## Notation and Equations

 $\{Z(s), s \in D, D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\}$ : random field  $Z = [Z(s_1), ..., Z(s_n)]^T$ : observations  $Z_0 = [Z(s_{01}), ..., Z(s_{0k})]^T$ : unobserved random vector (to be predicted)  $z = [z_1, ..., z_n]^T, z_i = Z(s_i)$   $\mathcal{G} = \{g_{\lambda}(\cdot)|\lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\eta = [\beta, \tau, \theta, \lambda]^T$ : regression, random field, structural, and transform parameters  $L(\eta; z) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} |\sigma_{\theta}|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau}{2}(g_{\lambda}(z) - X\beta)^T \sigma_{\theta}^{-1}(g_{\lambda}(z) - X\beta)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^n |g'_{\lambda}(z_i)|$ : likelihood

### 2.1: Model Description

One feature of BTG is that it considers a range of sampling distributions instead of a single one, thereby lending itself to "more robust predictive inference" (4.2)

$$Y(s) = g_{\lambda}(z)$$
$$[g_{\lambda}(Z_0), g_{\lambda}(Z)]^T \sim \mathcal{N}_{n+k} \left( \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \beta \\ X \beta \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} E_{\theta} & B_{\theta} \\ B_{\theta}^T & \Sigma_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Prior specification:

$$p(\beta, \tau, \theta, \lambda) \propto \frac{p(\theta)p(\lambda)}{\tau J_{\lambda}^{p/n}}$$

 $p(\theta)$  and  $(\lambda)$  are prior marginals of  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$ . If we assume for the time being that  $\lambda$  is fixed, then this simplifies to

$$p(\beta, \tau, \theta) \propto \frac{p(\theta)p(\lambda)}{\tau}$$

#### 2.2: Posterior of Model Parameters

The treatment of  $\beta$  is that it is an improper distribution, i.e. does not integrate to unity. Rather, the paper allows  $\beta$  to span an unbounded space and take on all values with equal probability.

A least squares problem:

$$\min \|X\beta - g_{\lambda}(z)\|_{\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}}^{2}$$
$$\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} = (X^{T}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}X)^{-1}X^{T}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}g_{\lambda}(z)$$

Next for the quadratic form,

$$\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} = (g_{\lambda}(z) - X\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}(g_{\lambda}(z) - X\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})$$

$$\int_{\Omega} p(z|\eta)p(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\Lambda} \int_{\Theta} |\Sigma_{\theta}|^{-1/2} |X^{T}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}X|^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-(n-p)/2} J_{\lambda}^{1-(p/n)} p(\theta)p(\lambda) d\theta d\lambda$$

It is true that  $p(\beta, \tau | \theta, \lambda, z) = p(\beta | \tau, \theta, \lambda, z) p(\tau | \theta, \lambda, z)$  is normal gamma, i.e.

$$(\beta | \tau, \theta, \lambda, z) \sim \mathcal{N}_p \left( \hat{\beta}_{\theta, \lambda}, \frac{1}{\tau} (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} \right)$$
$$(\tau | \theta, \lambda, z) \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{n - p}{2}, \frac{2}{\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}})$$

In addition,

$$p(\theta,\lambda|z) = p(\beta,\tau,\theta,\lambda|z)p(\beta,\tau|\theta,\lambda,z)$$

Applying Bayes theorem to the numerator gives

$$p(\theta, \lambda | z) \propto |\Sigma_{\theta}|^{-1/2} |X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X|^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-(n-p)/2} J_{\lambda}^{1-(p/n)} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$

The posterior distribution is determined up to a multiplicative constant:

$$p(\beta, \tau, \theta, \lambda | z) = p(\beta, \tau | \theta, \lambda, z) p(\theta, \lambda | z)$$

#### **2.3:** Prediction of $Z_0$

Bayesian predictive density function:

$$p(z_0|z) = \int_{\Omega} p(z_0|\eta, z) p(\eta|z) d\eta$$

Fixing  $\lambda$ :

### **Appendix**

### Computing Derivatives

We are interested in computing the derivatives of  $p(\theta, \lambda|z)$  for maximum a posteriori estimation of  $\theta, \lambda$ . We are also interested in the derivatives of  $p(z_0|\theta, \lambda, z)$  so we can compute statistics of interest of the CDF  $\Phi(z_0|z)$  and the PDF  $p(z_0|z)$ , such as the median and narrowest 95% confidence interval.

#### Some Facts

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \det(K(\theta)) = \det(K(\theta)) \operatorname{tr} \left( K^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial K(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \right)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} (K(\theta))^{-1} = -K^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial K(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} K^{-1}(\theta)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} A(\theta) B(\theta) = A'(\theta) B(\theta) + A(\theta) B'(\theta)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} A(\theta) X B(\theta) = A'(\theta) X B(\theta) + A(\theta) X B'(\theta)$

From equation 8 in the paper, we know that

$$p(\theta, \lambda | z) = C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^{T} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} = (g_{\lambda}(z) - X\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}(g_{\lambda}(z) - X\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} = (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z)$$

The convention here is that  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^q$ . We seek to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} p(\theta, \lambda | z)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(\theta, \lambda | z)$ .

## **0.1** Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}p(\theta, \lambda|z)$

By the product rule,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta, \lambda | z) = C \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2}) \right) \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$
 (1)

$$+ C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2}) \right) \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$
 (2)

$$+ C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}}) \right) J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$
 (3)

$$+ C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta) \right) p(\lambda) \tag{4}$$

Each of the derivatives enclosed in large parentheses are computed explicitly below.

#### Expression (1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-3/2} \det(\Sigma_{\theta}) \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta})$$

### Expression (2)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2}) &= -\frac{1}{2} (\det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-3/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X) \operatorname{tr}((X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}((X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T (-\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}) X) \end{split}$$

### Expression (3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}}) = -\left(\frac{n-p}{2}\right)\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p+2}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right) \\ &= \left( -X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\ &+ (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \left( -\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \right) (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\ &+ (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( -X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) \right) \\ &= - (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} \left( - X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X \right) (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &+ (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \left( - \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_i} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \right) g_{\lambda}(z) \end{split}$$

### Expression (4)

Depends on  $p(\theta)$ , the prior distribution over  $\theta$ .

# **0.2** Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} p(\theta, \lambda | z)$

Define  $Q(\theta) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$  and  $P(\theta) = X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X$ . We compute a Cholesky decomposition for both  $\Sigma_{\theta}$  and  $P(\theta)$  and a function that exploits these to quickly perform mat-vecs of the form  $Q(\theta)z$  and  $P(\theta)z$ . In general,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} x_i(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} x_i(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} x_i(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} x_j(\theta) \prod_{k \neq i, j} x_k(\theta)$$

In addition to what we computed previously, all we need is the following:

## Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2}$

The only extra term we need to compute is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^{2}} - \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)$$

## Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2}$

The only additional derivative we need to compute is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{tr}((X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T (\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}) X)$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta)^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} = (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T (\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}) X (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}) = \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} - 2\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$$

It might be useful to precompute a Cholesky factorization of  $\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$ .

# Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$

Let  $Q(\theta) = \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$  and let  $P(\theta) = (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)$ . We have computed both  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q(\theta)$  in the previous subsection. Note that  $Q(\theta) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$ .  $X^T (\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)$  is an expression that repeatedly arises in the computations. Also note the relationship  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta) = -X^T Q(\theta) X$ 

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} = P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) X P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) - P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) g_{\lambda}(z)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} &= -P(\theta)^{-1} \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial \theta} P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) X P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &+ P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \frac{\partial Q(\theta)}{\partial \theta} X P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &- P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) X P(\theta)^{-1} \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial \theta} P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &- P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) X P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &+ P(\theta)^{-1} \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial \theta} P(\theta)^{-1} X^T Q(\theta) g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &- P(\theta)^{-1} X^T \frac{\partial Q(\theta)}{\partial \theta} g_{\lambda}(z) \end{split}$$

In optimizing the code for  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$ , we precompute  $X^T Q(\theta) X$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} = -(g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \left( \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \right) (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) - 2(g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} &= -(g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \frac{\partial Q(\theta)}{\partial \theta} (g(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\ &+ 2 \left( X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^T Q(\theta) (g(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\ &+ 2 \left( X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) \\ &- 2 (g(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \right) \left( X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) \\ &- 2 (g(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( X \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) \end{split}$$

# Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \theta} p(\theta)$

Depends on  $p(\theta)$ .

## **0.3** Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(\theta, \lambda | z)$

Recall that  $g: \mathbb{R}_1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$  and that  $g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) = [g_{\lambda}(z_1), g_{\lambda}(z_2), ..., g_{\lambda}(z_n)]^T$ . By assumption (section 2.1),  $g'_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_{\lambda}$  exists.

By the product rule,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(\theta, \lambda | z) = C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} \right) J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$
 (5)

$$+ C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} \right) p(\theta) p(\lambda)$$
 (6)

$$+ C \det(\Sigma_{\theta})^{-1/2} \det(X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} p(\theta) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(\lambda) \right)$$
 (7)

The expressions in parentheses are evaluated below.

Computing  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}}$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p}{2}} = -\left(\frac{n-p}{2}\right) \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}^{-\frac{n-p+2}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z) - X \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^{T} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})$$

$$+ (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^{T} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z) - X \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} = (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z)$$

## Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}}$

By definition,  $J_{\lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |g'_{\lambda}(z_i)|$ . By assumption (section 2.1),  $g_{\lambda}$  is assumed to be monotone, so

$$J_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_i) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone decreasing} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_i) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone increasing} \end{cases}$$

Now the derivative of the expression is equal to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} J_{\lambda}^{1-\frac{p}{n}} = \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) J_{\lambda}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} J_{\lambda}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} J_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g'_{\lambda}(z_j) \prod_{i \neq j, i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_i) \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g'_{\lambda}(z_j) \prod_{i \neq j, i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_i) \end{cases}$$

## Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}p(\lambda)$

Depends on  $p(\lambda)$ 

# **0.4** Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} p(z_0|\theta,\lambda,\mathbf{z})$

From equation (12),

$$p(z_0|\theta,\lambda,\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-p+k}{2}\right)\prod_{j=1}^k |g_{\lambda}'(z_{0j})|}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-p}{2}\right)\pi^{k/2}|\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}|^{1/2}} \left[1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}\mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})\right]^{-\frac{n-p+k}{2}}$$

where we write for convenience:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} = \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{H}_{\theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\theta} = \mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\theta} = \mathbf{D}_{\theta} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta} (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}^T$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\theta} = \mathbf{E}_{\theta} - \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T}$$

and  $\mathbf{B}_{\theta}$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{\theta}$  are covariances matrices from

$$(g_{\lambda}(Z_0), g_{\lambda}(Z)|\beta, \tau, \theta, \lambda) \sim \left( \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \beta \\ X \beta \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{\theta} & \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \\ \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^T & \Sigma_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

The function  $p(z_0|\theta,\lambda,\mathbf{z})$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . The derivative should be equal to the Jacobian under continuity assumptions. The *i*th entry of the Jacobian is  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}}p(z_0|\theta,\lambda,\mathbf{z})$ .

Ignoring the constant terms, we have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} p(z_{0}|\theta, \lambda, \mathbf{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} J_{\lambda}(z_{0})\right) \left[1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda})^{T} (\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda})\right]^{-\frac{n-p+k}{2}} 
+ J_{\lambda}(z_{0}) \left(-\frac{n-p+k}{2}\right) \left(1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda})^{T} (\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda})\right)^{-\frac{n-p+k+2}{2}} .$$

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} g_{\lambda}(z_{0})\right)^{T} (\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda}) + (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta, \lambda})^{T} (\tilde{q}_{\theta, \lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} g_{\lambda}(z_{0})\right) \right\}$$

Since

$$J_{\lambda}(z_0) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n g_{\lambda}'(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone decreasing} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n g_{\lambda}'(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone increasing} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} J_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} g_{\lambda}''(z_{i0}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n g_{\lambda}'(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone decreasing} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} g_{\lambda}''(z_{i,0}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n g_{\lambda}'(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone increasing} \end{cases}$$

Also, be definition,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0i}} g_{\lambda}(z_0) = g_{\lambda}'(z_{0i})$$

## **0.5** Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(z_0 | \theta, \lambda, \mathbf{z})$

We first compile some useful derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) - \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} g_{\lambda}(z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{H}_{\theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{H}_{\theta} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X + \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{H}_{\theta} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \left( \mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \right) (\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} \\ &+ \mathbf{H}_{\theta} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{E}_{\theta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} - \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T}$$

In practice  $\mathbf{B}_{\theta}$  will be a  $k \times 1$  column vector, so to optimize the computation of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}$ , we compute in the following order:

 $\left(\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( (\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\theta})^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \right) \right)^{T} X$ 

This has time complexity  $O(n^2)$ , while the naive implementation has time complexity  $O(n^2p)$ . Recall that X is  $n \times p$ , usually with  $p \ll n$ . Likewise, we can compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X$  in  $O(n^2)$  time if  $\mathbf{B}_{\theta}$  is a column vector.

Continuing with the computation, note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$  were computed previously. Abstracting the parts of equation (12) which don't depend on  $\theta$ , we are left with

$$g(\theta) := \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} [1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})]^{-\frac{n-p+k}{2}}$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} \right) \left[ 1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right]^{-\frac{n-p+k}{2}} 
+ \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} \left( -\frac{n-p+k}{2} \right) \left( 1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right)^{-\frac{n-p+k+2}{2}} .$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right) \right)$$

For the derivative of the determinant, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-3/2} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}) \operatorname{tr} \left( (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left( (\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}) \mathbf{C}_{\theta} + \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \right) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr} \left( (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left( (\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}) \mathbf{C}_{\theta} + \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$  was computed previously. For the second derivative, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right) = -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) 
+ (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \right) (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) 
- (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} = -(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} + \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \right) (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1}$$

# **0.6** Computing $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} p(z_0 | \theta, \lambda, \mathbf{z})$

As before, let  $Q(\theta) = \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}$  (in practice we define this to be a function handle). Also define  $T(\theta) = X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X$  and  $P(\theta) = X' \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X$ . We precompute the Cholesky decomposition of  $P(\theta)$ .

We make an assumption that  $X_0$  is a row vector, because we currently only do single-point prediction. This renders  $H_{\theta}$  a row vector as well and  $E_{\theta}$  a scalar. Note that with these definitions, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta} &= -X^T Q(\theta) X \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta}^{-1} &= -P_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta} P_{\theta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} P_{\theta} &= -X^T \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} Q(\theta) X \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (P_{\theta})^{-1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta) P_{\theta}^{-1} + P_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} P(\theta) P_{\theta}^{-1} + P_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\theta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q_{\theta} &= \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^2} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} - \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \end{split}$$

We define  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}P_{\theta}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}P_{\theta}$  as constants and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}P^{-1}(\theta)$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}P^{-1}(\theta)$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}Q(\theta)$  as function handles. We also define  $Q(\theta)$  and  $P(\theta)$  as function handles for flexibility.

More necessary second derivatives:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} &= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}g_{\lambda}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)g_{\lambda}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)g_{\lambda}(z) - \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}Q(\theta)g_{\lambda}(z) \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{H}_{\theta}\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{H}_{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{H}_{\theta} = -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}X + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)X + \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}Q(\theta)X \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{C}_{\theta} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{D}_{\theta} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{H}_{\theta}P^{-1}(\theta)\mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta}(P(\theta))^{-1}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}(P_{\theta}^{-1})\mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} \\ &\qquad \qquad + 2\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}P^{-1}(\theta)\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}P^{-1}(\theta)\mathbf{H}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{H}_{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}P^{-1}(\theta)\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}^{T}\right) \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{D}_{\theta} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{E}_{\theta} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} - \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\Sigma_{\theta}^{-1}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\frac{\partial Q(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} \\ &\qquad \qquad + 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} + \mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}Q(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T}\right) \end{split}$$

We don't define the second derivatives of  $\mathbf{C}_{\theta}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_{\theta}$  or  $\mathbf{m}_{\theta}$  as function handles because they will be scalars most of the time.

Computing  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \det(qC)^{-1/2}$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( -0.5 \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}) \right) = 0.25 \det(\tilde{q} \mathbf{C})^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}((qC)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (qC)) \\
-0.5 (\det(qC))^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}(-(qC)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (qC)(qC)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} qC + (qC)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} (qC))$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) \mathbf{C}_{\theta} + \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}$$

The first and second derivatives of  $\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$  (including  $\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$ ) were computed previously.

Computing 
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta}))$$

We don't implement  $(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}\mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1}$  or its derivatives as function handles, because they are typically scalars.

## **0.7** Computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(z_0 | \theta, \lambda, \mathbf{z})$

The part of equation (12) that depends on  $\lambda$  is

$$h(\lambda) := \underbrace{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} |g_{\lambda}'(z_{0j})|\right)}_{\text{Expr. 2}} \underbrace{\det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2}}_{\text{Expr. 2}} \underbrace{\left[1 + (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^{T} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_{0}) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})\right]^{-\frac{n-p+k}{2}}}_{\text{Expr. 3}}$$

For expression 1, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |g'_{\lambda}(z_{0j})| = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g'(z_{j0}) \prod_{i \neq j, i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone decreasing} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g'(z_{j0}) \prod_{i \neq j, i=1}^n g'_{\lambda}(z_{i0}) & g(\cdot) \text{ monotone increasing} \end{cases}$$

For expression 2, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \det(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}((\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}) \mathbf{C}_{\theta})$$

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}$  was computed previously. For expression 3, we obtain

$$\left(-\frac{n-p+k}{2}\right)\left[1+\left(g_{\lambda}(z_0)-\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}\right)^T\left(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}\right)^{-1}\left(g_{\lambda}(z_0)-\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]^{-\frac{n-p+k+2}{2}}\cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\left(g_{\lambda}(z_0)-\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}\right)^T\left(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}\right)^{-1}\left(g_{\lambda}(z_0)-\mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}\right)\right)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} \right) (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\
+ (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \right) (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda}) \\
+ (g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})^T (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z_0) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda})$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} = -(\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \right) (\tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\theta})^{-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbf{m}_{\theta,\lambda} = \mathbf{B}_{\theta} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z)$$

For convenience, partials of  $\tilde{q}_{\lambda,\theta}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}$  are repeated here:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{q}_{\theta,\lambda} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z) - X \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)^{T} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda}) + (g_{\lambda}(z) - X \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda})^{T} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z) - X \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\beta}_{\theta,\lambda} = (X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z)$$

#### 0.7.1 Some Derivatives of the Box Cox Transform

Note that the derivative of the Box Cox Transform w.r.t  $\lambda$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\lambda}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda x^{\lambda} \ln x - x^{\lambda} + 1}{\lambda^{2}} & \lambda \neq 0 \\ 0 & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

The second derivative is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} g_{\lambda}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{\lambda} (\ln x)^2}{\lambda} - \frac{2x^{\lambda} \ln x}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2(x^{\lambda} - 1)}{\lambda^3} & \lambda \neq 0 \\ 0 & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

### Ordinary Support Vector Regression

$$\min(\sum_{i} \ell([y - Ax]_i))$$

Insensitive loss function

$$\ell(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & |e| \le \epsilon \\ |e| - \epsilon & |e| \ge \epsilon \end{cases}$$

tf

### Areas that can be sped up

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_{\theta}) = \operatorname{tr}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Sigma_{\theta})$ . However we don't need to form the full kernel matrix: we only need the diagonal elements.

### References

- Bayesian Transformed Gaussian (De Oliveria, Kedem, and Short)
- Orthogonal Polynomials: Computation and Approximation by Gautschi