

## Faculty of Engineering, Mathematics and Science School of Computer Science and Statistics

Integrated Computer Science Programme
B.A. (Mod.) Computer Science and Business
B.A. (Mod.) Computer Science & Language
Mathematics
Year 3 Annual Examinations

**Trinity Term 2017** 

**Symbolic Programming** 

Monday 8<sup>th</sup> May 2017

**RDS Main Hall** 

09:30 - 11:30

Dr Tim Fernando

## Instructions to Candidates:

Attempt *two* questions. All questions carry equal marks. Each question is scored out of a total of 50 marks.

You may not start this examination until you are instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

## Materials permitted for this examination:

Non-programmable calculators are permitted for this examination – please indicate the make and model of your calculator on each answer book used

- (a) Specify Prolog's response to the following queries (taking for granted the built-in predicates member, setof, findall and number).
  - (i) member (0, [1,2]). False as 0 is not in the list [1,2]
  - (ii) member(X,X).  $X = [X|_8282]$  This instantiates X to a list with X as the head.
  - (iii) setof(X,member(X,X),L). STACK OVERFLOW Repeatedly tries to find the occurrences of X in member(X) which is unlimited % setof(X,Y,L) find's all X's that satisfy Y and returns them in L
  - (iv) findall(X,\+member(X,X),L).  $^{L=[]}_{\%$  Findall is like set of except when it fails instantiates L to []
  - (v) number (X). False as X is a variable and not a number.
  - (vi) [a|[b,c]] = .(a,.(b,X)). Error as the first term is not a valid list, otherwise it would return X=C

[12 marks]

(b) What is an anonymous variable, and why is it also called a singleton

The anonymous variable in prolog is the \_ symbol and it is used in predicate and logic when you do not care about variable?

The anonymous variable in prolog is the \_ symbol and it is used in predicate and logic when you do not care about a value. Say if you only cared about the head of a list you could just do [H|\_]. It is also called the singleton variable as this is an error that warns you that a variable is named and not used, instead it should be replaced with \_.

[6 marks]

lessSome(L1, L2):-isNumberList(L1), isNumberList(L2), hasLess(L1,L2).

isNumberList([]). (c) Define a binary predicate lessSome(List1,List2) that is true exactly if isNumberList([H|T]):- number(H), isNumberList(T). isLessThan(\_, []):- false. List1 and List2 are lists of numbers, and some member of List1 is less isLessThan(X, [H|T]):- X < H; isLessThan(X, T). than some member of List2.

hasLess([H1|T1], L2):- isLessThan(H1, L2); hasLess(T1, L2).

[8 marks]

- (d) Define a binary predicate lessAll(List1,List2) that is true exactly if
  List1 and List2 are lists of numbers, and every member of List1 is less
  than every member of List2. same as (C) except remove false from isLessThan and hasLess
  isLessThan(\_, []).
  hasLess(\_, []). [10 marks]
- (e) This question is about 3-ary predicates that, to a first approximation, are true of three lists when the third list is the union of the first two lists. The simplest example is the predicate append(List1,List2,List3) that is true precisely when List3 is List1 followed by List2. (Note that append is sometimes called concatenate.)
  - (i) Define append(List1, List2, List3) so that, for example,

```
?- append([1,2,3],[2],L). append([H1|T1], L2, [H1|T3]):- append(T1, L2, T3). 
L = [1,2,3,2].
```

[4 marks]

(ii) To remove duplications in List3 (and ensure List3 has no repeating members), we might use setof two different ways as follows:

Union 1 works well, it first checks that X is a member of L1, if that doesn't succeed it checks if it is a member of L2 and returns the list L3 of all members
Union 2 also works well but in this predicate you would have to

define the union as L3 when

calling it as it depends on L3 to be a list and not a variable.

```
union2([],[],[]).
union2(L1,L2,L3) :-
    setof(X,(member(X,L1);member(X,L2)),U),
    setof(X,member(X,L3),U).
```

Explain how union1 and union2 differ by giving examples of queries handled properly by one but not the other, and vice versa.

[5 marks]

union(L1, L2, L3) :-(var(L3), !, union1(L1, L2, L3)); union2(L1, L2, L3) (iii) What unary predicate p(List) can be used below to combine the predicates union1 and union2 as follows.

```
union(L1,L2,L3) :- (p(L3),!,union1(L1,L2,L3));
union2(L1,L2,L3).
```

Are there terms t, t', t'' such that the Prolog interpreter says yes (or true) to append(t, t', t''), but complains that the query union(t, t', t'') results in an error? Explain.

[5 marks]

2. (a) Define a binary predicate sum(List, Sum) that is true exactly if List is a non-empty list of numbers that add up to Sum. For example.

```
sum(List, Sum) :- validList(List), sumOfList(List,0, Y), Y =:= Sum.
?- sum([1,2],X).validList([]).
                         validList([H|T]) :- number(H), validList(T).
X = 3
                         sumOfList([], Acc, Acc).
                         sumOfList([H|T], Acc, Sum) :- NewAcc is Acc + H, sumOfList(T, NewAcc, Sum).
                                                                                  [5 marks]
```

predicate where the recursive call is the last function invoked in the evaluation of the body of the function

A tail-recursive predicate is a (b) The predicate length(List,N) below computes the length of a list.

```
length([],0).
length([_|T],N) := length(T,M), N is M+1.
```

What is a tail-recursive predicate, and how can we redefine the predicate

B length(List, N) so that it becomes tail-recursive.

[6 marks]

- (c) Define a predicate split(+Number,+List,?Small,?Big) that is true split(N, L, S, B):-member(N, L), isNumberList(L), isSmall(S,L,N), isBig(B,L,N). exactly whenever isNumberList([]).
  - isNumberList([H|T]):- number(H), isNumberList(T). - Number is a member of List isSmall(SmallList, List, Number) :- findall(X, (member(X,List), X < Number),
  - SmallList). - List is a list of numbers isBig(BigList, List, Number):-findall(X, (member(X,List), X > Number), BigList).
  - Small is the list of all numbers in List smaller than Number
  - Big is the list of all numbers in List bigger than Number.

For example,

```
?- split(2,[1,2,3,0,5,7],Small,Big).
Small = [1,0], Big = [3,5,7].
```

[15 marks]

[10 marks]

- (d) Define a predicate median(List, Median) that holds precisely when
  - List is a list of odd length where each member of List is a number that occurs exactly once in List, and

median(L,M):-odd(L), noOnce(L), isMedian(L,M).

odd(List) :-- Median is the median of List - i.e., there are as many members of length(List,Len), List that are smaller than Median as there are members of List that \+ mod(Len,2) =:= 0. findall(X, (member(X, List), X < Median), SmallerList). isMedian(List,Median) :-

```
findall(Y, (member(Y, List), Y > Median), BiggerList),
```

length(SmallerList, SLLen),

length(BiggerList, BLLen),

SLLen =:= BLLen.

noOnce(List) :- noOnceAcc(List,[]).

noOnceAcc([H|T], Acc):-\+member(H, Acc), append([H], Acc, NewAcc), noOnceAcc(T, NewAcc). noOnceAcc([], \_).

Page 4 of 7

© Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin 2017

(e) Define a predicate remove(X,List,Rest) that is true exactly when X is a member of List and Rest is the list resulting from removing X from List. For example,

```
?- remove(2,[1,2,3,0,5,7],Rest).
Rest = [1,3,0,5,7].
```

[7 marks]

(f) Use the predicate remove(X,List,Rest) to define a predicate permute(+List1,?List2) that is true exactly when List2 is a permutation of List1 (that is, List2 differs from List1 at most by a reordering of its members).

[7 marks]

```
\begin{split} & \text{remove}(X,L,R) := \text{member}(X,L), \, \text{removeAcc}(X,L,[],R). \\ & \text{removeAcc}(\_,[],Acc,Acc). \\ & \text{removeAcc}(Element, \, [H|T], \, Acc, \, CleanList) := \\ & \text{H} \vdash = \text{Element}, \\ & \text{append}([H], \, Acc, \, NewAcc), \\ & \text{removeAcc}(Element, \, T, \, NewAcc, \, CleanList). \\ & \text{removeAcc}(Element, \, [\_|T], \, Acc, \, CleanList) := \text{removeAcc}(Element, \, T, \, Acc, \, CleanList). \\ & \text{insert}(X, \, L1, \, L) := \text{remove}(X, \, L, \, L1). \, \% \, \, \text{what do you remove from } L \, \text{to give } L1 \\ & \text{permute}([X], \, [X]). \\ & \text{permute}([H|T], \, L) := \\ & \text{permute}(T, \, T1), \\ & \text{insert}(H, \, T1, \, L). \\ \end{split}
```

3. This question is about regular expressions over the alphabet  $\{0,1\}$ . An example, with alternation (or choice) written | (also sometimes written +), is 0(0|11)\*11 which picks out the set of strings of the form

```
0^{n_1}1^{2m_1}0^{n_2}1^{2m_2}\cdots 0^{n_k}1^{2m_k}
```

for some positive integer k, and positive integers  $n_1, m_1, n_2, m_2, \ldots, n_k, m_k$ . For example, the smallest string in this set is 011, which we shall represent in Prolog as the list [0,1,1].

(a) Define a DCG that generates the aforementioned set of strings so that for example,

```
?- s([0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1],L).
L=[0,0,0,1,1] ?;
L=[] ?;
no.
```

[10 marks]

(b) Write out the DCG in part (a) as ordinary Prolog clauses, making the difference lists explicit. What are difference lists and why are they useful?

[10 marks]

(c) To generalize the construction of the DCG in part (a) to arbitrary regular expressions over the alphabet  $\{0,1\}$ , let us agree to use the binary functors c, a and k for concatenation, alternation and Kleene star (respectively) so that for example, 0|11 can be encoded as a(0,c(1,1)), and  $(0|11)^*$  can be encoded as k(a(0,c(1,1))). For completeness, let us use the constant e for the empty set (consisting of no strings), and n for the set consisting (solely) of the string [] of length 0. Now, the idea is to add an argument to the symbol s in the part (a), which we can fill by any regular expression over  $\{0,1\}$  (under the encoding above) so that, for example,

```
?- s(c(1,1),L,[]).
L=[1,1] ?;
no.
?- s(a(0,c(1,1)),L,[]).
L=[0] ?;
L=[1,1] ?;
no.
```

Page 6 of 7

```
?- s(k(a(0,c(1,1))),[0,1,1],T).
T=[0,1,1] ?;
T=[1,1] ?;
T=[] ?;
no.
```

Define a DCG for this 3-ary predicate s.

[15 marks]

(d) A regular expression such as 0\*1\*, encoded above as c(k(0),k(1)), has infinitely many strings, not all of which may appear as Prolog answers the query below.

```
?- s(c(k(0),k(1)),L,[]).

L=[] ?;

L=[1] ?;

L=[1,1] ?;

L=[1,1,1] ?;
```

Missing from the enumeration above is [0,1] even though

```
?- s(c(k(0),k(1)),[0,1],[]). yes.
```

Describe concisely how to revise the predicate s to a predicate sr so that for any regular expression R and any string x in R, we need only type; enough times, as the Prolog interpreter processes the query sr(R,L) before L is set to x. For example, the string [0,0,0,1,1] should be bound to L at some finite point below.

```
?- sr(c(k(0),k(1)),L).
L=[] ?;
...
L=[0,0,0,1,1]
```

[15 marks]

 $= 1_{-1,-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \dots \cdot$