

# ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY OF CERTAIN OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

Max Karoubi

In this article, we study the algebraic K-theory of the algebra of compact operators in a separable complex Hilbert space and certain C\*-algebras naturally associated with it, such as  $\mathcal{K} \otimes A$  where  $A$  is any C\*-algebra. We can state the following conjecture:

**Conjecture:** The groups  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are periodic with period 2 with respect to  $n$  and are isomorphic to the topological K-groups of the Banach algebra  $A$ .

Since  $\mathcal{K} \otimes A$  does not have a unit element, the groups  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  must be interpreted as those appearing in the exact sequence

$$0 = K_{n+1}(\mathcal{B} \otimes A / \mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(\mathcal{B} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{B} \otimes A / \mathcal{K} \otimes A) = 0.$$

Therefore  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \cong K_{n+1}(\mathcal{B} \otimes A / \mathcal{K} \otimes A)$ . In this formula,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the algebra of all continuous operators in the Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  is the quotient unitary algebra (often called the "Calkin algebra").

In support of this conjecture, we can cite the theorem of Brown and Schochet [4]:  $K_1(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) = 0$ , as well as the following theorem that we prove in this article:

**Theorem 0.1.** *The conjecture is true for  $n \leq 0$ . Furthermore, for any  $n$ , the natural homomorphism*

$$K_n^{top}(A) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$$

*is surjective, the kernel being a direct summand in  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$ .*

By considering inductive limits of suitable rings, as an application of the preceding theorem, we can construct examples of unitary rings such that  $K_n(A) \cong K_n^{top}(A)$ . In particular,  $K_n(A) \cong K_{n+2}(A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Here is the detailed organization of this article. In the first three sections, we recall well-known definitions and results in algebraic or topological K-theory. The only new result worth mentioning is perhaps Theorem 3.6, which also appears implicitly in [10].

The fourth section outlines the main features of a theory of multiplicative structures in K-theory. The only new thing added to the traditional presentation (cf. [1] [15]) is the slightly more detailed treatment than usual of multiplicative structures in the case of rings without a unit element. In particular, if

$$\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$$

is a  $k$ -bilinear map such that  $\phi(aa', bb') = \phi(a, b)\phi(a', b')$ , we can define a "cup-product"

$$K_i(A) \times K_j(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(C)$$

for  $i$  and  $j \geq 0$  provided that  $i + j \neq 0$ .

In the fifth section, we prove the theorem mentioned above. In fact, we prove a slightly stronger result with a slightly different definition of  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$ . This group is defined here as the kernel of the homomorphism

$$K_n(\mathcal{K}^+ \otimes A) \rightarrow K_n(A)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^+$  denotes the algebra to which a unit element has been added. If we denote by  $\tilde{K}_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  the group denoted  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  above, which is in fact  $K_{n+1}(\mathcal{B} \otimes A/\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$ , we can define successive homomorphisms

$$K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(A).$$

Then  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  for  $n < 0$ , but we have not been able to determine if  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  for  $n > 0$  (even for  $n = 1$ ). It is with this definition of  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  that we prove the theorem mentioned above. It is clear that the same theorem for the group  $\tilde{K}_n(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  follows.

Finally, in section 6, we give the explicit description of the ring  $A$  such that  $K_n(A) \cong K_n^{\text{top}}(A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 1 REMINDERS ON THE GROUP $K_0$

1.1. For any unitary ring  $A$ , the group  $K_0(A)$  [2][16] is the Grothendieck group of the category  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules (1). An equivalent definition is the following. Denote by  $\text{Proj}(A^n)$  the set of  $n \times n$  matrices  $p$  with coefficients in  $A$  such that  $p^2 = p$ . Let  $\widetilde{\text{Proj}}(A^n)$  be the quotient set of  $\text{Proj}(A^n)$  by the equivalence relation

$$p \sim p' \iff \exists \alpha \in GL_n(A) \text{ such that } \alpha p \alpha^{-1} = p'.$$

Then  $K_0(A)$  can be identified with the inductive limit

$$\varinjlim \left( \widetilde{\text{Proj}}(A^2) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Proj}}(A^4) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Proj}}(A^{2n}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Proj}}(A^{2n+2}) \rightarrow \cdots \right).$$

The map  $i_n$  is induced by  $p \mapsto p \oplus p_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_0$  where  $p_0$  is the projector of  $A^2$  defined by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The isomorphism between  $K_0(A)$  and this inductive limit is induced by the map from  $\text{Proj}(A^{2n})$  to  $K_0(A)$  defined by

$$p \mapsto [\text{Im } p] - [\text{Im } p_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_0].$$

This definition of  $K_0(A)$  is obviously functorial in  $A$ . Precisely, if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism,  $f$  defines a functor  $\mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$ , hence a homomorphism

$K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  by the correspondence  $M \mapsto M \otimes_A B$ . In terms of projectors,  $f$  defines a map  $\text{Proj}(A^n) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(B^n)$  by the formula

$$p = (p_{ji}) \mapsto p' = (f(p_{ji})).$$

(1) To fix ideas, we will consider, for example, the category of right  $A$ -modules. However, it is easily shown that the category of left  $A$ -modules has an isomorphic  $K_0$  group.

1.2. Let  $A$  be a "pseudo-ring" (that is, a ring not necessarily having a unit element) equipped with a  $k$ -algebra structure,  $k$  being a commutative ring. We define the ring  $A_k^+$  as the group  $A \oplus k$  equipped with the multiplication defined by the formula

$$(a, \lambda)(a', \lambda') = (aa' + \lambda a' + \lambda' a, \lambda \lambda').$$

This ring has the couple  $(0, 1)$  as unit element, and we define  $K_0(A)_k$  as the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$K_0(A_k^+) \rightarrow K_0(k).$$

It is not difficult to see that the inclusion  $A \rightarrow A_k^+$  induces an isomorphism  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(A)_k$  [14]. We will therefore simply write  $K_0(A)$  instead of  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(A)_k$ . This definition is functorial with respect to ring homomorphisms not necessarily having a unit element.

The construction of  $A_k^+$  can be generalized to the case where  $k$  is not necessarily commutative, for example where  $A$  is a  $k$ -bimodule. The multiplication is then defined by the formula

$$(a, \lambda)(a', \lambda') = (aa' + \lambda a' + a \lambda', \lambda \lambda').$$

We will see important examples of this in sections 5 and 6.

1.3. Examples. Because of the title of this article, we will limit ourselves to examples from functional analysis.

a)  $A = C_F(X)$ , the ring of continuous functions on a compact space  $X$  with values in  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this case, it is well known that  $K_0(A)$  is identified with the topological K-theory of  $X$  (our  $K_F(X)$ ; cf. [13]). In particular,  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus_i H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $K_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus_i H^{4i-1}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $H^*$  denoting Čech cohomology. If  $X = S^n$ ,  $K_{\mathbb{R}}(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  if  $n$  is even and  $K_{\mathbb{R}}(S^n) = 0$  if  $n$  is odd,  $K_F(X)$  generally denoting the group  $\text{Coker}[K_F(\text{Point}) \rightarrow K_F(X)]$  (cf. [13]).

b)  $A = C_0(X)$ , the ring of continuous functions on the locally compact space  $X$  that tend to 0 at infinity. Then  $K_0(A) \cong \text{Ker}[K_F(X^+) \rightarrow K_F(\{\infty\})]$ ,  $X^+$  denoting the Alexandroff compactification of  $X$ .

c) Let  $X$  be any paracompact space and let  $A = C_F^b(X)$  be the ring of bounded continuous functions on  $X$ . Any finitely generated projective  $A$ -module  $E$  can be interpreted as  $\text{Im}(p(x))$  where  $p : X \rightarrow \text{Proj}(F^n)$  is a family of bounded projectors. In particular,  $E$  can be regarded as a direct summand of a trivial vector bundle [13]. Conversely, any vector bundle  $E$  that is a direct summand of a trivial bundle can be written in this way. Indeed, if we set  $E \oplus E' = \text{trivial bundle of rank } n$ , we can always write that  $E$  is the image

of a family of self-adjoint projectors  $p$ . If  $J$  denotes the family of involutions  $2p - 1$ , the polar decomposition of  $J$  allows us to show that  $J$  is homotopic to a family of unitary involutions  $J'$ , hence bounded. Thus the fibers  $\text{Im}(\frac{1-J}{2})$  and  $\text{Im}(\frac{1-J'}{2})$  are homotopic, hence isomorphic [19].

On the other hand, if  $E$  and  $E'$  are the images of two families of bounded self-adjoint projectors, an isomorphism between  $E$  and  $E'$  is homotopic to a unitary isomorphism, hence bounded. This shows that  $K_0(A)$  is identified with the Grothendieck group of the category of vector bundles on  $X$  that are direct summands of trivial bundles. For example, if  $X$  is contractible,  $K_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Before choosing other examples, let us state a theorem that will be very useful to us:

1.4. **Theorem (Density Theorem).** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unitary Banach algebras and let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous injection satisfying the following two properties:

- 1)  $i(A)$  is dense in  $B$ .
- 2) If we identify  $M_n(A)$  with a subalgebra of  $M_n(B)$  by means of  $i$ , we have  $GL_n(A) = GL_n(B) \cap M_n(A)$  for all  $n$ .

Then  $i$  induces an isomorphism  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$ .

Sketch of the proof (cf. [12]). Let  $E$  be a finitely generated projective  $B$ -module, the image of a projector  $p \in \text{Proj}(B^n)$ . Since  $A$  is dense in  $B$ , there exists  $q' \in M_n(A)$  such that  $\|i(q') - p\| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Moreover,  $\text{Spec}(q') = \text{Spec}(i(q'))$  is concentrated around 0 and 1 because  $\text{Spec}(p) \subset \{0, 1\}$ . The holomorphic functional calculus then allows us to construct a projector  $q \in \text{Proj}(A^n)$  such that  $i(q)$  is close to  $p$ . It follows that  $p$  and  $i(q)$  are conjugate. Therefore, the homomorphism  $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  is surjective. Injectivity follows from the fact that if  $i(q)$  and  $i(q')$  are conjugate by an element of  $GL_n(B)$ , they are conjugate by an element of  $GL_n(A)$  by hypothesis 2. Thus,  $K_0(A)$  and  $K_0(B)$  are isomorphic.

## 2 Examples and Generalizations

1.5. **Remark.** If  $B$  is commutative, condition 2) of the density theorem is obviously equivalent to the condition 2')  $A^* = B^* \cap A$

### 1.6. Generalizations

a) The preceding theorem also applies to  $C^*$ -Banach algebras without a unit element, provided that we interpret  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  as  $\text{Ker}[GL_n(\mathbb{C}^+) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})]$ .

b) Let  $(A_r)$  be an increasing sequence of Banach algebras (the injection  $A_r \rightarrow A_{r+1}$  being continuous). Let  $i_r : A_r \rightarrow B$  be a continuous injection of  $A_r$  into a Banach algebra  $B$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_r & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ A_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

commutes. We further assume that the following two properties are verified:

- i)  $GL_n(B) \cap M_n(A_r) = GL_n(A_r)$
- ii)  $\cup A_r$  is dense in  $B$ .

Then  $K_0(B) \cong \varinjlim K_0(A_r)$ . The proof of this generalization is a simple transcription of the original theorem.

**1.7. Example.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space over the base field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can therefore write  $H = F \oplus \cdots \oplus F \oplus \cdots$  (Hilbert sum of countably many copies of  $F$ ). In this form, we immediately see that  $M_r(F)$  is a subalgebra of the algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact operators of  $H$ . By setting  $A_r = M_r(F)$  and  $B = \mathcal{K}$ , we are in the conditions for applying the preceding generalization. Therefore  $K_0(\mathcal{K}) \cong \varinjlim K_0(M_r(F)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , according to Morita's theorem or by a direct application of the definition of  $K_0$  given in I.1 in terms of projectors.

**1.8. Example.** Let  $X$  be a compact differentiable manifold and let  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) be the algebra of  $C^s$  differentiable functions (resp. the algebra of continuous functions  $C(X)$ ). Then the canonical injection  $A \rightarrow B$  satisfies the hypotheses of the density theorem. Therefore  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$ .

**1.9. Example.** Let  $A$  be any complex Banach algebra and let  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  be the Banach algebra of Laurent series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n t^n$  such that  $\sum \|a_n\| < +\infty$ . By setting  $t = \exp(i\theta)$ , we see that  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  can be viewed as a subalgebra of the algebra  $A(S^1)$  of continuous functions on  $S^1$  with values in  $A$ . On the other hand, if we consider the algebra  $A^2(S^1)$  of  $C^2$  differentiable functions on  $S^1$  with values in  $A$ , this can be considered as a subalgebra of  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  according to the expression of an element of  $A^2(S^1)$  as the sum of its Fourier series. If we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^2(S^1) & \longrightarrow & A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle & \longrightarrow & A(S^1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ & & A(S^1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & A(S^1) \end{array}$$

we see that  $A^2(S^1)$  and  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  satisfy the hypotheses of the density theorem. Therefore  $K_0(A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle) \cong K_0(A(S^1)) \cong K_0(A^2(S^1))$ .

**1.10. Example.** Let  $A$  be the convolution algebra  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The Fourier transform allows us to define a continuous homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  where  $B$  is the algebra of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that tend to 0 at infinity. According to Wiener's theorem, the hypotheses of the density theorem are satisfied. Therefore  $K_0(L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cong K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  for  $n$  even and  $= 0$  for  $n$  odd.

**1.11. Example.** A similar argument applies to the convolution algebra  $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$  or  $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p)$ . We then find the topological K-theory of the locally compact space  $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$  [13].

**1.12. Example.** Let  $A$  be a "flasque" algebra in the sense of [14]. Then  $K_0(A) = 0$ . A typical example of a flasque algebra is the algebra of endomorphisms of an infinite-dimensional vector space.

**1.13. Example.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the algebra of continuous operators in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the ideal of compact operators of  $H$ .

Then the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  (which is the Calkin algebra) has a  $K_0$  group reduced to 0 (cf. [6]). Note, however, that the Calkin algebra is not flasque.

### 3 The groups $K_i$ and $K_i^{top}$ for $i > 0$

Let us begin by recalling some well-known definitions in topological K-theory.

**2.1. Definition.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then, for  $i > 0$ , we set  $K_i^{top}(A) = \pi_{i-1}(GL(A)) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \pi_{i-1}(GL_n(A))$ .

**2.2.** In this definition, the group  $GL_n(A)$  is equipped with its natural topology and the group  $GL(A) = \lim_{\rightarrow} GL_n(A)$  is equipped with the inductive limit topology [note that every compact set of  $GL(A)$  is included in  $GL_n(A)$  for  $n$  large enough; which allows us to demonstrate the isomorphism  $\pi_{i-1}(GL(A)) \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \pi_{i-1}(GL_n(A))$ ].

The following two theorems are proven in [14] and [13].

**Theorem 3.1 (2.3).** *Let  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  be a "short exact sequence" of Banach algebras ( $A'$  being equipped with the induced topology and  $A''$  with the quotient topology). We then have the exact sequence  $K_i^{top}(A') \rightarrow K_i^{top}(A) \rightarrow K_i^{top}(A'') \rightarrow K_{i+1}^{top}(A') \rightarrow K_{i+1}^{top}(A) \rightarrow K_{i+1}^{top}(A'')$  for  $i \geq 0$  (by convention we set  $K_0 = K_0^{top}$ ).*

**Theorem 3.2 (2.4).** *Let  $A$  be a complex (resp. real) Banach algebra. Then  $K_i^{top}(A) \cong K_{i+8}^{top}(A)$  [resp.  $K_i^{top}(A) \cong K_{i+2}^{top}(A \otimes \mathbb{C})$ ] for  $i > 0$ .*

**2.5. Examples.** Let  $A = C_0(X)$ . Then  $K_i^{top}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus H^{2i+1}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $A = C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ ,  $K_i^{top}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus H^{4i+1}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $X$  is any topological space and if  $A = C_F(X)$ , we have  $K_i^{top}(A) \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} [X, GL_n(F)] \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} [X, O(n)]$  if  $F = \mathbb{R}$  (resp.  $\lim_{\rightarrow} [X, U(n)]$  if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ).

The density theorem is also valid for the groups  $K_i^{top}$ . Precisely, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3 (2.6).** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two Banach algebras and let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous injection satisfying the hypotheses of the density theorem 1.4. Then  $i$  induces an isomorphism  $K_i^{top}(A) \cong K_i^{top}(B)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .*

**2.7. Examples.** We can reproduce examples 1.7-13 adapted to the groups  $K_i^{top}$ . Thus:

a)  $K_i^{top}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i$  even and  $K_i^{top}(\mathcal{K}) = 0$  for  $i$  odd if  $\mathcal{K}$  is the algebra of compact operators in a complex Hilbert space. In the case of a real Hilbert space, the groups are respectively  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2, 0, \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  and 7 mod 8 ([13]).

b) The groups  $K_i^{top}$  of the algebra of  $C^s$  differentiable functions are isomorphic to the groups  $K_i^{top}$  of the algebra of continuous functions (on a compact manifold).

c) We have  $K_i^{top}(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p))$  isomorphic to the group  $K_i^{-1}$  of the locally compact space  $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$  [13].

d) If  $A$  is "topologically" flasque (cf. [14]),  $K_i^{top}(A) = 0$ . This is the case, for example, of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of endomorphisms of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

e) If  $A$  is the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ , Theorem 2.3 applied to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$  shows that  $K_i^{top}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) \cong K_{i-1}^{top}(\mathcal{K})$  for  $i > 0$ .

2.8. We will now recall some classical definitions of algebraic K-theory (valid for any unital ring  $A$ ). These definitions are due to Bass (for  $K_1$ ), Milnor (for  $K_2$ ), and Quillen (for  $K_i$ ,  $i > 2$ ). Thus:  $K_1(A) = GL(A)/GL'(A)$ ,  $GL'(A)$  being the commutator subgroup of  $GL(A) = \varinjlim GL_n(A)$ .  $K_2(A) = H_2(GL'(A); \mathbb{Z})$  (second homology group of the discrete group  $GL'(A)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).  $K_i(A) = \pi_i(BGL(A)^+)$  for  $i \geq 1$ ,  $BGL(A)^+$  being a certain space obtained from the classifying space of the discrete group  $GL(A)$  (cf. [7][15][17][18]).

If  $A$  is again a Banach algebra, we can define a homomorphism  $K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i^{top}(A)$  in the following way. If we denote by  $GL(A)$  (resp.  $GL(A)^{top}$ ) the group  $GL(A)$  equipped with the discrete topology (resp. the usual topology), the map  $BGL(A) \rightarrow BGL(A)^{top}$  at the level of classifying spaces induces a homomorphism  $K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i^{top}(A)$  which plays a fundamental role in this article. This homomorphism is by definition the identity for  $i = 0$ . For  $i = 1$ , we have the following proposition:

**Theorem 3.1** (2.9). *We have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A^{*0} \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1^{top}(A) \rightarrow 0$  where  $A^{*0}$  denotes the connected component of the group  $A^*$  of invertible elements of  $A$ . If  $A$  is commutative, the map  $A^{*0} \rightarrow K_1(A)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* It is well known that  $GL'(A)$  is the group  $E(A)$  generated by elementary matrices. The only non-obvious point in the proposition is the surjectivity of  $A^{*0} \rightarrow \ker(K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1^{top}(A))$ . It suffices to prove by induction on  $n$  that  $GL_n(A)^0$  (the connected component of the identity in  $GL_n(A)$ ) is generated by  $E_n(A)$  and  $GL_{n-1}(A)^0$ . Since  $GL_n(A)^0$  is generated by any neighborhood of the identity, we need to show that a matrix  $M \in GL_n(A)^0$  close to the identity is a product of elements of  $GL_{n-1}(A)^0$  and  $E_n(A)$ . If  $M$  is such a matrix, its top-left coefficient is invertible. A succession of elementary operations then shows that  $M$  is congruent modulo  $E_n(A)$  to a matrix  $M' \in GL_{n-1}(A)$ . This matrix being close to the identity, it belongs to  $GL_{n-1}(A)^0$  (e.g., because it is the exponential of some matrix).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** (2.10). [16]. *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra. Then the homomorphism  $K_2(A) \rightarrow K_2^{top}(A) = \pi_1(GL(A))$  has as its image the subgroup  $\pi_1(SL(A))$ .*

The proof of this proposition is more delicate than the previous one. Note in particular that the homomorphism  $K_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C})$  is reduced to 0. This is a special case of Chern-Weil theory. Indeed, the Chern classes of a flat bundle being zero, the map  $BGL(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow BGL(\mathbb{C})^{top}$  induces 0 in rational cohomology, hence in integer homology (because  $H_*(BGL(\mathbb{C})^{top})$  is free) and in homotopy (because the Hurewicz homomorphism  $\pi_i(BGL(\mathbb{C})^{top}) \rightarrow H_i(BGL(\mathbb{C})^{top})$  is injective). If  $A$  denotes the algebra of continuous functions on a compact space

$X$  with real or complex values, we know very little in general about the homomorphism  $K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i^{top}(A)$  or even its image for  $i > 2$ .

2.11. The groups  $K_i(A)$  have been defined for any unital ring. In the case where  $A$  does not necessarily have a unit element but where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra ( $k$  a commutative ring with unit element), we can define  $K_i(A)_k$  as the kernel of the homomorphism  $K_i(A^+) \rightarrow K_i(k)$  (cf. 1.2.). It is important to note that, unlike the case of the group  $K_0$ ,  $K_i(A)_k$  depends on  $k$ . For example, if  $A$  is a Banach algebra, we can choose  $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  (or even  $\mathbb{H}$  if  $A$  is complex). A priori, the groups  $K_i(A)_k$  obtained may be different.

2.12. Let  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $k$ -algebras. Then, according to Milnor [16], we have a short exact sequence  $K_1(A') \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A'') \rightarrow K_0(A') \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A'')$  where the  $K_i(A) = K_i(A)_k$  are defined above. Unfortunately, we only know how to define a long exact sequence in general  $K_i(A') \rightarrow K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(A'') \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A') \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A'')$  for  $i \leq 1$  (cf. [14] or [2] and Section 3.2). In the same vein, consider a Cartesian diagram of  $k$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

where we assume, for example, that  $C \rightarrow D$  is surjective. Then we have a long exact sequence, essentially due to Milnor [16],  $K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(B) \oplus K_i(C) \rightarrow K_i(D) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(B) \oplus K_{i-1}(C) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(D)$  for  $i \leq 1$  (cf. 3.2).

## 4 THE GROUPS $K_i$ AND $K_i^{top}$ FOR $i \leq 0$

3.1. In this short section, we reproduce definitions essentially given in [11, 14]. For any ring  $A$  (possibly without a unit element), consider the set of infinite matrices  $(a_{ij})$ ,  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , with coefficients in  $A$ . A matrix is said to be of finite type if there exists an integer  $n$  such that i) On each row and each column there are at most  $n$  non-zero elements. 2) The coefficients of the matrix are chosen from among  $n$  elements of  $A$ . The set of finite type matrices obviously forms a ring for the usual laws of addition and multiplication of matrices. This ring is the cone  $CA$  of the ring  $A$ ; it is a flasque ring canonically associated with  $A$  (other definitions of the cone are possible; this does not alter the definition of the groups  $K_i$  for  $i < 0$  according to the axiomatic characterization developed in [14]). A matrix is said to be finite if it has a finite number of non-zero coefficients. The set  $\mathfrak{F}$  of finite matrices forms an ideal in  $CA$  which is isomorphic to  $\lim M_n(A)$ . The quotient ring  $SA = CA/\mathfrak{F}$  is the suspension of  $A$ . For  $i > 0$ , we define  $K_{-i}(A) = K_0(S^i A)$ . A recurrent definition of the  $K_{-i}$  has been proposed by Bass [2] and is equivalent to this one (cf. [11])  $K_{-i}(A) = \text{Coker}[K_{-i+1}(A[t]) \oplus K_{-i+1}(A[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{-i+1}(A[t, t^{-1}])]$ . The



relationship between the two definitions is made through the homomorphism

$$\text{from } A[t, t^{-1}] \text{ to } SA \text{ defined by } \sum a_n t^n \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ & -1 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ & & -1 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This relationship allows us to show, for example, that  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for regular Noetherian  $A$ .

3.2. Recall the axiomatic characterization of the groups  $K_{-i}$ : 1)  $K_0(A)$  is the usual Grothendieck group. 2) For any short exact sequence of rings  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  we have a long exact sequence  $K_{-i}(A') \rightarrow K_{-i}(A) \rightarrow K_{-i}(A'') \rightarrow K_{-i-1}(A') \rightarrow K_{-i-1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$  for  $i \geq 0$ . 3)  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  if  $A$  is flasque. 4) The inclusion of  $A$  into  $\mathfrak{F}$  induces an isomorphism  $K_{-i}(A) \rightarrow K_{-i}(\mathfrak{F})$ . Axiom 4 can be replaced by the (stronger) axiom:  $K_{-i}(\lim A_r) \cong \lim K_{-i}(A_r)$ . Note also that axiom 2 is not true for the groups  $K_i$  with  $i > 0$ . This is one of the reasons for the difficulty of algebraic K-theory.

3.3. Now suppose that  $A$  is a Banach algebra. For any matrix  $(a_{ij}) \in CA$ , we set  $\|M\|_1 = \sup_i \sum_j \|a_{ij}\|$ ,  $\|M\|_2 = \sup_j \sum_i \|a_{ij}\|$ , and  $\|M\| = \sup(\|M\|_1, \|M\|_2)$ . Then the completion  $\overline{CA}$  of  $CA$  for the norm  $M \mapsto \|M\|$  is a topologically flasque Banach algebra canonically associated with  $A$ . The closure  $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $\overline{CA}$  is a closed ideal in  $\overline{CA}$  and the quotient algebra  $\overline{SA} = \overline{CA}/\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  is the topological suspension of  $A$ . As in the algebraic case, we can then define the groups  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}$  by the formula  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A) = K_0(\overline{S^i A})$ . A recurrent definition of the groups  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}$  is also possible. We have  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A) \cong \text{Coker}[K_{-i+1}^{\text{top}}(A) \rightarrow K_{-i+1}^{\text{top}}(A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle)]$ . The relationship between the rings  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\overline{SA}$  is given by the extension to the completions of the homomorphism  $A[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow SA$  explained in 3.1 (cf. [11]).

3.4. Theorem. Let  $A$  be a real (resp. complex) Banach algebra. Then we have a natural isomorphism  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A) \cong \pi_i(GL(A))$  (resp.  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A) \cong \pi_{2i-1}(GL(A))$ ). This theorem is proved in [14]. It essentially follows from the axiomatic characterization of the functors  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}$  developed in [14]. In the case where  $A$  is a complex Banach algebra, a more conceptual proof is possible based on the fact that the rings  $A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle$  and  $A(S^1)$  have the same K-theory (cf. 1.9 and [11]).

3.5. As in the case of the groups  $K_i$  and  $K_i^{\text{top}}$  for  $i > 0$ , we can try to compare the groups  $K_{-i}(A)$  and  $K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A)$  by the homomorphism  $K_{-i}(A) \rightarrow K_{-i}^{\text{top}}(A)$  induced by the homomorphism  $S^i A \rightarrow \overline{S^i A}$ . The following result will be useful to us in Section 5.

3.6. Theorem. Let  $A$  be a complex  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $K_{-1}(A) \rightarrow K_{-1}^{\text{top}}(A)$  is surjective. This theorem is essentially proved in [10] although it is not presented in this form. We will give an independent proof. For any Banach algebra, we have isomorphisms  $K_{-1}(A) \cong \pi_0^{\text{top}}(\overline{SA}) \cong \pi_0(\overline{CA}\langle t \rangle) \cong \lim \pi_0(GL_n(\overline{SA}))$ . Suppose now that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then every element of  $K_{-1}^{\text{top}}(A)$  can be represented by a matrix  $a \in GL_r(A)$  such that  $\|a\| = 1$  (consider the polar decomposition of  $a$ ). Consequently, if  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n u^n$  is a formal series such

that  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \|a_n\| < +\infty$ , the element  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n u^n$  is well-defined in  $M_r(A)$ . Therefore, there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{C}\langle u, u^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow M_r(A)$  such that  $\gamma(u) = a$ . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C}\langle u, u^{-1} \rangle) & \rightarrow & K_1^{\text{top}}(M_r(A)) \\ \downarrow \downarrow & & \\ K_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\langle u, u^{-1} \rangle) & \rightarrow & K_{-1}(M_r(A)) \end{array}$$

which is thus associated with  $a$ . The element of  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\langle u, u^{-1} \rangle) \cong K_0(S\mathbb{C}\langle u, u^{-1} \rangle)$  associated with  $u$  can be identified (up to sign) with the topological generator of  $K_0(\mathbb{C}(T^2))$  according to the density theorem ( $T^2$  being the 2-torus), i.e., the image of the projector  $(J+1)/2$  where  $J$  is the involution in  $A^2$  with  $A = \mathbb{C}\langle t, u, t^{-1}, u^{-1} \rangle$  defined by the matrix (cf. [12])

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & -x \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $x$  and  $z$  are Laurent polynomials in  $t$  and  $u$ . Since the transposition  $t \leftrightarrow u$  does not change (up to sign) this generator, we can write the same formulas by interchanging the roles of  $t$  and  $u$ . It follows that the element of  $K_0(SA) \cong K_0(SM_r(A))$  associated with  $a$  is, up to sign, represented by a Laurent polynomial in  $t$ . Therefore, this element actually belongs to the image of the composite homomorphism  $K_0(A[t, t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_0(A\langle t, t^{-1} \rangle) \rightarrow K_0(SA)$ . Thus, every element of  $K_0^{\text{top}}(SA) \cong K_1^{\text{top}}(A)$  belongs to the image of the homomorphism  $K_0(SA) \rightarrow K_0(\overline{SA})$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6.

3.7. It is generally false that the homomorphism  $K_2(A) \rightarrow K_2^{\text{top}}(A)$  is surjective. For example,  $K_2(\mathbb{C}) = 0$  while  $K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . As an exercise, one can verify, however, that the homomorphism  $K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1^{\text{top}}(A)$  is surjective for  $A = C_0(X \times \mathbb{R}^{i-1}, \mathbb{C})$ , the algebra of continuous functions on  $X \times \mathbb{R}^{i-1}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$  that tend to 0 at infinity.

## 5 MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURES

4.1. Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  be three unital rings. We call a "bimorphism" from  $A \times B$  to  $C$  a bilinear map  $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi(aa', bb') = \phi(a, b)\phi(a', b')$  and such that  $\phi(1, 1) = 1$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $\phi$  induces a ring homomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ . A bimorphism induces a bilinear map  $\phi_* : K_0(A) \times K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C)$  in the following way. If  $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{P}(A))$  and  $N \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{P}(B))$ ,  $M \otimes N$  is an  $A \otimes B$ -module. By scalar extension,  $R = (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} C$  is a finitely generated projective  $C$ -module. A more "concrete" way to describe the module  $R$  is as follows. If  $M = \text{Im}(p)$  with  $p : A^n \rightarrow A^n$  and if  $N = \text{Im}(q)$  with  $q : B^m \rightarrow B^m$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are two projectors, then  $R = \text{Im}(p \otimes q)$  where  $p \otimes q$  is the projector defined by the matrix  $\phi(p_{ij}, q_{kl})$ . The correspondence  $(M, N) \mapsto R$  clearly induces the desired bilinear map  $K_0(A) \times K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C)$ . This homomorphism enjoys obvious associativity properties that we will not detail.

4.2. Example: If  $A = B = C$  is a commutative ring and if  $\phi$  is the bimorphism defined by the product,  $\phi_*$  equips  $K_0(A)$  with a commutative ring structure.

4.3. Example: If  $k$  is a commutative ring and if  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra, the obvious bimorphism  $k \times A \rightarrow A$  allows us to equip the group  $K_0(A)$  with a  $K_0(k)$ -algebra structure.

4.4. Suppose now that  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are three  $k$ -algebras ( $k$  a commutative ring with unit) not necessarily having a unit element. We call a bimorphism  $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  a  $k$ -bilinear map such that  $\phi(aa', bb') = \phi(a, b)\phi(a', b')$ .

If  $D$  denotes the fiber product of  $A_k^+$  and  $B_k^+$  over  $k$ , we have the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \otimes B \rightarrow A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+ \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$  which induces the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes B) \rightarrow K_0(A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+) \rightarrow K_0(D) \rightarrow 0$  (because  $K_1(A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+) \rightarrow K_1(D)$  is surjective). We deduce a bilinear map  $K_0(A) \times K_0(B) \cong \text{Ker}[K_0(A_k^+) \rightarrow K_0(k)] \times \text{Ker}[K_0(B_k^+) \rightarrow K_0(k)] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[K_0(A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+) \rightarrow K_0(D)] \cong K_0(A \otimes B)$ . The bimorphism  $\phi$  inducing a homomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ , we deduce a bilinear map  $K_0(A) \times K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C)$  which enjoys good formal properties.

4.5. The preceding "cup-product" allows us to define a cup-product  $K_i(A) \times K_j(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(C)$  for  $i$  and  $j \leq 0$ . This cup-product is induced, for example, by the bimorphism  $S^i(A) \times S^j(B) \rightarrow S^{i+j}(C)$ .

4.6. If the rings  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are unital and if  $\phi$  is a bimorphism such that  $\phi(1, 1) = 1$ , Loday defined in [15] a cup-product  $K_i(A) \times K_j(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(C)$  for  $i$  and  $j > 0$ , essentially from the tensor product of matrices:  $GL_n(A) \times GL_p(B) \rightarrow GL_{np}(A \otimes B) \rightarrow GL_{np}(C)$ . This cup-product also enjoys good formal properties. In the non-unital case, things are not so simple because there is no reason to assert that  $K_{i+j}((A \otimes B)_k^+) \cong \text{Ker}[K_{i+j}(A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(D)]$ . We do not know in general if the diagram  $BGL((A \otimes B)_k^+) \rightarrow BGL(A_k^+ \otimes B_k^+) \rightarrow BGL(D)$  is Cartesian up to homotopy (note the two different meanings of the  $+$  sign). However, if  $i, j > 0$  with  $i + j > 0$ , we can define a pairing  $K_i(A) \times K_j(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(C)$ .

Conclusion: If  $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  is a bimorphism, we know how to define a cup-product  $K_i(A) \times K_j(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(C)$  for all values of  $i$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [11] for the complementary cases) if  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are unital and if  $\phi(1, 1) = 1$ . In the general case where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are not necessarily unital  $k$ -algebras, we only know how to do this if  $i, j \leq 0$  or  $i, j > 0$ . This cup-product enjoys good formal properties (cf. [15]).

4.7. In the case of Banach algebras (assumed complex for definiteness), we will only consider bimorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  such that  $\|\phi(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$ . If, moreover,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are unital and if  $\phi(1, 1) = 1$ , the same methods as those applied in the algebraic case allow us to define a cup-product  $K_i^{\text{top}}(A) \times K_j^{\text{top}}(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}^{\text{top}}(C)$ . We can indeed reason with the classifying space of linear groups equipped with their usual topology as well as with topological suspensions instead of algebraic suspensions. Furthermore, the

natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i(A) \times K_j(B) & \longrightarrow & K_{i+j}(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_i^{\text{top}}(A) \times K_j^{\text{top}}(B) & \longrightarrow & K_{i+j}^{\text{top}}(C) \end{array}$$

is commutative. If  $A$ ,  $B$  or  $C$  does not have a unit element we can reason as in the algebraic framework by choosing  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and considering topological tensor products. However, since the excision theorem is true in topological K-theory [14][13], we can define the cup-product

$$\cup : K_i^{\text{top}}(A) \times K_j^{\text{top}}(B) \rightarrow K_{i+j}^{\text{top}}(C)$$

without any restriction on the pair  $(i, j)$ .

## 6 PERIODICITY OF THE GROUPS $K_n$ OF CERTAIN ALGEBRAS

5.1. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the ideal of compact operators in a separable complex Hilbert space of infinite dimension  $H$ . If we view  $\mathcal{K}$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra, we can define

$$K_n(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Ker}(K_n(\mathcal{K}^+) \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^+$  is the algebra to which we add a unit element. One of the goals of this section is the proof of the following theorem:

5.2. THEOREM. For  $n \geq 0$ , the groups  $K_n(\mathcal{K})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$  for  $n$  even and 0 for  $n$  odd. The natural homomorphism

$$\phi_n : K_n(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K})$$

is an isomorphism for  $n$  even and surjective for  $n$  odd.

The proof of this theorem will occupy us for some time and we will need some auxiliary propositions.

5.3. PROPOSITION. The homomorphism

$$K_{-2}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K})$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the algebra of bounded operators of  $H$  and let  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  be the quotient algebra (the Calkin algebra). Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a topologically flasque algebra [14], we have  $K_i(\mathcal{B}) = K_i^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ . Furthermore, we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= K_{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \\ 0 &= K_{-1}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_{-1}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the homomorphism  $K_{-1}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-1}^{top}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K})$  is surjective according to 3.6. The same is therefore true for the homomorphism  $K_{-2}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{top}(\mathcal{K})$ .

5.4. We propose to demonstrate an analogous result for the group  $K_2$ . It is convenient for this to introduce for every unital ring  $A$  the group  $K_i(A; \mathbb{Z}/n)$  [1][3] for  $i \geq 2$  which is the  $i - 1$ -th homotopy group of the homotopy fiber of

$$BGL(A)^+ \xrightarrow{\cdot n} BGL(A)^+$$

where the arrow is multiplication by  $n$  in the H-space  $BGL(A)^+$ . Thus we have in particular the exact sequence

$$K_i(A) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(A; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_{i-1}(A)$$

Similarly, if  $A$  is a Banach algebra we can define  $K_i^{top}(A; \mathbb{Z}/n) = \pi_{i-1}(\Omega^{top})$  where  $\Omega^{top}$  is the homotopy fiber of

$$BGL(A)^{top} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} BGL(A)^{top}$$

5.5. PROPOSITION. The natural homomorphism

$$K_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_1(\mathbb{C})$$

where  $K_2(\mathbb{C})$  is divisible [16] shows that  $K_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \text{coker}(K_1(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_1(\mathbb{C}))$ . Since  $K_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ , we have  $K_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ . Similarly, the exact sequence

$$K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_1^{top}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} K_1^{top}(\mathbb{C})$$

shows that  $K_2^{top}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ . The isomorphism follows from the commutativity of the diagram relating the algebraic and topological sequences.

5.8. PROPOSITION. The homomorphism

$$K_2(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_2^{top}(\mathcal{K})$$

is surjective.

*Proof.* This can be proven using the multiplicative structures in mod  $n$  K-theory developed by Araki and Toda [1] (see also [3]). We consider a cup product  $K_{2i-2}(\mathcal{K}) \times K_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{K}; \mathbb{Z}/n)$  and proceed by induction. The full proof is detailed in the article.

## 5.9. THEOREM.

The homomorphism  $K_{2i}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{2i}^{top}(\mathcal{K})$  is surjective for  $i \geq 0$ .

Now consider a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} \otimes A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule, and we set  $K_i(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) = \text{Ker}[K_i((\mathcal{K} \otimes A)_A^+) \rightarrow K_i(A)]$ .

### 5.10. THEOREM.

The homomorphism  $K_{2i}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  is surjective and the kernel is a direct summand.

*Proof.* If we set  $B_n = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A$  and  $B = \mathcal{K} \otimes A$ , we see that the hypotheses of the density theorem are satisfied for the inductive system of the  $B_n \rightarrow B$ . We therefore have  $K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(B) \cong \varinjlim K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(B_n) \cong K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(A)$ . Moreover, according to Bott periodicity,  $K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(A) \cong K_0(A)$ . Finally, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{2i}(\mathcal{K}) \times K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_{2i}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K}) \times K_0^{\text{top}}(A) & \longrightarrow & K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \end{array}$$

Since the homomorphism  $K_{2i}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{2i}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K})$  is surjective, the cup-product by a preimage of the generator defines a subgroup of  $K_{2i}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  isomorphic to  $K_0(A)$  which surjects onto the topological group.

### 5.11. THEOREM.

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the homomorphism from  $K_i(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  to  $K_{i+1}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  is an isomorphism and the map  $K_{2i+1}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_{2i+1}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  is surjective for  $i \geq 0$  and the kernel is a direct summand.

*Proof.* This follows from the long exact sequence associated to the fibration of classifying spaces related to the short exact sequence of rings  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A \rightarrow 0$ , and the fact that  $\mathcal{B} \otimes A$  is flasque.

### 5.12. THEOREM.

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the homomorphisms  $K_i(\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_i^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  and  $K_i(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A) \rightarrow K_i^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$  are surjective for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 5.13. Examples.

Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $A = C(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} \otimes A$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} \otimes A$ ) is identified with the algebra of continuous functions on  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{K}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ ). In this case, we therefore have a surjective homomorphism from the algebraic  $K_i$ -groups of these algebras onto the topological  $K$ -theory groups  $K^{-i}(X)$  [13].

### 5.14.

From now on, we will try to extend the preceding results to the  $K_i$  groups for negative values of  $i$ . The tensor product of compact operators defines a bilinear map  $\mathcal{K}(H) \times \mathcal{K}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(H \otimes H)$ . According to the general considerations developed in Section 4, we deduce a cup-product  $K_i(\mathcal{K}(H)) \times K_j(\mathcal{K}(H)) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(\mathcal{K}(H \otimes H))$  for  $i + j \leq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}(H \otimes H) \cong M_k(\mathcal{K}(H))$  for some  $k$ ,

by Morita's theorem, we have an isomorphism  $K_i(\mathcal{K}(H)) \cong K_i(\mathcal{K}(H \otimes H))$ . In particular, if we denote by  $\tau$  the cup-product  $K_i(\mathcal{K}(H)) \times K_0(\mathcal{K}(H)) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{K}(H \otimes H))$ , the homomorphism  $x \mapsto \tau(x, \epsilon)$  is an isomorphism if  $\epsilon$  is a generator of  $K_0(\mathcal{K}(H)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 5.15. THEOREM.

For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K_n(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  for  $n$  even and  $K_n(\mathcal{K}) = 0$  for  $n$  odd.

*Proof.* Let  $u_2 \in K_2(\mathcal{K})$  and  $u_{-2} \in K_{-2}(\mathcal{K})$  be elements whose images in  $K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $K_{-2}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{K})$  are generators. Then  $u_2 \cup u_{-2} \in K_0(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Define then  $\beta : K_n(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{n+2}(\mathcal{K})$  for  $n \leq -2$  and  $\beta' : K_n(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{n-2}(\mathcal{K})$  for  $n \geq 2$  by  $\beta(x) = x \cup u_2$  and  $\beta'(y) = y \cup u_{-2}$ . By associativity of the cup-product,  $\beta'\beta$  and  $\beta\beta'$  are identity maps (up to automorphism). We therefore deduce that  $K_n(\mathcal{K}) \cong K_{n-2}(\mathcal{K})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The result follows from knowing the groups for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ . We have  $K_0(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $n$  odd, we have  $K_n(\mathcal{K}) \cong K_{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ , which is calculated by the exact sequence  $0 = K_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ . Since  $K_0(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}) = 0$  by Kalkin's theorem [6], we have  $K_{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ , hence  $K_n(\mathcal{K}) = 0$  for all odd  $n$ .

5.16. The periodicity of the groups  $K_n(\mathcal{K})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  generalizes to a class of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras that we will now define. Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. We will say that  $A$  is topologically stable if it is equipped with a ring isomorphism  $A \cong M_2(A)$  and a bimorphism  $\mathcal{K} \times A \rightarrow A$  satisfying certain compatibility conditions.

5.17. Examples. a) If  $B$  is any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{K} \otimes B$  is topologically stable. b) If  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the completed tensor product  $\mathcal{K} \hat{\otimes} B$  is also topologically stable. c) The algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  is topologically stable. d) The quotient  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  is topologically stable. e) If  $A$  is topologically stable and if  $B$  is any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $A \otimes B$  is topologically stable.

5.18. THEOREM. Let  $A$  be a topologically stable algebra. Then  $K_n(A) \cong K_{n-2}(A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Proof. It suffices to follow the scheme of the proof of Theorem 5.15. The bimorphism  $\mathcal{K} \times A \rightarrow A$  allows us to define a cup-product

$$K_i(\mathcal{K}) \times K_j(A) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(A)$$

which is "associative" up to isomorphism and induces periodicity.

5.19. COROLLARY. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \hat{\otimes} A) \cong K_0^{\text{top}}(A)$  for  $n$  even, and  $K_n(\mathcal{K} \hat{\otimes} A) \cong K_1^{\text{top}}(A)$  for  $n$  odd.

5.20. COROLLARY. Let

$$0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of topologically stable  $C^*$ -algebras. We then have the six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A') & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A'') \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A') & \longleftarrow & K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A'') \end{array}$$

(the groups  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  being defined in a purely algebraic way).

## 7 STUDY OF CERTAIN RINGS SUCH THAT $K_i(A) \cong K_{i+2}(A)$ FOR $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

6.1. In the previous section, we studied a large class of rings  $A$  (topologically stable  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras) such that  $K_i(A) \cong K_{i-2}(A)$  for  $i \leq 0$ . We will now define rings  $A$  such that  $K_i(A) \cong K_{i+2}(A)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Precisely, let  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  be the inductive limit of a system of algebras  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , where each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is constructed to have periodicity-inducing properties. One can construct a bimorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \times \mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty$$

This bimorphism satisfies an associativity property up to isomorphism. It therefore allows us to define a cup-product

$$K_i(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \times K_j(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$$

for  $i$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  which is associative up to isomorphism.

6.2. THEOREM. For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i$  even and  $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\infty) = 0$  for  $i$  odd. Proof. This is the same formal proof as that of Theorem 5.15.

6.3. Now consider a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then we can consider the inductive limit of the system

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty \otimes A \rightarrow \dots$$

which we will denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$ .

6.4. THEOREM. For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\infty(A)) \cong K_i^{top}(A)$ . In particular,  $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\infty(A)) \cong K_{i+2}(\mathcal{A}_\infty(A))$ . Proof. This theorem is proved formally like Theorem 5.18 using the "module" structure of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$  over  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ .

## References

- [1] S. Araki and H. Toda: "Multiplicative structures in mod  $q$  cohomology theories, I and II", Osaka J. Math. 2, 71-115 (1965) and 3, 81-120 (1966).
- [2] H. Bass: "Algebraic K-theory". New York: Benjamin 1968.
- [3] W. Browder: "Algebraic K-theory with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ " (to appear).
- [4] L.G. Brown and C. Schochet: " $K_1$  of the compact operators is zero", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59, 119-122 (1976).
- [5] D. Grayson: "Higher algebraic K-theory: II (after D. Quillen)", Lectures Notes in Math. 551, 217-240 (1976).
- [6] P. de la Harpe and M. Karoubi: "Compact perturbations of group representations in a Hilbert space, I", Bull. Soc. Math. France, M  moire 46, 41-65 (1976).



- [7] J.-Cl. Hausmann and D. Husemoller: "Acyclic maps" (to appear).
- [8] M. Karoubi: "Clifford algebras and K-theory", Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4), 1, 161-270 (1968).
- [9] M. Karoubi: Seminar Cartan-Schwartz 1963-1964, exposé 16, New York: Benjamin 1967.
- [10] M. Karoubi: "Classifying spaces in K-theory", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 147, 74-115 (1970).
- [11] M. Karoubi: "Bott periodicity in general K-theory", Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4), 4, 63-95 (1971).
- [12] M. Karoubi: "Quillen's theory and homology of the orthogonal group" (to appear).
- [13] M. Karoubi: "K-theory. An Introduction". Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 226, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [14] M. Karoubi and O. Villamayor: "Algebraic K-theory and topological K-theory I", Math. Scand. 28, 265-307 (1971).
- [15] J. Loday: "Algebraic K-theory and group representations", Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4), 9, 309-377 (1976).
- [16] J. Milnor: "Introduction to algebraic K-theory". Annals of Math. Studies no. 72, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [17] D. Quillen: "Algebraic K-theory I", Lectures Notes in Math. 341, 85-147 (1973).
- [18] J.-B. Wagoner: "Delooping classifying spaces in algebraic K-theory", Topology 11, 349-370 (1972).
- [19] D. Husemoller: "Fibre Bundles". GTM 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.