Course:	MATH 3272 - Abstract Algebra II	**Department:**	MATHESTATI
Responsible Faculty:	David Tweedle	**Responses / Expected:**	6 / 29 (20.69%)

Demographic Questions	**MATH 3272**						
	Responses		**Course**				
	M	**F**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1 Sex:	3	3	**6**	**1.5**	1.5	1,2	.50

Responses: [**M**] M=1 [**F**] F=2

Demographic Questions	**MATH 3272**							
	Responses				**Course**			
	1	**2**	**3**	**4&O**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q2 Age:	6	0	0	0	**6**	**1.0**	1	1	0

Responses: [**1**] 18-25=1 [**2**] 26-35=2 [**3**] 36-45=3 [**4&O**] 46 & over=4

Demographic Questions	**MATH 3272**							
	Responses				**Course**			
	I	**II**	**III**	**IV**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q3 Year:	0	1	3	2	**6**	**3.2**	3	3	.69

Responses: [**I**] I=1 [**II**] II=2 [**III**] III=3 [**IV**] IV=4

Demographic Questions	**MATH 3272**							
	Responses			**Course**			
	1	**2**	**3**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q4 Semester	0	6	0	**6**	**2.0**	2	2	0

Responses: [**1**] 1=1 [**2**] 2=2 [**3**] 3=3

Demographic Questions	**MATH 3272**								
	Responses					**Course**			
	1TH	**2H**	**3**	**4**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q5 Please indicate your attendance at lectures/classes:	0	1	3	2	**6**	**3.2**	3	3	.69

Responses: [**1TH**] 1-Less than half=1 [**2H**] 2-Approximately half=2 [**3**] 3-Most=3 [**4**] 4-All=4

Section 1	**David Tweedle**								
	Responses					**Individual**			
	1	**2F**	**3**	**4G**	**5**	**N**	**Mean**	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q6 the lecturer's performance was	0	1	1	3	1	**6**	**3.7**	4	4	.94

Responses: [**1**] 1-Poor=1 [**2F**] 2- Fair=2 [**3**] 3-Good=3 [**4G**] 4-Very Good=4 [**5**] 5-Excellent=5

Section 1		David Tweedle										
		Responses						**Individual**				
		1D	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5A**	**N**	**Mean**	**Med.**	**Mode**	**Std Dev**	
Q7	explained his/her expectations of students in the course	0	0	1	4	1	6	**4.0**	4	4	.58	
Q8	made the objectives of all sessions clear	0	0	2	2	2	6	**4.0**	4	3,4,5	.82	
Q9	outlined strategies for learning the course material	0	1	0	4	1	6	**3.8**	4	4	.90	
Q10	clearly explained the assessment of the course	0	0	2	2	2	6	**4.0**	4	3,4,5	.82	
Q11	used activities that helped me engage with and understand course material	0	1	2	3	0	6	**3.3**	3.5	4	.75	
Q12	encouraged me to participate in class activities	0	0	4	2	0	6	**3.3**	3	3	.47	
Q13	encouraged my interest in the course	0	1	2	3	0	6	**3.3**	3.5	4	.75	
Q14	helped in developing my competence in the subject discipline	0	1	2	3	0	6	**3.3**	3.5	4	.75	
Q15	helped in developing my intellectual self-confidence and knowledge	0	1	3	2	0	6	**3.2**	3	3	.69	
Q16	generally gave helpful responses to questions asked	0	1	0	5	0	6	**3.7**	4	4	.75	
Q17	was approachable outside of lectures/classroom sessions	0	0	0	4	2	6	**4.3**	4	4	.47	
Q18	was open to differing points of view	0	0	1	4	1	6	**4.0**	4	4	.58	
Q19	seemed confident and knowledgeable in the subject matter	0	0	1	1	4	6	**4.5**	5	5	.76	

Responses: [**1D**] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [**2**] 2-Disagree=2 [**3**] 3-Neutral=3 [**4**] 4-Agree=4 [**5A**] 5-Strongly Agree=5

Section 2		David Tweedle									
		Responses						**Individual**			
		1	**2F**	**3**	**4G**	**5**	**N**	**Mean**	**Med.**	**Mode**	**Std Dev**
Q20	the course/module was	0	1	3	2	0	6	**3.2**	3	3	.69

Responses: [**1**] 1-Poor=1 [**2F**] 2- Fair=2 [**3**] 3-Good=3 [**4G**] 4-Very Good=4 [**5**] 5-Excellent=5

| Section 2 | David Tweedle | | | | | | | | | |
| | Responses | | | | | Individual | | | |
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q21 material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	1	1	4	0	6	**3.5**	4	4	.76
Q22 material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	1	2	3	0	6	**3.3**	3.5	4	.75
Q23 textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	0	0	4	2	0	6	**3.3**	3	3	.47
Q24 textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	0	0	4	2	0	6	**3.3**	3	3	.47
Q25 assignment instructions were clear	0	0	1	4	1	6	**4.0**	4	4	.58
Q26 assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	0	1	3	2	6	**4.2**	4	4	.69
Q27 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	0	0	0	4	2	6	**4.3**	4	4	.47
Q28 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	0	0	0	4	2	6	**4.3**	4	4	.47
Q29 (where applicable) online components were useful	0	0	0	4	1	5	**4.2**	4	4	.40
Q30 (where used) online components helped improve my learning	0	0	2	4	0	6	**3.7**	4	4	.47

Responses: [**1D**] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [**2**] 2-Disagree=2 [**3**] 3-Neutral=3 [**4**] 4-Agree=4 [**5A**] 5-Strongly Agree=5

| Section 2 | David Tweedle | | | | | | | | | |
| | Responses | | | | | Individual | | | |
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q31 (where conducted) were adequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q32 (where conducted) helped me to understand the material covered in the course	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q33 (where conducted) were directly linked to lectures	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q34 would have been useful for me in this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	**4.5**	4.5	4,5	.50

Responses: [**1D**] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [**2**] 2-Disagree=2 [**3**] 3-Neutral=3 [**4**] 4-Agree=4 [**5A**] 5-Strongly Agree=5

| Section 2 | David Tweedle | | | | | | | | | |
| | Responses | | | | | Individual | | | |
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q35 helped me understand the material covered in the classroom sessions	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q36 were clearly linked to the theoretical components of the course	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q37 were supported by well produced manuals and/or clear instructions	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q38 were supported by appropriate properly functioning equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q39 were supported by an adequate number of demonstrators	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q40 reports were quickly marked	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0
Q41 feedback from marked reports was generally helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0.0**	0		0

Responses: [**1D**] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [**2**] 2-Disagree=2 [**3**] 3-Neutral=3 [**4**] 4-Agree=4 [**5A**] 5-Strongly Agree=5

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**What did you like BEST about this course?**
Response Rate:	**33.33**% (**2** of **6**)

| 1 | The structure. | 🔍 |
| 2 | Group Actions | 🔍 |

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**What did you like LEAST about this course?**
Response Rate:	33.33% (2 of 6)

| 1 | The content. | 🔍 |
| 2 | Ideals | 🔍 |

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**How do you think this course could be improved?**
Response Rate:	33.33% (2 of 6)

| 1 | More explanation. | 🔍 |
| 2 | More examples of questions for each topic | 🔍 |

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?**
Response Rate:	**No participants responded to this question.** (0 of 6)

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?**
Response Rate:	**No participants responded to this question.** (0 of 6)

Faculty:	**David Tweedle**
Question:	**Any other comments**
Response Rate:	16.67% (1 of 6)

| 1 | I was not grasping the information in the course. | 🔍 |