

## Concept Plan SP 2019-067

# Structured decision making for translocation

Animal Science

### Project Core Team

Supervising Scientist	Megan Barnes
Data Custodian	Megan Barnes
Site Custodian	

### Project status as of Dec. 4, 2019, 3:18 p.m.

New project, pending concept plan approval

### Document endorsements and approvals as of Dec. 4, 2019, 3:18 p.m.

Project Team	granted
Program Leader	granted
Directorate	required

# Structured decision making for translocation

## Biodiversity and Conservation Science Program

Animal Science

### Departmental Service

Service 6: Conserving Habitats, Species and Communities

### Aims

The project will entail work in three domains:

1. Strategy evaluation and risk assessment: evaluate costs, benefits and risks of selected existing, and new proposed translocations, translocations, including the value of captive breeding where appropriate, to increase efficiency, mitigate risk and ensure decisions are robust to uncertainty in future conditions. This will include development and application of novel methods, use of SDM to overcome decision paralysis, and applying SDM to ongoing large scale translocation projects to frame decision making as series of linked decisions (active adaptive management), providing an exemplar for future multi-species translocations.
2. Best practice: embed SDM principles in translocation decisions to inform policy and manage risks to source populations.
3. Strategic priorities for translocation: In addition to translocations managed by DBCA, other state government departments and NGOs also request animals to support their reintroduction. It is important to manage source and translocated populations holistically so that genetic diversity of all populations (within WA and nationally) is maximised, and risks to source populations are minimised. This project will inform a strategic approach to translocation decision making within WA and nationally.

### Expected outcome

An explicit approach to assessing multi-species translocation risks and benefits, supporting more transparent and accountable risk management, and ultimately enhancing translocation success, source population security and ecosystem benefits. Policy and guidelines for the application of SDM to translocation decisions. Increased literacy in SDM across DBCA.

### Strategic context

Translocation risks, strategic benefits and costs were raised by five of seven regions in consultation about recurring challenges where SDM could increase benefits and accountability. Using SDM as a routine method to manage translocation risks also aligns DBCA with global best practice, as recommended in the IUCN SSC 2013 Guidelines for Reintroductions and other conservation translocations (Version 1.0).

This project contributes to the following BCS strategic goals and key deliverables of the Animal Science and Species and Communities Program Plans including:

- Biodiversity, conservation and recovery programs are based on scientific knowledge – recommendations regarding conservation actions necessary to maintain sustainable populations, or recovery of, targeted species including the management of threatening processes; recommendations regarding the conservation status of targeted species; best practice guidelines that maximise translocation success; planning documents are prepared to guide the conservation of threatened species.
- Mitigation of pressures and threats to terrestrial ecosystems – strategies to enhance resilience of native fauna to habitat disturbance.
- Scientific knowledge is available to inform adaptive management and decision making – development of decision support tools to improve capacity for timely, effective decisions.
- Conservation advice based on scientific information – translation of research outputs in formats appropriate to the target audience to encourage adoption.
- Science is innovative and agile in assessing and adopting new methodologies - Identify and realise opportunities for adoption of technical advances and innovative approaches.
- Effective science partnerships enhance conservation outcomes – identification of external collaborative fauna conservation research opportunities to deliver on shared goals.

## Expected collaborations

State and territory land managers nationally. Michael Bode (QUT) and student - climate forecasting to integrate stochastic risk from climate change. Michael Bode (QUT), Justine Shaw (UQ) - development of novel network interaction model. Nicki Mitchell (UWA), Craig Moritz and post-doc (TBA) – genetics and source populations. David Pannell (UWA) – co-funded PhD student on Value of Information and risk assessment. Stefano Canessa (IUCN SSC Species Survival Commission Reintroduction and Invasive Species Specialist Group) – risk assessment. Walshe (UMelb) and Rout (UQ) - SDM for captive breeding (NESP). Engagement with other state and territory land managers and NGO representatives to improve decision-making at a national level, and ensure DBCAs goals for species conservation are achieved more efficiently.

## Proposed period of the project

Sept. 15, 2019 – March 30, 2022

## Staff time allocation

Role	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Scientist	0.9	0.9	0.9
Technical			
Volunteer			
Collaborator	1.0	1.1	1.1

## Indicative operating budget

Source	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Consolidated Funds (DBCA)	2000	8000	10000
External Funding	2000	2000	2000