

# Futuristic Error Handling

Error handling in C++ today and tomorrow

---

Dawid Pilarski

[dawid.pilarski@panicsoftware.com](mailto:dawid.pilarski@panicsoftware.com)

# Introduction

---

# Why am I here?

Why should we bother with error handling?



# Recommendable error handling mechanism

Which error mechanism would you choose?

- error codes?
- exceptions?



## Error codes nowadays

---

# The error codes.

- Old. C-compatible. Comes from assembly time.



# The error codes.

- Old. C-compatible. Comes from assembly time.
- Machine friendly.



# The error codes.

- Old. C-compatible. Comes from assembly time.
- Machine friendly.
- Super fast.





# The error codes.

- Old. C-compatible. Comes from assembly time.
- Machine friendly.
- Super fast.
- Used till today.



## Error code example

```
int sqlite3_open( const char *filename, sqlite3 **ppDb );
```



## Error code example

```
int sqlite3_open( const char *filename, sqlite3 **ppDb );
```

---

```
int open_status = sqlite3_open(/* ... */ );  
if(open_status == SQLITE_OK){  
    // make use of opened database  
} else if( open_status == SQLITE_CANTOPEN_ISDIR ) {  
    // handle the error  
}
```



# Handle the error

How to handle the error correctly?



How to handle the error correctly?

- `std::terminate()`



How to handle the error correctly?

- `std::terminate()`
- take the error callback



How to handle the error correctly?

- `std::terminate()`
- take the error callback
- propagate the error to the caller



## Error codes - propagation

---



```
void foo_bar(int& errc /*...*/){  
    errc = foo();  
    // ...  
    errc = bar();  
    // ...  
}
```



```
void foo_bar(foo_bar_errc errc&){  
    foo_errc ferrc = foo();  
    errc = translate_foo(ferrc);  
    // ...  
    bar_errc berrc = bar();  
    errc = translate_foo(berrc);  
}
```



# C-style error codes summary

So we can see **serious disadvantages** (except for **obvious advantages**):

- success path same as error path



# C-style error codes summary

So we can see **serious disadvantages** (except for **obvious advantages**):

- success path same as error path
- boiler plate code



# C-style error codes summary

So we can see **serious disadvantages** (except for **obvious advantages**):

- success path same as error path
- boiler plate code
- cluttering code with translations



## Error codes - modern approach

---

## standard library support - what do we need?

- A way to define new error codes
- A way to distinguish domain of the error codes
- And to fix as many C-style issues as possible



# Standard library support - what we get?

three types:

- `std::error_code`
- `std::error_category`
- `std::error_condition`





## std::error\_code in action

```
std::error_code errc;  
  
is_regular_file("non_existent_directory", errc);  
  
std::cout << errc << std::endl;  
std::cout << errc.value() << std::endl;  
std::cout << errc.message() << std::endl;  
std::cout << errc.category().name() << std::endl;
```

---

```
$ system:2  
$ 2  
$ Nie można odnaleźć określonego pliku.  
$ system
```

