

Faculty of Engineering, Mathematics and Science School of Computer Science & Statistics

Integrated Computer Science B.A. (Mod.) Computer Science & Business M.S.I.S.S. Year 3 Annual Examinations Trinity Term 2016

Introduction to Functional Programming

Wednesday, 25th May

Goldsmith Hall

14:00-16:00

Dr Andrew Butterfield

Instructions to Candidates:

Attempt **three** questions. All questions carry equal marks. Each question is scored out of a total of 100 marks.

There is a reference section at the end of the paper (pp7–8).

You may not start this examination until you are instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

- 1. Give a complete implementation of the Prelude functions described below. By "complete" is meant that any other functions used to help implement those below must also have their implementations given.
 - (a) head :: [a] -> a

head' [] = error "empty list" $head'(x:_) = x$

Returns the first element of a list, if it is non-empty, with a runtime error otherwise. [12 marks]

init' [] = error "empty list" init' (x:[]) = [] init' (x:xs) = x : init' xs

(b) init :: [a] -> [a]

Returns everything but the last element of a list, if it is non-empty, with a runtime error otherwise. [15 marks]

last'[] = error "empty list" (c) last :: [a] -> a last'(x:[]) = xlast' (_:xs) = last' xs

Returns the last element of a list, if it is non-empty, with a runtime error otherwise. [15 marks]

(d) span :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a],[a]) span :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a],[a]) span p [] = ([],[])span p (x:xs) | p x = (x:a,b)| otherwise = ([],x:xs)

where (a,b) = span p xs

Uses a predicate to split a list into two, the first list being the longest prefix that satisfies the predicate, while the second list is what remains [18 marks]

(e) (!!) :: [a] -> Int -> a

(!!) (x:xs) a | a == 0 = xotherwise = xs!!(a-1) We can index into a list, starting from zero. So xs!!n returns the (n+1)th element of xs, provided n is non-negative and the length of the list is long enough. Otherwise, we get a run-time error. [18 marks]

(f) fold11 :: $(a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow a$

Take a binary function and a non-empty list of elements and use the function to reduce the list down to one value with nesting to the left, as illustrated immediately below

foldl1 op
$$[x1,x2,...,xn-1,xn]$$

= ((...(x1 'op' x2) 'op' x3) ...) 'op' xn-1) 'op' xn

[22 marks]

foldl1 :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> a $foldl1 _ [x] = x$ foldl1 op (x:xs) = op x (fold1 op xs) 2. Consider the following function definitions:

```
f1[] = 1
f1 (x:xs) = x * f1 xs
f2 \mid 1 = 0
                                                            hof :: [a] -> (a -> a) -> (a -> a) -> a -> a
                                                            hof [] _ _ base = base
f2 (x:xs) = 1 + f2 xs
                                                            hof (x:xs) tf base = (tx) 'f' (hof xs tf base)
f3[] = 0
                                                            f1 xs = hof xs (\x -> x) (*) 1
f3 (x:xs) = x + f3 xs
                                                            f2 xs = hof xs (\x -> 1) (+) 0
f4[] = []
                                                            f3 xs = hof xs (\x -> x) (+) 0
                                                            f4 xs = hof xs (\x -> x) (++) []
f4 (x:xs) = x ++ f4 xs
                                                            f5 xs = hof xs (\x -> x*x) (+) 0
f5[] = 0
f5 (x:xs) = (x*x) + f5 xs
```

They all have a common pattern of behaviour.

- (a) Write a higher-order function hof that captures this common behaviour
 [42 marks]
- (b) Rewrite each of £1, £2, ...above to be a call to hof with appropriate arguments.

[42 marks]

(c) We have a binary tree built from number-string pairs, ordered by the number (acting as key),

and one function search defined over it:

There is no pattern for a 'Many' tree with 'x < i'. So if you are searching a node that isn't a leaf, there will be a runtime error if the node's integer is greater than the integer you are searching for. This means node's left branches are never traversed.

There is no pattern for searching an empty tree, so there will be a runtime error if this is attempted.

The only pattern provided for searching a leaf node is for the case that the integer of the leaf node is equal to 'x'. Any searching of a leaf node where this is not the case will result in a runtime error.

Explain the ways in which function search can fail with Haskell *runtime* errors.

[16 marks]

3. (a) We have an expression datatype as follows:

and a dictionary type with insert (ins) and lookup (1kp) functions (full code not given):

```
type Dict = [(String,Int)]
                                                            eval :: Dict -> Expr -> Maybe Int
                                                            eval _ (K i) = Just i
ins :: String -> Int -> Dict -> Dict
                                                            eval d (V s) = lkp s d
lkp :: String -> Dict -> Maybe Int
                                                            eval d (Add e1 e2) = case (eval d e1,eval d e2) of
                                                                      (Nothing,_) -> Nothing
and one function eval defined over expressions:
                                                                      (_,Nothing) -> Nothing
                                                                      (Just x, Just y) \rightarrow Just (x+y)
                                                            eval d (Dvd e1 e2) = case(eval d e1,eval d e2) of
eval :: Dict -> Expr -> Int
                                                                      (Nothing,_) -> Nothing
eval_{(K i)} = i
                                                                       (_,Nothing) -> Nothing
                                                                      (Just x, Just y)
eval d (V s) = fromJust $ 1kp s d
                                                                      | y == 0 \rightarrow Nothing
                                                                      | otherwise -> Just (div x y)
eval d (Add e1 e2) = eval d e1 + eval d e2
                                                            eval d (Let v e1 e2) = case (eval d e1) of
eval d (Dvd e1 e2) = eval d e1 'div' eval d e2
                                                                      | Nothing -> Nothing
                                                                      | Just i -> eval (ins v i d) e2
eval d (Let v e1 e2) = eval (ins v i d) e2
                             where i = eval d e1
fromJust (Just x) = x
```

Add in error handling for function eval above, using the Maybe type, to ensure this function is now total. Note that this will require changing the type of this function. [54 marks]

(b) Consider the following function definition:

```
prod [] = 1
prod (0:_) = 0
prod (x:xs) = x * prod xs
```

Use the shorthand AST notation to show how the application

```
prod [3,14,0,999]
```

is evaluated, indicating clearly where copying takes place. You need not draw the full AST (with cons-nodes) for the lists but just show any list instead as a single node, [], [999], etc, as appropriate. [46 marks]

4. Given the following definitions:

(a) Prove the following property

```
prod(ms++ns) == prod ms * prod ns
```

[33 marks]

(b) Consider the following property:

$$mbr x (rem x ys) == False$$

State the base and step proofs to be done in a proof by induction, propose a case split for the step property, and prove one of the cases. [33 marks]

(c) Prove the following property by co-induction.

$$map (+1) (from 0) == from 1$$

[34 marks]

5. Consider the following recursively defined datatype:

| Stack [Shape]

where we assume that Size and Coord are types defined elsewhere.

- (a) Show how the definition of Shape can be written as an algebraic expression.

 [18 marks]
- (b) Compute the derivative, w.r.t. Shape, of the algebraic expression given as an answer in (a) above (See Reference at p8). [18 marks]
- (c) Define a Haskell "derivative" type that corresponds to the derivative computed in (b) above. [24 marks]
- (d) Define a "Zipper" type called ShapeZip for Shape. [12 marks]
- (e) Give Haskell code for a zipper function with the following signature that performs a move upwards

up :: ShapeZip -> ShapeZip

[28 marks]

Reference

Prelude List Functions

```
:: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map
(++)
               :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
filter
               :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
               :: [[a]] -> [a]
concat
               :: [a] -> a
head
tail
               :: [a] -> [a]
last.
               :: [a] -> a
init
               :: [a] -> [a]
               :: [a] -> Bool
null
               :: [a] -> Int
length
(!!)
               :: [a] -> Int -> a
               :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow [b] \rightarrow a
foldl
               :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> a
foldl1
               :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow [b] \rightarrow [a]
scanl
scanl1
               :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
foldr
               :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b
foldr1
               :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> a
scanr
               :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]
               :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
scanr1
iterate
               :: (a -> a) -> a -> [a]
               :: a -> [a]
repeat
replicate
               :: Int -> a -> [a]
cycle
               :: [a] -> [a]
take
               :: Int -> [a] -> [a]
               :: Int -> [a] -> [a]
drop
               :: Int -> [a] -> ([a],[a])
splitAt
takeWhile
               :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
               :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
dropWhile
span, break :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a],[a])
```

Laws of Differentiation

$$\frac{dk}{dx} = 0$$

$$\frac{dx^n}{dx} = nx^{n-1}$$

$$\frac{d(f(x) + g(x))}{dx} = \frac{df(x)}{dx} + \frac{dg(x)}{dx}$$

$$\frac{d(f(x)g(x))}{dx} = f(x)\frac{dg(x)}{dx} + g(x)\frac{df(x)}{dx}$$

$$\frac{d(t^*)}{dt} = (t^*)^2$$

© UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN 2016