1. p -> 9 2. p-> r

Prove: pog 11

3. mp Va licend.

4. ~p V r 2, cmd.

5. (apva) 16pvr) 3,4, mi.

6. Mp V (q 1 r) 5, distributive

7. $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$ 6, cond.

1. p > q V r

2. pag VF

Prove p -> a

3. mp hom V (V r) 1, cent

4. ~p V (q v F) 2, cm

5. (~p V (q V-)) 1 (~p V (q V 7)) 3,4, cm

6. ~p V ((q v r) 1 (q v r)) 5, dist.

7. ~pv (qv (r/F))

8. ~p v (9 V F)

9. ~p V 9

(o, p -> 9

G, dist.

7, negation

8, identify

9, cand.

III. 1. (p / q /r) -> (p / q)									
pla	-	PAGAL	- p Va all	TAUTOLOGY					
U	00	0	0 1						
0 0		0	0 1						
0	0	O	1 '						
1 0	U	O	1 '						
0 1		0							
(0	11	0							
	0	O							
	11	ı l	1 (

2.	r 10 35	15 -> r	1629/16×1	por	911
P 9	1000	11-		/ i	/ t
00	0	/ i i			l
		6	0		1
. 1 1		il	0	0	1
	. .	0	0	0	6
	'		0	(1
				1 /	
(1 0	? {	'	!	,	\ ;
	1 1	()		(1
			1		1

TAUTOLOLY

SATISFIABLE

4. (pvqvr) Λ (pvq) Λ (pvq) Λ (qvr) V (rvp)

** 1 3

** 0 * 3

SATISFIABLE

FV. pV(q 1 (F 1 (5-5)))

FN (5 VE)

FV (5 NE)

PV (q 1 (F U (5 NE))

PV (q 1 F) V (q 1 (5 NE)))

q V (q 1 F) V (q 1 5 NE))

Q V (q 1 F) V (q 1 5 NE)

V. Yes. If q is true, the formula is the already true.

I used a short certificate ble I only needed to check
the very first part at the formula to find an anawar
that s-tistics the formula.