

AROUND $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m[1])$ IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

In this document we work always over \mathbb{Q} and if there is a topology we will always assume the descendable topology. We will sometimes refer to symmetric monoidal presentable stable categories as 1-rings, and objects in the opposite category as 1-affine schemes. The \mathbb{E}_∞ group scheme $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ (which can be identified with $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ via the exponential map) can be extended to a functor on \mathbb{Q} -linear symmetric monoidal categories. That it, for any \mathbb{Q} -linear symmetric monoidal category T , we define $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(T)$ to be

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m), T)$$

The \mathbb{E}_∞ -group structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ induces a bialgebra structure on $\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ and thus an \mathbb{E}_∞ -group structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(T)$.

Using the fact that $\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ is an idempotent $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ -algebra, which is itself an idempotent $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ -algebra, we see that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(T)$ is simply the subspace¹ of x in $\Omega^\infty(\mathrm{End}_T(\mathbf{1}_T))$ such that $\mathrm{colim}(\mathbf{1}_T \xrightarrow{x-1} \mathbf{1}_T \dots) \cong 0$ (or if R is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring, this is equivalent to the image of $x - 1$ in $\pi_0(R)$ being nilpotent). For an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring R , $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(R)$ only depends on the connective cover of R .

Because $(i_0)_*\mathbb{Q} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ is compact, the dual category $\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)^\vee$ is the module category over a commutative cocommutative bialgebra. Duality theory in Elliptic I Proposition 3.8.5 implies that for symmetric monoidal \mathbb{Q} -linear presentable stable categories T ,

$$(0.1) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CMon}(T\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}})}((\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_T, \mathrm{Pic}_T^\dagger) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)^\vee, T)$$

where $(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_T$ is the bialgebra category $\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m) \otimes T$ and Pic_T^\dagger is the bialgebra category corepresenting the functor

$$C \mapsto (C^\cong)^\times$$

sending a symmetric monoidal T -linear presentable stable category to its \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoid of units. The bialgebra category is the categorified group algebra on the sphere spectrum over T , i.e. $T[\Omega^\infty \mathbb{S}]$.

Therefore to identify the bialgebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)^\vee}(\mathbf{1})$, we can look at $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CMon}(R\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}})}((\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_R, \mathrm{Pic}_R^\dagger)$ for \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings R over \mathbb{Q} . The map $* \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ of sheaves on nonconnective \mathbb{Q} -algebras is a descendable cover². Additionally, the Hopf algebra dual of $\mathbb{Q}^{S^1} \cong \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[-1])$ is³ $\mathbb{Q}[S^1]$ which corepresents the functor $\Omega \mathbb{G}_m$. These two facts combine to show

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CMon}(R\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}})}((\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_R, \mathrm{Pic}_R^\dagger) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{ncAff}/_{\mathrm{Spec} R}, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}((\tau_{\geq 1} \mathbb{G}_m)^\#, \mathrm{Pic}^\dagger) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{ncAff}/_{\mathrm{Spec} R}, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}(\Omega \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ &\cong \tau_{\geq 0}(R[1]) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we deduce that

$$(0.3) \quad \mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)^\vee \cong \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[-1])) \cong \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a[1])$$

From this, we see that

$$(0.4) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CMon}(T\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}})}((\mathbb{G}_a[1])_T, \mathrm{Pic}_T^\dagger) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m), T) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(T)$$

¹meaning the inclusion is a (-1) -truncated map

²the same proof as Propositon 0.2 below shows that it is even descendable as 1-affine schemes

³the previous map is induced from the natural map $B\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_a$

for all symmetric monoidal \mathbb{Q} -linear presentable stable categories T (where $\mathbb{G}_a[1]$ corepresents the functor whose value on T is $\tau_{\geq 0}(\text{End}_T \mathbf{1}[1])$). After the isomorphism (the topology (on 1-affine schemes) is that of descent for module categories—which we also call the descendable topology),

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{CMon}(T\text{-Alg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^L)^{\text{op}})}((\mathbb{G}_a[1])_T, \text{Pic}_T^\dagger) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(T\text{-Alg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^L)^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp}^{\text{cn}})}(\mathbb{G}_a[1], \text{Pic}^\dagger)$$

note that there are two interpretations of $\mathbb{G}_a[1]$, the functor $\tau_{\geq 0}(\text{End}_T \mathbf{1}[1])$ or the sheafification of the functor $\tau_{\geq 0} \text{End}_T \mathbf{1}[1]$ but they agree because elements of $\Omega^\infty(\text{End}_T \mathbf{1}[1])$ vanish descendable-locally. We conclude that

Proposition 0.1. *For any 1-ring (symmetric monoidal presentable stable category) T of characteristic zero, we have the isomorphism of connective spectra*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{CMon}(\text{1Aff}/\text{Spec } T)}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}(T)$$

where 1Aff means the opposite category of 1-rings.

An \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoid in formal qcqs algebraic spaces (which we require to be completion of qcqs algebraic space at the complement of a quasi-compact open) G induces a cocommutative and commutative bialgebra $\text{QCoh}(G)$ in presentable stable categories, which is dualizable as an underlying presentable stable category. The category of quasicohherent sheaves $\text{QCoh}(X)$ on a formal qcqs algebraic space is self-dual using the usual duality data for qcqs schemes (Fourier-Mukai functors with diagonal sheaf) except we replace pushforward and pullback functors f_* and f^* with $\Gamma_{Z'} f_* i_Z$ and $\Gamma_Z f^* i_{Z'}$ (i.e. precomposing with torsion incarnation and postcomposing with pullback to the formal scheme). We denote these functors by \tilde{f}_* and \tilde{f}^* below. Duality in Pr_{St}^L swaps these pushforward and pullback functors (altered as above in the formal case) if one identifies $\text{QCoh}(X)$ and $\text{QCoh}(X)^\vee$ as above. Hence, the algebra structure on $\text{QCoh}(G)^\vee$ is the convolution tensor product on $\text{QCoh}(G)$ induced by pushforward along $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and the coalgebra structure is induced by the pushforward along $G \rightarrow G \times G$.

Proposition 0.2.

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee\text{-Mod} \cong \lim(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q})\text{-Mod} \rightrightarrows \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee} \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q})\text{-Mod} \rightrightarrows \dots)$$

where Mod means modules in Pr_{St}^L .

Proof. Applying HA Corollary 4.7.5.3, it suffices to show that the functor

$$(0.5) \quad - \otimes_{\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee} \mathbb{Q} : \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$$

preserves limits and is conservative.

The base-change isomorphism for the pullback diagram

$$(0.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ G & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

implies that the pullback functor $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ is $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee$ -linear (as this is identified with $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ with the convolution product). Hence the unit in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q})$ is $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee$ -atomic and $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q})$ is $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee$ -dualizable and thus (0.5) preserves limits.

Conservativity follows from the fact the limit diagram (in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee\text{-Mod}$)

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee \cong \lim(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightrightarrows \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee} \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightrightarrows \dots)$$

is preserved under tensoring as all nondegenerate transition functors admit linear left adjoints. ■

We can interpret Proposition 0.2 as showing that $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q})$ is descendable (where a map of symmetric monoidal stable categories is descendable if there's descent for module categories). Duality theory in the form of Elliptic I Proposition 3.8.5 implies that for symmetric monoidal \mathbb{Q} -linear presentable stable categories T ,

$$(0.7) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CMon}(T\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}})}((\mathbb{G}_a)_T, \mathrm{Pic}_T^\dagger) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee, T)$$

Proposition 0.2 implies that the right hand side is the sheafification of its 1-connective cover. As

$$\Omega \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee, T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(T\text{-Alg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}((\mathbb{G}_a[1])_T, \mathrm{Pic}_T^\dagger)$$

we conclude from (0.3) and (0.4) that

$$\Omega \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee, T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}), T)$$

and therefore

Proposition 0.3. *For any characteristic zero 1-ring T ,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee, T) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}[1])^\#(T)$$

which implies that $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee \cong \mathrm{QCoh}(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})$ and (after Proposition 0.2) $(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})^\#$ is 1-affine⁴.

Corollary 0.4. *For any characteristic zero connective ring R ,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Aff}/R, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}(\mathbb{G}_a, (\mathbb{G}_m[1])^\#) \cong (B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})_{1\text{-desc}}^\#(R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^L)}(\mathrm{QCoh}(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}), \mathrm{QCoh}(R))$$

where the sheafification is over R -linear 1-affine schemes with the descendable topology.

Proof. Direct consequence of the sequence

$$(\mathbb{G}_m[1])^\# \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z})^\#$$

(which can be seen from Postnikov truncation in Zariski topology) and the fact that all group maps from \mathbb{G}_a to \mathbb{Z} are 0. \blacksquare

Let $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}$ be defined (on 1-affine schemes) to be the fibre $(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_a})^\# \rightarrow (B\mathbb{G}_a)^\#$. It is corepresentable by the symmetric monoidal category $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_a})} \mathrm{QCoh}(B\mathbb{G}_a)$. Because $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_a} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is (-1) -truncated, $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}$ is valued in discrete abelian groups for any symmetric monoidal category of characteristic zero. If R is a connective \mathbb{Q} -algebra, we have the short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$(0.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_{red} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R) \rightarrow H_{1\text{-desc}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} R, \widehat{\mathbb{G}_a}) \rightarrow 0$$

The flatness of \mathbb{G}_a and nilcompleteness of $(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})^\#$ implies (with the Breen-Deligne resolution) that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Aff}/R, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}(\mathbb{G}_a, (\mathbb{G}_m[1])^\#) \cong (B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_a})_{1\text{-desc}}^\#(R)$$

is nilcomplete. It is also infinitesimally cohesive from the Breen-Deligne resolution and the fact that \mathbb{G}_a is flat.

Let u be an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Aff}/R, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}(\mathbb{G}_a, (\mathbb{G}_m[1])^\#)$. The cotangent complex of $\mathrm{Eq}(u, 0)$ (the space of trivializations) at a R -algebra S (and a trivialization ϕ of u over S) exists and is the S -module corepresenting the functor (on connective S -modules)

$$M \mapsto \mathrm{fib}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}(S \oplus M) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}(S))$$

i.e. the trivial module S . Thus we obtain

$$(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})_{1\text{-desc}}^\#(R) \rightarrow (B\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m})_{1\text{-desc}}^\#(\tau_{\leq n} R)$$

⁴where the sheafification is in the descendable topology on 1-affine schemes

is $n + 1$ -connective. Thus (0.8) only depends on $\pi_0(R)$ (where we identified $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ with the exponential map).

We thus assume R is discrete from now on. Now, by the Breen-Deligne resolution and the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Aff}/R, \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{cn}})}(\mathbb{G}_a, (\mathbb{G}_m[1])^\#)$ can be computed in the étale topos, we know that it commutes with filtered colimits in R . As $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (in any topology) is nil-invariant by deformation theory, we see that it only depends on R_{red} for any connective \mathbb{Q} -algebra R . So we may also assume R reduced as well as discrete.⁵

We claim that $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R) \cong R^{\mathrm{awn}}$ where R^{awn} is the absolute weak normalization of R (which agrees with the seminormalization of R because we are in characteristic zero). Lemma 0CN8 and Lemma 0EUR of Stacks project imply that the functor $R \mapsto R^{\mathrm{awn}}$ preserves filtered colimits. Hence it suffices to show this statement for finite-type \mathbb{Q} -algebras, as long as we show it functorially.

$\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}$ is an h-sheaf on the site of underived(!) finite-type affine schemes over \mathbb{Q} because any h-cover is descendable and $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R)$ only depends on the classical reduced part of R . Hence, there's a map from $(R_{\mathrm{red}})_h^\#$ to $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}$. The natural transformation $\mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R^{\mathrm{awn}})$ is pointwise an isomorphism by h-descent along $R \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{awn}}$. Now, we are done because the map $R \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,dR}(R)$ is an isomorphism when R is absolute weakly normal (= seminormal because we are in characteristic zero) as $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m)$ vanishes (by the Breen-Deligne resolution and the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of Pic as a 1-truncated space on seminormal characteristic zero schemes).

⁵Compare with Lemma 6.4 of Ribeiro-Rosengarten