# Bandersnatch VRF-AD Specification

Davide Galassi Seyed Hosseini

Draft 5 - 31-05-2024

## Abstract

This specification delineates the framework for a Verifiable Random Function with Additional Data (VRF-AD), a cryptographic construct that augments a standard VRF by incorporating auxiliary information into its signature. We're going to first provide a specification to extend IETF's ECVRF as outlined in RFC9381 [1]. Additionally, we describe a variant of the Pedersen VRF, first introduced by BCHSV23 [2], which serves as a fundamental component for implementing anonymized ring signatures as further elaborated by Vasilyev [3]. This specification provides detailed insights into the usage of these primitives with MSZ21 [4], an elliptic curve constructed over the BLS12-381 scalar field.

## 1. Introduction

**Definition**: A verifiable random function with additional data (VRF-AD) can be described with two functions:

- $Sign(sk, msg, ad) \mapsto \pi$ : from a secret key sk, an input msg, and additional data ad, and returns a signature  $\pi$ .
- $Verify(pk, msg, ad, \pi) \mapsto (out|prep)$ : for a public key pk, an input msg, additional data ad, and VRF signature  $\pi$  returns either an output out or else a failure perp.

**Definition**: For an elliptic curve E defined over finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with large subgroup  $\langle G \rangle$  with prime order r generated by the base point G, an EC-VRF is VRF-AD where  $pk = sk \cdot G$  and VRF Sign is based on an elliptic curve signature scheme.

All VRFs described in this specification are EC-VRF.

## 2. Preliminaries

## 2.1. VRF Input

A point in  $\langle G \rangle$  and generated using a msg octet-string via the *Elligator 2 hash-to-curve* algorithm described by section 6.8.2 of RFC9380 [5].

Refer to [Bandersnatch Cipher Suite] for configuration details.

## 2.2. VRF Output

A point in G generated using VRF input point as:  $Output \leftarrow sk \cdot Input$ .

## 2.3. VRF Hashed Output

A fixed length octet-string generated using VRF output point.

The generation procedure details are specified by the proof-to-hash procedure in section 5.2 of RFC9381 and the output length depends on the used hasher

Refer to [Bandersnatch Cipher Suite] for configuration details.

## 3. IETF VRF

Definition of a VRF based on the IETF RFC9381 [1] specification.

This VRF faithfully follows the RFC but extends it with the capability to sign additional user data (ad) as per our definition of VRF-AD.

In particular,  $step\ 5$  of RFC section 5.4.3 is defined as:

str = str || ad || challenge\_generation\_domain\_separator\_back

#### 3.1. Setup

Setup follows from the "cipher suite" specification defined by faithfully following the RFC9381 section 5.5 guidelines and naming conventions.

- The EC group  $\langle G \rangle$  is the prime subgroup of the Bandersnatch elliptic curve, in Twisted Edwards form, with the finite field and curve parameters as specified in the [neuromancer] standard curves database. For this group, fLen = qLen = 32 and cofactor = 4.
- The prime subgroup generator G is constructed following Zcash's guidelines: "The generators of G1 and G2 are computed by finding the lexicographically smallest valid x-coordinate, and its lexicographically smallest y-coordinate and scaling it by the cofactor such that the result is not the point at infinity."
  - $-G.x := 0 \times 29 \text{c} 132 \text{c} \text{c} 20 \text{b} 34 \text{c} 5743711777 \text{b} \text{b} \text{e} 42 \text{f} 32 \text{b} 79 \text{c} 022 \text{a} \text{d} 998465 \text{e} 1 \text{e} 71866 \text{a} 252 \text{a} \text{e} 1866 \text$
  - $-G.y := 0 \times 2a6c669 \\ eda123 \\ e0f157d8b50badcd586358cad81 \\ eee464605e3167b6cc974166$

- The public key generation primitive is  $pk = sk \cdot G$ , with sk the secret key scalar and G the group generator. In this cipher suite, the secret scalar x is equal to the secret key sk.
- suite\_string = 0x33.
- cLen = 32.
- encode\_to\_curve\_salt =  $pk_string$  (i.e. Encode(pk)).
- The ECVRF\_nonce\_generation function is specified in Section 5.4.2.1 of RFC9381.
- The int\_to\_string function encodes into the 32 bytes little endian representation.
- The string\_to\_int function decodes from the 32 bytes little endian representation.
- The point\_to\_string function converts a point in  $\langle G \rangle$  to an octet string using compressed form. The y coordinate is encoded using int\_to\_string function and the most significant bit of the last octet is used to keep track of the x's sign. This implies that the point is encoded in 32 bytes.
- The string\_to\_point function tries to decompress the point encoded according to point\_to\_string procedure. This function MUST outputs "INVALID" if the octet string does not decode to a point on the prime subgroup \( \langle G \rangle \).
- The hash function Hash is SHA-512 as specified in RFC6234, with hLen = 64.
- The ECVRF\_encode\_to\_curve function (*Elligator2*) is as specified in Section 5.4.1.2, with h2c\_suite\_ID\_string = "BANDERSNATCH\_XMD:SHA-512\_ELL2\_RO\_". The suite must be interpreted as defined by Section 8.5 of [5] and using the domain separation tag DST = "ECVRF\_" h2c\_suite\_ID\_string suite\_string.

### 3.2. Sign

#### Inputs:

- x: Secret key  $\in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$
- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$
- ad: Additional data octet-string

### Outputs:

- O: VRF Output  $\in \langle G \rangle$
- $\pi$ : Schnorr-like proof  $\in (\mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$

#### Steps:

- 1.  $O \leftarrow x \cdot I$
- $2. \ Y \leftarrow x \cdot G$
- 3.  $k \leftarrow nonce(x, I)$
- $4. \ c \leftarrow challenge(Y, I, O, k \cdot G, k \cdot I, ad)$
- 5.  $s \leftarrow (k + c \cdot x)$
- 6.  $\pi \leftarrow (c, s)$
- 7. return  $(O, \pi)$

#### Externals:

- nonce: refer to RFC9381 section 5.4.2
- challenge: refer to RFC9381 section 5.4.3

## 3.3. Verify

## Inputs:

- Y: Public key  $\in \langle G \rangle$
- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$
- ad: Additional data octet-string
- $O: VRF Output \in \langle G \rangle$
- $\pi$ : As defined for Sign output.

## Outputs:

• True if proof is valid, False otherwise.

### Steps:

- 1.  $(c,s) \leftarrow \pi$
- 2.  $U \leftarrow s \cdot K c \cdot Y$
- 3.  $V \leftarrow s \cdot H c \cdot O$
- $4. \ c' \leftarrow challenge(Y, I, O, U, V, ad)$
- 5. if  $c \neq c'$  then return False
- 6. return True

## ${\bf Externals:}$

 $\bullet$  challenge: as defined for Sign

### 4. Pedersen VRF

Pedersen VRF resembles IETF EC-VRF but replaces the public key by a Pedersen commitment to the secret key, which makes the Pedersen VRF useful in anonymized ring VRFs.

Strictly speaking Pederson VRF is not a VRF. Instead, it proves that the output has been generated with a secret key associated with a blinded public key (instead of public key). The blinded public key is a cryptographic commitment to the public key. And it could be unblinded to prove that the output of the VRF corresponds to the public key of the signer.

This specification mostly follows the design proposed by BCHSV23 [2] in section 4 with some details about blinding base value and challenge generation procedure.

### 4.1. Setup

Bandersnatch Pedersen VRF is initiated for prime subgroup  $\langle G \rangle$  of Bandersnatch elliptic curve E defined in MSZ21 [4] with *blinding base*  $B \in \langle G \rangle$  defined as follows:

- $B.x := 0 \times 2039 d9 bf 2 ecb 2d4433182 d4a 940 ec78 d34 f9d19 ec0 d875703 d4d04a 168 ec241 ecc241 ecc241$
- $\bullet \ \ B.y := \texttt{0x54fa7fd5193611992188139d20221028bf03ee23202d9706a46f12b3f3605faa}$

in twisted Edwards coordinates.

For all the other configurable parameters and external functions we adhere as much as possible to the [Bandersnatch Cipher Suite] specification for IETF VRF.

### 4.2. Sign

#### Inputs:

- x: Secret key  $\in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$
- b: Secret blinding factor  $\in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$
- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$
- ad: Additional data octet-string

### Output:

- $O: VRF \ Output \in \langle G \rangle$
- $\pi$ : Pedersen proof  $\in (\langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$

### Steps:

- 1.  $O \leftarrow x \cdot I$
- 2.  $(k, k_b) \leftarrow random()$
- 3.  $\bar{Y} \leftarrow x \cdot G + b \cdot B$

- 4.  $R \leftarrow k \cdot G + k_b \cdot B$
- 5.  $O_k \leftarrow k \cdot I$
- 6.  $c \leftarrow challenge(\bar{Y}, I, O, R, O_k, ad)$
- 7.  $s \leftarrow k + c \cdot x$
- 8.  $s_b \leftarrow k_b + c \cdot b$
- 9.  $\pi \leftarrow (\bar{Y}, R, O_k, s, s_b)$
- 10. return  $(O, \pi)$

#### Externals:

- challenge: see [Challenge] section
- random: generates random scalars in  $\mathbb{Z}_r^*$

## 4.3. Verify

### Inputs:

- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- $O: VRF Output \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- ad: Additional data octet-string
- $\pi$ : Pedersen proof as defined for Sign.

## Output:

• True if proof is valid, False otherwise.

### Steps:

- 1.  $(\bar{Y}, R, O_k, s, s_b) \leftarrow \pi$
- 2.  $c \leftarrow challenge(\bar{Y}, I, O, R, O_k, ad)$
- 3.  $z_1 \leftarrow O_k + c \cdot O I \cdot s$
- 4. if  $z_1 \neq O$  then return False
- 5.  $z_2 \leftarrow R + c \cdot \bar{Y} s \cdot G s_b \cdot B$
- 6. if  $z_2 \neq O$  then return False
- 7. return True

## Externals:

ullet challenge: see [Challenge] section

## 4.4. Challenge

Defined to follow the design of challenge procedure given in section 5.4.3 of RFC9381.

#### Inputs:

- Points: Sequence of 5 points  $\in \langle G \rangle$ .
- ad: Additional data octet-string

#### Output:

• c: Challenge  $\in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$ .

### Steps:

- 1.  $str = "pedersen_vrf"$  (ASCII encoded octet-string)
- 2. for P in Points: str = str || PointToString(P)|
- 3. str = str ||ad|| 0x00
- 4. h = Sha512(str)
- 5.  $h_t = h[0] \|..\| h[31]$
- 6.  $c = StringToInt(h_t)$
- 7. return c

With *PointToString* and *StringToInt* defined as point\_to\_string and string\_to\_int from RFC9381 respectively.

# 5. Pedersen Ring VRF

Anonymized ring VRFs based of [Pedersen VRF] and ...

### 5.1. Setup

Setup for plain [Pedersen VRF] applies.

TODO: - SRS for zk-SNARK definition - All the details

## 5.2. Sign

### Inputs:

- x: Secret key  $\in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$ .
- P: Ring prover key
- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- ad: Additional data octet-string

## Output:

- $O: VRF Output \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- $\pi_p$ : Pedersen proof as specified in [Pedersen VRF].
- $\pi_r$ : Ring proof as specified in Vasilyev

### Steps:

- 1.  $(O, \pi_p) \leftarrow Pedersen.Sign(x, I, ad)$
- 2.  $\pi_r \leftarrow Ring.Prove(P,...)$  (TODO)

## 5.3. Verify

### Inputs:

- V: ring verifier key  $\in$ ?
- $I: VRF Input \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- $O: VRF Output \in \langle G \rangle$ .
- ad: Additional data octet-string
- $\pi_p$ : Pedersen proof as defined in Pedersen VRF.
- $\pi_r$ : Ring proof as defined in Vasilyev

#### Output:

• True if proof is valid, False otherwise.

### Steps:

- 1.  $r = Pedersen.Verify(I, O, ad, \pi_p)$
- 1. if  $r \neq True$  return False
- 1.  $r = Ring.Verify(V, \pi_r, ...)$  (TODO)
- 1. if  $r \neq True$  return False
- 1. return True

## 6. References

1.

Internet Engineering Task Force Verifiable Random Functions; RFC Editor, 2023;

2.

Burdges, J.; Ciobotaru, O.; Alper, H.K.; Stewart, A.; Vasilyev, S. Ring Verifiable Random Functions and Zero-Knowledge Continuations 2023.

3.

Vasilyev, S. Ring Proof Technical Specification 2024.

4

Masson, S.; Sanso, A.; Zhang, Z. Bandersnatch: A Fast Elliptic Curve Built over the Bls12-381 Scalar Field 2021.

5.

Internet Engineering Task Force Hashing to Elliptic Curves; RFC Editor, 2023;