

Bandersnatch VRF-AD Specification

Davide Galassi Seyed Hosseini

21 June 2024 - Draft 6

Abstract

This specification delineates the framework for a Verifiable Random Function with Additional Data (VRF-AD), a cryptographic construct that augments a standard VRF by incorporating auxiliary information into its signature. We're going to first provide a specification to extend IETF's ECVRF as outlined in RFC-9381 [1], then we describe a variant of the Pedersen VRF originally introduced by BCHSV23 [2], which serves as a fundamental component for implementing anonymized ring signatures as further elaborated by Vasilyev [3]. This specification provides detailed insights into the usage of these primitives with Bandersnatch, an elliptic curve constructed over the BLS12-381 scalar field specified in MSZ21 [4].

1. Preliminaries

Definition: A *verifiable random function with additional data (VRF-AD)* can be described with two functions:

- $Prove(sk, in, ad) \mapsto (out, \pi)$: from secret key sk , input in , and additional data ad returns a verifiable output out and proof π .
- $Verify(pk, in, ad, out, \pi) \mapsto (0|1)$: for public key pk , input in , additional data ad , output out and proof π returns either 1 on success or 0 on failure.

1.1. VRF Input

An arbitrary length octet-string provided by the user and used to generate some unbiased verifiable random output.

1.2. VRF Input Point

A point in $\langle G \rangle$ generated from VRF input octet-string using the *Elligator 2 hash-to-curve* algorithm as described by section 6.8.2 of RFC-9380 [5].

1.3. VRF Output Point

A point in $\langle G \rangle$ generated from VRF input point as: $Output \leftarrow sk \cdot Input$.

1.4. VRF Output

A fixed length octet-string generated from VRF output point using the proof-to-hash procedure defined in section 5.2 of RFC-9381.

1.5 Additional Data

An arbitrary length octet-string provided by the user to be signed together with the generated VRF output. This data doesn't influence the produced VRF output.

2. IETF VRF

Based on IETF RFC-9381 which is extended with the capability to sign additional user data (ad).

2.1. Configuration

Configuration is given by following the “*cipher suite*” guidelines defined in section 5.5 of RFC-9381.

- `suite_string = "Bandersnatch_SHA-512_ELL2"`.
- The EC group $\langle G \rangle$ is the prime subgroup of the Bandersnatch elliptic curve, in Twisted Edwards form, with finite field and curve parameters as specified in MSZ21. For this group, `fLen = qLen = 32` and `cofactor = 4`.
- The prime subgroup generator $G \in \langle G \rangle$ is defined as follows:

`G.x=0x29c132cc2c0b34c5743711777bbe42f32b79c022ad998465e1e71866a252ae18`

`G.y=0x2a6c669eda123e0f157d8b50badcd586358cad81eee464605e3167b6cc974166`

- `cLen = 32`.
- The public key generation primitive is $pk = sk \cdot G$, with sk the secret key scalar and G the group generator. In this cipher suite, the secret scalar `x` is equal to the secret key `sk`.
- `encode_to_curve_salt = pk_string` (i.e. `point_to_string(pk)`).
- The `ECVRF_nonce_generation` function is specified in section 5.4.2.1 of RFC-9381.
- The `int_to_string` function encodes into the 32 bytes little endian representation.

- The `string_to_int` function decodes from the 32 bytes little endian representation eventually reducing modulo the prime field order.
- The `point_to_string` function converts a point in $\langle G \rangle$ to an octet-string using compressed form. The y coordinate is encoded using `int_to_string` function and the most significant bit of the last octet is used to keep track of x sign. This implies that `ptLen` = `flen` = 32.
- The `string_to_point` function converts an octet-string to a point on E . The string most significant bit is removed to recover the x coordinate as function of y , which is first decoded from the rest of the string using `int_to_string` procedure. This function MUST outputs “INVALID” if the octet-string does not decode to a point on the prime subgroup $\langle G \rangle$.
- The hash function `hash` is SHA-512 as specified in RFC-6234 [6], with `hLen` = 64.
- The `ECVRF_encode_to_curve` function uses *Elligator2* method described in section 6.8.2 of RFC-9380 and is described in section 5.4.1.2 of RFC-9381, with `h2c_suite_ID_string` = "Bandersnatch_XMD:SHA-512_ELL2_RO_" and domain separation tag `DST` = "ECVRF_" || `h2c_suite_ID_string` || `suite_string`.

2.2. Prove

Input:

- $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Secret key
- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- ad : Additional data octet-string

Output:

- $O \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF output point
- $\pi \in (\mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Schnorr-like proof

Steps:

1. $O \leftarrow x \cdot I$
2. $Y \leftarrow x \cdot G$
3. $k \leftarrow \text{nonce}(x, I)$
4. $c \leftarrow \text{challenge}(Y, I, O, k \cdot G, k \cdot I, ad)$
5. $s \leftarrow k + c \cdot x$
6. $\pi \leftarrow (c, s)$
7. **return** (O, π)

Externals:

- *nonce*: refer to section 5.4.2.1 of RFC-9381.
- *challenge*: refer to section 5.4.3 of RFC-9381 and section 2.4 of this specification.

2.3. Verify**Input:**

- $Y \in \langle G \rangle$: Public key
- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- *ad*: Additional data octet-string
- $O \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF output point
- $\pi \in (\mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Schnorr-like proof

Output:

- True if proof is valid, False otherwise

Steps:

1. $(c, s) \leftarrow \pi$
2. $U \leftarrow s \cdot G - c \cdot Y$
3. $V \leftarrow s \cdot I - c \cdot O$
4. $c' \leftarrow \text{challenge}(Y, I, O, U, V, \text{ad})$
5. **if** $c \neq c'$ **then return** False
6. **return** True

Externals:

- *challenge*: as defined for *Sign*

2.4. Challenge

Challenge construction mostly follows the procedure given in section 5.4.3 of RFC-9381 [1] with some tweaks to add additional data.

Input:

- *Points* $\in \langle G \rangle^n$: Sequence of n points.
- *ad*: Additional data octet-string

Output:

- $c \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Challenge scalar.

Steps:

1. $str = \text{suite_string} \parallel 0x02$
2. **for each** P **in** $Points$: $str = str \parallel \text{point_to_string}(P)\$$
3. $str = str \parallel ad \parallel 0x00$
4. $h = \text{hash}(str)$
5. $h_t = h[0] \parallel \dots \parallel h[cLen - 1]$
6. $c = \text{string_to_int}(h_t)$
7. **return** c

With `point_to_string`, `string_to_int` and `hash` as defined in section 2.1.

3. Pedersen VRF

Pedersen VRF resembles IETF EC-VRF but replaces the public key with a Pedersen commitment to the secret key, which makes this VRF useful in anonymized ring proofs.

The scheme proves that the output has been generated with a secret key associated with a blinded public key (instead of the public key). The blinded public key is a cryptographic commitment to the public key, and it can be unblinded to prove that the output of the VRF corresponds to the public key of the signer.

This specification mostly follows the design proposed by BCHSV23 [2] in section 4 with some details about blinding base point value and challenge generation procedure.

3.1. Configuration

Pedersen VRF is configured for prime subgroup $\langle G \rangle$ of Bandersnatch elliptic curve E defined in MSZ21 [4] with *blinding base* $B \in \langle G \rangle$ defined as follows:

$B.x = 0x2039d9bf2ecb2d4433182d4a940ec78d34f9d19ec0d875703d4d04a168ec241e$

$B.y = 0x54fa7fd5193611992188139d20221028bf03ee23202d9706a46f12b3f3605faa$

For all the other configurable parameters and external functions we adhere as much as possible to the Bandersnatch cipher suite for IETF VRF described in section 2.1 of this specification.

3.2. Prove

Input:

- $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Secret key

- $b \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Secret blinding factor
- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- ad : Additional data octet-string

Output:

- $O \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF output point
- $\pi \in (\langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Pedersen proof

Steps:

1. $O \leftarrow x \cdot I$
2. $k \leftarrow \text{nonce}(x, I)$
3. $k_b \leftarrow \text{nonce}(k, I)$
4. $\bar{Y} \leftarrow x \cdot G + b \cdot B$
5. $R \leftarrow k \cdot G + k_b \cdot B$
6. $O_k \leftarrow k \cdot I$
7. $c \leftarrow \text{challenge}(\bar{Y}, I, O, R, O_k, ad)$
8. $s \leftarrow k + c \cdot x$
9. $s_b \leftarrow k_b + c \cdot b$
10. $\pi \leftarrow (\bar{Y}, R, O_k, s, s_b)$
11. **return** (O, π)

3.3. Verify

Input:

- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- ad : Additional data octet-string
- $O \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF output point
- $\pi \in (\langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Pedersen proof

Output:

- True if proof is valid, False otherwise

Steps:

1. $(\bar{Y}, R, O_k, s, s_b) \leftarrow \pi$
2. $c \leftarrow \text{challenge}(\bar{Y}, I, O, R, O_k, ad)$
3. **if** $O_k + c \cdot O \neq I \cdot s$ **then return** False

4. **if** $R + c \cdot \bar{Y} \neq s \cdot G - s_b \cdot B$ **then return** False
5. **return** True

4. Ring VRF

Anonymized ring VRF based of [Pedersen VRF] and Ring Proof as proposed by Vasilyev.

4.1. Configuration

Setup for plain [Pedersen VRF] applies.

Ring proof configuration:

- KZG PCS uses Zcash SRS and a domain of 2048 entries.
- G_1 : BLS12-381 G_1
- G_2 : BLS12-381 G_2
- TODO: ...

4.2. Prove

Input:

- $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Secret key
- $P \in TODO$: Ring prover
- $b \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$: Secret blinding factor
- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- ad : Additional data octet-string

Output:

- $O \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF output point
- $\pi_p \in (\langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Pedersen proof
- $\pi_r \in ((G_1)^4, (\mathbb{Z}_r^*)^7, G_1, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, G_1, G_1)$: Ring proof

Steps:

1. $(O, \pi_p) \leftarrow Pedersen.prove(x, b, I, ad)$
2. $\pi_r \leftarrow Ring.prove(P, b)$ (TODO)
3. **return** (O, π_p, π_r)

4.3. Verify

Input:

- $V \in (G_1)^3$: Ring verifier
- $I \in \langle G \rangle$: VRF input point
- O : VRF Output $\in \langle G \rangle$.
- ad : Additional data octet-string
- $\pi_p \in (\langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \langle G \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, \mathbb{Z}_r^*)$: Pedersen proof
- $\pi_r \in ((G_1)^4, (\mathbb{Z}_r^*)^7, G_1, \mathbb{Z}_r^*, G_1, G_1)$: Ring proof

Output:

- True if proof is valid, False otherwise

Steps:

1. $rp = \text{Pedersen.verify}(I, ad, O, \pi_p)$
2. **if** $rp \neq \text{True}$ **return** False
3. $(\bar{Y}, R, O_k, s, s_b) \leftarrow \pi_p$
4. $rr = \text{Ring.verify}(V, \pi_r, \bar{Y})$
5. **if** $rr \neq \text{True}$ **return** False
6. **return** True

5. References

1.

Internet Engineering Task Force *Verifiable Random Functions*; RFC Editor, 2023;

2.

Burdges, J.; Ciobotaru, O.; Alper, H.K.; Stewart, A.; Vasilyev, S. Ring Verifiable Random Functions and Zero-Knowledge Continuations 2023.

3.

Vasilyev, S. Ring Proof Technical Specification 2024.

4.

Masson, S.; Sanso, A.; Zhang, Z. Bandersnatch: A Fast Elliptic Curve Built over the Bls12-381 Scalar Field 2021.

5.

Internet Engineering Task Force *Hashing to Elliptic Curves*; RFC Editor, 2023;

6.

Internet Engineering Task Force *US Secure Hash Algorithms*; RFC Editor, 2011;