

Assignment 6

Yang David Zhou, ID 260517397

Thursday 9th April, 2015

Problem 1. *Proving a remark of eigenvectors and eigenvalues*

Solution. (a) To proceed with this proof I first need to prove the following fact:

Lemma. Suppose A is a square matrix with an eigenvalue λ and eigenvector v , then,

$$A^m v = \lambda^m v \quad \forall m > 0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} A^k v &= A^{k-1} A v \\ &= A^{k-1} \lambda v \quad [\text{Usual definition of eigen vector}] \\ &= \lambda (A^{k-1} v) \quad [\text{An eigenvalue is over a field}] \\ &= \lambda (A^{k-2} A v) \\ &= \lambda (A^{k-2} \lambda v) \\ &= \lambda^2 (A^{k-2} v) \\ &\vdots \quad [\text{We repeat the above argument a total of } k \text{ times}] \\ &= \lambda^k v \end{aligned}$$

□

Claim. Suppose A is a square matrix and v be an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ . If $p(t)$ is a polynomial then,

$$p(A)v = p(\lambda)v$$

Proof. $p(t)$ is a polynomial so it can be defined as follows,

$$p(t) = a_m t^m + \dots + a_1 t + a_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p(A)v &= (a_m A^m + \dots + a_1 A + a_0 I)v \\
&= a_m A^m v + \dots + a_1 A v + a_0 I v \\
&= a_m \lambda^m v + \dots + a_1 \lambda v + a_0 v \quad [\text{Applying the above lemma}] \\
&= (a_m \lambda^m + \dots + a_1 \lambda + a_0)v \\
&= p(\lambda)v
\end{aligned}$$

□

(b)

The following remark was given in lecture.

Lemma. The characteristic polynomial and the minimum polynomial have the same roots.

Suppose $m(t)$ is the minimum polynomial of A . Then a consequence of the above remark is that,

$$m(A) = 0$$

We apply the claim proven in part (a).

$$\begin{aligned}
m(\lambda)v &= m(A)v \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

But $v \neq 0$ by definition of eigenvectors so in $m(\lambda)v = 0$, it must be the $m(\lambda)$ term that is zero. Therefore $m(\lambda) = 0$.

Problem 2. *Computing minimum polynomials and Jordan canonical forms*

Solution. (a)

A is triangular, so,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(t) &= (t-1)(t-1)(t-1)(t-2) \\
&= (t-1)^3(t-2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $m(t)$ be the minimum polynomial of A . $m(t)$ must divide $\Delta(t)$, so $m(t)$ is either

•

$$f(t) = (t-1)(t-2)$$

•

$$g(t) = (t-1)^2(t-2)$$

•

$$h(t) = (t-1)^3(t-2)$$

To be certain we must test $f(t)$ and $g(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 2 & -2 \\ -9 & -8 & -6 & -4 \\ 5 & 5 & -1 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & -4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So, $m(t) \neq f(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} g(A) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 & -5 \\ -5 & -4 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 4 & 17 & -10 \\ 7 & 18 & -10 & 24 \\ 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So, $m(t) \neq g(t)$.

Therefore $m(t) = h(t) = \Delta(t) = (t-1)^3(t-2)$.

(b)

In part (a) we determined that $\Delta(t) = m(t) = (t-1)^3(t-2)$.

Therefore the Jordan canonical form for A is,

$$J = \text{diag} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, [2] \right)$$

Problem 3. *Linear independence of a set of matrix-vector products*

Solution.

Let $S = \{v, Av, A^2v, \dots, A^{k-1}v\}$. Given that $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $A^k v = 0$ and $A^{k-1}v \neq 0$.

Claim. S is linearly independent.

Proof. Let $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. If S is linearly independent, then in,

$$a_0 v + a_1 Av + a_2 A^2 v + \dots + a_{k-1} A^{k-1} v = 0$$

it is sufficient to show that $a_0 = \dots = a_{k-1} = 0$.

A consequence of the fact that $A^k v = 0$ is that $A^m v = 0$ if $m > k$ because $A^m v$ can be rewritten as $A^{m-k} A^k v = 0$.

So we begin by multiplying the above equation by A^{k-1} to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 A^{k-1} v + a_1 A^k v + a_2 A^{k+1} v + \dots + a_{k-1} A^{2k-2} v &= 0 \\ a_0 A^{k-1} v &= 0 \quad [\text{above consequence}] \end{aligned}$$

Since it is given that $A^{k-1} v \neq 0$ then clearly $a_0 = 0$ as we obtained the result that $a_0 A^{k-1} v = 0$.

Now we have the fact that $a_0 = 0$ and we repeat the above argument but we multiply across with A^{k-2} instead to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 A^{k-2} v + a_1 A^{k-1} v + a_2 A^k v + \dots + a_{k-1} A^{2k-3} v &= 0 \\ a_0 A^{k-2} v + a_1 A^{k-1} v &= 0 \quad [\text{above consequence}] \\ a_1 A^{k-1} v &= 0 \quad [a_0 = 0] \end{aligned}$$

Again, because $A^{k-1} v \neq 0$ so $a_1 = 0$ as we obtained the result that $a_1 A^{k-1} v = 0$.

If we repeat the above argument a total of $k - 2$ times then we will obtain the result that $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{k-1} = 0$ and therefore the set S is linearly independent.

□