

Phil/LPS 31 Introduction to Inductive Logic

Lecture 15

David Mwakima

dmwakima@uci.edu

Department of Logic and Philosophy of Science
University of California, Irvine

May 22nd 2023

Topics

- ▶ Ordinal Utilities
- ▶ Strict Dominance Principle
- ▶ Cardinal Utilities
- ▶ Expected Utility and Risk
- ▶ Principles of Rational Choice

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of the dinner party example.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of the dinner party example.
- ▶ Specify the acts that you may take.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of the dinner party example.
- ▶ Specify the acts that you may take.
- ▶ Suppose you do not know what the host will serve, how would you decide what wine to bring? You are making a decision under ignorance or lack of information.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of the dinner party example.
- ▶ Specify the acts that you may take.
- ▶ Suppose you do not know what the host will serve, how would you decide what wine to bring? You are making a decision under ignorance or lack of information.
- ▶ Suppose you know that there are even odds that the host will serve red meat or white meat, how would you decide what to bring? You are making a decision under information.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of the dinner party example.
- ▶ Specify the acts that you may take.
- ▶ Suppose you do not know what the host will serve, how would you decide what wine to bring? You are making a decision under ignorance or lack of information.
- ▶ Suppose you know that there are even odds that the host will serve red meat or white meat, how would you decide what to bring? You are making a decision under information.
- ▶ In any case, what is the rational choice for you?

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of President Biden's decision problem.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of President Biden's decision problem.
- ▶ Specify the acts that President Biden may take

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of President Biden's decision problem.
- ▶ Specify the acts that President Biden may take
- ▶ Suppose President Biden after returning from his trip from Japan does not know how the leaders of U.S. House of Representative will take his deal proposal. President Biden is faced with a decision under ignorance or lack of information.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of President Biden's decision problem.
- ▶ Specify the acts that President Biden may take
- ▶ Suppose President Biden after returning from his trip from Japan does not know how the leaders of U.S. House of Representative will take his deal proposal. President Biden is faced with a decision under ignorance or lack of information.
- ▶ Suppose that President Biden knows the odds are 3:5 that the U.S. House of Representative Leaders will take his deal. President Biden here is faced with a decision under information or certainty.

Decision Problems: Rational Choice

- ▶ Specify the states of President Biden's decision problem.
- ▶ Specify the acts that President Biden may take
- ▶ Suppose President Biden after returning from his trip from Japan does not know how the leaders of U.S. House of Representative will take his deal proposal. President Biden is faced with a decision under ignorance or lack of information.
- ▶ Suppose that President Biden knows the odds are 3:5 that the U.S. House of Representative Leaders will take his deal. President Biden here is faced with a decision under information or certainty.
- ▶ In any case, what is the rational choice for President Biden?

Decision Problems Under Ignorance

- ▶ In order to articulate the principles of rational choice in decision problems. We shall distinguish between decision problems under **ignorance** from decision problems under **information**.

Decision Problems Under Ignorance

- ▶ In order to articulate the principles of rational choice in decision problems. We shall distinguish between decision problems under **ignorance** from decision problems under **information**.
- ▶ Decision problems under ignorance are also known as decision problems under lack of information or decision problems under uncertainty.

Decision Problems Under Ignorance

- ▶ In order to articulate the principles of rational choice in decision problems. We shall distinguish between decision problems under **ignorance** from decision problems under **information**.
- ▶ Decision problems under ignorance are also known as decision problems under lack of information or decision problems under uncertainty.
- ▶ The relevant sense of “information” here is information about the **probability distribution** of states.

Decision Problems Under Ignorance

- ▶ In order to articulate the principles of rational choice in decision problems. We shall distinguish between decision problems under **ignorance** from decision problems under **information**.
- ▶ Decision problems under ignorance are also known as decision problems under lack of information or decision problems under uncertainty.
- ▶ The relevant sense of “information” here is information about the **probability distribution** of states.
- ▶ The relevant sense of “uncertainty” here is that we don’t **know the probabilities** with which the states will occur **with certainty**.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ The principles of rational choice **under ignorance** can be formulated by **ranking** or **ordering** utilities based on the desirability of their consequences. This leads to the concept of **ordinal utilities**.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ The principles of rational choice **under ignorance** can be formulated by **ranking** or **ordering** utilities based on the desirability of their consequences. This leads to the concept of **ordinal utilities**.
- ▶ In what follows, we shall use lower case u to denote the utility of an act A given a state S and write $u(A|S)$

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ The principles of rational choice **under ignorance** can be formulated by **ranking** or **ordering** utilities based on the desirability of their consequences. This leads to the concept of **ordinal utilities**.
- ▶ In what follows, we shall use lower case u to denote the utility of an act A given a state S and write $u(A|S)$
- ▶ We write $A_i \succ A_j$ to mean Act i is **preferred more** than Act j .

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ The principles of rational choice **under ignorance** can be formulated by **ranking** or **ordering** utilities based on the desirability of their consequences. This leads to the concept of **ordinal utilities**.
- ▶ In what follows, we shall use lower case u to denote the utility of an act A given a state S and write $u(A|S)$
- ▶ We write $A_i \succ A_j$ to mean Act i is **preferred more** than Act j .
- ▶ We write $A_i \sim A_j$ to mean Act i is **preferred equally** to Act j .

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, A_3 denote the acts of bringing white wine, red wine or rosé to the dinner party, respectively. And let S_1, S_2 denote the state in which the host serves fish or chicken, respectively.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, A_3 denote the acts of bringing white wine, red wine or rosé to the dinner party, respectively. And let S_1, S_2 denote the state in which the host serves fish or chicken, respectively.
- ▶ Suppose that given S_1 , we can **order** our preferences as $A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2$. This means that if our host serves fish, we would prefer bringing white wine more than we would prefer bringing either rosé or red wine; and we would prefer to bring rosé more than we would prefer to bring red wine.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, A_3 denote the acts of bringing white wine, red wine or rosé to the dinner party, respectively. And let S_1, S_2 denote the state in which the host serves fish or chicken, respectively.
- ▶ Suppose that given S_1 , we can **order** our preferences as $A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2$. This means that if our host serves fish, we would prefer bringing white wine more than we would prefer bringing either rosé or red wine; and we would prefer to bring rosé more than we would prefer to bring red wine.
- ▶ An **ordinal** utility function is an assignment of utilities to acts that **respects the preference ordering** or ranking of the acts.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, A_3 denote the acts of bringing white wine, red wine or rosé to the dinner party, respectively. And let S_1, S_2 denote the state in which the host serves fish or chicken, respectively.
- ▶ Suppose that given S_1 , we can **order** our preferences as $A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2$. This means that if our host serves fish, we would prefer bringing white wine more than we would prefer bringing either rosé or red wine; and we would prefer to bring rosé more than we would prefer to bring red wine.
- ▶ An **ordinal** utility function is an assignment of utilities to acts that **respects the preference ordering** or ranking of the acts.
- ▶ Consider the following utility function: $u(A_1 | S_1) = 4$, $u(A_2 | S_1) = 2$ and $u(A_3 | S_1) = 3$

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, A_3 denote the acts of bringing white wine, red wine or rosé to the dinner party, respectively. And let S_1, S_2 denote the state in which the host serves fish or chicken, respectively.
- ▶ Suppose that given S_1 , we can **order** our preferences as $A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2$. This means that if our host serves fish, we would prefer bringing white wine more than we would prefer bringing either rosé or red wine; and we would prefer to bring rosé more than we would prefer to bring red wine.
- ▶ An **ordinal** utility function is an assignment of utilities to acts that **respects the preference ordering** or ranking of the acts.
- ▶ Consider the following utility function: $u(A_1 | S_1) = 4$, $u(A_2 | S_1) = 2$ and $u(A_3 | S_1) = 3$
- ▶ Here we see that $4 > 3 > 2$. So this utility function respects the preference ordering of the acts. 4, 3 and 2 are **ordinal utilities**.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Suppose now that the host serves chicken, S_2 . You think that if the host serves chicken you'd much rather bring white wine than either red wine or rosé. Assume also that if you can't find white wine at Trader Joe's you'd much rather bring rosé than red wine.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Suppose now that the host serves chicken, S_2 . You think that if the host serves chicken you'd much rather bring white wine than either red wine or rosé. Assume also that if you can't find white wine at Trader Joe's you'd much rather bring rosé than red wine.
- ▶ Exercise.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Suppose now that the host serves chicken, S_2 . You think that if the host serves chicken you'd much rather bring white wine than either red wine or rosé. Assume also that if you can't find white wine at Trader Joe's you'd much rather bring rosé than red wine.
- ▶ Exercise.
 - 1 Write down the preference ordering on the Acts.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Suppose now that the host serves chicken, S_2 . You think that if the host serves chicken you'd much rather bring white wine than either red wine or rosé. Assume also that if you can't find white wine at Trader Joe's you'd much rather bring rosé than red wine.
- ▶ Exercise.
 - 1 Write down the preference ordering on the Acts.
 - 2 Using the following set of utility values $\{1, 3, 5\}$ determine your ordinal utility function.

Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Suppose now that the host serves chicken, S_2 . You think that if the host serves chicken you'd much rather bring white wine than either red wine or rosé. Assume also that if you can't find white wine at Trader Joe's you'd much rather bring rosé than red wine.
- ▶ Exercise.
 - 1 Write down the preference ordering on the Acts.
 - 2 Using the following set of utility values $\{1, 3, 5\}$ determine your ordinal utility function.
 - 3 Verify that your utility function respects your preference ordering.

Properties of Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Utilities on an ordinal scale have certain properties:

Properties of Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Utilities on an ordinal scale have certain properties:
 - (1) They are unique up to **strictly increasing** transformations.

Properties of Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Utilities on an ordinal scale have certain properties:
 - (1) They are unique up to **strictly increasing** transformations.
 - (2) You **can't multiply or add** ordinal utilities. A fancy word for this you will often see is that transformations of ordinal utilities are not linear.

Properties of Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Utilities on an ordinal scale have certain properties:
 - (1) They are unique up to **strictly increasing** transformations.
 - (2) You **can't multiply or add** ordinal utilities. A fancy word for this you will often see is that transformations of ordinal utilities are not linear.
 - (3) From (2) we **can't calculate expected utility** using ordinal utilities. See Barrett and Huttegger Section 4.9.

Properties of Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ Utilities on an ordinal scale have certain properties:
 - (1) They are unique up to **strictly increasing** transformations.
 - (2) You **can't multiply or add** ordinal utilities. A fancy word for this you will often see is that transformations of ordinal utilities are not linear.
 - (3) From (2) we **can't calculate expected utility** using ordinal utilities. See Barrett and Huttegger Section 4.9.
 - (4) Provide **no information** about **the strength of preferences**.

Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Suppose that you are faced with a decision problem under ignorance of bringing either white wine, red wine or rosé. How would you decide?

Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Suppose that you are faced with a decision problem under ignorance of bringing either white wine, red wine or rosé. How would you decide?
- ▶ Decision theorists propose the following principles:

Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Suppose that you are faced with a decision problem under ignorance of bringing either white wine, red wine or rosé. How would you decide?
- ▶ Decision theorists propose the following principles:
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of **the weak dominance principle**.

Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Suppose that you are faced with a decision problem under ignorance of bringing either white wine, red wine or rosé. How would you decide?
- ▶ Decision theorists propose the following principles:
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of the weak dominance principle.
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of the strong dominance principle.


Making Decisions with Ordinal Utilities

- ▶ From the previous exercises we obtain the following desirability table for acts based on our ordinal utility function.

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Suppose that you are faced with a decision problem under ignorance of bringing either white wine, red wine or rosé. How would you decide?
- ▶ Decision theorists propose the following principles:
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of the weak dominance principle.
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of the strong dominance principle.
 - ▶ Decide on the basis of the maximin principle.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principles



	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

Strict and Weak Dominance Principles

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Here **no matter** what the true state of world turns out to be we'd prefer to bring white wine rather than either red wine or rosé.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principles

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Here **no matter** what the true state of world turns out to be we'd prefer to bring white wine rather than either red wine or rosé.
- ▶ We say that A_1 "**dominates**" both A_2 and A_3 .

Strict and Weak Dominance Principles

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Here **no matter** what the true state of world turns out to be we'd prefer to bring white wine rather than either red wine or rosé.
- ▶ We say that A_1 "**dominates**" both A_2 and A_3 .
- ▶ Similarly A_3 "**dominates**" A_2 .

Strict and Weak Dominance Principles

►

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- Here **no matter** what the true state of world turns out to be we'd prefer to bring white wine rather than either red wine or rosé.
- We say that A_1 "**dominates**" both A_2 and A_3 .
- Similarly A_3 "**dominates**" A_2 .
- A widely accepted **dominance principle** in decision theory prescribes that **dominated acts must not be chosen**.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

- ▶ An act can be dominant in one of two ways: which we call **strict** and **weak**.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

- ▶ An act can be dominant in one of two ways: which we call **strict** and **weak**.
- ▶ We denote **weak dominance** using \succeq and write $A_i \succeq A_j$ to mean A_i **weakly dominates** A_j .

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

- ▶ An act can be dominant in one of two ways: which we call **strict** and **weak**.
- ▶ We denote **weak dominance** using \succeq and write $A_i \succeq A_j$ to mean A_i **weakly dominates** A_j .
- ▶ We denote **strict dominance** using \succ and write $A_i \succ A_j$ to mean A_i **strictly dominates** A_j .


Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

- ▶ An act can be dominant in one of two ways: which we call **strict** and **weak**.
- ▶ We denote **weak dominance** using \succeq and write $A_i \succeq A_j$ to mean A_i **weakly dominates** A_j .
- ▶ We denote **strict dominance** using \succ and write $A_i \succ A_j$ to mean A_i **strictly dominates** A_j .
- ▶ Weak Dominance: $A_i \succeq A_j$ if and only if $u(A_i|S) \geq u(A_j|S)$ for **every** state S (at least as good)

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

- ▶ An act can be dominant in one of two ways: which we call **strict** and **weak**.
- ▶ We denote **weak dominance** using \succeq and write $A_i \succeq A_j$ to mean A_i **weakly dominates** A_j .
- ▶ We denote **strict dominance** using \succ and write $A_i \succ A_j$ to mean A_i **strictly dominates** A_j .
- ▶ Weak Dominance: $A_i \succeq A_j$ if and only if $u(A_i|S) \geq u(A_j|S)$ for **every** state S (at least as good)
- ▶ Strict Dominance: $A_i \succ A_j$ if and only if (1) $u(A_i|S_n) \geq u(A_j|S_n)$ for **every** state S_n (at least as good) and (2) there exists a state S_m such that $u(A_i|S_m) > u(A_j|S_m)$ (at least one better).

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle



	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?
 - ▶ $A_1 \succ A_2$?


Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken
White	4	5
Red	2	1
Rosé	3	3

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?
 - ▶ $A_1 \succ A_2$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succeq A_2$?

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle



	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

►

	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

- Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

►

	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

- Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- $A_1 \succeq A_3$?

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succ A_1$?

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succ A_1$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succeq A_2$?

Strict and Weak Dominance Principle

▶

	Fish	Chicken	Lamb
White	3	4	1
Red	2	1	4
Rosé	3	4	4

- ▶ Exercise. Refer to the desirability table for the dinner party example to answer the following questions.
- ▶ $A_1 \succeq A_3$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succ A_1$?
 - ▶ $A_3 \succeq A_2$?
 - ▶ Does the strong dominance principle imply the weak dominance principle?

Maximin Principle

Cardinal Utilities

Minimax: MINimize the MAXimum regret

Decision Problems Under Risk

Expected Utility and Risk

$$\begin{aligned}U(A_1) &= u(A|S_1)P(S_1) + u(A|S_2)P(S_1) + \dots u(A|S_n)P(S_n) \\&= \sum_i^n u(A | S_i)P(S_i)\end{aligned}$$

Maximize Expected Utility