

# Phil/LPS 31 Introduction to Inductive Logic

## Lecture 2

David Mwakima  
dmwakima@uci.edu  
University of California, Irvine

April 5th 2023

# Topics

- ▶ Sentential Logic: Formulas
- ▶ Sentential Logic: Main connectives
- ▶ Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English
- ▶ Truth functions and Truth tables
- ▶ Uses of Truth Tables

# Sentential Logic: Formulas

Remember that the **formal symbols** of sentential logic are:

1.  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$ ,  $s$  and  $t$  as symbols for sentences. If we need more than 5 symbols (rarely!), then add the following countably many symbols  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , ....
2.  $\vee$  for "or",  $\neg$  for "not" since the other symbols for "and" and "if..., then..." can be defined from these. (More of this later)
3. ( for left bracket and ) for right bracket.

The **transformation rules** (also known as "syntactic rules") are:

1. Any sentence  $p$  is a formula.
2. If  $p$  is a formula, then  $\neg p$  is formula.
3. If  $p$  is a formula and  $q$  is a formula, then  $(p \vee q)$  is a formula.

The **closure condition** states that a formula is anything that results from 1 or from a **finite number** of applications of rule 2 or rule 3. Nothing else is a formula of sentential logic.

# Sentential Logic: Formulas

Are the following formulas in sentential logic?

1.  $q$
2.  $t$
3.  $\neg q$
4.  $\neg\neg r$
5.  $(\neg\neg\neg p \vee q)$
6.  $(p \vee (r \vee \neg q))$
7.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$

# Sentential Logic: Formulas

Are the following formulas in sentential logic?

1.  $a$

2.  $b$

3.  $q \neg$

4.  $\neg r \neg$

5.  $(\neg \vee \neg p \vee q)$

6.  $p \vee (r \vee \neg q)$

7.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

► Consider:

1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

► Consider:

1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$



# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:
  1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
  2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$
- ▶ We said that (1) is a formula while (2) is not because **brackets matter**.

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:
  1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
  2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$
- ▶ We said that (1) is a formula while (2) is not because **brackets matter**.
- ▶ Think about similar uses of brackets in algebra. Brackets tell us which operations to do first.

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:
  1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
  2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$
- ▶ We said that (1) is a formula while (2) is not because **brackets matter**.
- ▶ Think about similar uses of brackets in algebra. Brackets tell us which operations to do first.
  1.  $((24 \div 2) + 2) - 4 = 10$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:
  1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
  2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$
- ▶ We said that (1) is a formula while (2) is not because **brackets matter**.
- ▶ Think about similar uses of brackets in algebra. Brackets tell us which operations to do first.
  1.  $((24 \div 2) + 2) - 4 = 10$
  2.  $(24 \div (2 + 2)) - 4 = 2$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ Consider:
  1.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee (r \vee \neg p))$
  2.  $((q \vee (t \vee s)) \vee r \vee \neg p)$
- ▶ We said that (1) is a formula while (2) is not because **brackets matter**.
- ▶ Think about similar uses of brackets in algebra. Brackets tell us which operations to do first.
  1.  $((24 \div 2) + 2) - 4 = 10$
  2.  $(24 \div (2 + 2)) - 4 = 2$
- ▶ So using brackets is not just a stipulation of our logic, it turns out that having brackets helps us **parse** the formula correctly in order to identify the **main connective**. In (1) we can identify the main connective but in (2) we cannot. This is why brackets matter.

## Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.

## Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.
- ▶ Identify the main connective in these formulas:



# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.
- ▶ Identify the main connective in these formulas:
  1.  $\neg q$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.
- ▶ Identify the main connective in these formulas:
  1.  $\neg q$
  2.  $\neg\neg r$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.
- ▶ Identify the main connective in these formulas:
  1.  $\neg q$
  2.  $\neg\neg r$
  3.  $(\neg\neg\neg p \vee q)$

# Sentential Logic: Main Connectives

- ▶ We identified the main connective in (1) by thinking about how the formula was built up from simpler formulas.
- ▶ The main connective is the connective that is added last when forming complex formulas from simple ones and closing everything up with brackets, where applicable.
- ▶ Identify the main connective in these formulas:
  1.  $\neg q$
  2.  $\neg\neg r$
  3.  $(\neg\neg\neg p \vee q)$
  4.  $(p \vee (r \vee \neg q))$

## Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.

## Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:

## Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.

# Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.
  2. Replace them with symbolic connectives.



# Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.
  2. Replace them with symbolic connectives.
  3. Identify the simplest (atomic) sentential components of the English sentences.

# Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.
  2. Replace them with symbolic connectives.
  3. Identify the simplest (atomic) sentential components of the English sentences.
  4. Replace each distinct component with a distinct sentence symbol.

# Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.
  2. Replace them with symbolic connectives.
  3. Identify the simplest (atomic) sentential components of the English sentences.
  4. Replace each distinct component with a distinct sentence symbol.
  5. Use the structure of the English sentence to determine where the parentheses are to be placed.

# Sentential Logic: Paraphrasing English

- ▶ We use sentential logic to represent the truth-functional sentential structure of a natural language such as English. This process of representing is called **paraphrasing** (also sometimes called **translating**) natural language into sentential logic.
- ▶ Here are the steps for paraphrasing:
  1. Identify the English sentence truth-functional connectives.
  2. Replace them with symbolic connectives.
  3. Identify the simplest (atomic) sentential components of the English sentences.
  4. Replace each distinct component with a distinct sentence symbol.
  5. Use the structure of the English sentence to determine where the parentheses are to be placed.
- ▶ Since the focus of this class is not sentential logic, we will not spend a lot of time doing these paraphrases in class. But! See **Homework 1**.

## Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Once we have paraphrased the sentences of natural language, the next step is to specify an **interpretation** of each paraphrase.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Once we have paraphrased the sentences of natural language, the next step is to specify an **interpretation** of each paraphrase.
- ▶ Specifying an interpretation takes us from the **syntax** of sentential logic to its **semantics**, i.e., the content of the symbols or what the symbols mean.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Once we have paraphrased the sentences of natural language, the next step is to specify an **interpretation** of each paraphrase.
- ▶ Specifying an interpretation takes us from the **syntax** of sentential logic to its **semantics**, i.e., the content of the symbols or what the symbols mean.
- ▶ Since we are interested in the truth-functional structure of the sentences in natural language, specifying an interpretation of our symbols will involve **truth functions** (also called “truth value assignments”).

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Once we have paraphrased the sentences of natural language, the next step is to specify an **interpretation** of each paraphrase.
- ▶ Specifying an interpretation takes us from the **syntax** of sentential logic to its **semantics**, i.e., the content of the symbols or what the symbols mean.
- ▶ Since we are interested in the truth-functional structure of the sentences in natural language, specifying an interpretation of our symbols will involve **truth functions** (also called “truth value assignments”).
- ▶ To understand what truth functions are, remember that we agreed that sentences in sentential logic will be **unambiguously true or false** (not both).



## Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Once we have paraphrased the sentences of natural language, the next step is to specify an **interpretation** of each paraphrase.
- ▶ Specifying an interpretation takes us from the **syntax** of sentential logic to its **semantics**, i.e., the content of the symbols or what the symbols mean.
- ▶ Since we are interested in the truth-functional structure of the sentences in natural language, specifying an interpretation of our symbols will involve **truth functions** (also called “truth value assignments”).
- ▶ To understand what truth functions are, remember that we agreed that sentences in sentential logic will be **unambiguously true or false** (not both).
- ▶ So truth functions will take as input **the truth value** (true or false) of the paraphrased sentences in sentential logic and return as output a unique **a truth value** (true or false).

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Let us use the symbol **1** to represent the truth value “True” and the symbol **0** to represent the truth value “False”.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Let us use the symbol **1** to represent the truth value “True” and the symbol **0** to represent the truth value “False”.
- ▶ Other authors use  $T$  and  $F$  for these truth values. But remember that these are just formal symbols, which we can use to mean anything we want. There is no difference between using  $T$  for “True” as opposed to 1 for “True”.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Let us use the symbol **1** to represent the truth value “True” and the symbol **0** to represent the truth value “False”.
- ▶ Other authors use  $T$  and  $F$  for these truth values. But remember that these are just formal symbols, which we can use to mean anything we want. There is no difference between using  $T$  for “True” as opposed to 1 for “True”.
- ▶ But! We shall see that using 0 and 1 will help us see the difference between deductive logic and inductive logic more clearly.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables are a useful way to visualize how truth functions work.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables are a useful way to visualize how truth functions work.
- ▶ From a truth table, one can see **the unique output** of a truth function for all of the possible inputs.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables are a useful way to visualize how truth functions work.
- ▶ From a truth table, one can see **the unique output** of a truth function for all of the possible inputs.
- ▶ Here is the truth table for the simplest truth function. This is the truth function takes the truth value of  $p$  and returns its truth value.

$p$	
1	1
0	0

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here is the truth table for the truth function that takes the truth value of  $p$  and returns the truth value of  $\neg p$ .

$p$	$\neg p$
1	0
0	1



# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here is the truth table for the truth function that takes the truth value of  $p$  and returns the truth value of  $\neg p$ .

$p$	$\neg p$
1	0
0	1

- ▶ Here is the truth table for the truth function that takes the truth value of  $p$  and  $q$  and returns the truth value of  $(p \vee q)$ .

$p$	$q$	$(p \vee q)$
1	1	1
1	0	1
0	1	1
0	0	0

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here is the truth table for the truth function that takes the truth value of  $p$  and returns the truth value of  $\neg p$ .

$p$	$\neg p$
1	0
0	1

- ▶ Here is the truth table for the truth function that takes the truth value of  $p$  and  $q$  and returns the truth value of  $(p \vee q)$ .

$p$	$q$	$(p \vee q)$
1	1	1
1	0	1
0	1	1
0	0	0

- ▶ The simplicity of the idea of truth tables, understates just how very very powerful truth tables are!

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.
  4. The truth value of the entire formula will be assigned under the **main connective** using the truth values from step 3.

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.
  4. The truth value of the entire formula will be assigned under the **main connective** using the truth values from step 3.
- ▶ Use truth tables to determine the truth value of the following formulas:



# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.
  4. The truth value of the entire formula will be assigned under the **main connective** using the truth values from step 3.
- ▶ Use truth tables to determine the truth value of the following formulas:
  1.  $(\neg p \vee q)$

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.
  4. The truth value of the entire formula will be assigned under the **main connective** using the truth values from step 3.
- ▶ Use truth tables to determine the truth value of the following formulas:
  1.  $(\neg p \vee q)$
  2.  $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$

# Truth Functions and Truth Tables

- ▶ Here are the steps for constructing a truth table.
  1. Identify all the simple sentences in the paraphrase.
  2. Assign all the possible truth values to these sentences.
  3. Use **known** truth functions to write down the truth value of the other **unknown** truth functions.
  4. The truth value of the entire formula will be assigned under the **main connective** using the truth values from step 3.
- ▶ Use truth tables to determine the truth value of the following formulas:
  1.  $(\neg p \vee q)$
  2.  $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$
- ▶ The focus of this class will not be on truth tables. But! See **Homework 1**.

## Uses of Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables can be useful because they provide a quick way for checking certain **properties** of the formulas of sentential logic.

# Uses of Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables can be useful because they provide a quick way for checking certain **properties** of the formulas of sentential logic.
- ▶ The properties that we will be interested in are: the property of being a **tautology**, the property of being **contradictory**, and the property of two formulas being **truth-functionally equivalent**.

## Uses of Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables can be useful because they provide a quick way for checking certain **properties** of the formulas of sentential logic.
- ▶ The properties that we will be interested in are: the property of being a **tautology**, the property of being **contradictory**, and the property of two formulas being **truth-functionally equivalent**.
- ▶ A formula is a **tautology** if its truth function **always** returns the value 1. If a complex formula is a tautology, then it always has the value 1 under every truth-value assignment to its component sentences.

# Uses of Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables can be useful because they provide a quick way for checking certain **properties** of the formulas of sentential logic.
- ▶ The properties that we will be interested in are: the property of being a **tautology**, the property of being **contradictory**, and the property of two formulas being **truth-functionally equivalent**.
- ▶ A formula is a **tautology** if its truth function **always** returns the value 1. If a complex formula is a tautology, then it always has the value 1 under every truth-value assignment to its component sentences.
- ▶ A formula is **contradictory** if its truth function **always** returns the value 0. If a complex formula is a tautology, then it always has the value 0 under every truth-value assignment to its component sentences.

# Uses of Truth Tables

- ▶ Truth tables can be useful because they provide a quick way for checking certain **properties** of the formulas of sentential logic.
- ▶ The properties that we will be interested in are: the property of being a **tautology**, the property of being **contradictory**, and the property of two formulas being **truth-functionally equivalent**.
- ▶ A formula is a **tautology** if its truth function **always** returns the value 1. If a complex formula is a tautology, then it always has the value 1 under every truth-value assignment to its component sentences.
- ▶ A formula is **contradictory** if its truth function **always** returns the value 0. If a complex formula is a tautology, then it always has the value 0 under every truth-value assignment to its component sentences.
- ▶ Two formulas are **truth-functionally equivalent** if their truth functions are identical.



## Use of Truth Tables

- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is a tautology and (2)  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is a tautology.

# Use of Truth Tables

- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is a tautology and (2)  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is a tautology.
- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $\neg(\neg p \vee p)$  is contradictory and (2)  $\neg(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is contradictory.

# Use of Truth Tables

- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is a tautology and (2)  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is a tautology.
- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $\neg(\neg p \vee p)$  is contradictory and (2)  $\neg(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is contradictory.
- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is truth-functionally equivalent to  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$ .

# Use of Truth Tables

- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is a tautology and (2)  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is a tautology.
- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that (1)  $\neg(\neg p \vee p)$  is contradictory and (2)  $\neg(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$  is contradictory.
- ▶ Use truth tables to verify that  $(\neg p \vee p)$  is truth-functionally equivalent to  $(\neg\neg p \vee \neg p)$ .
- ▶ See **Homework 1** for more exercises.