

# 1 Background in Hermitian Geometry

In this section, we'll define several items:

- Let  $A$  be a local ring with identity.
- Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Because  $A$  is local,  $\mathfrak{r}$  is maximal, and contains all non-units of  $A$ .
- Let  $*$  be an involution of  $A$ . Assume that elements fixed by  $*$  are in the center of  $A$ , forming a ring  $R = \{a \in A : a^* = a\}$ . Note that  $R$  is local, with maximal ideal  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$ . This is because any element of  $R$  that is not in  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$  is invertible by definition. Thus the only maximal ideal is  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$ .
- Let  $Q : A^* \rightarrow R^* : a \mapsto aa^*$  (the norm map) be a group homomorphism with kernel  $N$ .

Now let  $V$  be a right  $A$ -module and  $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$  be a  $*$ -hermitian form. By definition,  $h$  is linear in the second variable and  $h(v, u) = h(u, v)^*$  for  $u, v \in V$ . Then  $h(u, u) = h(u, u)^*$  and  $h(u, u) \in R \subseteq Z(A)$  for all  $u \in V$ .

Now consider the dual space  $V^*$ . We can define an operation  $V^* \times A \rightarrow V^*$  by  $(\alpha a)(v) = a^* \alpha(v)$  where  $\alpha \in V^*, a \in A, v \in V$ . Under this operation,  $V^*$  is a right  $A$ -module. Now we can define a homomorphism of right  $A$ -modules  $\gamma_h : V \rightarrow V^*$  associated with  $h$  given by  $\gamma_h(u) = h(u, -)$ .

Some more assumptions:

- Assume that  $h$  is non-degenerate;  $\gamma_h$  is an isomorphism.
- Let  $U$  be the subgroup of  $GL(V)$  preserving  $h$ . I'm guessing that this means for  $\varphi \in U, u, v \in V$ ,  $h(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = h(u, v)$ .
- Assume the existence of an element  $d \in A$  such that  $d + d^* = 1$ .
- Assume that  $V$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $m \geq 1$ . According to paper: "This is well defined, as can be seen by reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{r}$ ."

Now let  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  be a basis of  $V$  throughout the section.

**Lemma 1.1.** *There is a vector  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ .*

*Proof.* Assume otherwise; that for some  $h(u, u) \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $u \in V$ . Then using the linearity of  $h$ :

$$h(u, v) + h(u, v)^* = h(u + v, u + v) - h(u, u) - h(v, v) \in \mathfrak{m}$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ . Let  $\alpha \in V^*$  be the linear functional such that  $\alpha(v_1) = d$  and  $\alpha(v_i) = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ . Because  $h$  is assumed to be non-degenerate, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, -) = \alpha$ . Then  $d = \alpha(v_1) = h(u, v_1)$  and  $1 = d + d^* = h(u, v_1) + h(u, v_1)^* \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , contradicting the original hypothesis.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.**  *$V$  has an orthogonal basis  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$ . Any such basis satisfies  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ .*

*Proof.* Prove with induction on  $m$ . Assume that  $m = 1$ . By the previous lemma, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ . Then  $u = v_1 a_1$  for some  $a_1 \in A^*$ , and  $h(u, u) = h(v_1 a_1, v_1 a_1) = a_1^* h(v_1, v_1) a_1 \in R^*$  implying that  $h(v_1, v_1) \in R^*$ . Now assume that  $m > 1$  and that the hypothesis holds for  $m - 1$ . Once again, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ . Then  $u = v_1 a_1 + \dots + v_m a_m$  with  $a_i \in A$ . If all  $a_i \in \mathfrak{r}$ , then  $h(u, u) \in \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 \notin \mathfrak{r}$ . Then if  $u_1 = v_1 a_1$ , the set  $\{u_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  is a basis of  $V$ . For  $1 < i \leq m$ , set

$$u_i = v_i - u_1 [h(u_1, v_i) / h(u_1, u_1)]$$

Then  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  is a basis of  $V$  satisfying  $h(u_1, u_i) = 0$  for  $1 < i \leq m$ . Let  $V_1 = u_1 A$  and  $V_2 = \text{span}\{u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ . Then  $V = V_1 \perp V_2$  and the restriction of  $h$  to  $V_2$  induces an isomorphism  $V_2 \rightarrow V_2^*$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to this space completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** • (a) *Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$  are orthogonal and satisfy  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$  can be extended to an orthogonal basis of  $V$  with the same property.*

- (b) *If  $V_1$  is a submodule of  $V$  such that the restriction of  $h$  to  $V_1$  is non-degenerate there is another such submodule  $V_2$  of  $V$  such that  $V = V_1 \perp V_2$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Can represent  $u_1 = v_1 a_1 + \dots + v_m a_m$  for some  $a_i \in A$ . Since  $h(u_1, u_1) \in R^*$  (by previous lemma), one of the scalars must be a unit. WLOG assume  $a_i \in A^*$ . Thus  $u_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ . Suppose  $1 \leq t \leq s$  and the list  $u_1, \dots, u_t, u_{t+1}, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ . Then

$$u_{t+1} = u_1 b_1 + \dots + u_t b_t + v_{t+1} b_{t+1} + \dots + v_m b_m$$

for some  $b_i \in A$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $b_i \in \mathfrak{r}$  for all  $i \geq t + 1$ . Then for every  $i \leq t$ ,

$$0 = h(u_i, u_{t+1}) = h(u_i, u_i) b_i + h(u_i, v_{t+1}) b_{t+1} + \dots + h(u_i, v_m) b_m$$

implying that  $b_i \in \mathfrak{r}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , contradicting the assumption that  $h(u_{t+1}, u_{t+1}) \in R^*$ . Thus at least one of  $b_{t+1}, \dots, b_m$  is a unit (assume  $b_{t+1}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_t, u_{t+1}, v_{t+2}, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ ).

This process can be repeated to extend  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  to a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_s, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_m$  of  $V$ . For  $s < i \leq m$ , let

$$z_i = u_i - ([u_1 h(u_1, u_i)/h(u_1, u_1)] + \dots + u_s h(u_s, u_i)/h(u_s, u_s)).$$

Then  $u_1, \dots, u_s, z_1, \dots, z_{m-s}$  is a basis of  $V$  satisfying  $h(u_i, z_j) = 0$ . It follows that the restriction of  $h$  to  $M = \text{span}\{z_1, \dots, z_{m-s}\}$  is non-degenerate and by lemma 1.2 that  $M$  has an orthogonal basis with  $h(z_i, z_i) \in R^*$  for any  $i \leq m - s$ .

(b) Follows from (a) and lemma 1.2 □

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$ , with corresponding Gram matrix  $M \in M_s(A)$ , defined by  $M_{ij} = h(u_i, u_j)$ . If  $M \in GL_m(A)$ , then  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  satisfy  $u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_s a_s = 0$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq s$

$$0 = h(u_i, u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_s a_s) = h(u_i, u_1) a_1 + \dots + h(u_i, u_s) a_s$$

implying that

$$M \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $M$  is invertible, the desired result follows. □