

# Deformation Theory

David Zhu

December 13, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Intuition . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>First Order Deformation</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Embedded Deformation of Affine Schemes . . . . .	4
2.2	An Easy Example . . . . .	4
2.3	General Results . . . . .	5

# 1 Introduction

In the section, we will introduce the definitions and intuition for first order deformations.

## 1.1 Intuition

**Embedded Deformation:** Suppose we have a smooth submanifold  $X$  embedded in an ambient complex manifold  $Y$ . The embedding is equipped with a normal bundle  $N_Y X$ . By the tubular neighborhood theorem, we have an embedding of its total space:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ & \searrow \text{0-section} & \nearrow \\ & N_Y X & \end{array}$$

A smooth deformation of  $Y$  inside  $X$  is then a smooth section of  $N_Y X$ : at each point  $x \in X$ , the section gives you the normal direction along which to “infinitesimally” deform  $X$  inside  $Y$ . This definition offers some differential topological intuition, even though we no longer have an analog of the tubular neighborhood theorem in the holomorphic/algebraic setting.

More generally, we are given the data of

1. A morphism of objects  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in some category (e.g. schemes, complex manifolds, etc).
2. An “infinitesimal thickening” of  $X$  and  $Y$ , which are prescribed injective morphisms  $X \rightarrow X'$  and  $Y \rightarrow Y'$ .

A deformation of  $f$  is then a lift of the morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  to a morphism  $X' \rightarrow Y'$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\quad ? \quad} & Y' \end{array}$$

**Deformation of Complex Structure:** Viewing a complex manifold  $X$  as a real manifold with an integrable almost complex structure  $J$ , a deformation of the complex structure is a family of almost complex structures  $J_t$  parametrized by  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  such that  $J_0 = J$  and each  $J_t$  is integrable. Infinitesimally, we can think of this as a perturbation of the almost complex structure  $J$  by a small parameter  $t$  in the space of endomorphisms of the tangent bundle  $TX$  that satisfy  $J_t^2 = -\text{Id}$  and the integrability condition (vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor).

The theory of Kodaira-Spencer describes how such deformations can be understood in terms of certain cohomology groups associated with the manifold. More precisely, first order deformations of the complex structure on  $X$  are classified by the cohomology group  $H^1(X, T_X)$ , where  $T_X$  is the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $X$ .

**Deformation and Moduli Problem** In relation to deformation of complex structure, suppose we have concretely constructed the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  parameterizing smooth projective curves of genus  $g$ . A point  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  corresponds to an isomorphism class of a smooth projective curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ . A deformation of the curve  $C$  can be thought of as a small perturbation of the complex structure on  $C$ , leading to a family of curves  $C_t$  parameterized by a small parameter  $t$ . Infinitesimally, this corresponds to moving along a tangent vector at the point  $[C]$  in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . The tangent space at  $[C]$  can be identified with the first cohomology group  $H^1(C, T_C)$ , where  $T_C$  is the holomorphic tangent bundle of the curve  $C$ .

Suppose we have a fine moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  parameterizing certain objects, meaning any family of such objects over a base  $B$  is given by a morphism

$$B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

Then an infinitesimal deformation of an object  $X$  corresponding to a point  $[X] \in \mathcal{M}$  is given by a family over the dual numbers  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$  (we can think of the dual numbers as a point equipped with a tangent vector), such that the fiber over 0 is isomorphic to  $X$ . Then, the classifying map

$$T_0 B \rightarrow T_{[X]} \mathcal{M}$$

precisely specifies a tangent vector at  $[X]$ .

## 2 First Order Deformation

**Definition 2.0.1.** The **dual numbers** over a field  $k$  is the ring

$$D := k[t]/(t^2)$$

Note that  $\text{Spec}(D)$  is the one-point space consisting of the prime ideal  $(t)$ . Its Zariski tangent space is given by

$$T_{(t)}D := \text{Hom}_k((t)/(t^2), k)$$

which is a one-dimensional  $k$ -vector space. In contrast,  $\text{Spec}(k)$  is also a one-point space, but its Zariski tangent space is zero-dimensional. Thus, we can think of  $\text{Spec}(D)$  as a point equipped with a tangent vector. The dual numbers will be our base space for first order deformations.

**Definition 2.0.2.** Let  $Y$  be a scheme over  $k$ , and  $X$  be a closed subscheme. A **first order embedded deformation** of  $X$  in  $Y$  is a closed subscheme  $X' \subset Y \times_k \text{Spec}(D)$  such that

1.  $X'$  is flat over  $D$
2. The fiber over the point is  $X$ , i.e

$$X' \times_{\text{Spec}(D)} \text{Spec}(k) = X$$

We would like to classify all such deformations.

### 2.1 Embedded Deformation of Affine Schemes

### 2.2 An Easy Example

We first inspect the following example: first-order embedded deformations of a curve  $C$  in  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ . Translating Definition 2.0.2 into algebra, we have the following setup:

1. We are given curve  $C$  carved out by  $f(x, y)$ , embedded in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  via the canonical map

$$k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, y]/(f)$$

2. An embedded deformation  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quotient of

$$k[x, y] \otimes_k D \cong D[x, y]$$

i.e of the form  $\mathcal{A} = D[x, y]/I$ , where  $I = (\phi)$  **why principal?**, such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a flat  $D$ -algebra, and an isomorphism

$$D[x, y]/(\phi) \rightarrow k[x, y]/(f)$$

induced by sending the generator  $t \in D$  to 0.

Intuitively, the defining equation for the curve can be expressed as a finite sum

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j$$

where  $a_{ij} \in k$ . The deformation, defined by the vanishing of  $\phi(x, y)$ , is of the form

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij} + b_{ij}t) x^i y^j$$

so the polynomial  $g(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} x^i y^j$  determines the deformation, and can be thought of as the “deformed”  $f$ . However, writing out explicit defining equations as above requires choices up to a unit, i.e  $(\phi) = (u\phi)$  as ideals for any unit  $u$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** The units in  $k[x, y]$  are  $k^*$ . The units in  $D[x, y]$  are of the form  $c + ht$ , where  $c \in k^*$ , and  $h \in k[x, y]$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is easy by Gauss lemma. For the second statement, suppose we have  $f = \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij} + b_{ij}t)x^i y^j$  and  $g = \sum_{i,j} (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij}t)x^i y^j$  such that  $fg = 1$ . By inductively looking at coefficients of the expanded product, we see we must have  $a_{00} = a_{00}^{-1}$ , and all other  $a_{ij} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.1.** The set of first order embedded deformations of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is in bijection with elements in  $k[x, y]/(f)$ .

*Proof.* We already see that the deformation is determined by a choice of  $g$  and let  $\phi = f + gt$ . Suppose another choice  $g'$  yields the same deformation, i.e

$$f + g't = u\phi = cf + (fh + cg)t$$

we see  $c = 1$ , and  $g' = fh + g$ , so  $g$  and  $g'$  define the same element in  $k[x, y]/(f)$ .  $\square$

## 2.3 General Results

Suppose  $X = \text{Spec}(B)$  is affine, and  $Y$  is a closed subscheme defined by an ideal  $I \subset B$ . We see that first-order embedded deformations of  $Y$  in  $X$  correspond to ideals  $J \subset B' := B[t]/(t^2)$  such that

1.  $B'/J$  is flat over  $D$
2. The image of  $J$  under the canonical collapse

$$B[t]/(t^2) \rightarrow B$$

is  $I$ .

**Proposition 2.1.2.** There is a bijection between the set of first-order embedded deformations of  $Y$  in  $X$  and  $\text{Hom}_B(I, B/I)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we are given an ideal  $J \subset B$  such that the  $J \bmod t = I$ . Then for every  $f \in I$ , there exists lifts of the form  $f + tg \in J$ . We may then define a set-theoretic function

$$\varphi : I \rightarrow B/I$$

by  $\varphi(f) = f + tg$ . It is easy to check this is well-defined and a  $B$ -module homomorphism. Conversely, given a  $B$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : I \rightarrow B/I$ , we may define an ideal:

$$J := \{f + tg \mid f \in I, g \in B, g \bmod I = \phi(f)\}$$

The two constructions are inverse to each other, so we only have to check flatness of  $B'/J$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** For a module  $M$  over a local ring  $R$  with nilpotent maximal ideal, then  $M$  is flat iff it is free.

*Proof.* The  $\square$

## References