

# 1 Introduction Workthrough with $p$ -adic Numbers

$K$  is a non-archimedian local field. In this case  $K$  will be the  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , where  $p > 1$  is prime. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers (integral elements) of  $K$ . These are elements of the form

$$a = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i p^i.$$

with each  $0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1$  for all  $i$ . See [2], page 28 for more explanation of this representation. It is important to note that because of the metric imposed on the field of  $p$ -adic numbers, adding terms with higher powers of  $p$  does not increase the magnitude of the integer. Thus for every  $p$ -adic integer  $a$ ,  $|a| \leq 1$ . As in the rational numbers (can be shown with rational root theorem) these are the integers. To see that  $(p)$  is the maximal ideal, note that for any  $a \in \mathcal{O}$ , if  $|a| = 1$ , then  $a$  is invertible. Thus the only non-invertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}$  have  $p$  as a divisor, and  $(p)$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Now let  $F_q = \mathcal{O}/(p)$ . Then this is a field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $F = K[\sqrt{p}]$  be a ramified quadratic extension of  $K$  with ring of integers  $R$ . From Wikipedia [1]: Define

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{p}}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Then  $R = \{a + b\omega\} = \mathcal{O}[\sqrt{p}]$  and  $R/(\sqrt{p}) \cong F_p$ .

## 2 Background in Hermitian Geometry

In this section, we'll define several items:

- Let  $A$  be a local ring with identity.
- Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Because  $A$  is local,  $\mathfrak{r}$  is maximal, and contains all non-units of  $A$ .
- Let  $*$  be an involution of  $A$ . Assume that elements fixed by  $*$  are in the center of  $A$ , forming a ring  $R = \{a \in A : a^* = a\}$ . Note that  $R$  is local, with maximal ideal  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$ . This is because any element of  $R$  that is not in  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$  is invertible by definition. Thus the only maximal ideal is  $R \cap \mathfrak{r}$ .
- Let  $Q : A^* \rightarrow R^* : a \mapsto aa^*$  (the norm map) be a group homomorphism with kernel  $N$ .

Now let  $V$  be a right  $A$ -module and  $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$  be a  $*$ -hermitian form. By definition,  $h$  is linear in the second variable and  $h(v, u) = h(u, v)^*$  for  $u, v \in V$ . Then  $h(u, u) = h(u, u)^*$  and  $h(u, u) \in R \subseteq Z(A)$  for all  $u \in V$ .

Now consider the dual space  $V^*$ . We can define an operation  $V^* \times A \rightarrow V^*$  by  $(\alpha a)(v) = a^* \alpha(v)$  where  $\alpha \in V^*, a \in A, v \in V$ . Under this operation,  $V^*$  is a right  $A$ -module. Now we can define a homomorphism of right  $A$ -modules  $\gamma_h : V \rightarrow V^*$  associated with  $h$  given by  $\gamma_h(u) = h(u, -)$ .

Some more assumptions:

- Assume that  $h$  is non-degenerate;  $\gamma_h$  is an isomorphism.
- Let  $U$  be the subgroup of  $GL(V)$  preserving  $h$ . I'm guessing that this means for  $\varphi \in U, u, v \in V$ ,  $h(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = h(u, v)$ .
- Assume the existence of an element  $d \in A$  such that  $d + d^* = 1$ .
- Assume that  $V$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $m \geq 1$ . According to paper: "This is well defined, as can be seen by reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{r}$ ."

Now let  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  be a basis of  $V$  throughout the section.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There is a vector  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ .*

*Proof.* Assume otherwise; that for some  $h(u, u) \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $u \in V$ . Then using the linearity of  $h$ :

$$h(u, v) + h(u, v)^* = h(u + v, u + v) - h(u, u) - h(v, v) \in \mathfrak{m}$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ . Let  $\alpha \in V^*$  be the linear functional such that  $\alpha(v_1) = d$  and  $\alpha(v_i) = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ . Because  $h$  is assumed to be non-degenerate, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, -) = \alpha$ . Then  $d = \alpha(v_1) = h(u, v_1)$  and  $1 = d + d^* = h(u, v_1) + h(u, v_1)^* \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , contradicting the original hypothesis.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.**  *$V$  has an orthogonal basis  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$ . Any such basis satisfies  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ .*

*Proof.* Prove with induction on  $m$ . Assume that  $m = 1$ . By lemma 2.1, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ . Then  $u = v_1 a_1$  for some  $a_1 \in A^*$ , and  $h(u, u) = h(v_1 a_1, v_1 a_1) = a_1^* h(v_1, v_1) a_1 \in R^*$  implying that  $h(v_1, v_1) \in R^*$ . Now assume that  $m > 1$  and that the hypothesis holds for  $m-1$ . Once again, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) \in R^*$ . Then  $u = v_1 a_1 + \dots + v_m a_m$  with  $a_i \in A$ . If all  $a_i \in \mathfrak{r}$ , then  $h(u, u) \in \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 \notin \mathfrak{r}$ . Then if  $u_1 = v_1 a_1$ , the set  $\{u_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  is a basis of  $V$ . For  $1 < i \leq m$ , set

$$u_i = v_i - u_1 [h(u_1, v_i) / h(u_1, u_1)]$$

Then  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  is a basis of  $V$  satisfying  $h(u_1, u_i) = 0$  for  $1 < i \leq m$ . Let  $V_1 = u_1 A$  and  $V_2 = \text{span}\{u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ . Then  $V = V_1 \perp V_2$  and the restriction of  $h$  to  $V_2$  induces an isomorphism  $V_2 \rightarrow V_2^*$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to this space completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** (a) *Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$  are orthogonal and satisfy  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$  can be extended to an orthogonal basis of  $V$  with the same property.*

(b) *If  $V_1$  is a submodule of  $V$  such that the restriction of  $h$  to  $V_1$  is non-degenerate there is another such submodule  $V_2$  of  $V$  such that  $V = V_1 \perp V_2$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Can represent  $u_1 = v_1 a_1 + \dots + v_m a_m$  for some  $a_i \in A$ . Since  $h(u_1, u_1) \in R^*$  (by lemma 2.1), one of the scalars must be a unit. WLOG assume  $a_i \in A^*$ . Thus  $u_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ . Suppose  $1 \leq t \leq s$  and the list  $u_1, \dots, u_t, u_{t+1}, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ . Then

$$u_{t+1} = u_1 b_1 + \dots + u_t b_t + v_{t+1} b_{t+1} + \dots + v_m b_m$$

for some  $b_i \in A$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $b_i \in \mathfrak{r}$  for all  $i \geq t+1$ . Then for every  $i \leq t$ ,

$$0 = h(u_i, u_{t+1}) = h(u_i, u_i) b_i + h(u_i, v_{t+1}) b_{t+1} + \dots + h(u_i, v_m) b_m$$

implying that  $b_i \in \mathfrak{r}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , contradicting the assumption that  $h(u_{t+1}, u_{t+1}) \in R^*$ . Thus at least one of  $b_{t+1}, \dots, b_m$  is a unit (assume  $b_{t+1}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_t, u_{t+1}, v_{t+2}, \dots, v_m$  is a basis of  $V$ ).

This process can be repeated to extend  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  to a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_s, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_m$  of  $V$ . For  $s < i \leq m$ , let

$$z_i = u_i - ([u_1 h(u_1, u_i)/h(u_1, u_1)] + \dots + u_s h(u_s, u_i)/h(u_s, u_s)).$$

Then  $u_1, \dots, u_s, z_1, \dots, z_{m-s}$  is a basis of  $V$  satisfying  $h(u_i, z_j) = 0$ . It follows that the restriction of  $h$  to  $M = \text{span}\{z_1, \dots, z_{m-s}\}$  is non-degenerate and by lemma 2.2 that  $M$  has an orthogonal basis with  $h(z_i, z_i) \in R^*$  for any  $i \leq m - s$ .

(b) Follows from (a) and lemma 2.2 □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in V$ , with corresponding Gram matrix  $M \in M_s(A)$ , defined by  $M_{ij} = h(u_i, u_j)$ . If  $M \in GL_m(A)$ , then  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  satisfy  $u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_s a_s = 0$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq s$

$$0 = h(u_i, u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_s a_s) = h(u_i, u_1) a_1 + \dots + h(u_i, u_s) a_s$$

implying that

$$M \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $M$  is invertible, the desired result follows. □

### 3 Classification of Hermitian Forms

A vector  $v \in V$  is said to be **primitive** if  $v \notin V\mathfrak{r}$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $v$  belongs to a basis of  $V$ . We say that  $h$  is **isotropic** if there is a primitive vector  $v \in V$  such that  $h(v, v) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $h$  is isotropic. Then, given any  $r \in R$  there is a primitive vector  $v$  satisfying  $h(v, v) = r$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption,  $h$  is isotropic so there is a primitive vector  $u \in V$  such that  $h(u, u) = 0$ . Because  $h$  is assumed to be non-degenerate, there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $h(u, w) = d$ . Set  $s = r - h(w, w) \in R$  and  $v = us + w$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(v, v) &= h(us + w, us + w) \\ &= sh(u, w) + sh(u, w) + sh(w, u) + h(w, w) \\ &= s(d + d^*) + h(w, w) \\ &= s + h(w, w) \\ &= r - h(w, w) + h(w, w) \\ &= r \end{aligned}$$

□

We assume for the remainder of the paper that the squaring map of the 1-group  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  is an epimorphism and that  $R/\mathfrak{m} = F_q$  is a field of finite order  $q$  and odd characteristic. Thus  $[F_q^* : F_q^{*2}] = 2$ . To see this, pick  $r \in F_q^* \setminus F_q^{*2}$ . Then the minimal polynomial of  $x$  is  $t^2 - r^2 \in F_q^{*2}[t]$ . A similar argument shows that  $[R^* : R^{*2}] = 2$ . We can now fix an element  $\varepsilon \in R^* \setminus R^{*2}$ . Since  $R^{*2} \subseteq Q(A^*)$ , we infer  $Q(A^*) = R^*$  if  $Q$  is surjective and  $Q(A^*) = R^{*2}$  otherwise.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The division ring  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  is commutative. Moreover,*

- (a) *If the involution that  $*$  induces on  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  is the identity then  $Q$  is not surjective and  $A/\mathfrak{r} \cong F_q$ .*
- (b) *If the involution that  $*$  induces on  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  is not the identity then  $Q$  is surjective and  $A/\mathfrak{r} \cong F_{q^q}$ .*

*Proof.* We begin embedding  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  in  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  using the mapping  $x + \mathfrak{m} \mapsto x + \mathfrak{r}$  for  $x \in R$ . Thus  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  can be viewed as a subfield of  $A/\mathfrak{r}$ . Now let  $\circ$  be the involution that  $*$  induces on  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  ( $a + \mathfrak{r} \mapsto a^* + \mathfrak{r}$ ) and let  $k = \{a \in A/\mathfrak{r} :$

$a^\circ = a\}$  be the set of all elements of  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  that are fixed by  $\circ$ . Then  $R/\mathfrak{m} \subseteq k$  (by definition,  $R$  is fixed under  $*$ ). Conversely, assume that  $a + \mathfrak{r} \in k$ . Then  $a - a^* \in \mathfrak{r}$ , so

$$a = \frac{a + a^*}{2} + \frac{a - a^*}{2} \in R + \mathfrak{r}$$

and  $k \subseteq (R + \mathfrak{r})/\mathfrak{r} = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

(a) In this case,  $A/\mathfrak{r} = k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  and the norm map  $(A/\mathfrak{r})^* \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m})^*$  (induced by  $Q$ ) is the squaring map of  $F_q^*$ . This map is not surjective, so the norm map  $Q$  is not surjective.

(b) In this case, we assume that  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  properly contains  $k$ . Then for any  $f \in A/\mathfrak{r} \setminus k$ , the minimal polynomial of  $f$  is  $(t - f)(t - f^\circ) = t^2 - (f + f^\circ)t + ff^\circ \in k[t]$ .

Let  $f, e \in A/\mathfrak{r}$ . The goal is to show that  $f$  and  $e$  commute. Let  $f_1 = f - (f + f^\circ)/2$  and  $e_1 = e - (e + e^\circ)/2$ . Since  $(f + f^\circ)/2, (e + e^\circ)/2 \in k$  (which is a field), it is sufficient to show that  $f_1$  and  $e_1$  commute. Note that  $f_1^\circ = -f_1$  and  $e_1^\circ = -e_1$ . Then

$$(e_1 f_1 + f_1 e_1)^\circ = f_1^\circ e_1^\circ + e_1^\circ f_1^\circ = f_1 e_1 + e_1 f_1$$

and  $e_1 f_1 + f_1 e_1 \in k$ . Thus  $k\langle f_1, e_1 \rangle$  is the  $k$ -span of  $1, f_1, e_1, f_1 e_1$  and  $k\langle f_1, e_1 \rangle$  is a finite dimensional division algebra over  $k$ . Thus by Wedderburn's theorem,  $k\langle f_1, e_1 \rangle$  is a field, implying that  $f$  and  $e$  commute.

Thus  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  is a field, algebraic over  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ , where every element of  $A/\mathfrak{r} \setminus k$  has degree 2 over  $k$ . Since every algebraic extension of  $k$  is separable, the primitive element theorem implies that  $[A/\mathfrak{r} : k] = 2$ .

We now want to show that the norm map  $\hat{Q} : (A/\mathfrak{r})^* \rightarrow k^*$  induced by  $*$  is surjective. Because  $k \subseteq A/\mathfrak{r}$ , if  $r \in k^2$ , then there exists  $s \in k$  with  $s$  fixed under  $*$  and  $s^2 = r$ . Thus  $\hat{Q}(s) = s^2 = r$ . Now pick  $x \in k \setminus k^2$ . Then  $\sqrt{x} \notin k$ .

Consider two cases:

**Case 1:** Assume  $-x \notin k^2$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{r} \cong F_{q^2} = k(\sqrt{-x})$ . Every element of  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  can be written in the form  $a + b\sqrt{-x}$  with  $a, b \in k$  and

$$\hat{Q}(a + b\sqrt{-x}) = a^2 - b^2 \cdot -x = a^2 + b^2 x.$$

Then taking  $s = \sqrt{-x}$  gives  $\hat{Q}(s) = x$  and  $x \in \hat{Q}(A/\mathfrak{r})$ .

**Case 2:** Assume that  $-x \in k^2$ . Because exactly half of the elements in  $k^*$  have square roots, there must exist some element  $z \in k^*$  where  $\sqrt{z} \in k^*$  and  $\sqrt{z+1} \notin k^*$ . Then  $F_{q^2} = k(\sqrt{z+1})$ . Now take  $s = \sqrt{-x}\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{-x}\sqrt{z+1}$ . Then

$$\hat{Q}(s) = (-xz) - (-x(z+1)) = (z+1)x - zx = x$$

and  $\hat{Q}$  is surjective.

It follows that the norm map  $(A/\mathfrak{r})^* \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m})^*$  induced by  $\star$  is surjective, implying that the norm map  $A^* \rightarrow R^*$  is as well since the squaring map of  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $m \geq 2$ . Then given any unit  $r \in R$  there is a primitive vector  $v \in V$  satisfying  $h(v, v) = r$ .*

*Proof.* Consider two cases:

- $h$  is isotropic. Then 3.1 applies.
- $h$  is non-isotropic.

By lemma 2.2, there is an orthogonal basis  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  of  $V$  such that  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ . Let  $a = h(u_1, u_1) \in R^*$  and  $b = h(u_2, u_2) \in R^*$ . if  $t_1, t_2 \in R^*$ , then  $v = u_1 t_1 + u_2 t_2$  is primitive (because it is part of a basis), so

$$0 \neq h(v, v) = at_1^2 + bt_2^2.$$

Dividing by  $a$  and letting  $c = b/a \in R^*$ ,

$$0 \neq t_1^2 + ct_2^2$$

implying that  $-c$  is not a square in  $R^*$ . Let  $S = R[t]/(t^2 + c)$  and  $\delta = t + (t^2 + c) \in S$ . Then  $S = R[\delta]$ ,  $\delta^2 = -c$  and every element of  $S$  can be uniquely written in the form  $t_1 + t_2\delta$  with  $t_1, t_2 \in R$ . We have an involution  $s \mapsto \hat{s}$  defined by  $t_1 + t_2\delta \mapsto t_1 - t_2\delta$ , whose corresponding norm map  $J : S^* \rightarrow R^*$  given by  $s \mapsto s\hat{s}$ , or  $t_1 + t_2\delta \mapsto t_1^2 + ct_2^2$ .

We claim that  $S$  is local with maximal ideal  $S\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $t_1, t_2 \in R$ , not both in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and consider  $J(t_1 + t_2\delta) = t_1^2 + ct_2^2$ . If one of  $t_1, t_2$  is in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $t_1^2 + ct_2^2 \in R^*$ . To see this, assume that either  $t_1$  or  $t_2 \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . Then if  $t_1^2 + ct_2^2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $t_1^2 = -ct_2^2 + m$  and  $ct_2^2 = -t_1^2 - m$  for some  $m \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since either  $t_1, t_2$  is invertible, this implies that both  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $t_1^2 + ct_2^2 \in R^*$  and  $t_1 + t_2\delta \in S^*$ . Now suppose that both  $t_1, t_2 \in R^*$  but  $t_1 + t_2\delta \notin S^*$ . Then  $t_1^2 + ct_2^2 = f \in \mathfrak{m}$ , and

$$-c = (t_1^{-1})^2(t_1^2 - f) = (t_2^{-1})^2 t_1^2 (1 - (t_1^{-1})^2 f)$$

. By assumption (because  $A$  is a local ring),  $1 - (t_1^{-1})^2 f \in R^{*2}$ , implying that  $-c \in R^{*2}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $S$  is local with maximal ideal  $S\mathfrak{m}$ .

Thus  $S/S\mathfrak{m}$  is a field. The imbedding  $R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow S/S\mathfrak{m}$  allows us to view  $S/S\mathfrak{m}$  as a vector space over  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ , with  $\{1 + S\mathfrak{m}, \delta + \mathfrak{m}\}$  as a basis. Thus  $S/S\mathfrak{m}$  is a quadratic extension of  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . The involution of  $S$  induces the  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -automorphism of  $S/S\mathfrak{m}$  of order 2 and the norm map  $J$  induces the norm map  $(S/S\mathfrak{m})^* \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m})^*$ .

Since  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is known to be  $F_q$ , this map is known to be surjective. We claim that  $J$  is surjective. Indeed, pick  $e \in R^*$ . Then by the surjectivity of the norm map, there is  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that

$$j(s) = e + f = e(1 + e^{-1}f).$$

Since  $1 + e^{-1}f \in R^{*2}$  (by surjectivity of squaring map of  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ ), it follows that  $e$  is in the image of  $J$ , as claimed.

By the claim there are  $t_1, t_2$  in  $R$  with at least one in  $R^*$ , such that  $t_1^2 + t_2^2 c = r/a$ . Then  $v = u_1 t_1 + u_2 t_2$  is primitive and

$$h(v, v) = at_1^2 + bt_2^2 = r.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is an orthogonal basis  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  of  $V$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} h(v_1, v_1) &= \dots = h(v_{m-1}, v_{m-1}) = 1 \text{ and} \\ h(v_m, v_m) &= 1 \text{ if } QA^* = R^* \\ h(v_m, v_m) &\in \{1, \varepsilon\} \text{ if } Q(A^*) = R^{*2} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To prove this, we'll use induction on  $m$ . Assume that  $m = 1$ . By lemma 2.2,  $V$  has a basis  $\{u_1\}$  such that  $h(u_1, u_1) \in R^*$ . If  $Q(A^*) = R^*$ , then  $h(u_1, u_1) = aa^*$  for some  $a \in A^*$ . Let  $v = u_1 a^{-1}$ . Then

$$h(v, v) = a^{-1} * a^{-1} h(u, u) = 1.$$

If  $Q(A^*) = R^{*2}$ , then  $h(u_1, u_1) = \varepsilon b$  for some  $b \in R^{*2}$  (note that from earlier result,  $[R^* : R^{*2}] = 2$ ). Then  $b = r^2$  for some  $r \in R^*$ . Let  $v = r^{-1} u_1$ . Then

$$h(v, v) = (r^{-1})^2 h(u, u) = b^{-1} \varepsilon b = \varepsilon.$$



Now assume that  $m > 1$  and that the hypothesis is true for  $m - 1$ . By proposition 2.4, there exists  $u_1$  such that  $h(u_1, u_1) = 1$ . Using lemma 2.3, this can be extended to a basis  $u_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$ . But  $V' = \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  has dimension  $m - 1$  and thus there is a basis  $u_2, \dots, u_m$  of  $V'$ . Then  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$  is a basis of  $V$  with the desired property.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be a  $*$  invariant ideal of  $A$  and let  $\overline{A} = A/\mathfrak{i}$ . Then  $*$  induces an involution on  $\overline{A}$ . Moreover,  $\overline{V} = V/V\mathfrak{i}$  is a free  $\overline{A}$  module of rank  $m$  and the map  $\overline{h} : \overline{V} \times \overline{V} \rightarrow \overline{A}$ , given by  $\overline{h}(v + V\mathfrak{i}, w + V\mathfrak{i}) = h(v, w)$  is a non-degenerate hermitian form.

Recall that when  $A$  is commutative the discriminant of  $h$  is the element of  $R^*/Q(A^*)$  obtained by taking the determinanat of the Gram matrix of  $h$  relative to any basis of  $V$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be non-degenerate hermitian forms on  $V$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are equivalent.
- (b) The reductions  $\overline{h_1}$  and  $h_2$  modulo  $\mathfrak{r}$  are equivalent.
- (c) The discriminants of  $\overline{h_1}$  and  $\overline{h_2}$  are the same.

*Proof.* Need to fill this in  $\square$

Given  $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R^*$  we say that  $h$  is of type  $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  if there is a basis  $B$  of  $V$  relative to which  $h$  has matrix  $\text{diag}\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$h$  is of type  $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$  if and only if  $(r_1 \cdots r_m)(s_1 \cdots s_m)^{-1} \in Q(A^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $h$  is of type  $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  and of type  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ . Because the determinant is invariant under the choice of basis,  $r_1 \cdots r_m = s_1 \cdots s_m$  and  $(r_1 \cdots r_m)(s_1 \cdots s_m)^{-1} = 1 \in Q(A^*)$ .

Now assume that  $h$  is of type  $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  with respect to basis  $R$  and  $(r_1 \cdots r_m)(s_1 \cdots s_m)^{-1} \in Q(A^*)$ . It's clear that if  $m = 1$  that this implies that  $h$  is of type  $\{s_1\}$ . Consider the case that  $m > 1$  and assume also that  $h$  is not of type  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ . Then for every orthogonal basis  $B$  of  $V$  where  $h(v_i, v_i) \in R^*$  for  $v_i \in B$ , let  $k_B$  denote the number of  $v_i \in V$  such that  $h(v_i, v_i) \neq s_i$ , and let  $k = \min\{k_B : B \text{ is a basis of } V\}$ . Because  $h$  is not of type  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ ,  $k > 0$ . Similarly, by proposition 3.4,  $k \leq m - 1$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $h(v_i, v_i) = s_i$  when  $i \leq m - k$  and

$h(v_i, v_i) = d_i s_i$  where  $d_i \neq 1 \pmod{Q(A^*)}$  when  $i > m - k$ . Because  $h$  is of type  $\{h(v_i, v_i)\}$ , and  $\det(h)$  is invariant under choice of basis,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m r_i = \prod_{i=1}^m h(v_i, v_i) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} s_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=m-k}^m d_i s_i \right)$$

and by assumption,

$$\prod_{i=m-k}^m d_i = 1 \pmod{Q(A^*)}.$$

This result shows that  $k > 1$ . Now let  $V_1 = \text{span}\{v_i : i \leq m - k\}$  and  $V_2 = \text{span}\{v_i : i > m - k\}$ . Because  $k \geq 2$ , by proposition 3.2 there exists an orthogonal basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  with  $h(w_1, w_1) = s_{m-k+1}$ . But then  $V' = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-k}, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  is a basis for  $V$  with  $k_{V'} < k$ , contradicting the assumption that  $k$  was minimized. Thus  $d_i = 1 \pmod{Q(A^*)}$  for all  $i$ , and for some basis  $V$ ,  $h$  is of type  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *When  $m$  is even then  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -1\}$  (define this as kind I) or  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -\varepsilon\}$  (kind II). When  $m$  is odd then  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, -1\}$  (kind I) or of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, -\varepsilon\}$  (kind II).*

*Proof.* By theorem 3.1, we know that  $h$  is of type  $\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$  or  $\{1, 1, \dots, \varepsilon\}$ . If  $-1 \in Q(A^*)$ , then the result is immediate. Assume that  $Q$  is not surjective and that  $-1 \notin Q(A^*)$ . Let  $r = 1$  if  $h$  is of type  $\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$  and  $r = \varepsilon$  if  $h$  is of type  $\{1, 1, \dots, \varepsilon\}$ . Let  $k = \frac{m}{2}$  if  $m$  is even, and  $k = \frac{m}{2} + 1$  if  $m$  is odd. By the previous result, if  $r(-1)^k \delta^{-1}$ , then  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -\delta\}$  ( $m$  even) or  $\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, -\delta\}$  ( $m$  odd), where  $\delta \in \{1, \varepsilon\}$ . Note that because  $-1 \notin Q(A^*) = R^{*2}$  and  $\varepsilon \notin Q(A^*)$ , because  $[R^* : R^{*2}] = 2$ ,  $-\varepsilon \in Q(A^*)$ . Consider 4 cases:

**Case 1:  $r = 1$  and  $k$  even** Let  $\delta = 1$ . Then  $r(-1)^k \delta = 1 \in Q(A^*)$  and  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, -1\}$ .

**Case 2:  $r = 1$  and  $k$  odd** Let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Then  $r(-1)^k \delta = -\varepsilon \in Q(A)$  and  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1, \dots, -\varepsilon\}$ .

**Case 3:  $r = \varepsilon$  and  $k$  even** Let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Result follows similarly.

**Case 4:  $r = \varepsilon$  and  $k$  odd** Let  $\delta = 1$ . Result follows similarly.  $\square$

Additionally, it is clear from these prior results that  $h$  is of kind I and kind II if and only if  $Q(A^*) = R^*$ .

Even when  $Q$  is not surjective, if  $m$  is odd there is only one unitary group of rank  $m$ , regardless of  $h$ , since  $h$  and  $\varepsilon h$  are non-equivalent and have the same unitary group.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all values  $h(u, u)$  with  $u \in V$  primitive. Assume that the involution  $*$  induces on  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  is the identity.*

- (a) *Suppose  $m = 1$ . If  $h$  is of type  $\{1\}$  then  $\Lambda = R^{*2}$  and if  $h$  is of type  $\{\varepsilon\}$  then  $\Lambda = R^*/R^{*2}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose  $m = 2$ . If  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1\}$ , then  $\Lambda = R$  and if  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -\varepsilon\}$  then  $\Lambda = R^*$ .*
- (c) *If  $m > 2$  then  $\Lambda = R$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Assume  $m = 1$ . Assume that  $h$  is of type  $\{1\}$ . Then  $\{u_1\}$  is a basis of  $V$  with  $h(u_1, u_1) = 1$ . Pick  $r \in R^{*2}$ . Because  $*$  is the identity on  $A/\mathfrak{r}$ ,  $Q(A^*) = R^{*2}$ . Pick  $r \in R^{*2}$ . Then  $r = Q(a) = aa^*$  for some  $a \in A^*$  and  $h(u_1 a^*, u_1 a^*) = aa^* = r$ . Thus  $R^{*2} \subseteq \Lambda$ . Now let  $v \in V$  be primitive. Because  $m = 1$ ,  $v = u_1 a$  for some  $a \in A^*$  and  $h(v, v) \in Q(A) = R^{*2}$ . Thus  $\Lambda = R^{*2}$ . A similar argument shows that  $\Lambda = R \setminus R^{*2}$  when  $h$  is of type  $\{\varepsilon\}$ .

(b) Assume  $m = 2$  and  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -1\}$  with corresponding basis vectors  $u_1, u_2$ . Then  $u_1 + u_2$  is primitive and  $h(u_1 + u_2, u_1 + u_2) = 0$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 shows that  $\Lambda = R$ .

Suppose instead that  $h$  is of type  $\{1, -\varepsilon\}$ . Assume that  $v = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2$  is primitive and  $h(v, v) \in \mathfrak{m}$ . That is,  $a_1 a_1^* - \varepsilon a_2 a_2^* = f \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Because  $v$  is primitive, at least one  $a_1, a_2$  is a unit. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 \in A^*$ . Then  $a_2$  is also a unit because  $\varepsilon a_2 a_2^* \neq 0$  in  $A/\mathfrak{r}$ . Because  $Q(A) = R^{*2}$ ,  $a_1^2 = b_1 b_1^*$  and  $a_2^2 = b_2 b_2^*$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in R^*$ . Then  $b_1 b_1^* - \varepsilon b_2 b_2^* = f$  and  $c_1^2 - \delta c_2^2 = 0$  in  $A/\mathfrak{r}$  with  $c_1, c_2, \delta \neq 0$ . But  $\delta = c_1^2 (c_2^{-1})^2 = (c_1 c_2^{-1})^2$ , contradicting the assumption that  $\varepsilon \notin R^{*2}$ . Thus  $h(v, v) \in R^*$  for all primitive  $v$ . Because  $h$  is of type  $\{-1, \varepsilon\}$  as well as  $\{1, -\varepsilon\}$  there are primitive vectors  $u$  and  $v$  with  $h(u, u) = 1$  and  $h(v, v) = \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\Lambda = R^*$ .

(c) Assume that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  is an orthogonal basis of  $V$  with  $h(u_i, u_i) \in R^*$ . Then  $-h(u_3, u_3) \in R^*$  and by proposition 3.2, there exists a primitive vector  $v \in u_1 A \oplus u_2 A$  with  $h(v, v) = -h(u_3, u_3)$ . Then  $u = v + u_3$  is primitive with  $h(u, u) = 0$ , and applying lemma 3.1 shows that  $\Lambda = R$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Wikipedia contributors. Quadratic integer, July 2013.
- [2] Svetlana Katok. *p-adic Analysis Compared with Real*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.