

Phil/LPS 31 Introduction to Inductive Logic

Lecture 5

David Mwakima
dmwakima@uci.edu
University of California, Irvine

April 12th 2023

Topics

- ▶ Inference in Quantified Relational Logic
- ▶ Models and Counterexamples

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.
- ▶ For each of these formulas: what is the scope of each quantifier? Provide an instance of each formula.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.
- ▶ For each of these formulas: what is the scope of each quantifier? Provide an instance of each formula.

1 $(\exists x(Gx))$

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.
- ▶ For each of these formulas: what is the scope of each quantifier? Provide an instance of each formula.
 - 1 $(\exists x(Gx))$
 - 2 $(\forall x(\neg Gx))$

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.
- ▶ For each of these formulas: what is the scope of each quantifier? Provide an instance of each formula.
 - 1 $(\exists x(Gx))$
 - 2 $(\forall x(\neg Gx))$
 - 3 $(\forall x((\neg Qx) \rightarrow (\exists y(Sxy))))$

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ In order to do inference in quantified relational logic we need the notions of (1) the **scope of a quantifier** and (2) an **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ The **scope of quantifier** are all the free variables, which it binds, in a formula.
- ▶ An **instance** of a formula in quantified relational logic is a formula that is obtained by freeing a bound variable within the scope of a quantifier and **uniformly replacing** every occurrence of this free variable by a constant.
- ▶ For each of these formulas: what is the scope of each quantifier? Provide an instance of each formula.
 - 1 $(\exists x(Gx))$
 - 2 $(\forall x(\neg Gx))$
 - 3 $(\forall x((\neg Qx) \rightarrow (\exists y(Sxy))))$
 - 4 $(\forall x\forall y((Lxy) \rightarrow (\exists z((Lxz) \wedge (Lzy))))$

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.
 1. All the truth-preserving rules of inference covered in sentential logic are truth-preserving rules of inference in quantified relational logic.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.
 1. All the truth-preserving rules of inference covered in sentential logic are truth-preserving rules of inference in quantified relational logic.
 2. From an instance of a formula \mathcal{F} infer $(\exists x\mathcal{F})$ where the formula \mathcal{F} is the result of uniformly replacing with variable x any constant in \mathcal{F} . This rule is known as **Existential Generalization**.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.
 1. All the truth-preserving rules of inference covered in sentential logic are truth-preserving rules of inference in quantified relational logic.
 2. From an instance of a formula \mathcal{F} infer $(\exists x\mathcal{F})$ where the formula \mathcal{F} is the result of uniformly replacing with variable x any constant in \mathcal{F} . This rule is known as **Existential Generalization**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of the second truth-preserving rule of inference:

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.
 1. All the truth-preserving rules of inference covered in sentential logic are truth-preserving rules of inference in quantified relational logic.
 2. From an instance of a formula \mathcal{F} infer $(\exists x\mathcal{F})$ where the formula \mathcal{F} is the result of uniformly replacing with variable x any constant in \mathcal{F} . This rule is known as **Existential Generalization**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of the second truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Hypatia is wise. (Wh)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Finally we can state the inference rules of quantified relational logic which turn it into a deductive logic.
 1. All the truth-preserving rules of inference covered in sentential logic are truth-preserving rules of inference in quantified relational logic.
 2. From an instance of a formula \mathcal{F} infer $(\exists x\mathcal{F})$ where the formula \mathcal{F} is the result of uniformly replacing with variable x any constant in \mathcal{F} . This rule is known as **Existential Generalization**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of the second truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Hypatia is wise. (Wh)
 - ∴ 2. Someone is wise. $(\exists x(Wx))$ (From 1 and By Existential Generalization)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Someone is wise. ($\exists x(Wx)$)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Someone is wise. ($\exists x(Wx)$)
 2. Suppose Cavendish is wise. (Wc) (From 1. and Existential Supposition)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Someone is wise. ($\exists x(Wx)$)
 2. Suppose Cavendish is wise. (Wc) (From 1. and Existential Supposition)
 3. Wise people use logic. (Additional Premise)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Someone is wise. ($\exists x(Wx)$)
 2. Suppose Cavendish is wise. (Wc) (From 1. and Existential Supposition)
 3. Wise people use logic. (Additional Premise)
 - ∴ 4. Cavendish uses logic. (G)

Inference in Quantified Relational Logic

- ▶ Inference rules continued from previous slide:
- 3. From $\exists x\mathcal{F}$, you may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and use $\mathcal{F}(a)$ together with other premises to infer a **sentence** G . Here $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is the result of uniformly replacing every free occurrence of x in \mathcal{F} with an arbitrary constant a , **which has not occurred before**. This rule is known as **Existential Supposition**.
- ▶ Here is an instance of this truth-preserving rule of inference:
 1. Someone is wise. ($\exists x(Wx)$)
 2. Suppose Cavendish is wise. (Wc) (From 1. and Existential Supposition)
 3. Wise people use logic. (Additional Premise)
 - ∴ 4. Cavendish uses logic. (G)
- ▶ These are the only truth-preserving rules of inference that we will avail for ourselves in quantified relational logic but other rules of inference can be derived from these.

Models and Counterexamples

- ▶ We know why the truth-preserving rules of sentential logic are truth-preserving.

Models and Counterexamples

- ▶ We know why the truth-preserving rules of sentential logic are truth-preserving.
- ▶ Since the operator \exists is **not truth-functional**, in order to say why the rule **Existential Generalization** and the rule **Existential Supposition** are a truth-preserving rules of inference, we need to discuss the semantics, interpretation or meaning of **sentences** in quantified relational logic.

Models and Counterexamples

- ▶ We know why the truth-preserving rules of sentential logic are truth-preserving.
- ▶ Since the operator \exists is **not truth-functional**, in order to say why the rule **Existential Generalization** and the rule **Existential Supposition** are a truth-preserving rules of inference, we need to discuss the semantics, interpretation or meaning of **sentences** in quantified relational logic.
- ▶ This will require a tool which we will call a **model** or **intended interpretation** of some sentences in quantified relational logic.

Models and Counterexamples