, \\ J_{12} &= E^{-1}B_2^* - V_{11}B_1E^{-1}B_2^* + V_{12}(I - B_2E^{-1}B_2^*), \\ J_{21} &= (U_{21}B_1 + U_{22}B_2)(E^{-1}B_1^* + V_{21}(I - B_1E^{-1}B_1^*) - V_{22}B_2E^{-1}B_1^*), \\ J_{22} &= (U_{21}B_1 + U_{22}B_2)(E^{-1}B_2^* - V_{21}B_1E^{-1}B_2^* + V_{22}(I - B_2E^{-1}B_2^*)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since $A^\dagger A = I$ and $BB^\dagger = I$, we get

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, \quad A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, \quad A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, \quad B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0, \quad B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I. \quad (2.10)$$

Now, we have that arbitrary $A^{(1,2)}$ is given by $A^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & Y_{12} \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ while arbitrary $B^{(1,2)}$ is given by $B^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, for some operators Y_{12}, Y_{22}, U_{21} and U_{22} . Now, by (2.9) and (2.10), we get that

$$A^{(1,2)}(A+B)B^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} + E^{-1}B_1^* & Y_{12} + E^{-1}B_2^* \\ A_2^*D^{-1} + U_{21} & Y_{22} + U_{22} \end{pmatrix} = A^{(1,2)} + B^{(1,2)}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): From $A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger$, by Theorem 2.1, we have that $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$. Now, using obtained conditions, we have that $M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & X_{12} \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A\{1, 2\}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B\{1, 2\}$, for arbitrary operators X_{12} and Y_{21} on appropriate subspaces. From $A^\dagger(A+B)N = A^\dagger + N$, we get: $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$. Similarly, from $M(A+B)B^\dagger = M + B^\dagger$, i.e., from the part $(M(A+B)B^\dagger)_{12} = (M + B^\dagger)_{12}$, we obtain

$$E^{-1}B_2^* + X_{12}B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = E^{-1}B_2^* + X_{12}$$

which is equivalent to

$$X_{12}B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = X_{12}.$$

Since X_{12} is arbitrary, we get $B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$. Now, it is easy to verify that $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$ imply $A^\dagger A = I$ while $B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0, B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$ imply $BB^\dagger = I$. \square

Corollary 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $A^{(1,2)} + B^{(1,2)} = A^{(1,2)}(A+B)B^{(1,2)}$, for any $A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1\}$ and any $B^{(1,2)} \in B\{1\}$,
- (b) $A^{(1)} + B^{(1)} = A^{(1)}(A+B)B^{(1)}$, for any $A^{(1)} \in A\{1\}$ and any $B^{(1)} \in B\{1\}$,
- (c) $A^\dagger A = I, BB^\dagger = I$.

In the following theorem we proved that the absorption law for $\{1, 3\}$ -inverses holds if and only if $A^\dagger A = I$ and $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$ which is equivalent with $A^\dagger A = I$ and $BB^\dagger A = A$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A^{(1,3)} + B^{(1,3)} = A^{(1,3)}(A+B)B^{(1,3)}$, for any $A^{(1,3)} \in A\{1, 3\}$ and any $B^{(1,3)} \in B\{1, 3\}$,
- (ii) $A^\dagger A = I, R(A) \subseteq R(B)$.

Proof. Let $B^{(1,3)} \in B\{1, 3\}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 1.3, it follows that there exists some $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, such that

$$B^{(1,3)} = B^\dagger + (I - B^\dagger B)Y = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where Y_{21} and Y_{22} are arbitrary bounded linear operators on appropriate subspaces.

- (ii) \Rightarrow (i): By $A^\dagger A = I, R(A) \subseteq R(B)$, i.e., $A^\dagger A = I$ and $BB^\dagger A = A$, we get that

$$A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, \quad A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, \quad A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, \quad B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Evidently, $A\{1, 3\} = \{A^\dagger\}$, so by (2.11) and (2.12), it follows that

$$A^\dagger(A+B)B^{(1,3)} = A^\dagger + B^{(1,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} + E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ A_2^*D^{-1} + Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): By Theorem 2.1, we get that $BA^\dagger A = B$ and $BB^\dagger A = A$, i.e., $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$. Since $N = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B\{1, 3\}$, for an arbitrary operator Y_{21} on an appropriate subspace, we have that $A^\dagger(A+B)N = A^\dagger + N$, i.e., $(A^\dagger(A+B)N)_{12} = (A^\dagger + N)_{12}$ implies

$$A_2^*D^{-1}A_1E^{-1}B_1^* + A_2^*D^{-1}B_1E^{-1}B_1^* + A_2^*D^{-1}A_2Y_{21} = A_2^*D^{-1} + Y_{21}$$

Since $A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I$, we get $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2Y_{21} = Y_{21}$. As Y_{21} is arbitrary, we have $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$. Finally, $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$ imply $A^\dagger A = I$ while $B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I, B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$ imply $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$. \square

The case $K = \{1, 4\}$ is treated completely analogously and the corresponding result follows by taking adjoints, or by reversal of products:

Theorem 2.5. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A^{(1,4)} + B^{(1,4)} = A^{(1,4)}(A+B)B^{(1,4)}$, for any $A^{(1,4)} \in A\{1,4\}$ and any $B^{(1,4)} \in B\{1,4\}$,
(ii) $BB^\dagger = I, R(B^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$.

3. The mixed absorption law

In this section, we consider the various types of mixed absorption law for the generalized inverses. It is interesting that for any of considered cases the necessary and sufficient conditions are the same.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be such that $R(A), R(B)$ are closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $A^{(1,4)} + B^{(1,3)} = A^{(1,4)}(A+B)B^{(1,3)}$, for any $A^{(1,4)} \in A\{1,4\}$ and any $B^{(1,3)} \in B\{1,3\}$,
- (2) $A^{(1,3)} + B^{(1,4)} = A^{(1,3)}(A+B)B^{(1,4)}$, for any $A^{(1,3)} \in A\{1,3\}$ and any $B^{(1,4)} \in B\{1,4\}$,
- (3) $A^{(1,2)} + B^{(1,3)} = A^{(1,2)}(A+B)B^{(1,3)}$, for any $A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1,2\}$ and any $B^{(1,3)} \in B\{1,3\}$,
- (4) $A^{(1,3)} + B^{(1,2)} = A^{(1,3)}(A+B)B^{(1,2)}$, for any $A^{(1,3)} \in A\{1,3\}$ and any $B^{(1,2)} \in B\{1,2\}$,
- (5) $A^{(1,2)} + B^{(1,4)} = A^{(1,2)}(A+B)B^{(1,4)}$, for any $A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1,2\}$ and any $B^{(1,4)} \in B\{1,4\}$,
- (6) $A^{(1,4)} + B^{(1,2)} = A^{(1,4)}(A+B)B^{(1,2)}$, for any $A^{(1,4)} \in A\{1,4\}$ and any $B^{(1,2)} \in B\{1,2\}$,
- (7) $A^\dagger A = I, BB^\dagger = I$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, it follows that (7) \Rightarrow (i), for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Now, we need to prove that (i) \Rightarrow (7), for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. It is evident that if we suppose that (i) holds for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, then $A^\dagger(A+B)B^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger$, which by Theorem 2.1 implies that $A_1^*D^{-1}A_1 = I, A_2^*D^{-1}A_1 = 0, B_1E^{-1}B_1^* = I$ and $B_1E^{-1}B_2^* = 0$. So, in all the proofs (i) \Rightarrow (7), where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ we must prove that $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$ and $B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$.

(1) \Rightarrow (7): Remark that $M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & X_{12} \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A\{1,4\}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Y_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B\{1,3\}$, for arbitrary operators X_{12} and Y_{21} on appropriate subspaces. From

$$M(A+B)B^\dagger = M + B^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad A^\dagger(A+B)N = A^\dagger + N, \quad (3.1)$$

as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain $A_2^*D^{-1}A_2 = I$ and $B_2E^{-1}B_2^* = I$. Thus, $A^\dagger A = I$ and $BB^\dagger = I$.

(3) \Rightarrow (7): Since $M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*D^{-1} & Y_{12} \\ A_2^*D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A\{1,2\}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}B_1^* & E^{-1}B_2^* \\ Z_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B\{1,3\}$, for arbitrary operators Y_{12} and Z_{21} on appropriate subspaces, the proofs follows by (3.1). Proofs of the parts (i) \Rightarrow (7), where $i \in \{2, 4, 5, 6\}$ follow analogously. \square

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, second ed., Wiley, New York, 1974 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).
- [2] X. Chen, J. Zhang, W. Guo, The absorption law of $\{1,3\}, \{1,4\}$ inverse of matrix, J. Inn. Mong. Norm. Univ. 37 (3) (2008) 337–339.
- [3] D.S. Djordjević, N.Č. Dinčić, Reverse order law for the Moore–Penrose inverse, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (2010) 252–261.
- [4] D.S. Djordjević, Further results on the reverse order law for generalized inverses, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 29 (4) (2007) 1242–1246.
- [5] G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, Matrix Computations, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1983.
- [6] T.N.E. Greville, Note on the generalized inverse of a matrix product, SIAM Rev. 8 (1966) 518–512.
- [7] R.E. Hartwig, The reverse order law revisited, Linear Algebra Appl. 76 (1986) 241–246.
- [8] S. Izumino, The product of operators with closed range and an extension of the reverse order law, Tohoku Math. J. 34 (1982) 43–52.
- [9] J.J. Koliha, D.S. Djordjević, D. Cvetković Ilić, Moore–Penrose inverse in rings with involution, Linear Algebra Appl. 426 (2007) 371–381.
- [10] Y. Lin, Y. Gao, Mixed absorption laws of the sum of two matrices on $\{1,2\}$ -inverse and $\{1,3\}$ -inverse, J. Univ. Shanghai Sci. Technol. 33 (2) (2011) 168–173.
- [11] C.R. Rao, S.K. Mitra, Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications, John Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [12] W. Sun, Y. Yuan, Optimization Theory and Methods, Science Press, Beijing, 1996.
- [13] Y. Tian, Reverse order laws for the generalized inverses of multiple matrix products, Linear Algebra Appl. 211 (1994) 85–100.
- [14] H.J. Werner, G-Inverse of Matrix Products, Data Analysis and Statistical Inference, Eul-Verlag, Bergisch-Gladbach, 1992, pp. 531–546.
- [15] J. Wang, H. Zhang, G. Ji, A generalized reverse order law for the products of two operators, J. Shaanxi Norm. Univ. 38 (4) (2010) 13–17.
- [16] G. Wang, Y. Wei, S. Qiao, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Computations, Science Press, Beijing, 2004.