

M1 APE, Econometrics 2

Solution tutorial 3

Stationary processes and AR processes

2017-2018

Exercise 1

1) In order to prove that (u_t) is the innovation process of (x_t) it is sufficient to prove that (x_t) is written under its canonical representation.

$$x_t = 0.2 + 0.8x_{t-1} + u_t \Leftrightarrow \Phi(L)x_t = 0.2 + u_t \text{ with } \Phi(L) = 1 - 0.8L$$

This is indeed the canonical representation of (x_t) since the root of $\Phi(z)$ is $\frac{1}{0.8} = 1.25$, with modulus greater than one. Thus (u_t) is the innovation process of (x_t) .

If (u_t) is the innovation process of (x_t) then we know in particular that: $\forall h > 0, \text{Cov}(u_t, x_{t-h}) = 0$

2) (x_t) is stationary so $\forall t, E(x_t) = m_x$. We then have:

$$x_t - 0.8x_{t-1} = 0.2 + u_t$$

$$\Rightarrow E(x_t) - 0.8E(x_{t-1}) = 0.2 + E(u_t)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 - 0.8)m_x = 0.2 + 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow m_x = 1$$

Remark: we have here $\Phi(L)x_t = \mu + u_t$, with $\Phi(L) = 1 - \phi L = 1 - 0.8L$ and $\mu = 0.2$.

We can also directly get the result from the course: $E(x_t) = m_x = \frac{\mu}{1 - \phi}$.

3) We have:

$$\gamma_x(0) \equiv V(x_t)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \gamma_x(0) = V(0.2 + 0.8x_{t-1} + u_t)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \gamma_x(0) = 0.8^2 V(x_{t-1}) + V(u_t) + 2 \times 0.8 \times \text{Cov}(u_t, x_{t-1})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \gamma_x(0) = 0.64\gamma_x(0) + \sigma_u^2 + 0 \quad (u_t) \text{ is the innovation so it cannot be correlated with the past of } (x_t)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left[\gamma_x(0) = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{0.36} \right]$$

$$\gamma_x(1) \equiv \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t-1})$$

$$= \text{Cov}(0.2 + 0.8x_{t-1} + u_t, x_{t-1})$$

$$= 0.8V(x_{t-1}) + \text{Cov}(u_t, x_{t-1})$$

$$= 0.8\gamma_x(0) + 0 \quad (u_t) \text{ is the innovation so it cannot be correlated with the past of } (x_t)$$

$$\left[\gamma_x(1) = 0.8\gamma_x(0) = \frac{0.8}{0.36}\sigma_u^2 \right]$$

4) Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_x(h) &\equiv \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t-h}) \\
&= \text{Cov}(0.2 + 0.8x_{t-1} + u_t, x_{t-h}) \\
&= 0.8\text{Cov}(x_{t-1}, x_{t-h}) + \text{Cov}(u_t, x_{t-h}) \\
&= 0.8\gamma_x(h-1) + 0 \quad \text{Cov}(u_t, x_{t-h}) = 0 \quad \forall h \geq 1 \text{ because } (u_t) \text{ is the innovation process of } (x_t) \\
[\gamma_x(h) &= 0.8\gamma_x(h-1) \quad \forall h \geq 1]
\end{aligned}$$

As expected, we get the **Yule-Walker** equations.

Iterating the previous equality until $h = 1$ we can compute the value of any $\gamma_x(h)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_x(h) &= 0.8(0.8\gamma_x(h-2)) \quad \forall h \geq 1 \\
&= \dots \\
&= 0.8^h \gamma_x(0) \quad \forall h \geq 0 \\
\left[\gamma_x(h) &= \frac{0.8^h}{0.36} \sigma_u^2 \quad \forall h \geq 0 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we can simply compute the autocorrelations with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_x(h) &\equiv \frac{\gamma_x(h)}{\gamma_x(0)} \quad \forall h \\
&= \frac{0.8^h \gamma_x(0)}{\gamma_x(0)} \quad \forall h \geq 0 \\
\left[\rho_x(h) &= 0.8^h \quad \forall h \geq 0 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

5) (x_t) can be expressed as $\Phi(L)x_t = 0.2 + u_t$, with $\Phi(L) = 1 - 0.8L$. The root of $\Phi(L)$ has a modulus greater than one so this polynomial is invertible.

$$\Phi^{-1}(L) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i L^i$$

Note that this result generalizes the classic formula which can be written for $|a| < 1$:

$$\frac{1}{1-a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i$$

Due to the stationarity of (x_t) , this formula can indeed be extended to the present framework, involving the lag operator L , as it has been seen in the course:

$$(1 - \phi L)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \phi L} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\phi L)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^i L^i \quad \text{since } |\phi| = 0.8 < 1$$

This leads to the infinite MA representation of (x_t)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(L)x_t &= 0.2 + u_t \\
\Leftrightarrow x_t &= \Phi^{-1}(L)(0.2 + u_t) \\
\Leftrightarrow x_t &= \Phi^{-1}(L)(0.2) + \Phi^{-1}(L)(u_t) \\
\Leftrightarrow x_t &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i L^i \right) (0.2) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i L^i \right) (u_t) \\
\Leftrightarrow x_t &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i \right) 0.2 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i u_{t-i} \\
\Leftrightarrow x_t &= 0.2 \times \frac{1}{1-0.8} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i u_{t-i} \\
\Leftrightarrow \left[x_t &= 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0.8^i u_{t-i} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Following a unit shock u_t at period t , the effect on x will be 0.8^0 at period t , 0.8^1 at period $t+1$, 0.8^2 at period $t+2$, etc...

Remark: it can be directly written that:

$$\Phi(L)^{-1}\mu = \Phi(1)^{-1}\mu = \frac{\mu}{\Phi(1)} = \frac{\mu}{1-\phi} = \frac{0.2}{1-0.8} = 1$$

Exercise 2

1) $y_t = 0.5 + \frac{5}{6}y_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}y_{t-2} + v_t \Leftrightarrow \Phi(L)y_t = 0.5 + v_t$ with $\Phi(L) = 1 - \frac{5}{6}L + \frac{1}{6}L^2 = (1 - \frac{1}{2}L)(1 - \frac{1}{3}L)$.

- The two roots of $\Phi(z)$ are 2 and 3, and both have a modulus greater than one, so that the previous equation does admit a stationary solution (y_t) .
- Since the roots of $\Phi(z)$ have modulus greater than one, this is the canonical representation of (y_t) and (v_t) necessarily is the innovation process of (y_t)

2) (y_t) is stationary so $\forall t$, $E(y_t) = m_y$. We then get:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t - \frac{5}{6}y_{t-1} + \frac{1}{6}y_{t-2} &= 0.5 + v_t \\ \Rightarrow E(y_t) - \frac{5}{6}E(y_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{6}E(y_{t-2}) &= 0.5 + E(v_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{3}m_y &= 0.5 + 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow [E(y_t) = m_y = 1.5] \quad \forall t \end{aligned}$$

Remark: we have here $\Phi(L)x_t = \mu + v_t$, with $\Phi(L) = 1 - \frac{5}{6}L + \frac{1}{6}L^2$ and $\mu = 0.5$.
We simply get the result from the course: $E(y_t) = m_y = \frac{\mu}{\Phi(1)}$.

3) There are two ways to compute the autocovariances of a process: either we can center the process, either we can directly compute the covariances. In this question, we will center y_t , pre-multiply it by its most convenient lag, and take the expectation to make appear the different $\gamma_y(h)$ terms. In the next one, we'll directly compute the covariances, in order to use both methods.

Denoting $\tilde{y}_t = y_t - m_y$, we have:

$$\gamma_y(h) = \gamma_{\tilde{y}}(h) = E(\tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{t-h}) \quad \forall h$$

The equation defining (y_t) can then be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(L)y_t &= \mu + v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow \Phi(L)y_t &= \Phi(1)m_y + v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow \Phi(L)(y_t - m_y) &= v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow \Phi(L)\tilde{y}_t &= v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[\tilde{y}_t - \frac{5}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-2} + v_t \right] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Pre-multiplying (1) by \tilde{y}_t yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}_t^2 &= \frac{5}{6}\tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{t-2} + \tilde{y}_t v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow E(\tilde{y}_t^2) &= \frac{5}{6}E(\tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{t-1}) - \frac{1}{6}E(\tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{t-2}) + E(\tilde{y}_t v_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(0) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(2) + E\left[\left(\frac{5}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-2} + v_t\right)v_t\right] \\ \Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(0) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(2) + \frac{5}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}v_t) - \frac{1}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-2}v_t) + E(v_t^2) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[\gamma_y(0) = \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(2) + \sigma_v^2 \right] &\quad \text{because } (v_t) \text{ is the innovation of } (y_t) \text{ and of } (\tilde{y}_t) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Pre-multiplying (1) by \tilde{y}_{t-1} yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{t-1}\tilde{y}_t &= \frac{5}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-1}^2 - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-1}\tilde{y}_{t-2} + \tilde{y}_{t-1}\nu_t \\
\Leftrightarrow E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}\tilde{y}_t) &= \frac{5}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}^2) - \frac{1}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}\tilde{y}_{t-2}) + E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}\nu_t) \\
\Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(1) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(0) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(1) \quad \text{because } (\nu_t) \text{ is the innovation of } (y_t) \text{ and of } (\tilde{y}_t) \quad (3) \\
\Leftrightarrow \frac{7}{6}\gamma_y(1) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(0) \\
\Leftrightarrow \left[\gamma_y(1) = \frac{5}{7}\gamma_y(0) \right] & \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Pre-multiplying (1) by \tilde{y}_{t-2} yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{t-2}\tilde{y}_t &= \frac{5}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-2}\tilde{y}_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{y}_{t-2}^2 + \tilde{y}_{t-2}\nu_t \\
\Leftrightarrow E(\tilde{y}_{t-2}\tilde{y}_t) &= \frac{5}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-2}\tilde{y}_{t-1}) - \frac{1}{6}E(\tilde{y}_{t-2}^2) + E(\tilde{y}_{t-2}\nu_t) \\
\Leftrightarrow \left[\gamma_y(2) = \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(0) \right] & \quad \text{because } (\nu_t) \text{ is the innovation of } (y_t) \text{ and of } (\tilde{y}_t) \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Solving the system given by the equations (2), (4) and (5) yields:

$$\left[\gamma_y(0) = 2.1\sigma_v^2 \right] \quad \left[\gamma_y(1) = 1.5\sigma_v^2 \right] \quad \left[\gamma_y(2) = 0.9\sigma_v^2 \right]$$

4)i) In this question, we will rather compute the autocovariances using $\text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-h})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_y(h) &\equiv \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-h}) \\
\Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(h) &= \text{Cov}\left(0.5 + \frac{5}{6}y_{t-1} - \frac{1}{6}y_{t-2} + \nu_t, y_{t-h}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(h) &= \frac{5}{6}\text{Cov}(y_{t-1}, y_{t-h}) - \frac{1}{6}\text{Cov}(y_{t-2}, y_{t-h}) + \text{Cov}(\nu_t, y_{t-h}) \\
\Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(h) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(h-1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(h-2) + 0 \quad \text{Cov}(\nu_t, y_{t-h}) = 0 \quad \forall h \geq 1 \text{ because } (\nu_t) \text{ is the innovation process of } (y_t) \\
\left[\Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(h) &= \frac{5}{6}\gamma_y(h-1) - \frac{1}{6}\gamma_y(h-2) \quad \forall h \geq 1 \right] \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

For $h = 2$, this is consistent with equation (5) for example.

Reminder:

The previous results concerning the relations between the $\gamma_y(h)$ terms (equations (2), (3), (5), and (6)) correspond to the Yule-Walker equations.

For an AR(p) process expressed as $X_t = \sum_{k=1}^p \phi_k X_{t-k} + \epsilon_t$, the Yule-Walker equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_X(0) = \sum_{k=1}^p \phi_k \gamma_X(k) + \sigma_\epsilon^2 & \text{for } h = 0 \\ \gamma_X(h) = \sum_{k=1}^p \phi_k \gamma_X(h-k) & \text{for } h > 0 \end{cases}$$

We can now compute the value of $\gamma_y(h)$ for every h :

- iteratively, using (6) and the values of $\gamma_y(0)$, $\gamma_y(1)$ and $\gamma_y(2)$ from the previous question;
- or with the general form of the solutions for a recurrence relation (next question).

ii) We know that:

- Equation (6), the general form of the Yule-Walker equations for $h \geq 1$, yields $\Phi(L)\gamma_y(h) = 0$
- $\Phi(z)$ has two roots, equal to 2 and 3.

The general form of the solutions for such a recurrence relation is:

$$\gamma_y(h) = \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^h + \beta \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^h \quad \forall h \geq 1$$

This is a general result, and you can check it works in this particular case (left to the reader).

iii) We can compute α and β with the initial conditions.

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_y(1) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{3}\beta \\ \gamma_y(2) = \frac{1}{4}\alpha + \frac{1}{9}\beta \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{9}{10} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_v^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{9} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

We get:

$$\alpha = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{9}{10} & \frac{1}{9} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{9} \end{vmatrix}} \sigma_v^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{6} - \frac{3}{10}}{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{1}{12}} \sigma_v^2 = \frac{-\frac{4}{30}}{-\frac{1}{36}} \sigma_v^2 = \frac{4}{30} \times 36 \sigma_v^2 = \frac{24}{5} \sigma_v^2$$

$$\beta = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{9}{10} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{9} \end{vmatrix}} \sigma_v^2 = \frac{\frac{9}{20} - \frac{3}{8}}{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{1}{12}} \sigma_v^2 = \frac{\frac{3}{40}}{-\frac{1}{36}} \sigma_v^2 = -\frac{3}{40} \times 36 \sigma_v^2 = -\frac{27}{10} \sigma_v^2$$

iv) $\gamma_y(h)$ decreases exponentially to 0, like for any other stationary process.

5) In order to compute the MA(∞) representation of (y_t) we need to inverse its AR lag polynomial $\Phi(L) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}L)(1 - \frac{1}{3}L)$. This lag polynomial is invertible because all of its roots (2 and 3) are bigger than one in modulus.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}L\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}L\right)y_t &= 0.5 + v_t \\ \Leftrightarrow y_t &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}L\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}L\right)^{-1}(0.5 + v_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow y_t &= \Psi(L)(0.5 + v_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow y_t &= \Psi(1)0.5 + \Psi(L)v_t \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we have:

$$\Psi(1)0.5 = \Phi(1)^{-1}0.5 = \frac{0.5}{\Phi(1)} = m_y = 1.5$$

For the second term, we have:

$$\Psi(L)v_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\psi_k L^k) v_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k v_{t-k}$$

with $\psi_0 = 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\psi_k| < \infty$.

The MA(∞) representation of (y_t) is then:

$$\left[y_t = m_y + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k v_{t-k} = 1.5 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k v_{t-k} \right]$$

The ψ_k terms can be explicited:

$$\Psi(L) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}L\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}L\right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i L^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^j L^j\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{1}{3^j} L^{i+j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{1}{3^{k-i}} L^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{1}{3^{k-i}}\right)}_{\psi_k} L^k$$

The first three ψ_k terms are:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= \frac{1}{2^0} \frac{1}{3^0} = 1 \\ \psi_1 &= \frac{1}{2^0} \frac{1}{3^1} + \frac{1}{2^1} \frac{1}{3^0} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{6} \\ \psi_2 &= \frac{1}{2^0} \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{2^1} \frac{1}{3^1} + \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{1}{3^0} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{19}{36} \end{aligned}$$

Following a unit shock v_t at period t , the effect on y will be 1 in t , $\frac{5}{6}$ in $t+1$, $\frac{19}{36}$ in $t+2$, etc...

Exercise 3

1) The polynomial $(1 - \phi z^4)$ is a polynomial of degree 4 with real coefficients. It thus has four complex roots (and when these roots are not real, they are complex conjugate). If i is the complex number such that $i^2 = -1$, these 4 roots are:

$$z_0 = \phi^{-1/4}, z_1 = i\phi^{-1/4}, z_2 = -\phi^{-1/4}, z_3 = -i\phi^{-1/4}$$

which can also be written as

$$z_k = \phi^{-1/4} e^{2ik\pi/4}, k = 0, \dots, 3$$

We are in a case where the autoregressive polynomial has complex non real roots (this is linked to seasonal behaviour), but all these roots have modulus $\phi^{-1/4}$, with

$$0 < \phi < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < \phi^{1/4} < 1 \Rightarrow \phi^{-1/4} > 1$$

Thus, this equation has indeed a stationary solution (y_t) . Further, since the roots of $\Phi(z)$ have modulus bigger than 1, $(1 - \phi L^4)y_t = u_t$ is the canonical representation of (y_t) , and (u_t) is its innovation.

2) The variance can be simply computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(y_t) &= V(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow V(y_t) &= \phi^2 V(y_{t-4}) + V(u_t) + 2\phi \text{Cov}(y_{t-4}, u_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(0) &= \phi^2 \gamma_y(0) + \sigma_u^2 + 0 \quad \text{because } (u_t) \text{ is the innovation of } (y_t) \\ \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi^2) \gamma_y(0) &= \sigma_u^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[\gamma_y(0) = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{1 - \phi^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

3) Remembering that $\text{Cov}(u_t, y_{t-h}) = 0 \quad \forall h > 0$ because (u_t) is the innovation process of (y_t) ,

- $\gamma_y(1) = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-1}) = \text{Cov}(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t, y_{t-1}) = \phi \gamma_y(3)$
and $\gamma_y(3) = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-3}) = \text{Cov}(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t, y_{t-3}) = \phi \gamma_y(1)$
so that $\gamma_y(1) = \phi \gamma_y(3) \Rightarrow \gamma_y(1) = \phi^2 \gamma_y(1) \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi^2) \gamma_y(1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_y(1) = 0$
- $\gamma_y(4) = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-4}) = \text{Cov}(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t, y_{t-4}) = \phi V(y_{t-4}) = \phi \gamma_y(0) = \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma_u^2$
- $\gamma_y(5) = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-5}) = \text{Cov}(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t, y_{t-5}) = \phi \gamma_y(1) = 0$
- $\gamma_y(8) = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-8}) = \text{Cov}(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t, y_{t-8}) = \phi \gamma_y(4) = \frac{\phi^2}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma_u^2$

4) The h th partial autocorrelation, usually denoted $r(h)$ is the coefficient b_{hh} of y_{t-h} in the computation of $BLF(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h})$.

(u_t) is the innovation process of (y_t) , so $\text{Cov}(u_t, y_{t-h}) = 0 \quad \forall h > 0$, and $BLF(u_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) = 0 \quad \forall h > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} BLF(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) &= BLF(\phi y_{t-4} + u_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) \\ &= BLF(\phi y_{t-4} | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) + BLF(u_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) \\ &= BLF(\phi y_{t-4} | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) \end{aligned}$$

For $h \geq 4$, $BLF(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-h}) = \phi y_{t-4}$ so we can deduce that $r(4) = \phi$, and $r(h) = 0 \quad \forall h > 4$.

$r(1)$ is the coefficient b_{11} of y_{t-1} in the computation of $BLF(y_t | y_{t-1})$.

We have $BLF(y_t | y_{t-1}) = b_{01} + b_{11} y_{t-1}$, with b_{01} and b_{11} defined by:

$$\begin{cases} E(y_t - (b_{01} + b_{11} y_{t-1})) = 0 \\ \text{Cov}(y_t - (b_{01} + b_{11} y_{t-1}), y_{t-1}) = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} b_{01} = 0 \\ \gamma_y(1) = b_{11} \gamma_y(0) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} b_{01} = 0 \\ b_{11} = \frac{\gamma_y(1)}{\gamma_y(0)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

(there is no constant in the definition of (y_t) so $\forall t, E(y_t) = 0$)

5) Using the results from question 3 for the autocovariances,

$$\left[\rho_y(1) = \frac{\gamma_y(1)}{\gamma_y(0)} = 0 \right] \quad \left[\rho_y(4) = \frac{\gamma_y(4)}{\gamma_y(0)} = \phi \right] \quad \left[\rho_y(5) = \frac{\gamma_y(5)}{\gamma_y(0)} = 0 \right] \quad \left[\rho_y(8) = \frac{\gamma_y(8)}{\gamma_y(0)} = \phi^2 \right]$$

6) For an AR(p) process defined as $x_t = c + \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + \epsilon_t$, the Yule-Walker equations with the autocorrelations are:

$$\rho_x(h) = \phi_1 \rho_x(h-1) + \dots + \phi_p \rho_x(h-p) \quad \text{for } h \geq 1$$

In the specific case of the AR(4) process (y_t) , we have $p = 4$, $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = 0$ and $\phi_4 = \phi$. So the Yule-Walker equations are:

$$\rho_y(h) = \phi \rho_y(h-4) \quad \text{for } h \geq 1$$

For $h = 4m$, where m is an integer, this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_y(4m) &= \phi \rho_y(4m-4) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1 \\ &= \phi \rho_y(4(m-1)) \\ &= \phi \left[\phi \rho_y(4((m-1)-1)) \right] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \phi^m \rho_y(0) \\ \left[\rho_y(4m) &= \phi^m \quad \text{for } m \geq 0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

As we would expect from a stationary process, the autocorrelation decreases exponentially to 0 (ignoring the null terms in the autocorrelation function).

Exercise 4

1) By definition of the best linear forecast:

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, h\}, \text{Cov}(x_t - \text{BLF}(x_t | x_{t-1}, \dots, x_{t-h}), x_{t-k}) = 0$$

Using $\text{BLF}(x_t | x_{t-1}, \dots, x_{t-h}) = b_{1h}x_{t-1} + \dots + b_{hh}x_{t-h}$, this can be written as:

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, h\}, \gamma(k) = b_{1h}\gamma(k-1) + \dots + b_{hh}\gamma(k-h)$$

We then get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{cases} \gamma(1) = b_{1h}\gamma(0) + \dots + b_{hh}\gamma(h-1) \\ \gamma(2) = b_{1h}\gamma(1) + b_{2h}\gamma(0) + \dots + b_{hh}\gamma(h-2) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(h) = b_{1h}\gamma(h-1) + \dots + b_{hh}\gamma(0) \end{cases} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho(1) = b_{1h} + b_{2h}\rho(1) + \dots + b_{hh}\rho(h-1) \\ \rho(2) = b_{1h}\rho(1) + b_{2h} + b_{3h}\rho(1) + \dots + b_{hh}\rho(h-2) \\ \vdots \\ \rho(h) = b_{1h}\rho(h-1) + \dots + b_{hh} \end{cases} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \rho(1) \\ \rho(2) \\ \vdots \\ \rho(h) \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times 1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \dots & \rho(h-1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \rho(1) \\ \rho(h-1) & \dots & \rho(1) & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times h)} \begin{pmatrix} b_{1h} \\ b_{2h} \\ \vdots \\ b_{hh} \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times 1)} \end{aligned}$$

2) In this application, (x_t) is an MA(1) process with $x_t = \epsilon_t - \theta\epsilon_{t-1}$, where $|\theta| < 1$ and $\epsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$.

$$\gamma_x(h) = \begin{cases} (1 + \theta^2)\sigma^2 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ -\theta\sigma^2 & \text{if } |h| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |h| > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_x(h) \equiv \frac{\gamma_x(h)}{\gamma_x(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ -\frac{\theta}{1 + \theta^2} & \text{if } |h| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |h| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Denoting $\rho = \rho_x(1) = -\frac{\theta}{1 + \theta^2}$, the general form of the previous equation is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times 1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \rho & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \rho \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times h)} \begin{pmatrix} b_{1h} \\ b_{2h} \\ \vdots \\ b_{hh} \end{pmatrix}_{(h \times 1)}$$

For $h = 1$:

$$\rho = 1 \times b_{11} \Leftrightarrow [r(1) = b_{11} = \rho]$$

For $h = 2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{12} &= \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho^2} \\ \left[r(2) \quad b_{22} \right] &= \left[\frac{-\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For $h = 3$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 \\ \rho & 1 & \rho \\ 0 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{13} \\ b_{23} \\ b_{33} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho = b_{13} + \rho b_{23} \\ 0 = \rho b_{13} + b_{23} + \rho b_{33} \\ 0 = \rho b_{23} + b_{33} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho = b_{13} - \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} b_{13} \\ -\rho b_{13} = b_{23} - \rho^2 b_{23} = (1 - \rho^2) b_{23} \\ b_{33} = -\rho b_{23} \end{cases}$$

This yields:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{13} &= \frac{\rho}{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2}} = \frac{\rho(1 - \rho^2)}{1 - 2\rho^2} \\ b_{23} &= -\frac{\rho^2}{1 - 2\rho^2} \\ \left[r(3) \quad b_{33} \right] &= \left[\frac{\rho^3}{1 - 2\rho^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For $h = 4$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 & 0 \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho & 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{14} \\ b_{24} \\ b_{34} \\ b_{44} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho = b_{14} + \rho b_{24} \\ 0 = \rho b_{14} + b_{24} + \rho b_{34} \\ 0 = \rho b_{24} + b_{34} + \rho b_{44} \\ 0 = \rho b_{34} + b_{44} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho = b_{14} + \rho \left(\frac{-\rho b_{14}}{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2}} \right) \\ -\rho b_{14} = b_{24} - \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} b_{24} \\ -\rho b_{24} = b_{34} (1 - \rho^2) \\ b_{44} = -\rho b_{34} \end{cases}$$

$$\rho = b_{14} - b_{14} \frac{\rho^2(1-\rho^2)}{(1-\rho^2)-\rho^2} \Leftrightarrow \rho = b_{14} \frac{1-2\rho^2-\rho^2(1-\rho^2)}{1-2\rho^2} \Leftrightarrow \rho = b_{14} \frac{1-3\rho^2+\rho^4}{1-2\rho^2} \Leftrightarrow b_{14} = \frac{\rho(1-2\rho^2)}{1-3\rho^2+\rho^4}$$

$$-\rho b_{14} = \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho^2}\right) b_{24} \Leftrightarrow -\rho b_{14} = \frac{1-2\rho^2}{1-\rho^2} b_{24} \Leftrightarrow b_{24} = \frac{-\rho(1-\rho^2)}{1-2\rho^2} b_{14}$$

$$b_{34} = \frac{-\rho}{1-\rho^2} b_{24} = \frac{-\rho}{1-\rho^2} \times \frac{-\rho^2(1-\rho^2)}{1-3\rho^2+\rho^4} = \frac{\rho^3}{1-3\rho^2+\rho^4}$$

$$\left[r(4) = b_{44} = \frac{-\rho^4}{1-3\rho^2+\rho^4} \right]$$

We can see that:

- $r(1)$ tends to 0 like ρ
- $r(2)$ tends to 0 like ρ^2
- $r(3)$ tends to 0 like ρ^3
- $r(4)$ tends to 0 like ρ^4

From this, we can conjecture that $r(h)$ decreases exponentially to 0 with h for an MA(1).

This is actually true for any stationary process (exactly like $\gamma(h)$ and $\rho(h)$) but the general demonstration is beyond the program of this course.