

Multiple Regression in practice:

1. [15 Pts.] Consider the least squares fit of y to the continuous explanatory variables x , z , and w . Provided below is the matrix $(X^T X)^{-1}$:

2.56 -0.26 -0.28 -0.07
-0.26 0.03 0.03 0.00
-0.28 0.03 0.04 0.00
-0.07 0.00 0.00 0.01

$$V(\beta) = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$$

Also provided is the summary of the least squares fit.

lm(formula = y ~ x + z + w, data = myD)

Coefficients: β_i $\hat{SE}(\beta_i)$ t

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	-3.64797	1.61404	-2.260
x	0.09884	XXXXXX	5.518
z	0.38789	0.19174	2.023
w	-0.21235	0.09320	XXXXXX

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.009 on XXXX degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3373
F-statistic: XXXX on XX and 146 DF

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{\hat{SE}(\beta_i)}$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{e^T e}{n-p-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{ESS}{n-(p+1)}}$$

- (a) What are the five missing values indicated by XXXXX in the above summary?

$$F = \frac{RegSS/p}{ESS/(n-p-1)} = \frac{R^2}{1-R^2} \cdot \frac{n-(p+1)}{p}$$

$p = 3$ in this case

- (b) Explain how to test whether the coefficient for z is zero.

- ① null hypothesis (H_0)
- ② t stat
- ③ If value lies in the extreme tail of the null distribution, reject H_0

- (c) The standard deviations (normalized by $n - 1$) for the variables in this study are as follows:

Variable	SD
y	1.23
x	10.14
z	0.96
w	0.91

Compute, compare, and comment on the standardized regression coefficients for x and w .

Std coefficient of x

$$\hat{\beta}_x' = \hat{\beta}_x \cdot \frac{SD(x)}{SD(y)}$$

Dummy Variable Regression in practice:

- Let's imagine that 80 students took a particular course at Berkeley of whom 20 were freshmen, 20 were sophomores, 20 were juniors and 20 were seniors. In R, I have saved the final scores (out of 100) for the 20 freshmen in the vector `g1`, for the 20 sophomores in `g2`, juniors in `g3` and seniors in `g4`. Consider the following output:

```
> mean(g1)
[1] 57.96
> sd(g1)
[1] 3.92
> mean(g2)
[1] 64.13
> sd(g2)
[1] 3.91
> mean(g3)
[1] 67.60
> sd(g3)
[1] 6.92
> mean(g4)
[1] 71.22
> sd(g4)
[1] 5.77
```

mean & SD
cal as (n-1)

Question	Total points
Q1	12
Q2	6
Q3	9
Q4	6
Q5	12
Q6	14
Q7	12
	60

Also, for $i = 1, \dots, 80$, let

- y_i : Final score of the i^{th} student in the class.
- x_{i1} : Takes the value 1 if the i^{th} student is a freshman and 0 otherwise.
- x_{i2} : Takes the value 1 if the i^{th} student is a sophomore and 0 otherwise.
- x_{i3} : Takes the value 1 if the i^{th} student is a junior and 0 otherwise.
- x_{i4} : Takes the value 1 if the i^{th} student is a senior and 0 otherwise.

I fit the linear model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \beta_4 x_{i4} + e_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

to this data via R to obtain the following output:

Call:
`lm(formula = y ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4)` All dummy!

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-14.4685	-3.6042	-0.1473	3.1631	12.5674

Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	XXXXX	1.18	60.24	< 2e-16 ***
x1	-13.26	1.67	-7.93	1.5e-11 ***
x2	-7.09	1.67	-4.24	6.2e-05 ***
x3	-3.62	1.67	-2.16	0.034 *
x4	NA	NA	NA	NA

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: XXXXX on 76 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.4734, Adjusted R-squared: XXXXX

F-statistic: 22.77 on 3 and 76 DF, p-value: 1.279e-10

R actually run $y \sim x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \text{intercept}$
(senior has excluded, seen as Base Case)

$$\sqrt{VIF} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - R^2}}$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n-(p+1)} \cdot \frac{\text{ErrSS}}{\text{TSS}} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n-(p+1)} \cdot (1 - R^2) \right)$$

SE (SD) of means

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{ErrSS}}{n-(p+1)}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\text{ESS}}{n-(p+1)}}$$

ESS = $\sum_i (\text{Size of group}_i - 1) \times \text{Sample Variance}_i$

$$= (20-1) \times 3.92 + (20-1) \times 3.91 + \dots$$

4 groups! not 3

(b) Fill in the 3 missing values in the R output with proper reasoning. (6 points).

(a) Why does the R output above say "1 not defined because of singularities"? Give reasons for your answer and suggest a way to fix the problem. (2 points)
(explain perfect collinearity)



(c) Explain why the standard error estimates for the coefficients of x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 are all the same. (3 points).

$$SE(\beta) = \sqrt{\text{diagonal of } \hat{\sigma}^2 (X^T X)^{-1}}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{intercept} & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X^T X = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\ 20 & 20 & 0 & 0 \\ 20 & 0 & 20 & 0 \\ 20 & 0 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

