Party Misperception, Party-voter Incongruence, and Attitudes towards Democracy

Royce Carroll, Yen-Chieh David Liao, Li Tang

University of Essex, Aarhus University, Middlesex University London

January 26, 2023

Motivation

- Representing voter preferences is crucial to a functioning democratic party system
- Many studies of party representation focus on the relationship between party ideologies and voter self-placements – party-voter congruence
- Recent research has shown that party-voter congruence is a key factor in citizens' attitudes toward democracy – trust and satisfaction with democracy.
- Voters have also been shown to have an inaccurate understanding of party ideologies – "misperception" – which can interfere with their ability to place themselves and parties

Research Question

- Voter's reliance on imperfect perceptions of party positions can lead to a divergence between the actual level of incongruence and its perception by the voter.
- This in turn can affect attitudes toward democracy itself democratic satisfaction and trust in parliament.
- To what extent is incongruence, and by extension democratic satisfaction, a result of misperception?

UK BES panel data and research design

- We use the panel structure BES data set to isolate the over-time relationships (Waves 4-17, 2015-2019)
- Panel models allow us to isolate effects from (observed and unobserved) individual-level attributes and the effects of time.
- Misperception is measured using the absolute difference between party placements and CHES expert locations.
- First we examine whether misperception leads to greater incongruence between voters and the parties they support

Dependent Variable:	Actual Incongruence $(\gamma_{i,t})$	Perceived Incongruence $(\hat{\gamma}_{i,t})$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Actual Incongruence $(\gamma_{i,t})$			0.372*** (0.009)
Misperception $(\pi_{i,t})$	0.199***	0.327***	0.254***
	(0.005)	(0.008)	(800.0)
Self-placement Deviation	0.116***	-0.141***	-0.184***
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(800.0)
Perceived Polarization	-0.022***	0.053***	0.061***
	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Constant	1.007***	0.934***	0.559***
	(0.022)	(0.030)	(0.033)
Individual FE	✓	√	√
Time FE	✓	✓	\checkmark
N	130,305	130,305	130,305

*
$$p < 0.10$$
, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses.

Two incongruence concepts

Actual incongruence:

- Due to voting for a party that is not in fact aligned with your preferences.
- the absolute difference between respondent's self-placement and the corresponding CHES expert location of the party they voted for.
- Perceived incongruence:
- The absolute difference between a respondent's self-placement and the perceived position of the party they voted for.
- Partly influenced to actual incongruence, but also a direct result of misperceiving the party location

Misperception, Perceived Incongruence and Actual Incongruence

- Effect of misperception on Incongruence
- Perception and consequences of party-voter Incongruence for Democratic Satisfaction and Political Distrust
- Does the level of political trust and satisfaction of the respondents decrease with greater perceived incongruence between the party and voters?

Discussion

- Using panel data, we show that UK voters who misperceive their party's position perceive greater incongruence
- Partly driven by actual incongruence, but the effect of misperception is present even when controlling actual incongruence.
- Misperception leads to actual and perceived incongruence, but the latter is most consequential.
- The effects on democratic satisfaction and trust are driven by perceived incongruence; actual incongruence has little effect
- These effects are in large part driven by lack of information about the parties.
- Parties that do not accurately reflect their voter's beliefs but maintain the perception of congruence may still lead to greater democratic satisfaction.

