Discussion Note: Wang & Sun

Yen-Chieh Liao CAIG | University of Birmingham 2025 APSA CGOT

Similarities

- Study Taiwanese legislators in the same institutional context
- Emphasize strategic political behavior
- □ rational choice → motivation → reelected

Differences

- Sun:
 - Top-down perspective

- Wang:
 - Bottom-up perspective

Similarities

- Study Taiwanese legislators in the same institutional context
- Emphasize strategic political behavior
- □ rational choice → motivation → reelected

Differences

- Sun:
 - Top-down perspective
 - Quantitative analysis, 2012-2024, NLP +
 Hypothesis Testing

- Wang:
 - Bottom-up perspective
 - 2018 fieldwork, Legislators' participation in constituents' private events

Similarities

- Study Taiwanese legislators in the same institutional context
- Emphasize strategic political behavior
- □ rational choice → motivation → reelected

Differences

- Sun:
 - Top-down perspective
 - Quantitative analysis, 2012-2024, NLP +
 Hypothesis Testing
 - □ Electoral competition → Legislative strategic calculation → Emotional rhetoric choice → Media attention → Electoral benefits

• Wang:

- Bottom-up perspective
- 2018 fieldwork, Legislators'
 participation in constituents' private
 events
- Voters' status needs → Invitation to legislators → Performative responsiveness → Social status enhancement → Political support reciprocity

Similarities

- Study Taiwanese legislators in the same institutional context
- Emphasize strategic political behavior
- □ rational choice → motivation → reelected

Differences

- Sun:
 - Top-down perspective
 - Quantitative analysis, 2012-2024, NLP +
 Hypothesis Testing
 - □ Electoral competition → Legislative strategic calculation → Emotional rhetoric choice → Media attention → Electoral benefits
 - □ Electoral competition pressure →
 Emotional rhetoric strategy

• Wang:

- Bottom-up perspective
- 2018 fieldwork, Legislators'
 participation in constituents' private
 events
- Poters' status needs → Invitation to legislators → Performative responsiveness → Social status enhancement → Political support reciprocity
- Performative response

Wang's Performative Responsiveness

Strengths


- Expanding Clientelism Research: Extends from political (e.g., distributive/pork) benefits to
 symbolic representation/benefits
- Applies Goffman's "front stage/back stage" concepts to analyze how politicians strategically perform intimate relationships


Conceptualization Comments

- The operationalization of "performative responsiveness" remains unclear
- → Some Latin American /African politics literature or pork barrel behavior literature might help you with theoretical construction
- Theoretical Dialogue to Grandstanding Literature (Slapin et al., 2018; Park, 2023; Lewallen & Park, 2024)
- → Extraposition taking → Attention seeking

Wang's Performative Responsiveness

Future Work: Apply your theory to more empirical analyses





Sun's Strategic Use of Emotions

Strength

- Utilizes fine-tuned models for sentiment analysis ≅ Electoral Systems & Geographically
 Targeted Questions (Liao & Tang, working paper)
- Contribution: stronghold measurement for SMD

Comments

- Dialogue with Grandstanding Literature (similar to Wang's paper):
- Merge H1 + H2: Consider developing conditional hypotheses extending to:
 - go more beyond: intraparty competition
 - Municipality homogeneity's effects (industry composition, education levels, Liao and Tang 2025)
- Fine-tuning Performance: Google BERT or Taiwan's models?
 - ${ ilda } o$ Human Validation: Recommend random sampling of 5% for manual verification
- Sentiment Detection Refinement: Consider fine-tuning specifically for negative language + hate speech detection (Wang & Day 2022)
 - $^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{\tiny D}} \rightarrow \text{extension toward populism speech?}$

Thank You