

Ott: Tool Support for Semantics

User Guide

version 0.29

Peter Sewell* Francesco Zappa Nardelli⁺ Scott Owens *

with Gilles Peskine*, Tom Ridge*,
Susmit Sarkar*, and Rok Strniša*

*University of Cambridge ⁺INRIA

August 1, 2019

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Getting started with Ott (the README)	5
2.1	Repository and Package	5
2.2	Directory contents	5
2.3	To build	5
2.3.1	With OPAM	5
2.3.2	Without OPAM	5
2.4	To run	6
2.5	Manual	6
2.6	Editor Plugins	6
2.6.1	Emacs mode	6
2.6.2	Visual Studio Code	6
2.7	Mailing lists	6
2.8	Web page with examples	6
2.9	Copyright information	7
3	A minimal Ott source file: the untyped CBV lambda calculus	7
3.1	Index variables	9
4	Generating L^AT_EX	9
4.1	Specifying L ^A T _E X for productions	11
4.2	Specifying L ^A T _E X for grammar rules	12
4.3	Using the L ^A T _E X code	12
5	Generating proof assistant definitions	13
5.1	Proof assistant code for grammar rules	14
5.2	Proof assistant code for inductive definitions	18
5.3	Representation of binding	19
5.4	Helper functions for free variable and substitution functions	19
5.5	Correctness of the generated proof assistant code	19
5.6	Using the generated proof assistant code	20
5.6.1	Coq	20
5.6.2	HOL	20
5.6.3	Isabelle	21
6	Judgments and formulae	21
6.1	Naming of premises for the Coq backend	22

6.2	In-line embedded prover code in premises	22
6.3	User syntax	22
7	Concrete terms and OCaml generation	22
8	Filtering: Using Ott syntax within L^AT_EX, Coq, Isabelle, HOL, or OCaml	23
8.1	Filtering embedded code	23
8.2	Filtering files	26
9	Binding specifications	26
10	Generating substitution and free variable functions	30
11	Locally-nameless representation	31
12	List forms	33
12.1	List dot forms	33
12.2	List comprehension forms	35
12.3	Proof assistant code for list forms	36
12.3.1	Types	36
12.3.2	Terms (in inductive definition rules)	37
12.3.3	List forms in homomorphisms	38
13	Subrules	39
14	Context rules	40
15	Auxiliary Rules	41
16	Functions	42
17	Parsing Priorities	43
18	Combining multiple source files	43
19	Hom blocks	45
20	Isabelle syntax support	46
21	Isabelle code generation example	46
22	Reference: Command-line usage	48
23	Reference: The language of symbolic terms	50
24	Reference: Generation of proof assistant definitions	52
24.1	Generation of types	53
24.2	Generation of functions	53
24.2.1	Subrule predicates	54
24.2.2	Binding auxiliaries	54
24.2.3	Free variables	54
24.2.4	Substitutions	54
24.3	Generation of relations	54
25	Reference: Summary of homomorphisms	55
26	Reference: The Ott source grammar	57
27	Reference: Examples	59

List of Figures

1	Source: <code>test10.0.ott</code>	7
2	Source: <code>test10.2.ott</code>	10
3	Generated L ^A T _E X: <code>test10.2.tex</code>	11

4	Source: <code>test10.4.ott</code>	13
5	Generated Coq: <code>test10.v</code>	15
6	Generated Isabelle: <code>test10.thy</code>	16
7	Generated HOL: <code>test10Script.sml</code>	17
8	Source: <code>test10.7.ott</code>	24
9	Generated OCaml code: <code>test10.ml</code>	25
10	F _{<} : Extracts: L ^A T _E X source file to be filtered (<code>test7tt.mng</code>)	27
11	F _{<} : Extracts: the filtered output (<code>test7tt.tex</code>)	28
12	Mini-Ott in Ott: the binding specification metalanguage	30
13	A sample OCaml semantic rule, in L ^A T _E X and Ott source forms	33
14	An Ott source file for basic arithmetic using the typical parsing priorities	44
15	An <code>ott</code> source file for the <code>let</code> fragment of TAPL	45
16	Hom Sections: <code>test10.homs.ott</code>	47
17	Mini-Ott in Ott: symbolic terms	50

1 Introduction

Ott is a tool for writing definitions of programming languages and calculi. It takes as input a definition of a language syntax and semantics, in a concise and readable ASCII notation that is close to what one would write in informal mathematics. It generates output:

1. a \LaTeX source file that defines commands to build a typeset version of the definition;
2. a Coq version of the definition;
3. a HOL version of the definition;
4. an Isabelle/HOL version of the definition;
5. a Lem version of the definition;
6. an OCaml version of the syntax of the definition.

Additionally, it can be run as a filter, taking a \LaTeX /Coq/Isabelle/HOL/Lem/OCaml source file with embedded (symbolic) terms of the defined language, parsing them and replacing them by typeset terms.

This document is a user guide for the tool. The papers

- Ott: Effective Tool Support for the Working Semanticist. Peter Sewell, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, Scott Owens, Gilles Peskine, Thomas Ridge, Susmit Sarkar, Rok Strniša. Journal of Functional Programming 20(1):71-122, 2010 [SZNO⁺10].
- Ott: Effective Tool Support for the Working Semanticist. Peter Sewell, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, Scott Owens, Gilles Peskine, Thomas Ridge, Susmit Sarkar, Rok Strniša. ICFP'07 [SZNO⁺07].

give an overview of the project, including discussion of motivation, design decisions, and related work, and one should look at that together with this manual. The project web page

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/pes20/ott/>

links to the github source repository, with a BSD-style licence. It also has a range of examples, including untyped and simply typed CBV lambda calculus, ML polymorphism, various first-order systems from Pierce's TAPL [Pie02], the POPLmark $F_{<}$ language [ABF⁺05], a module system by Leroy [Ler96, §4] (extended with a term language and an operational semantics), the LJ Java fragment and LJAM Java module system [SSP07], and a substantial fragment of OCaml.

Our main goal is to support work on large programming language definitions, where the scale makes it hard to keep a definition internally consistent, and hard to keep a tight correspondence between a definition and implementations. We also wish to ease rapid prototyping work with smaller calculi, and to make it easier to exchange definitions and definition fragments between groups. Most simply, the tool can be used to aid completely informal \LaTeX mathematics. Here it permits the definition, and terms within proofs and exposition, to be written in a clear, editable, ASCII notation, without \LaTeX noise. It generates good-quality typeset output. By parsing (and so sort-checking) this input, it quickly catches a range of simple errors, e.g. inconsistent use of judgement forms or metavariable naming conventions. That same input, extended with some additional data, can be used to generate formal definitions for Coq, HOL, Isabelle, and Lem. It should thereby enable a smooth transition between use of informal and formal mathematics. Further, the tool can automatically generate definitions of functions for free variables, single and multiple substitutions, subgrammar checks (e.g. for value subgrammars), and binding auxiliary functions. Ott supports a 'fully concrete' representation, sufficient for many examples but not dealing with general alpha equivalence. An experimental Coq backend generates definitions in locally-nameless style for a subset of the Ott metalanguage. The OCaml backend generates type definitions that may be useful for developing a complete implementation of the language, together with the functions listed above. It does not generate anything for inductively defined relations (the various proof-assistant code extraction facilities can sometimes be used for that). Our focus here is on the problem of writing and editing language definitions, not (directly) on aiding mechanized proof of metatheory. If one is involved in hard proofs about a relatively stable small calculus then it will aid only a small part of the work (and one might choose instead to work just within a single proof assistant), but for larger languages the definition is a more substantial problem — so much so that only a handful of full-scale languages have been given complete definitions. We aim to make this more commonplace, less of a heroic task.

2 Getting started with Ott (the README)

A tool for writing definitions of programming languages and calculi
by Peter Sewell, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, and Scott Owens.

2.1 Repository and Package

Ott is now available from github, and as an opam package.

We no longer provide non-github tarballs or a Windows distribution.

2.2 Directory contents

directory	description
aux/	auxiliary code (y2l) used to build the user guide
bin/	the Ott binary
built_doc/	the user guide, in html, pdf, and ps
coq/	auxiliary files for Coq
doc/	the user guide sources
emacs/	an Ott Emacs mode
examples/	some larger example Ott files
tex/	auxiliary files for LaTeX
hol/	auxiliary files for HOL
menhir/	auxiliary files for menhir
ocamlgraph-1.7.tar.gz	a copy of the ocamlgraph library
regression/	regression-test machinery
tests/	various small example Ott files
src/	the (OCaml) Ott sources
Makefile	a Makefile for the examples
LICENCE	the BSD-style licence terms
README.md	this file (Section 2 of the user guide)
revisionhistory.txt	the revision history

2.3 To build

2.3.1 With OPAM

If you have OPAM installed on your system, `opam install ott` will install the latest Ott version. The Emacs mode will be in `$(opam config var prefix)/share/emacs/site-lisp`, and documentation in `$(opam config var prefix)/doc/ott`.

To install the Ott auxiliary files for Coq, first activate the `coq-released` OPAM repository:

```
opam repo add coq-released https://coq.inria.fr/opam/released
```

and then run `opam install coq-ott`.

2.3.2 Without OPAM

Ott depends on OCaml version 4.00.0 or later. It builds with (at least) OCaml 4.02.3.

The command `make` (`make world`) builds the `ott` binary in the `bin/` subdirectory.

This will compile Ott using `ocamlopt`. To force it to compile with `ocamlc` (which may give significantly slower execution of Ott), do `make world.byt`.

To build the Ott auxiliary files for Coq, go to the `coq/` subdirectory and run `make`. To install the resulting files in Coq's `user-contrib`, run `make install`.

2.4 To run

Ott runs as a command-line tool. Executing `bin/ott` shows the usage and options. To run Ott on the test file `tests/test10.ott`, generating LaTeX in `test10.tex` and Coq in `test10.v`, type:

```
bin/ott -i tests/test10.ott -o test10.tex -o test10.v
```

Isabelle, HOL, and Lem can be generated with options `-o test10.thy`, `-o test10Script.sml`, and `-o test10.lem`, respectively.

The Makefile has various sample targets, `make tests/test10.out`, `make test7`, etc. Typically they generate:

filename	description
<code>out.tex</code>	LaTeX source for a definition
<code>out.ps</code>	the postscript built from that
<code>out.v</code>	Coq source
<code>outScript.sml</code>	HOL source
<code>out.thy</code>	Isabelle source

from files `test10.ott`, `test8.ott`, etc., in `tests/`.

2.5 Manual

- in the Ott github `built_doc` directory, or
- [here](#) (html)

2.6 Editor Plugins

2.6.1 Emacs mode

The file `emacs/ott-mode.el` defines a very simple Emacs mode for syntax highlighting of Ott source files. It can be used by, for example, adding the following to your `.emacs` file, replacing `PATH` by a path to your Ott Emacs directory.

```
(setq load-path (cons (expand-file-name "PATH") load-path))
(require 'ott-mode)
```

For installations using OPAM on *nix systems, it is sufficient to use the following code, which will call `opam config var prefix` at load-time.

```
(setq opam-share (substring (shell-command-to-string "opam config var share") 0 -1))
(add-to-list 'load-path (concat opam-share "/emacs/site-lisp"))
(require 'ott-mode)
```

2.6.2 Visual Studio Code

There is a plugin for VSCode, which features syntax highlighting and inline error reporting.

2.7 Mailing lists

- [cl-ott-announce](#) announcement mailing list
- [cl-ott-discuss](#) discussion mailing list

2.8 Web page with examples

- [here](#)

```

% minimal
metavar termvar, x ::=

grammar
t :: 't_' ::=
| x          :: :: Var
| \ x . t    :: :: Lam
| t t'       :: :: App
| ( t )      :: S:: Paren
| { t / x } t' :: M:: Tsub

v :: 'v_' ::=
| \ x . t    :: :: Lam

subrules
v <:: t

defs
Jop :: '' ::=

defn
t1 --> t2 :: ::reduce::'' by

----- :: ax_app
(\x.t12) v2 --> {v2/x}t12

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_fun
t1 t --> t1' t

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'

```

Figure 1: Source: test10.0.ott

2.9 Copyright information

The ocamlgraph library is distributed under the LGPL (from <http://www.lri.fr/~filliatr/ftp/ocamlgraph/>); we include a snapshot for convenience. For its authorship and copyright information see the files therein.

All other files are distributed under the BSD-style licence in LICENCE.

3 A minimal Ott source file: the untyped CBV lambda calculus

Fig. 1 shows an Ott source file for an untyped call-by-value (CBV) lambda calculus. This section explains the basic features that appear there, while in the following sections we show what must be added to generate typeset output, proof assistant definitions, and other things. The figure is colourised, with Ott keywords like **this** and Ott symbols such as **|** and **::**. Other user-specific input appears like **this**. Any text between **%** and the next newline is discarded as a comment.

At the top of the figure, the **metavar** declaration introduces a sort of *metavariables* **termvar** (with synonym **x**), for term variables. The following **grammar** introduces two grammar rules, one for terms, with *nonterminal root* **t**, and one for values **v**. This specifies the concrete syntax of object-language terms, the abstract syntax representations for proof-assistant mathematics, and the syntax of symbolic terms to be used in semantic rules.

Each rule has a rule name prefix (e.g. **'t_'**) and then a list of productions. Each production, e.g.

```
| \ x . t    :: :: Lam
```

specifies a syntactic form as a list of elements, here ‘\’, ‘x’, ‘.’, and ‘t’, each of which is either a metavariable (the ‘x’), a nonterminal (the ‘t’), or a terminal (\ . () { } / -->). Within productions all elements must be whitespace-separated, so that the tool can deduce which are terminals. In the symbolic terms in the semantic rules below, however, whitespace is required only where necessary. A few terminals have to be quoted (with ‘ ’’) if they appear in a grammar, e.g. to use | as an object-language token, as they are part of the Ott syntax, but they do not have to be quoted at usage points. (If one accidentally omits inter-token whitespace in the grammar, the output of Ott can be surprising. This is best diagnosed by looking at the colourised ASCII or L^AT_EX output from Ott.)

Metavariables and nonterminals can be formed from the specified metavariable and nonterminal roots by appending a suffix, e.g. the nonterminal **t**’ in the **App** and **Tsub** productions.

Between the ::’s is an optional meta flag **M** or **S**. Non-meta productions give rise to clauses of datatype definitions in the Isabelle/Coq/HOL output, whereas meta productions do not. Later, we will see how the user can specify how meta syntax should be translated away when generating proof assistant output. The two flags **M** and **S** are identical except that productions with the latter are admitted when parsing example concrete terms; the **S** tag is thus appropriate for lightweight syntactic sugar, such as productions for parentheses. One can also use an **X** flag here to suppress a production in the generated LaTeX.

Each production has a production name (e.g. **t_Lam**), composed of the rule name prefix (here **t_**) and the production name kernel that follows the ::’s (here **Lam**). The production name is used as a constructor name in the generated Isabelle/Coq/HOL.

The tool supports arbitrary context-free grammars, extended with special constructs for list forms (c.f. §12).

Following the **grammar** in this example is a **subrule** declaration

```
subrules
  v <:: t
```

declaring that the **v** grammar rule (of values) is a subgrammar of the **t** rule (of terms). The tool checks that there is in fact a subgrammar relationship, i.e. that for each production of the lower rule there exists a production of the higher rule with corresponding elements (up to the subrule relation). The subrule declaration means that, in the semantic rules below, we will be able to use **v**’s in places where the grammar specifies **t**’s. In the generated Isabelle/Coq/HOL for this example only one free datatype will be generated, for the **t** rule, while for the **v** rule we generate an **is_v** predicate over the **t** type. Usages of **v** nonterminals in the semantic rules will have instances of this predicate automatically inserted.

Finally, we give a collection of definitions of inductive relations. In this example there is just one family of definitions (of operational judgements), introduced by the **defns Jop**; it contains just one definition of a relation, called **reduce**. In general there may be many **defns** blocks, each of which introduces a mutually recursive collection of **defns**. The relation definition **defn ...** also includes a grammar production specifying how elements of the relation can be written and typeset, here

```
t1 --> t2
```

As in the main grammar, the tokens of this syntax definition in the header must be space-separated, but usages of the syntax generally need not be. Syntax rules for each family of judgements, and for their union, are implicitly generated. The relation definition is given by a sequence of inference rules, each with a horizontal line separating a number of premises from a conclusion, for example as below.

```
t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'
```

The conclusion must be a symbolic term of the form of the judgement being defined. In simple cases (as here) the premises can be symbolic terms of the form of any of the defined judgements. More generally (see §6) they can be symbolic terms of a user-defined **formula** grammar, or in-line embedded prover code. Each rule has a name, composed of a definition family prefix (here empty), a definition prefix (here also empty) and a kernel (the **ctx_app_arg**).

The symbolic terms in semantic rules are parsed with a scannerless parser, built using parser combinators over character-list inputs. The parser searches for all parses of the input. If none are found, the ASCII

and TeX output are annotated **no parses**, with a copy of the input with ******* inserted at the point where the last token was read. This is often at the point of the error (though if, for example, a putative dot form is read but the two element lists cannot be anti-unified, it will be after the point of the error). If multiple parses are found, the TeX output is annotated **multiple parses** and the different parses are output to the console in detail during the Ott run. If the option **picky_multiple_parses** is set to **true**, multiple parses are always reported. If it set to **false**, a symbolic term is considered ambiguous only if two different parses compile to different strings (for a target). The parser combinators use memoization and continuation-passing to achieve reasonable performance on the small symbolic terms that are typical in semantic rules. Their performance on large (whole-program size) examples is untested. To resolve ambiguity one can add metaproductions for parentheses (as in Fig. 1), or production-name annotations in particular symbolic terms, e.g. the **:t_tsub:** in the **AppAbs** rule of the **POPLmark** example, **test7.ott**. There is currently no support for precedence or associativity.

This file is included in the distribution as **tests/test10.0.ott**. It can be processed by executing

```
bin/ott -i tests/test10.0.ott
```

from the main directory. This simply reads in the file, checking that it is well-formed. Adding options:

```
bin/ott -show_sort true -show_defns true -i tests/test10.0.ott
```

it echos a colourised version to the screen, with metavariables in red, nonterminals in yellow, terminals in green, and object variables in white. The colourisation uses vt220 control codes; if they do not work on your screen add **-colour false** to the middle of the command line. To suppress the echo of the definition, add **-show_post_sort false** and **-show_defns false**.

3.1 Index variables

In addition to the **metavar** declarations above, the user can declare any number of distinguished *index* metavariables, e.g. by:

```
indexvar index, i, j, n, m ::= {{ isa num }} {{ coq nat }} {{ hol num }}
```

Given such a declaration, **index**, **i**, **j**, **n** and **m** can be used in suffixes, e.g. in the production

```
| ( t1 , .... , tn ) :: :: Tuple
```

There is a fixed ad-hoc language of suffixes, including numbers, primes, and index variables (see §23). Index metavariables cannot themselves be suffixed.

4 Generating L^AT_EX

The example from the previous section can already be used to generate L^AT_EX, for example by executing

```
bin/ott -i tests/test10.0.ott -o out.tex
```

to produce a L^AT_EX file **out.tex**. One often needs to fine-tune the default typesetting, as illustrated in Figure 2 (the Ott source) and Figure 3 (the resulting L^AT_EX). (The latter was built using the additional option **-tex_show_meta false**, to suppress display of the metaproductions.) The source file has three additions to the previous file. Firstly, the **metavar** declaration is annotated with a specification of how metavariables should be translated to L^AT_EX:

```
metavar termvar, x ::=
  {{ tex \mathit{[[termvar]]} }}
```

Inside the **{{ tex ... }}** is some L^AT_EX code **\mathit{[[termvar]]}** giving the translation of a **termvar** or **x**. Here they are typeset in math italic (which in fact is also the default). Within the translation, the metavariable itself can be mentioned inside double square brackets **[[...]]**.

Secondly, there is a grammar for a distinguished nonterminal root **terminals**, with a **{{ tex ... }}** translation for each, overriding the default typesetting of some terminals. Note that the other terminals (**.** **(** **)** **{** **}** **/**) are still given their default typesetting.

```

% minimal + latex + comments
metavar termvar, x ::=
  {{ tex \mathit{[[termvar]]} }}

grammar
t :: 't_' ::=                                {{ com term    }}
  | x                :: :: Var                {{ com variable}}
  | \ x . t          :: :: Lam                {{ com lambda  }}
  | t t'             :: :: App                {{ com app     }}
  | ( t )            :: S:: Paren
  | { t / x } t'     :: M:: Tsub

v :: 'v_' ::=                                {{ com value    }}
  | \ x . t          :: :: Lam                {{ com lambda  }}

terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
  | \                :: :: lambda {{ tex \lambda }}
  | -->              :: :: red    {{ tex \longrightarrow }}

subrules
v <:: t

defns
Jop :: '' ::=

defn
t1 --> t2 :: ::reduce::'' {{ com $[[t1]]$ reduces to $[[t2]]$}} by

----- :: ax_app
(\x.t12) v2 --> {v2/x}t12

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_fun
t1 t --> t1' t

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'

```

Figure 2: Source: test10.2.ott

$termvar, x$		
t	$::=$	term
	x	variable
	$\lambda x.t$	lambda
	$t t'$	app
	(t)	S

v	$::=$	value
	$\lambda x.t$	lambda

$\boxed{t_1 \longrightarrow t_2}$ t_1 reduces to t_2

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{(\lambda x.t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow \{v_2/x\}t_{12}} \quad \text{AX_APP} \\
\\
\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t \longrightarrow t'_1 t} \quad \text{CTX_APP_FUN} \\
\\
\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{v t_1 \longrightarrow v t'_1} \quad \text{CTX_APP_ARG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 3: Generated L^AT_EX: test10.2.tex

```

terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
| \      :: :: lambda  {{ tex \lambda }}
| -->    :: :: red    {{ tex \longrightarrow }}

```

Thirdly, the file has `com` comments, including the `{{ com term }}` attached to a grammar rule, the `{{ com variable }}` attached to a production, and the `{{ com [[t1]] reduces to [[t2]] }}` attached to a semantic relation. These appear in the L^AT_EX output as shown in Figure 3.

4.1 Specifying L^AT_EX for productions

One can also specify `tex` translations for productions, overriding the default L^AT_EX typesetting, e.g. as in this example of a type abstraction production.

```

| X <: T . t    :: :: TLam  {{ tex \Lambda [[X]] [[<:]] [[T]]. \, [[t]] }}

```

These *homomorphisms*, or *homs*¹, can refer to the metavariables and nonterminals that occur in the production, e.g. the `[[X]]`, `[[T]]`, and `[[t]]` in the `tex` hom above, interleaved with arbitrary strings and with typeset elements of the `terminals` grammar, e.g. the `[[<:]]`.

Homomorphisms are applied recursively down the structure of symbolic terms. For example, an `F<:` term

$(\backslash X<:T_{11}.t_{12}) [T_2]$

would be L^AT_EX-pretty-printed, using the `tex` clause above, as

```

( \, \Lambda \mathit{X} <: \mathit{T}_{\mathrm{11}} . \, \mathit{t}_{\mathrm{12}} \, \, \backslash, \, )
\, \, [ \, \, \mathit{T}_{\mathrm{2}} \, \, \backslash, \, ]

```

which is typeset as below.

$$(\Lambda X <: T_{11}.t_{12}) [T_2]$$

Note the `X`, `T11` and `t12` of the symbolic term are used to instantiate the formal parameters `X`, `T` and `t` of the homomorphism definition clause. If the `t` itself had compound term structure, e.g. as below

$(\backslash X<:T. \backslash X'<:T'.x)$

the homomorphism would be applied recursively, producing

¹Strictly, clauses of primitive recursive function definitions from symbolic terms to strings, here of L^AT_EX code.

```
( \, \Lambda \mathit{X} <: \mathit{T} . \, \, \Lambda \mathit{X}' <: \mathit{T}'
. \, \, \mathit{x} \, \, \, \, )
```

typeset as follows.

$$(\Lambda X <: T. \Lambda X' <: T'.x)$$

Where there is no user-supplied homomorphism clause the \LaTeX pretty-printing defaults to a sequence of the individual items separated by thin spaces ($\,$), with reasonable default fonts and making use of the `terminals` grammar where appropriate.

4.2 Specifying \LaTeX for grammar rules

Grammar rules can include a `tex` hom specifying how all the nonterminal roots should be typeset, e.g.

```
type, t, s :: Typ_ ::= {{ tex \mathsf{[[type]]} }}
| unit                :: :: unit
| type * type'        :: :: pair
| type -> type'        :: :: fun
```

Alternatively, the individual nonterminal roots can have `tex` homs specifying how they should be typeset:

```
G {{ tex \Gamma }} , D {{ tex \Delta }} :: 'G_' ::=
| empty                :: :: empty
| G , x : T            :: :: term
```

permitting the user to write G' , D_{12} etc. in symbolic terms, to be typeset as Γ' , Δ_{12} , etc.

4.3 Using the \LaTeX code

The generated \LaTeX code can be used in two main ways. By default, Ott generates a stand-alone \LaTeX file, with a standard wrapper (including a `\documentclass`, various macro definitions, and a main body), that gives the complete system definition.

The default header can be overridden by writing `embed {{ tex-wrap-pre ... }}` and the default footer by writing `embed {{ tex-wrap-post ... }}`. Alternatively, the program option `-tex_wrap false` with the `-tex_wrap false` command-line argument, one can generate a file that can be included in other \LaTeX files, that just defines macros to typeset various parts of the system (`-tex_wrap false` overrides any `tex-wrap-pre/tex-wrap-post` embeds).

The generated \LaTeX output is factored into individual \LaTeX commands: for the metavariable declarations, each rule of the syntax definition, the collected syntax (`\ottgrammar`), each rule of the inductive relation definitions, the collected rules for each relation, the collected rules for each `defns` block, the union of those (`\ottdefns`) and the whole (`\ottall`). This makes it possible to quote individual parts of the definition, possibly out-of-order, in a paper or technical report.

If one needs to include more than one system in a single \LaTeX document, the `ott` prefix can be replaced using the `-tex_name_prefix` command-line argument.

The generated \LaTeX is factored through some common style macros, e.g. to typeset a comment, a production, and a grammar. If necessary these can be redefined in an `embed` block (see Section 8.1). For example, the file `tests/squishtex.ott`

```
embed
{{ tex-preamble
\renewcommand{\[[TEX_NAME_PREFIX]]grammartabular}[1]
  {\begin{minipage}{\columnwidth}\begin{tabular}{ll}#1\end{tabular}\end{minipage} }
\renewcommand{\[[TEX_NAME_PREFIX]]rulehead}[3]
  {\$#1\$ \$#2\$ & \$#3\$}
\renewcommand{\[[TEX_NAME_PREFIX]]prodline}[6]
  { \quad $#1\$ \quad $#2\$ & \quad $#3\$ #4\$ \$#5\$ \$#6\$}
\renewcommand{\[[TEX_NAME_PREFIX]]interrule}
  {\[2.0mm]}
}}
```

```

% minimal + binding + subst + coq/hol/isa
metavar termvar, x ::=
{{ isa string}} {{ coq nat}} {{ hol string}} {{ coq-equality }}

grammar
t :: 't_' ::=
| x          :: :: Var
| \ x . t     :: :: Lam    (+ bind x in t +)
| t t'        :: :: App
| ( t )       :: S:: Paren  {{ icho [[t]] }}
| { t / x } t' :: M:: Tsub   {{ icho (tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t']) }}

v :: 'v_' ::=
| \ x . t     :: :: Lam

subrules
v <:: t

substitutions
single t x :: tsubst

defs
Jop :: '' ::=

defn
t1 --> t2 :: ::reduce::'' by

----- :: ax_app
(\x.t12) v2 --> {v2/x}t12

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_fun
t1 t --> t1' t

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'

```

Figure 4: Source: test10.4.ott

defines a more compact style for grammars. Note that the `[[TEX_NAME_PREFIX]]` is replaced by whatever prefix is in force, so such style files can be reused in different contexts.

A more sophisticated \LaTeX package `ottlayout.sty`, providing fine control of how inference rules and grammars should be typeset, is contained in the `tex` directory of the distribution. It is described in the manual therein.

5 Generating proof assistant definitions

To generate proof assistant definitions, for Coq, Isabelle, and HOL, the minimal Ott source file of Section 3/Figure 1 must be extended with a modest amount of additional data, as shown in Figure 4. Executing

```
bin/ott -i tests/test10.4.ott -o out.v -o out.thy -o outScript.sml
```

generates Coq `out.v`, Isabelle `out.thy`, and HOL `outScript.sml`, shown in Figures 5, 6, and 7. The additional data can be combined with the annotations for \LaTeX of the previous section, but those are omitted here. We add four things. First, we specify proof assistant types to represent object-language variables — in this example, choosing the `string` type of Isabelle and HOL, and the `nat` type for Coq:

```
metavar termvar, x ::=
  {{ isa string }} {{ coq nat }} {{ hol string }} {{ coq-equality }}
```

For Coq output, one can specify `{{ coq-equality proof-script }}` to build a decidable equality over the Coq representation type using the proof *proof-script*. If the script is omitted, as in this example, it defaults to

Proof.

```
decide equality; auto with ott_coq_equality arith.
```

Defined.

where the `ott_coq_equality` database contains the decidable equalities of the representation types defined in the source. It is possible to suppress type generation for specific metavariables or nonterminals, by adding the declaration `{{ phantom }}`. This is useful in some cases, for instance to avoid duplicate definitions of types already defined in an imported library. Any type homs are taken into account when the metavariable or nonterminal root is output as a type.

Second, we specify what the binding is in the object language, with the `(+ bind x in t +)` annotation on the Lam production:

```
| \ x . t      :: :: Lam      (+ bind x in t +)
```

Section 9 describes the full language of binding specifications.

Third, we add a block

```
substitutions
  single t x :: tsubst
```

to cause Ott to generate Coq/Isabelle/HOL definitions of a substitution function, with name root `tsubst`, replacing metavariables `x` by terms `t`. This is for single substitutions; multiple substitution functions (taking lists of substitutand/substitute pairs) can also be generated with the keyword `multiple`. Substitution functions are generated for all rules of the grammar for which they might be required — here, just over `t`, with a function named `tsubst_t`.

Finally, we specify translations for the metaproductions:

```
| ( t )      :: S:: Paren    {{ icho [[t]] }}
| { t / x } t' :: M:: Tsub    {{ icho (tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t']]]) }}
```

These specify that `(t)` should be translated into just the translation of `t`, whereas `{t/x}t'` should be translated into the proof-assistant application of `tsubst_t` to the translations of `t`, `x`, and `t'`. The (admittedly terse) `icho` specifies that these translations should be done uniformly for Isabelle, Coq, HOL, and OCaml output. One can also specify just one of these, writing `{{ coq ... }}`, `{{ hol ... }}`, `{{ isa ... }}`, or `{{ ocaml ... }}`, or include several, with different translations for each. There are also abbreviated forms `ich`, `ic`, `ch`, and `ih`. The body of a proof assistant hom should normally include outer parentheses, as in the `Tsub` hom above, so that it is parsed correctly by the proof assistant in all contexts.

5.1 Proof assistant code for grammar rules

The normal behaviour is to generate a free proof assistant type for each (non-subrule, non-phantom) grammar rule. For example, the Coq compilation for `t` here generates a free type with three constructors:

```
Inductive term : Set :=
  | t_var (x:var)
  | t_lam (x:var) (t:term)
  | t_app (t:term) (t':term).
```

(note that the metaproductions do not give rise to constructors).

Remark: prior to version 0.20.2, the free type generated for Coq was

```
Inductive term : Set :=
  | t_var : var -> term
```

```

(* generated by Ott 0.29 from: ../tests/test10.ott ../tests/non_super_tabular.ott *)

Require Import Arith.
Require Import Bool.
Require Import List.

Definition var := nat. (*r term variable *)
Lemma eq_var: forall (x y : var), {x = y} + {x <> y}.
Proof.
  decide equality; auto with ott_coq_equality arith.
Defined.
Hint Resolve eq_var : ott_coq_equality.

Inductive term : Set := (*r term *)
| t_var (x:var) (*r variable *)
| t_lam (x:var) (t:term) (*r lambda *)
| t_app (t:term) (t':term) (*r app *).

(** subrules *)
Definition is_val_of_term (t5:term) : Prop :=
  match t5 with
  | (t_var x) => False
  | (t_lam x t) => (True)
  | (t_app t t') => False
end.

(** library functions *)
Fixpoint list_mem A (eq:forall a b:A,{a=b}+{a<>b}) (x:A) (l:list A) {struct l} : bool :=
  match l with
  | nil => false
  | cons h t => if eq h x then true else list_mem A eq x t
end.
Arguments list_mem [A] _ _ ..

(** substitutions *)
Fixpoint tsubst_term (t5:term) (x5:var) (t6:term) {struct t6} : term :=
  match t6 with
  | (t_var x) => (if eq_var x x5 then t5 else (t_var x))
  | (t_lam x t) => t_lam x (if list_mem eq_var x5 (cons x nil) then t else (tsubst_term t5 x5 t))
  | (t_app t t') => t_app (tsubst_term t5 x5 t) (tsubst_term t5 x5 t')
end.

(** definitions *)

(* defs Jop *)
Inductive reduce : term -> term -> Prop := (* defn reduce *)
| ax_app : forall (x:var) (t1 v2:term),
  is_val_of_term v2 ->
  reduce (t_app (t_lam x t1) v2) (tsubst_term v2 x t1 )
| ctx_app_fun : forall (t1 t t1':term),
  reduce t1 t1' ->
  reduce (t_app t1 t) (t_app t1' t)
| ctx_app_arg : forall (v t1 t1':term),
  is_val_of_term v ->
  reduce t1 t1' ->
  reduce (t_app v t1) (t_app v t1').

```

Figure 5: Generated Coq:test10.v

```

(* generated by Ott 0.29 from: ../tests/test10.ott ../tests/non_super_tabular.ott *)
theory test10
imports Main
begin

type_synonym "var" = "string" \term variable\<close>
datatype "term" = \term\<close>
  t_var "var"    \variable\<close>
  | t_lam "var" "term"    \lambda\<close>
  | t_app "term" "term"    \app\<close>

(** subrules *)
primrec
is_val_of_term :: "term => bool"
where
"is_val_of_term (t_var x) = (False)"
| "is_val_of_term (t_lam x t) = ((True))"
| "is_val_of_term (t_app t t') = (False)"

(** substitutions *)
primrec
tsubst_term :: "term => var => term => term"
where
"tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_var x) = ((if x=x5 then t5 else (t_var x)))"
| "tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_lam x t) = (t_lam x (if x5 : set [x] then t else (tsubst_term t5 x5 t)))"
| "tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_app t t') = (t_app (tsubst_term t5 x5 t) (tsubst_term t5 x5 t'))"

(** definitions *)
(* defs Jop *)
inductive reduce :: "term \ $\rightarrow$  term \ $\rightarrow$  bool"
where
(* defn reduce *)

ax_appI: "\lbrack is_val_of_term v2 \rbrack \ $\rightarrow$ 
reduce ((t_app (t_lam x t1) v2)) (tsubst_term v2 x t1 )"

| ctx_app_funI: "\lbrack reduce (t1) (t1') \rbrack \ $\rightarrow$ 
reduce ((t_app t1 t)) ((t_app t1' t))"

| ctx_app_argI: "\lbrack is_val_of_term v ;
reduce (t1) (t1') \rbrack \ $\rightarrow$ 
reduce ((t_app v t1)) ((t_app v t1'))"

end

```

Figure 6: Generated Isabelle:test10.thy


```

(* generated by Ott 0.29 from: ../tests/test10.ott ../tests/non_super_tabular.ott *)
(* to compile: Holmake test10Theory.uo *)
(* for interactive use:
   app load ["pred_setTheory","finite_mapTheory","stringTheory","containerTheory","ottLib"];
*)

open HolKernel boolLib Parse bossLib ottLib;
infix THEN THENC |-> ## ;
local open arithmeticTheory stringTheory containerTheory pred_setTheory listTheory
      finite_mapTheory in end;

val _ = new_theory "test10";

val _ = type_abbrev("var", ``:string``); (* term variable *)
val _ = Hol_datatype `
term = (* term *)
  t_var of var (* variable *)
  | t_lam of var => term (* lambda *)
  | t_app of term => term (* app *)
`;

(** subrules *)
val _ = ottDefine "is_val_of_term" `
  ( is_val_of_term (t_var x) = F)
/\ ( is_val_of_term (t_lam x t) = (T))
/\ ( is_val_of_term (t_app t t') = F)
`;

(** substitutions *)
val _ = ottDefine "tsubst_term" `
  ( tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_var x) = (if x=x5 then t5 else (t_var x)))
/\ ( tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_lam x t) = t_lam x (if MEM x5 [x] then t else (tsubst_term t5 x5 t)))
/\ ( tsubst_term t5 x5 (t_app t t') = t_app (tsubst_term t5 x5 t) (tsubst_term t5 x5 t'))
`;

(** definitions *)
(* defs Jop *)

val (Jop_rules, Jop_ind, Jop_cases) = Hol_reln`
(* defn reduce *)

( (* ax_app *) ! (x:var) (t1:term) (v2:term) . (clause_name "ax_app") /\
((is_val_of_term v2))
==>
( ( reduce (t_app (t_lam x t1) v2) (tsubst_term v2 x t1 ) )))

/\ ( (* ctx_app_fun *) ! (t1:term) (t:term) (t1':term) . (clause_name "ctx_app_fun") /\
(( ( reduce t1 t1' )))
==>
( ( reduce (t_app t1 t) (t_app t1' t) )))

/\ ( (* ctx_app_arg *) ! (v:term) (t1:term) (t1':term) . (clause_name "ctx_app_arg") /\
((is_val_of_term v) /\
( ( reduce t1 t1' )))
==>
( ( reduce (t_app v t1) (t_app v t1') )))

`;

val _ = export_theory ();

```

Figure 7: Generated HOL :test10Script.sml

```
| t_lam : var -> term -> term
| t_app : term -> term -> term.
```

but we found that trying to preserve the names specified by the user is helpful later, when doing proofs. Whenever a clash is detected, or for list forms, the wildcard `_` is used. The old behaviour can be obtained via the top-level option `-coq.names.in.rules false`.

By default the order of the arguments to those constructors follows the order in which they appear in the production. That can be overridden with an `order` hom. For example, if for some reason (perhaps compatibility with other Coq code) one wished the arguments to `t_Lam` to be reversed:

```
| t_Lam : t -> termvar -> t
```

one could add an `order` hom as below.

```
| \ x . t      :: :: Lam  {{ order [[t]] [[x]] }}
```

Instead of using the generated free type, one can specify an arbitrary proof assistant representation type, annotating the grammar rule with a `coq`, `isa`, `hol`, or `ocaml` hom — for example, in the following grammar for substitutions.

```
s {{ tex \sigma }} :: 'S_' ::= {{ com multiple subst }} {{ isa (termvar*t) list }}
| [ x |-> t ]      :: :: singleton  {{ isa [ ([x],[t]) ] }}
| s1 , .. , sn    :: :: list       {{ isa List.concat [[s1 .. sn]] }}
```

Here the `{{ isa (termvar*t) list }}` hom specifies that in Isabelle output this type be represented as an Isabelle `(termvar*t) list` instead of the default free inductive type; all the productions are metaproductions (tagged `M`); and `isa` homs for each production specify how they should be translated into that Isabelle type. This feature must be used with care, as any Ott-generated functions, e.g. substitution functions, cannot recurse through such user-defined types.

Grammar rules (whether free or non-free) can also include a `coq equality` hom, instructing the Coq code generator to derive a decidable equality for the Coq representation type. For example, the ML polymorphism Ott source of `test8.ott` includes the following.

```
typvar :: TV_ ::= {{ coq-equality decide equality. apply eq_value_name_t. }}
| ' ident      :: :: ident
```

The Coq/HOL/Isabelle/OCaml type name for a grammar rule, or for a metavariable declaration, is normally taken to be just its primary nonterminal root. Occasionally it is useful to work around a clash between a metavar or nonterminal primary root and a proof assistant symbol, e.g. `T` in HOL or `value` in Isabelle. For this, one can add a `coq`, `hol`, `isa`, or `ocaml` hom to the primary nonterminal root. In the example below, the user can write `T`, `T'` etc. in their Ott source, but the generated HOL type is `Typ`.

```
T {{ hol Typ }} , S , U :: 'T_' ::= {{ com type }}
| T -> T'              :: :: Fun    {{ com type of functions }}
```

The grammar rules within each `grammar` block of a syntax definition may depend on each other arbitrarily. When generating Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml representation types, however, they are topologically sorted, to simplify the resulting induction principles.

5.2 Proof assistant code for inductive definitions

The semantic relations are defined with the proof-assistant inductive relations packages, `Inductive`, `Hol_reln`, and `inductive_set` or `inductive`, respectively. Each `defns` block gives rise to a potentially mutually recursive definition of each `defn` inside it (it seems clearer not to do a topological sort here). Definition rules are expressed internally with symbolic terms. We give a simplified grammar thereof in Fig. 17, omitting the symbolic terms for list forms. A symbolic term `st` for a nonterminal root is either an explicit nonterminal or a node, the latter labelled with a production name and containing a list of *symterm_elements*, which in turn are either symbolic terms, metavariables, or variables. Each definition rule gives rise to an implicational clause, essentially that the premises (Ott symbolic terms of the `formula` grammar) imply the conclusion (an Ott symbolic term of whichever judgement is being defined). Symbolic terms are compiled in several different ways:

- Nodes of non-meta productions are output as applications of the appropriate proof-assistant constructor (and, for a subrule, promoted to the corresponding constructor of a maximal rule).
- Nodes of meta productions are transformed with the user-specified homomorphism.
- Nodes of judgement forms are represented as applications of the defined relation in Coq and HOL, and as set-membership assertions in Isabelle.
- Lists of formulae (the `formula_dots` production, c.f. §12) are special-cased to proof-assistant conjunctions.

Further, for each nonterminal of a non-free grammar rule, e.g. a usage of v' where $v < : t$, an additional premise invoking the generated subrule predicate for the non-free rule is added, e.g. `is_v v'`. For Coq and HOL, explicit quantifiers are introduced for all variables mentioned in the rule. For HOL, rules are tagged with their rule name (using `clause_name`).

5.3 Representation of binding

At present the generated Isabelle/Coq/HOL uses fully concrete representations of variables in terms, without any notion of alpha equivalence, as one can see in Fig. 6: see the `t` datatype of terms and the `tsubst_t` substitution function there. An experimental Coq backend generates definitions in locally-nameless style for a subset of the Ott metalanguage. This is work-in-progress, and it is extensively documented in http://moscova.inria.fr/~zappa/projects/ln_ott/. We intend in future to generate other representations, and in some circumstances `homs` can be used to implement other representations directly. For a reasonably wide variety of languages, however, one can capture the intended semantics of whole programs in this idiom, subject only to the condition that standard library identifiers are not shadowed within the program, as the operational semantics does not involve reduction under binders — so any substitutions are of terms which (except for standard library identifiers) are closed. This includes the ML polymorphism example of `test8.ott`. For languages which require a type environment with internal dependencies, however, for example $F_{<}$, this is no longer the case. The POPLmark $F_{<}$ example given in `test7.ott` has a type system which disallows all shadowing, a property that is not preserved by reduction. However, a correct translation of $F_{<}$ is generated by the Coq locally-nameless backend, and can be found in http://moscova.inria.fr/~zappa/projects/ln_ott/.

Further discussion of binding representations is in the Ott ICFP 2007 paper and in a working draft

Binding and Substitution. Susmit Sarkar, Peter Sewell, and Francesco Zappa Nardelli. August 2007.

available from the Ott web page.

5.4 Helper functions for free variable and substitution functions

The generated free variable and substitution functions in the Coq output (e.g., in Figure 5) often rely on a few standard library functions: `list_mem`, `list_assoc`, `list_minus`, `list_minus2`. In order to avoid dependencies on external libraries for defining those functions, by default Ott generates the definitions for any such functions it uses. It is possible to turn off the generation of definitions for these such functions by writing the following directive early on in the source file:

```
embed {{ coq-lib list_mem list_minus }}
```

This instructs Ott to avoid generating definition for `list_mem` and `list_minus`, but to continue generating definitions for other functions such as `list_assoc` and `list_minus2`.

Note about `list_minus2`: Instead of using the function `list_minus2`, earlier versions of Ott generated equivalent code based on `list_filter`, which was more difficult to reason about. For backwards compatibility, however, we provide the command-line option `-coq_use_filter_fn` for generating a definition using the older code pattern.

5.5 Correctness of the generated proof assistant code

We have attempted to ensure that the proof assistant definitions generated by Ott are well-formed and what the user would intend. This is not guaranteed, however, for several reasons: (1) There may be

name clashes between Ott-generated identifiers and proof assistant built-in identifiers (or, in pathological cases, even among different Ott-generated identifiers). (2) In some cases we depend on automatic proof procedures, e.g. for HOL definitions. These work in our test cases, but it is hard to ensure that they will in all cases. More importantly, (3) the generation process is complex, so it is quite possible that there is either a bug in Ott or a mismatch between the user expectation and what the tool actually does. Ultimately one has to read the generated proof assistant definitions to check that they are as intended — but typically one would do this in any case, many times over, in the process of proving metatheoretic results, so we do not consider it a major issue.

5.6 Using the generated proof assistant code

Note added 2017-11-30: the following is out of date.

Ott builds code for

Coq 8.3	http://coq.inria.fr/
HOL 4 (the current svn version)	http://hol.sourceforge.net/
Isabelle/HOL (Isabelle 2011)	http://isabelle.in.tum.de/

Given proof assistant files in the top-level directory of the distribution, as produced at the start of this section (Coq `out.v`, Isabelle `out.thy`, and HOL `outScript.sml`), the various proof assistants can be invoked as follows.

5.6.1 Coq

First run

```
make
```

in the `coq` directory of the distribution, to build the auxiliary files. These include a core file (`ott_list_core`) of definitions that are used in Ott-generated output. At present these are only required when Coq native lists are used. There are also various lemmas (in `ott_list.v`) which may be useful; they can be made available with `Require Import ott_list`.

For batch mode run

```
coqc -I coq out.v
```

where `coq` is the path to the `coq` directory of the distribution.

The experimental locally-nameless backend requires the `Metatheory` library by Arthur Chargueraud, available from the project web page.

5.6.2 HOL

First run

```
Holmake
```

in the `hol` directory of the distribution, to build the auxiliary files.

For batch mode run

```
Holmake -I hol outTheory.uo
```

where `hol` is the path to the `hol` directory of the distribution. For interactive mode, run

```
hol -I hol
```

inside an editor window (where the second `hol` is again the path to the `hol` directory of the distribution), and in another window view the `outScript.sml` file. First paste in the `app load` command from a comment at the top of the file, then paste in the remainder.

5.6.3 Isabelle

For batch mode:

```
echo 'ML_command {* (use_thy "Tmp"; OS.Process.exit OS.Process.success) handle e => (OS.Process.ex
```

Interactively, using Proof General:

```
isabelle emacs out.thy
```

6 Judgments and formulae

In a semantic rule, for example

```
t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'
```

the conclusion must be a symbolic term of the form of the judgement being defined, but in general the premises may be symbolic terms of a **formula** grammar or in-line embedded prover code. By default the formula grammar includes all the defined judgement forms: for the running example Ott will synthesise grammars as below.

```
formula      ::=
    | judgement

judgement    ::=
    | Jop

Jop          ::=
    | t1 -> t2      t1 reduces to t2
```

The user can also define an explicit formula grammar, to let other forms (not just judgements) appear as rule premises. Below is a fragment of the formula grammar from the LJ example on the Ott web page.

```
formula :: formula_ ::=
| judgement                :: M :: judgement
| formula1 .. formulaN    :: M :: dots
| not formula              :: M :: not
    {{ tex \neg [[formula]] }}
    {{ isa \<not> ([[formula]]) }}
| ( formula )              :: M :: brackets
    {{ tex ([[formula]]\!) }}
    {{ isa [[formula]] }}
| formula \/ formula'      :: M :: or
    {{ tex [[formula]] \vee [[formula']] }}
    {{ isa [[formula]] \<or> [[formula']] }}
| formula /\ formula'      :: M :: and
    {{ tex [[formula]] \wedge [[formula']] }}
    {{ isa [[formula]] \<and> [[formula']] }}
| x = x'                   :: M :: xali
    {{ isa [[x]] = [[x']] }}
| X = X'                   :: M :: Xali
    {{ isa [[X]] = [[X']] }}
```

This example adds (to the judgement forms) syntax for parenthesised formulae, negation, and, or, and equality testing on two sorts. For each, **tex** and **isa** homs specify how they should be typeset and be translated into Isabelle.

If the user defines a **formula** grammar then (as here) the production name prefix must be **formula_** and the name for the **judgement** production must be **judgement**.

6.1 Naming of premises for the Coq backend

It is possible to specify the names of premises of inductive predicates; these names are then used by the Coq backend, and are often useful in proofs. For instance, we can call `RED` the hypothesis in the rule below

```
t1 --> t1'  [[:RED]]
-----  :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'
```

which will then generate the following Coq code:

```
| ctx_app_arg : forall (v t1 t1':term)
  (RED: reduce t1 t1'),
  is_val_of_term v ->
  reduce (t_app v t1) (t_app v t1').
```

Names of rules cannot contain spaces or other non alpha-numerical characters, and must begin with a letter. The name annotation must at the rightmost place on the hypothesis line, and must be enclosed (without spaces) between the `[[:` and `]]` parentheses.

6.2 In-line embedded prover code in premises

Instead of adding a formula production, one can directly embed prover code as a premise, delimited as below by `{{` and `}}`. Within that, text will be echoed directly to a prover (or given a default `LATEX` typesetting) except that symbolic terms enclosed within `[[:` and `]]` will be processed as in an `embed` section.

```
{{ type_to_chunk ([[typeof e1]]) = Some [[c]] }}
-----  :: Assign1
e1=e2 . k |env  --tau-->  lval(e1) . [==c e2] . k |env
```

6.3 User syntax

The tool also synthesises a `user_syntax` grammar of all the user syntax, for example:

```
user_syntax ::=
| var
| term
| val
| terminals
```

This is used for parsing top-level strings, for example when filtering embedded code (§8).

7 Concrete terms and OCaml generation

In semantic definitions, one typically never uses concrete variables, only metavariables that range over them. In examples, however, one may need either a mix of concrete variables and metavariables, or, for strictly concrete terms, to restrict to just the former (and also to prohibit symbolic nonterminals).

Figure 2 combines the `LATEX` and proof assistant annotations of Sections 3 and 4, adding a `{{ lex alphanum }}` hom to the `metavar` declaration to specify the lexical form of concrete variables of this sort. At present a `lex` homomorphism must have body either `Alphanum` (standing for `[A-Z] ([A-Z] | [a-z] | [0-9] | ' | _)*`), `alphanum` (for `([A-Z] | [a-z]) ([A-Z] | [a-z] | [0-9] | ' | _)*`), `alphanum0` (for `[a-z] ([A-Z] | [a-z] | [0-9] | ' | _)*`), or `numeral` (for `[0-9] [0-9]*`); more general regular expressions are not supported. An identifier that can be ambiguously lexed as either a concrete or symbolic metavariable, e.g. `x` in the scope of the above declaration, will be taken to be symbolic. To restrict the parser to strictly concrete terms only, one can add a `:concrete:` prefix, as shown in Figure 10.

One can also specify how concrete variables should be `LATEX`'d or translated into a proof assistant, e.g. with homomorphisms `{{ texvar \mathrm{[[termvar]] }}` and `{{ isavar ' '[[termvar]] ' '}}` (and similarly `coqvar`, `holvar`, and `ocamlvar`).

Figure 2 also specifies an OCaml representation type for variables, with the `metavar` hom `{{ ocaml int }}`. Executing

```
bin/ott -i tests/test10.ott -o test10.ml
```

produces the OCaml code shown in Figure 9, including OCaml types to represent the abstract syntax, and auxiliary functions for subrules and substitutions. This does not implement the semantic rules. In some cases the various proof assistant code extraction facilities can be used — see Section 21.

8 Filtering: Using Ott syntax within \LaTeX , Coq, Isabelle, HOL, or OCaml

8.1 Filtering embedded code

It is possible to embed arbitrary code in the Ott source using an `embed` block, which can contain `tex`, `coq`, `hol`, `isa`, or `ocaml` homomorphisms, the bodies of which will appear in the respective output. The `embed` keyword should be on a line by itself). For example, `test8.ott` contains the following to define Coq and HOL `remove_duplicates` functions.

```
embed
{{ coq
Fixpoint remove_duplicates (l:list typvar_t) : list typvar_t :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | cons h t => if (list_mem eq_typvar_t h t) then remove_duplicates t
                else cons h (remove_duplicates t)
end. }}
```

```
{{ hol
val _ = Define ‘
  (remove_duplicates [] = []) /\
  (remove_duplicates (x::xs) = if (MEM x xs) then remove_duplicates xs
                                else x::(remove_duplicates xs))
’; }}
```

Within the body of an `embed` homomorphism, any text between `[[` and `]]` will be parsed as a symbolic term (of the `user_syntax` grammar) and pretty printed, so one can use user syntax within \LaTeX or proof assistant code. An Isabelle example is below, defining an Isabelle function to calculate the order of a type with productions `unit`, `t*t'`, and `t->t'`.

```
{{ isa
consts
order :: "type => nat"
primrec
"order [[unit]] = 0"
"order [[t*t']] = max (order [[t]]) (order [[t']])"
"order [[t->t']] = max (1+order [[t]]) (order [[t']])"

}}
```

It is often useful to define a proof assistant function, in an `embed` section, together with a production of the `formula` grammar with a proof assistant hom that uses that function, thereby introducing syntax that lets the function be used in semantic rules.

Ott also permits `embed` blocks with `tex-preamble`, homs, whose contents appear in the generated \LaTeX preamble. Any definitions of \LaTeX commands must appear in such a `tex-preamble` section.

```

% all
metavar termvar, x ::=  {{ com term variable }}
{{ isa string}} {{ coq nat}} {{ hol string}} {{ coq-equality }}
{{ ocaml int}} {{ lex alphanum}} {{ tex \mathit{[[termvar]]} }}

grammar
t :: 't_' ::=                                {{ com term    }}
| x                :: :: Var                  {{ com variable}}
| \ x . t          :: :: Lam (+ bind x in t +) {{ com lambda  }}
| t t'             :: :: App                  {{ com app      }}
| ( t )           :: S:: Paren                 {{ icho [[t]]  }}
| { t / x } t'     :: M:: Tsub                  {{ icho (tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t'])}}

v :: 'v_' ::=                                {{ com value    }}
| \ x . t          :: :: Lam                  {{ com lambda  }}

terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
| \                :: :: lambda  {{ tex \lambda }}
| -->              :: :: red     {{ tex \longrightarrow }}

subrules
v <:: t

substitutions
single t x :: tsubst

defs
Jop :: '' ::=

defn
t1 --> t2 :: ::reduce::' ' {{ com $[[t1]]$ reduces to $[[t2]]$}} by

----- :: ax_app
(\x.t12) v2 --> {v2/x}t12

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_fun
t1 t --> t1' t

t1 --> t1'
----- :: ctx_app_arg
v t1 --> v t1'

```

Figure 8: Source: test10.7.ott


```

(* generated by Ott 0.29 from: ../tests/test10.ott ../tests/non_super_tabular.ott *)

type var = int (* term variable *)

type
term = (* term *)
  T_var of var (* variable *)
  | T_lam of var * term (* lambda *)
  | T_app of term * term (* app *)

(** subrules *)
let is_val_of_term (t5:term) : bool =
  match t5 with
  | (T_var x) -> false
  | (T_lam (x,t)) -> (true)
  | (T_app (t,t')) -> false

(** substitutions *)
let rec tsubst_term (t5:term) (x5:var) (t_6:term) : term =
  match t_6 with
  | (T_var x) -> (if x=x5 then t5 else (T_var x))
  | (T_lam (x,t)) -> T_lam (x,(if List.mem x5 ([x]) then t else (tsubst_term t5 x5 t)))
  | (T_app (t,t')) -> T_app ((tsubst_term t5 x5 t),(tsubst_term t5 x5 t'))

(** definitions *)

```

Figure 9: Generated OCaml code: test10.ml

8.2 Filtering files

Similar processing can be carried out on separate files, using the command-line options `tex_filter`, `isa_filter`, etc. Each of these takes two arguments, a source filename and a destination filename. In processing the source file, any text between `[[` and `]]` will be parsed as a symbolic term (of the `user_syntax` grammar) and pretty printed in the appropriate style. All other text is simply echoed.

Typical usage for L^AT_EX would be something like this (from the `Makefile` used to produce this document):

```
test7.tex: ../src/ott ../tests/test7.ott ../tests/test7tt.mng
    cd ../src; make tmp_test7_clean.ott
    ../src/ott                                \
        -i ../src/tmp_test7_clean.ott         \
        -o test7.tex                          \
        -tex_show_meta false                  \
        -tex_wrap false                       \
        -tex_name_prefix testSeven            \
        -tex_filter ../tests/test7tt.mng test7tt.tex
```

The `-tex_wrap false` turns off output of the default L^AT_EX document preamble, so the generated file `test7.tex` just contains L^AT_EX definitions. The `-tex_name_prefix testSeven` sets a prefix for the generated L^AT_EX commands (so the L^AT_EX definitions from multiple Ott source files can be included in a single L^AT_EX document). The `-tex_filter` argument takes two filenames, a source and a destination. It filters the source file, (roughly) replacing any string found within `[[]]` by the tex pretty-print of its parse. This parsing is done w.r.t. the generated nonterminal `user_syntax` which is a union of all the user's grammar.

At present munged strings are not automatically put within `$$`, and there is no analogue of the `<[]>` of our previous munger.

The lexing turns any sequence of `[` (resp. of `]`) of length $n + 1$ for $n > 2$ into a literal sequence of length n .

Figures 10 and 11 show a source file (`test7tt.mng`) that uses terms of the $F_{<}$ definition of `test7.ott`, and the result of filtering it.

Similar filtering can be performed on Coq, Isabelle, HOL, and OCaml files.

To filter files with respect to a relatively stable system definition, without having to re-process the Ott source files of that system definition each time, there are command-line options

```
-writesys <filename>      Output system definition
-readsys <filename>      Input system definition
```

to first write the system definition (generated from some source files) to a file, and then to read one back in (instead of re-reading the Ott source files). The saved system definitions are in an internal format, produced using the OCaml marshaller, and contain OCaml closures. They therefore will not be compatible between different Ott versions. They may also be quite large.

9 Binding specifications

Our first example involved a production with a single binder:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} t & ::= & \\ & | & \lambda x . t \quad \text{bind } x \text{ in } t \quad \text{Lam} \end{array}$$

specified by the source shown in Figure 4:

```
| \ x . t      :: :: Lam      (+ bind x in t +)
```

in which a single variable binds in a single subterm. Realistic programming languages often have much more complex binding structures, e.g. structured patterns, multiple mutually recursive `let` definitions, comprehensions, or-patterns, and dependent record patterns.

We can TeX-typeset symbolic terms of the language, e.g.

```
\[ [[ (\X<:Top. \x:X.x) [Top->Top] ]]\]
```

and concrete terms

```
\[ [[ :concrete: \Z1<:Top. \x:Z1.x ]]\]
```

and similarly judgements etc, e.g.

```
\[ [[G |- t : T ]]\]
```

Here is an extract of the syntax:

```
\testSevengrammartabular{\testSevent\testSevenafterlastrule}
```

and a single semantic rule:

```
\[\testSevendruletinXXTwo{}\]
```

and a judgement definition:

```
\testSevendefnSA
```

One can also include a ‘defns’ collection of judgements, or the complete definition.

```
% \section{Full Definition}
```

```
% \testSevenmetavars\[\[0pt]
```

```
% \testSevengrammar\[\[0pt]
```

```
% \testSevendefnss
```

```
%
```

```
% \testSevenall
```

Figure 10: $F_{<}$. Extracts: L^AT_EX source file to be filtered (test7tt.mng)

We can TeX-typeset symbolic terms of the language, e.g.

$$(\Lambda X <: \mathbf{Top}. \lambda x: X. x)[\mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}]$$

and concrete terms

$$\Lambda Z1 <: \mathbf{Top}. \lambda x: Z1. x$$

and similarly judgements etc, e.g.

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

Here is an extract of the syntax:

t	$::=$		term
	x		variable
	$\lambda x: T. t$	$\text{bind } x \text{ in } t$	abstraction
	$t \ t'$		application
	$\Lambda X <: T. t$	$\text{bind } X \text{ in } t$	type abstraction
	$t[T]$		type application
	$\{l_1=t_1, \dots, l_n=t_n\}$		record
	$t.l$		projection
	$\text{let } p=t \text{ in } t'$	$\text{bind } b(p) \text{ in } t'$	pattern binding
	(t)	S	

and a single semantic rule:

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{x : T \in \Gamma, X' <: U'} \quad \text{TIN_2}$$

and a judgement definition:

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash S <: T} \quad S \text{ is a subtype of } T$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{ok}}{\Gamma \vdash S <: \mathbf{Top}} \quad \text{SA_TOP} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{ok}}{\Gamma \vdash X <: X} \quad \text{SA_REFL_TVAR} \\
\\
\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash U <: T}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T} \quad \text{SA_TRANS_TVAR} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: S_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash S_2 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \rightarrow S_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad \text{SA_ARROW} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: S_1 \quad \Gamma, X <: T_1 \vdash S_2 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: S_1. S_2 <: \forall X <: T_1. T_2} \quad \text{SA_ALL} \\
\\
\frac{\forall i \in 1..m. \exists j \in 1..n. (k_i = l_j \wedge \Gamma \vdash S_i <: T_j)}{\Gamma \vdash \{k_1 : S_1, \dots, k_m : S_m\} <: \{l_1 : T_1, \dots, l_n : T_n\}} \quad \text{SA_RCD}
\end{array}$$

One can also include a ‘defns’ collection of judgements, or the complete definition.

Figure 11: $F_{<}$. Extracts: the filtered output (`test7tt.tex`)

Ott has a flexible metalanguage for specifying binding structures, expressive enough to cover these. It comprises two forms of annotation on productions. The first, `bind mse in nonterm`, lets one specify that variables bind in nonterminals of the production, as in the `Lam` production above. Here *mse* is a *metavariable set expression*, e.g. in that lambda production just the singleton metavariable *x* of the production. A variable can bind in multiple nonterminals, as in the example of a simple recursive `let` below.

$$t ::= \begin{array}{l} | \text{let rec } x = t \text{ in } t' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{bind } x \text{ in } t \\ \text{bind } x \text{ in } t' \end{array}$$

More complex examples require one to collect together sets of variables. For example, the grammar below (shown in Ott source and the generated L^AT_EX) has structured patterns, with a `let p = t in t'` production in which all the binders of the pattern *p* bind in the continuation *t'*.

```
t :: E_ ::=
| x                :: :: ident
| ( t1 , t2 )      :: :: pair
| let p = t in t'   :: :: letrec      (+ bind binders(p) in t' +)

p :: P_ ::=
| _                :: :: wildcard   (+ binders = {} +)
| x                :: :: ident      (+ binders = x +)
| ( p1 , p2 )      :: :: pair       (+ binders = binders(p1) union binders(p2) +)

t ::=
| x
| (t1, t2)
| let p = t in t'   bind binders(p) in t'

p ::=
| _                binders = {}
| x                binders = x
| (p1, p2)        binders = binders(p1) ∪ binders(p2)
```

This is expressed with the second form of annotation: user-defined *auxiliary functions* such as the `binders` above. This is an auxiliary function defined over the *p* grammar that identifies a set of variables to be used in the `bind` annotation on the `let` production. There can be any number of such auxiliary functions; `binders` is not a distinguished keyword.

The syntax of a precise fragment of the binding metalanguage is given in Fig. 12, where we have used Ott to define part of the Ott metalanguage. A simple type system (not shown) enforces sanity properties, e.g. that each auxiliary function is only applied to nonterminals that it is defined over, and that metavariable set expressions are well-sorted.

Further to that fragment, the tool supports binding for the list forms of §12. Metavariable set expressions can include lists of metavariables and auxiliary functions applied to lists of nonterminals, e.g. as in the record patterns below.

$$p ::= \begin{array}{l} | x \quad \quad \quad b = x \\ | \{l_1 = p_1, \dots, l_n = p_n\} \quad b = b(p_1..p_n) \end{array}$$

This suffices to express the binding structure of almost all the natural examples we have come across, including definitions of mutually recursive functions with multiple clauses for each, Join calculus definitions [FGL⁺96], dependent record patterns, and many others.

```

metavars   metavarroot, mvr   nontermroot, ntr
             terminal, t        auxfn, f
             prodname, pn      variable, var

grammar
  metavar, mv ::=
    | metavarroot suffix

  nonterm, nt ::=
    | nontermroot suffix

  element, e ::=
    | terminal
    | metavar
    | nonterm

  metavar_set_expression, mse ::=
    | metavar
    | auxfn(nonterm)
    | mse union mse'
    | {}

  bindspec, bs ::=
    | bind mse in nonterm
    | auxfn = mse

  prod, p ::=
    | | element1 .. elementm :: prodname(+bs1 .. bsn+)

  rule, r ::=
    | nontermroot :: ' '::= prod1 .. prodm

  grammar_rules, g ::=
    | grammar rule1 .. rulem

```

Figure 12: Mini-Ott in Ott: the binding specification metalanguage

10 Generating substitution and free variable functions

The tool can generate Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml code for both single and multiple substitution functions. For example, the ML polymorphism Ott source of `test8.ott` includes the following.

```

substitutions
  single   expr value_name :: subst
  multiple typexpr typvar  :: tsubst

```

This causes the generation of two families of substitution functions, one replacing a single `value_name` by a `expr`, the other replacing multiple `typvars` by `typexprs`.

Each family contains a function for each datatype for which it is required, so in that example there are functions `subst_expr` for the first and `tsubst_typexpr`, `tsubst_typscheme` and `tsubst_G` for the second.

The functions for substitutions declared by

```

substitutions
  single   this that :: name1
  multiple this that :: name2

```

replaces terms of productions consisting just of a single `that` by a `this`. Here `this` must be a nonterminal root, while `that` can be either a metavariable root or a nonterminal root (the latter possibility allows substitution for compound identifiers, though it is not clear that this is generally useful enough to be included). Substitution functions are generated for each member of each (mutually recursive) block of grammar rules which either contain such a production or (indirectly) refer to one that does.

At present multiple substitutions are represented by Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml lists, so for the example

above we have Isabelle

```
tsubst_typexpr :: "(typvar*typexpr) list => typexpr => typexpr"
tsubst_typescheme :: "(typvar*typexpr) list => typscheme => typscheme"
tsubst_G :: "(typvar*typexpr) list => G => G"
```

The generated functions do not substitute bound things, and recursive calls under binders are filtered to remove the bound things.

Similarly, the tool can generate Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml to calculate the free variables of terms. For example, the ML polymorphism Ott source of `test8.ott` includes the following.

```
freevars
  typexpr typvar :: ftv
```

This causes Isabelle functions as below to be generated, calculating the free `typvars` that occur in singleton productions in the `typexpr` grammar, within terms of all types.

```
ftv_typexpr :: "typexpr => typvar list"
ftv_typescheme :: "typscheme => typvar list"
ftv_G :: "G => typvar list"
```

11 Locally-nameless representation

The Coq backend of Ott includes experimental support for a locally-nameless representation (and co-finite quantification).

The user must specify which metavariables require a locally-nameless representation via the `repr-locally-nameless` hom, e.g.:

```
metavar x ::= {{ repr-locally-nameless }} {{ com term variable }}
```

As usual, metavariables can be bound in productions, using the bindspec language, as in the `lam` production below:

```
grammar
  t :: 't_' ::=
    | x :: Var {{ com term }}
    | \ x . t :: Lam (+ bind x in t +) {{ com variable }}
    | t t' :: App {{ com abstraction }}
    | ( t ) :: S :: paren {{ com application }}
    | { t / x } t' :: M :: tsub {{ coq [[t]] }}
    {{ coq (t_subst_t [[t]] [[x t']]] }}
```

This definition gives rise to the datatype term below (here with option `-coq_names_in_rules false`):

```
Inductive term : Set :=
| term_var_b : nat -> term
| term_var_f : var -> term
| term_lam : term -> term
| term_app : term -> term -> term.
```

Remarks:

1. Productions containing metavariables susceptible to be bound (e.g., `term_var`) give rise to two distinct constructors, one (`term_var_b`) for de Bruijn indices to be used when the metavariable is bound, one (`term_var_f`) for "free" variables. The type `var`, together with decidable equality and several useful lemmas and functions, is defined in the Metatheory library.

In the current implementation, metavariables susceptible to be bound in a symbolic term (eg. the `x` in the `term_var` production) must be the only element of the production.

2. Binder metavariables are erased from productions (eg. `term_lam`), as in de Bruijn representation.

Ott automatically generates the appropriate `open` functions and `lc` predicates to test if terms are locally-closed. The other support functions for substitutions and free-variables (`subst` and `fv`) are generated once the user declares the relevant substitutions and freevars sections.

Ott automatically compiles the symbolic terms that appear in rule definitions into the appropriate terms in locally-nameless style. For instance, the typing rule for the simply-typed lambda-calculus:

$$\frac{E, x:S \vdash t : T}{E \vdash \lambda x. t : S \rightarrow T} :: \text{lambda}$$

is compiled into its locally-nameless representation:

```
Inductive typing : env -> term -> type -> Prop := (* defn typing *)
| ...
| typing_lambda : forall (L:vars) (E:env) (t:term) (S T:type),
  (forall x, x \notin L -> typing (E & x ~ S) (open_term_wrt_term t (term_var_f x)) T) ->
  typing E (term_lam t) (type_arrow S T).
```

For that, Ott follows the algorithm below. For each rule,

1. for each nonterminal that appears in the rule, compute the maximal set of binders under which it appears: for example, in the rule `lambda` above, the maximal set of binders for the nonterminal `t` is the singleton `x`, and it is empty for all the other nonterminals;
2. for each pair nonterminal / maximal binder set collected in phase 1., go over all the occurrences of the nonterminal in the rule and open them with respect to all the variables in the maximal binding set except those under which this particular occurrence is bound. In the example, this amounts to opening the occurrence of `t` in the premise with respect to the metavariable `x`;
3. quantify using cofinite-quantification each metavariable that has been used to open a nonterminal;
4. add hypothesis about local-closure to guarantee the invariant that if a derivation holds, then the top-level terms involved are locally-closed.

In some cases the user may want a finer control on which nonterminals are opened and with respect to which metavariables. Consider for instance the CBV beta-reduction rule:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. t_1) v_2 \rightarrow \{v_2/x\}t_1} :: \text{ax_app}$$

A naive application of the algorithm described above would open the right hand side occurrence of `t1` with respect to a cofinitely-quantified `x`. Substitution should then be used to replace the occurrences of `x` with `v2`, resulting in the awkward term

```
reduce (term_app (term_lam t1) v2) (subst_term v2 x (open_term_wrt_term t1 (term_var_f x)))
```

Instead, an idiomatic translation of CBV beta-reduction rule would directly rely on the `open` function to substitute `v2` for the bound occurrences of `x` in `t1`, as in:

```
reduce (term_app (term_lam t1) v2) (open_term_wrt_term t1 v2)
```

A special syntax for production homomorphisms allow the user to specify this translation:

$$| \{ t / x \} t' :: M :: \text{tsub} \quad \{ \{ \text{coq } (t_subst_t \text{ [[t]] [[x t']]] \} \}$$

In the homomorphism the nonterminal `t'` is referred to with `[[x t']]` instead of the usual `[[t']]`: the prefixed `x` specifies that occurrences of `t'` should not be opened with respect to the metavariable `x`. If this homomorphism is specified, then the translation of the `ax_app` rule is exactly idiomatic Coq shown above.

Current limitations: support for single binders only, no auxfn, Coq only.

Disclaimer: to compile rule definitions, Ott applies blindly the algorithm described above. Although in most of the cases, this generates a correct and idiomatic representation of the language, some language constructs might not be faithfully translated. Please, let us know if you find one of these cases.

$$\begin{array}{c}
E \vdash e_1 : t_1 \quad \dots \quad E \vdash e_n : t_n \\
E \vdash \text{field_name}_1 : t \rightarrow t_1 \quad \dots \quad E \vdash \text{field_name}_n : t \rightarrow t_n \\
t = (t'_1, \dots, t'_i) \text{ typeconstr_name} \\
E \vdash \text{typeconstr_name} \triangleright \text{typeconstr_name} : \text{kind} \{ \text{field_name}'_1; \dots; \text{field_name}'_m \} \\
\text{field_name}_1 \dots \text{field_name}_n \text{ PERMUTES } \text{field_name}'_1 \dots \text{field_name}'_m \\
\text{length}(e_1) \dots (e_n) \geq 1 \\
\hline
E \vdash \{ \text{field_name}_1 = e_1; \dots; \text{field_name}_n = e_n \} : t
\end{array}
\quad \text{JTE_RECORD_CONSTR}$$


```

E |- e1 : t1 ... E |- en : tn
E |- field_name1 : t->t1 ... E |- field_namen : t->tn
t = (t1', ..., tn') typeconstr_name
E |- typeconstr_name gives typeconstr_name:kind {field_name1'; ...; field_namen'}
field_name1...field_namen PERMUTES field_name1'...field_namen'
length (e1)...(en)>=1
----- :: record_constr
E |- {field_name1=e1; ...; field_namen=en} : t

```

Figure 13: A sample OCaml semantic rule, in \LaTeX and Ott source forms

If Ott is invoked with the `-coq_lngen` option, then the generated locally-nameless Coq code is compatible with Aydemir’s *lngen* tool (<http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~baydemir/papers/lngen/>).

12 List forms

Ott has direct support for lists, both as *dot forms* such as t_1, \dots, t_n and as *list comprehensions* such as $\bar{t}_i^{i \in 1..n}$. Figure 13 shows an example semantic rule taken from our OCaml fragment semantics, as both the generated \LaTeX and its Ott source, that involves several dot forms. Other types commonly used in semantics, e.g. finite maps or sets, can often be described with this list syntax in conjunction with type and metaproduction homs to specify the proof assistant representation. When using list forms, one usually also wants to add a list-of-formula production to the `formula` grammar, e.g. (as in `test17.10.ott`):

```

formula :: formula_ ::=
| judgement                :: judgement
| formula1 .. formula_n    :: dots

```

The proof assistant code generation for such a production (which must be named `formula_dots`) is special-cased to a list conjunction.

12.1 List dot forms

Example productions for record types, record terms, and record patterns are shown below, in both Ott source and \LaTeX , taken from our $F_{<}$ example.

```

T, S, U :: 'T_' ::=                                     {{ com type }}
| { l1 : T1 , .. , ln : Tn }                             :: :: Rec      {{ com record }}

t :: 't_' ::=                                           {{ com term }}
| { l1 = t1 , .. , ln = tn }                             :: :: Rec      {{ com record }}
| let p = t in t'                                         :: :: Let (+ bind b(p) in t' +) {{ com pattern binding }}

p :: 'P_' ::=                                           {{ com pattern }}
| x : T                                                   :: :: Var (+ b = x +)      {{ com variable pattern }}
| { l1 = p1 , .. , ln = pn }                             :: :: Rec (+ b = b(p1 .. pn) +) {{ com record pattern }}

```

T, S, U	$::=$		type
	$\{ l_1 : T_1, \dots, l_n : T_n \}$		record
t	$::=$		term
	$\{ l_1 = t_1, \dots, l_n = t_n \}$		record
	let $p = t$ in t'	bind $b(p)$ in t'	pattern binding
p	$::=$		pattern
	$x : T$	$b = x$	variable pattern
	$\{ l_1 = p_1, \dots, l_n = p_n \}$	$b = b(p_1..p_n)$	record pattern

Dot forms can be used in symbolic terms in semantic rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash t_n : T_n}{\Gamma \vdash \{l_1=t_1, \dots, l_n=t_n\} : \{l_1 : T_1, \dots, l_n : T_n\}} \quad \text{TY_RCD}$$

Individually indexed projections from dot forms can be mentioned, eg the l_j below:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{l_1 : T_1, \dots, l_n : T_n\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ}$$

Symbolic terms can also include concatenations of two dot forms with a singleton in between:

$$\frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{\{l_1=v_1, \dots, l_m=v_m, l=t, l'_1=t'_1, \dots, l'_n=t'_n\} \longrightarrow \{l_1=v_1, \dots, l_m=v_m, l=t', l'_1=t'_1, \dots, l'_n=t'_n\}} \quad \text{REDUCE_CTX_RECORD}$$

Multiple dot forms within the same semantic rule can share bounds (e.g. $1..m$):

$$\frac{\forall i \in 1..m. \exists j \in 1..n. (l_i=k_j \wedge \mathbf{match}(p_i, v_j)=\sigma_i)}{\mathbf{match}(\{l_1=p_1, \dots, l_m=p_m\}, \{k_1=v_1, \dots, k_n=v_n\})=\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m} \quad \text{M_RCD}$$

In more detail, productions can have dot tokens interspersed between the elements. Dot tokens consist of two, three or four consecutive dots (\dots , $\dots\dots$, or $\dots\dots\dots$), indicating lists with minimum lengths 0, 1, and 2 respectively (these length minimums are respected only when parsing concrete lists; they are not present in Isabelle/Coq/HOL output). The tool identifies the maximal sequence of elements on either side of the dots that are identical modulo anti-unification of some index. Optionally, there may also be a single terminal on either side of the dot token, separating instances of the repeated unit. For example, in the `test7.ott` production

```
| { l1 = t1 ,   .. , ln = tn } :: :: Rec
```

there is such a terminal (the `'`, `,`). The tool identifies that `l1 = t1` and `ln = tn` can be anti-unified as (roughly) `l_ = t_`, taking `_` to be the bounds `1` and `n`. A single production may contain multiple dot forms, but they must not overlap; nested dot forms (including those with multiple changing indices) are not currently supported.

Homomorphisms and binding specifications are generalised to match: an `mse` can involve a dot form of metavariables; a dot form of nonterminals; or an auxiliary function applied to a dot form of nonterminals (e.g. the `b(p1..pn)` above). Dot forms on the right of a `bind` are not currently supported.

\LaTeX homomorphisms should not refer to dot forms, as either an error or bad output will be generated. (For \LaTeX , there should really be some means to specify a homomorphism for the repeated expression, and also data on how any list separators should be typeset. This would require more special-case treatment, which is not currently supported.)

12.2 List comprehension forms

Lists can also be expressed as explicit list comprehensions, for more concise typesetting. Three different styles are supported, with no bounds, an upper bound, or a lower and upper bound. For example, in a symbolic term, instead of the dot form

```
G |- t1:T1 .. G |- tn:Tn
```

one can write any of the following

```
</ G |- ti:Ti // i          />
</ G |- ti:Ti // i IN n     />
</ G |- ti:Ti // i IN 1 .. n />
```

Similar comprehensions can be used in productions, for example lines 2–4 below. In addition, comprehensions in productions can specify a terminal to be used as a separator in concrete lists, as in lines 5–7 below. (These examples are taken from `test17.10.ott`.)

```
| l1 = t1 , .. , ln = tn          :: :: Rec      {{ com dots }}
| </ li = ti // i                />    :: :: Rec_comp_none {{ com comp }}
| </ li = ti // i IN n           />    :: :: Rec_comp_u_none {{ com compu }}
| </ li = ti // i IN 1 .. n />      :: :: Rec_comp_lu_none {{ com complu }}
| </ li = ti // , // i          />    :: :: Rec_comp_some   {{ com comp with terminal }}
| </ li = ti // , // i IN n     />    :: :: Rec_comp_u_some  {{ com compu with terminal }}
| </ li = ti // , // i IN 1 .. n />  :: :: Rec_comp_lu_some  {{ com complu with terminal }}
```

In Coq, HOL or Isabelle output, list dot forms and the various list comprehension forms are treated almost identically. In LaTeX output, comprehension forms are default-typeset with overbars. For example, the rules below

```
G|- t:l1:T1,..,ln:Tn
----- :: Proj_dotform
G|- t.lj : Tj

G|- t: </ li:Ti // i/>
----- :: Proj_comp
G|- t.lj : Tj

G|- t: </ li:Ti // i IN n/>
----- :: Proj_comp_u
G|- t.lj : Tj

G|- t: </ li:Ti // i IN 1..n/>
----- :: Proj_comp_lu
G|- t.lj : Tj
```

are typeset as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{l_1 : T_1, \dots, l_n : T_n\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_DOTFORM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{\overline{l_i : T_i}^i\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_COMP} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{\overline{l_i : T_i}^{i < n}\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_COMP_U} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{\overline{l_i : T_i}^{i \in 1..n}\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_COMP_LU}
\end{array}$$

Upper bounds of the form $n - 1$ are also permitted, e.g. with

```
G|- t:l0:T0,...,ln-1:Tn-1
----- :: Proj_dotform_minus
G|- t.lj : Tj

G|- t: </ li:Ti // i IN 0..n-1/>
----- :: Proj_comp_lu_minus
G|- t.lj : Tj
```

typeset as below. More complex arithmetic expressions are not currently supported.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{l_0 : T_0, \dots, l_{n-1} : T_{n-1}\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_DOTFORM_MINUS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{\overline{l_i : T_i}^{i \in 0..n-1}\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{TY_PROJ_COMP_LU_MINUS}$$

A list form used in a symbolic term does not have to be in the same style as that in the corresponding production. However, if a metavariable or nonterminal occurs in multiple different list forms in the same inference rule, they must all be in the same style and with the same bounds. Moreover, in a production, a list form in a bindspec or homomorphism must be in the same style and with the same bounds as the corresponding list form in the elements of the production.

The comprehension form without an upper bound, e.g. `</ G |- ti:Ti // i />`, typeset as $\overline{\Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}^i$, is not standard notation, but is often very useful. Many semantic rules involve lists of matched length, e.g. of the t_i and T_i here, but do not need to introduce an identifier for that length; omitting it keeps them concise.

The default visual style for typesetting list comprehensions can be overridden by redefining the \LaTeX commands `\ottcomp`, `\ottcompu`, and `\ottcomplu` in an `embed` section, as in Section 4.3.

In some cases one could make the typeset notation even less noisy, by either omitting the superscript i or omitting both the superscript i and the subscript i 's on t and T . The first is unambiguous if there is at most one index on each element in the comprehension; the second if all the elements are indexed by the same thing (not the case for this example, but common for comprehensions of single elements, e.g. `<< Ti // i>>` for \overline{T}). It is arguable that that should be automated in future Ott releases, though it would bring the typeset and ASCII versions out of step.

List comprehension forms can also be used in bindspecs and in homomorphisms.

12.3 Proof assistant code for list forms

12.3.1 Types

We have to choose proof assistant representations for productions involving list forms. For example, for a language with records one might write

```
metavar label, l ::= {{ hol string }} {{ coq nat }}
indexvar index, n ::= {{ hol num }} {{ coq nat }}
grammar
term, t :: 't_' ::=
  | { l1 = t1 , .. , ln = tn }      :: :: record
```

In HOL and Isabelle we represent these simply with constructors whose argument types involve proof-assistant native list types, e.g. the HOL list of pairs of a `label` and a `t`:

```
val _ = Hol_datatype '
t = E_record of (label#t) list ';
```

For Coq we provide two alternatives: one can either use native lists, or lists can be translated away, depending on taste. The choice is determined by the `-coq_expand_list_types` command-line option. In the former case we generate an appropriate induction principle using nested fixpoints, as the default principle produced by Coq is too weak to be useful. In the latter case we synthesise an additional type for each type of lists-of-tuples that arises in the grammar. In the example, we need a type of lists of pairs of a `label` and a `t`:

```
Inductive
list_label_t : Set :=
  Nil_list_label_t : list_label_t
| Cons_list_label_t : label -> t -> list_label_t
  -> list_label_t

with t : Set :=
  E_record : list_label_t -> t .
```

These are included in the grammar topological sort, and utility functions, e.g. to make and unmake lists, are synthesised.

12.3.2 Terms (in inductive definition rules)

Supporting list forms in the rules of an inductive definition requires some additional analysis. For example, consider the record typing rule below.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0:T_0 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash t_{n-1}:T_{n-1}}{\Gamma \vdash \{l_0=t_0, \dots, l_{n-1}=t_{n-1}\}:\{\ell_0:T_0, \dots, \ell_{n-1}:T_{n-1}\}} \quad \text{TY_RCD}$$

We analyse the symbolic terms in the premises and conclusion to identify lists of nonterminals and metavariables with the same bounds — here $t_0..t_{n-1}$, $T_0..T_{n-1}$, and $\ell_0..\ell_{n-1}$ all have bounds $0..n-1$. To make the fact that they have the same length immediate in the generated code, we introduce a single proof assistant variable for each such collection, with appropriate projections and list maps/foralls at the usage points. For example, the HOL for the above is essentially as follows, with an `l_t_Typ_list : (label#t#Typ) list`.

```
(* Ty_Rcd *) !(l_t_Typ_list:(label#t#Typ) list) (G:G) .
(EVERY (\b.b)
  (MAP (\(l_,t_,Typ_). (Ty G t_ Typ_)) l_t_Typ_list))
==>
(Ty
 G
  (E_record (MAP (\(l_,t_,Typ_). (l_,t_)) l_t_Typ_list))
  (T_Rec    (MAP (\(l_,t_,Typ_). (l_,Typ_)) l_t_Typ_list)))
```

This seems to be a better idiom for later proof development than the alternative of three different list variables coupled with assertions that they have the same length.

With direct support for lists, we need also direct support for symbolic terms involving list projection and concatenation. For example, the rule

$$\frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{\begin{array}{l} \{ l_1 = v_1, \dots, l_m = v_m, l = t, l'_1 = t'_1, \dots, l'_n = t'_n \} \\ \longrightarrow \{ l_1 = v_1, \dots, l_m = v_m, l = t', l'_1 = t'_1, \dots, l'_n = t'_n \} \end{array}} \quad \text{REC}$$

gives rise to HOL code as below — note the list-lifted usage of the `is_v_of_t` predicate, and the list appends (`++`) in the conclusion.

```
(* reduce_Rec *) !(l'_t'_list:(label#t) list)
  (l_v_list:(label#t) list) (l:label) (t:t) (t':t) .
((EVERY (\(l_,v_). is_v_of_t v_) l_v_list) /\
 (( reduce t t' )))
==>
```

```
(( reduce (t_Rec (l_v_list ++ [(l,t)] ++ l'_t'_list))
  (t_Rec (l_v_list ++ [(l,t')] ++ l'_t'_list))))
```

For the Proj typing rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \{\overline{l_i : T_i}^{i \in 0..n-1}\}}{\Gamma \vdash t.l_j : T_j} \quad \text{PROJ}$$

we need a specific projection (the HOL EL) to pick out the j 'th element:

```
(* Ty_Proj *) !(l_Typ_list:(label#Typ) list)
  (j:index) (G:G) (t:t) .
((( Ty G t (T_Rec (l_Typ_list)) )))
==>
(( Ty
  G
  (t_Proj t ((\ (l_,Typ_) . l_) (EL j l_Typ_list)))
  ((\ (l_,Typ_) . Typ_) (EL j l_Typ_list))))
```

For Coq, when translating away lists, we have to introduce yet more list types for these proof assistant variables, in addition to the obvious translation of symbolic terms, and, more substantially, to introduce additional inductive relation definitions to induct over them.

For similar examples in Isabelle, the generated Isabelle for the first three rules of §12.1 is shown below (lightly hand-edited for format). The first involves an Isabelle variable `l_t_T_list`, and list maps and projections thereof.

```
Ty_RcdI: "
  [| (formula_formuladots ((List.map (%(l_,t_,T_). ( G , t_ , T_ ) : Ty)) l_t_T_list)) |]
  ==>
  ( G ,
    (t_Rec ((List.map (%(l_,t_,T_). (l_,t_)) l_t_T_list))) ,
    (T_Rec ((List.map (%(l_,t_,T_). (l_,T_)) l_t_T_list)))
  ) : Ty"
```

```
Ty_ProjI: "
  [| ( G , t , (T_Rec (l_T_list)) ) : Ty |] ==>
  ( G ,
    (t_Proj t (%(l_,T_).l_) (List.nth l_T_list (j - 1))) ,
    (%(l_,T_).T_) (List.nth l_T_list (j - 1))
  ) : Ty"
```

```
E_Ctx_recordI: "
  [| List.list_all (%(l_,v_).is_v v_) l_v_list ;
    ( t , t' ) : E |]
  ==>
  ( (t_Rec (l_v_list @ [(l,t)] @ l'_t'_list)) ,
    (t_Rec (l_v_list @ [(l,t')] @ l'_t'_list))
  ) : E"
```

The generated code for substitutions and free variables takes account of such list structure.

Note that at present the generated Isabelle code for these functions does not always build without change, in particular if tuples of size 3 or more are required in patterns.

12.3.3 List forms in homomorphisms

Proof assistant homomorphisms in productions can refer to dot-form metavariables and nonterminals. For example, the second production below (taken from `test17.9`) mentions `[[x1 t1 ... xn tn]]` in the `isa` homomorphism. This must exactly match the dot form in the production except that all terminals must be omitted — the metavariables and nonterminals must occur in the same order as in the production, and the bounds must be the same.

```

E :: 'E_' ::= {{ isa ( ident * t ) list }}
| < x1 : t1 , .. , xn : tn > :: :: 2 {{ isa List.rev [[x1 t1 .. xn tn]] }}
formula :: formula_ ::=
| judgement                :: :: judgement
| formula1 .. formula_n    :: :: dots

```

The generated Isabelle code for symbolic terms mentioning this production will involve a list of pairs. For example, the rules

```

defn
|- E  :: :: Eok :: Eok_ by

----- :: 2
|- <x1:t1,..,xn:tn>

|- t1:K1 .. |- tn:Kn
----- :: 3
|- <x1:t1,..,xn:tn>

generate

consts
  Eok :: "E set"
inductive Eok tK
intros

(* defn Eok *)

Eok_2I: " ( List.rev (x_t_list) ) : Eok"

Eok_3I: "[|
(List.list_all (\<lambda> b . b) ( ((List.map (%(x_,t_,K_). ( t_ , K_ ) : tK) x_t_K_list)) ) )|]
==>
 ( List.rev ((List.map (%(x_,t_,K_).(x_,t_)) x_t_K_list)) ) : Eok"

```

Note that in the second the list of pairs is projected out from the `x_t_K_list` list of triples that is quantified over in the rule.

13 Subrules

Subrule declarations have the form

```

subrules
  nt1 <:: nt2

```

where `nt1` and `nt2` are nonterminal roots.

Subrules can be chained, i.e. there can be a pair of subrule declarations `nt1 <:: nt2` and `nt2 <:: nt3`, and they can form a directed acyclic graph, e.g. with `nt0 <:: nt1`, `nt0 <:: nt2`, `nt1 <:: nt3`, and `nt2 <:: nt3`. However, there cannot be cycles, or nonterminal roots for which there are multiple upper bounds. Subrule declarations should not involve nonterminal roots for which proof-assistant type homs are specified.

We support the case in which the upper rule is also non-free, i.e. it contains productions that mention nonterminals that occur on the left of a subrule declaration. In the example below (`test11.ott`) the `t` rule contains a production `Foo v`.

```

metavar termvar , x ::=
  {{ isa string }} {{ coq nat }} {{ coq-equality }} {{ hol string }} {{ ocaml int }}

grammar
  t :: 't_' ::=

```

```

| x                :: :: Var
| \ x . t          :: :: Lam  (+ bind x in t +)
| t t'             :: :: App
| Foo v            :: :: Foo

v :: 'v_' ::=
| \ x . t          :: :: Lam

subrules
v <:: t

defs
Jb :: '' ::=

defn
Baz t , v :: :: Baz :: ''      by

----- :: ax
Baz t , v

```

In this case generated Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml will define a single type and both `is_v` and `is_t` predicates, and the generated inductive definition clause for `ax` uses both predicates. The Isabelle clause is below.

```
axI: "[|is_t t ; is_v v|] ==> ( t , v ) : Baz"
```

14 Context rules

The system supports the definition of single-hole contexts, e.g. for evaluation contexts. For example, suppose one has a term grammar as below:

```

t :: 't_' ::=                                     {{ com term      }}
| x                :: :: Var                      {{ com variable }}
| \ x . t          :: :: Lam (+ bind x in t +)    {{ com lambda   }}
| t t'             :: :: App                      {{ com app      }}
| ( t1 , .... , tn ) :: :: Tuple                 {{ com tuple   }}
| ( t )            :: S:: Paren                   {{ icho [[t]]  }}
| { t / x } t'     :: M:: Tsub                    {{ icho (tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t']]) }}

| E . t          :: M:: Ctx
                  {{ icho (appctx_E_t [[E]] [[t]]) }}
                  {{ tex [[E]] \cdot [[t]] }}

```

A context grammar is declared as a normal grammar but with a single occurrence of the terminal `__` in each production, e.g. as in the grammar for `E` below (a rather strange evaluation strategy, admittedly).

```

E :: 'E_' ::=                                     {{ com evaluation context }}
| __ t          :: :: AppL                        {{ com app L    }}
| v __          :: :: AppR                        {{ com app R    }}
| \ x . __      :: :: Lam                        {{ com reduce under lambda }}
| ( t1 ( __ t2 ) ) :: :: Nested                  {{ com hole nested }}
| ( v1 , .. , vm , __ , t1 , .. , tn ) :: :: Tuple {{ com tuple  }}

```

A `contextrules` declaration:

```

contextrules
E _:: t :: t

```


causes Ott to (a) check that each production of the E grammar is indeed a context for the t grammar, and (b) generates proof assistant functions, e.g. `appctx_E_t`, to apply a context to a term:

```
(** context application *)
Definition appctx_E_t (E5:E) (t_6:t) : t :=
  match E5 with
  | (E_AppL t5) => (t_App t_6 t5)
  | (E_AppR v5) => (t_App v5 t_6)
  | (E_Lam x) => (t_Lam x t_6)
  | (E_Nested t1 t2) => (t_App t1 (t_App t_6 t2) )
  | (E_Tuple v_list t_list) => (t_Tuple ((app_list_t v_list
    (app_list_t (Cons_list_t t_6 Nil_list_t) (app_list_t t_list Nil_list_t))))))
```

As the `Nested` production shows, context productions can involve nested term structure.

Note also that here the E grammar is not free (it mentions the subrule nonterminal v) so an isvalue predicate `is_E_of_E` is also generated.

In general, context rule declarations have the form

```
contextrules
  ntE _:: nt1 :: nt2
```

where `ntE`, `nt1`, and `nt2` are nonterminal roots. This declares contexts `ntE` for the `nt1` grammar, with holes in `nt2` positions.

Just as for substitutions, the context application function is typically used by adding a metaproduction to the term grammar. Here we add a production `E.t` to the t grammar with an `icho` hom that uses `appctx_E_t`.

```
t :: 't_' ::=                                {{ com term    }}
...
| E . t                                     :: M:: Ctx
                                     {{ icho (appctx_E_t [[E]] [[t]] ) }}
                                     {{ tex [[E]] \cdot [[t]] }}


```

That can then be used in relations:

```
t --> t'
----- :: ctx
E.t --> E.t'
```

One would typically also define a `terminals` production for the hole terminal `--`, e.g. here we typeset the hole as $[\cdot]$.

```
terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
  | -- :: hole {{ tex [\cdot] }}
```

15 Auxiliary Rules

We permit an `aux` hom on grammar rules. For any rule with such a hom, we transform that rule by appending an `_aux` to its primary nonterminal root name. We then add a synthesised rule with the original nonterminal root name and a single production, with a shape described by the body of the `aux` hom, which must be of the form

```
{{ aux foo1 foo2 _ bar1 bar2 bar3 }}
```

with a single `_` and any number of strings `fooi` and `barj` before and after. The `_` is replaced by the original nonterminal root name.

For example, given a grammar or metavariable `l` of source locations, one might say

```
ntr :: 'NTR_' ::= {{ aux _ l }}
| ...
```

to synthesise grammars `ntr_aux` and `ntr` of unannotated and location-annotated terms, the first with all the original productions and the second with a single production

```
| ntr 1 :: :: NTR_aux.
```

If the rule has an empty production name wrapper (eg with `''` in place of `'NTR_'`) then the production name is based on the original nonterminal root, capitalised and with `_aux` appended (eg `Ntr_aux`), to avoid spurious conflicts.

An additional `auxparams` hom on rules lets the user add type parameters to the generated OCaml output.

Generation of aux rules is controlled by a command-line option `-generate_aux_rules`, which one might (eg) set to false for latex output and true for OCaml output.

16 Functions

Ott includes experimental support for writing function definitions. As a simple example, consider the Ott file below:

```
grammar
  n :: 'n_' ::=
    | 0      :: :: Zero
    | S n    :: :: Succ

  funs
    Add ::= {{ hol-proof ... }}
  fun
    n1 + n2 :: n :: add    {{ com a function of type num -> num -> num }}
  by
    0 + n2 === n2
    S n1 + n2 === n1 + S n2
```

Here the `add` function is compiled into the following Coq code:

```
Fixpoint add (x1:num) (x2:num) : num:=
  match x1,x2 with
  | n_zero , n2 => n2
  | (n_succ n1) , n2 => (add n1 (n_succ n2) )
  end.
```

More in detail, the `fun n1 + n2 :: n :: add by` declaration specifies:

- the name of the function: `add`
- the symbolic term that defines the lhs: `n1 + n2`
- the non-terminal that defines the rhs: `n`

The type of the arguments of the function is defined by the non-terminals appearing in the lhs, the return type by the rhs non-terminal (so `num → num → num` in the above example). As side-effect, whenever a function of type `symb_term → nt` is defined, a production `nt ::= symb_term` is added to the definition of the non-terminal `nt` (in the above example, the production `n1 + n2` is added to the grammar of `num`).

Functions are then defined by case analysis, where the lhs and the rhs are separated by the reserved symbol `===`.

The `{{ hol-proof }}` hom allows the specification of a termination proof, which is required by Hol. Mutually recursive functions can be defined in the same `funs` block, analogously to mutually recursive rule definitions.

Disclaimer: the different treatment of partial functions by the different provers can result in a function definition being compiled correctly to one prover but not to others.

17 Parsing Priorities

Symbolic terms that can have more than one parse tree are typically considered erroneous; however, certain classes of parse trees are ignored in order to support common idioms that are ambiguous. For example, the production

$$\Gamma ::= \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$$

might be used to allow a list of typing contexts to be appended together, but it is highly ambiguous. The following restrictions forbid many unwanted parses that could otherwise occur.

- All parses in which a nonterminal derives itself without consuming any input are ignored. For example, in the production above, the list could otherwise be of length one so that Γ directly derives Γ giving rise to a vacuous cycle, and an infinite forest of parse trees. This restriction ensures that only the tree without the vacuous cycle is considered.
- The parser for a list form ignores parses that unnecessarily break up the list due to (direct or indirect) self reference. For example, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4$ will not parse as a two element sequence of two element sequences $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2), (\Gamma_3, \Gamma_4)$ given the production above.
- User supplied priority annotations in a **parsing** section rule out certain trees as follows:
 - $prodname_1 \text{<=}& prodname_2$: Parse trees where a $prodname_1$ node is a child of a $prodname_2$ node are ignored.
 - $prodname_1 \text{ right } prodname_2$: Parse trees where a $prodname_1$ node is the leftmost child of a $prodname_2$ node are ignored.
 - $prodname_1 \text{ left } prodname_2$: Parse trees where a $prodname_2$ node is the rightmost child of a $prodname_1$ node are ignored.

In addition to immediate children, these priority annotations also prohibit parse trees where the forbidden child node occurs underneath a chain of derivations from the specified parent when the chain does not consume any input. Figure 17 demonstrates a typical use of a **parsing** section; the declarations have effect as follows:

- Line #1: $n + n + n$ parses as $(n + n) + n$, but not $n + (n + n)$;
- Line #3: $n + n - n$ parses as $(n + n) - n$, but not $n + (n - n)$;
- Line #9: $-n + n$ parses as $(-n) + n$, but not $-(n + n)$;
- Line #15: $n + n n$ parses as $n + (n n)$, but not $(n + n) n$; $n n + n$ parses as $(n n) + n$, but not $n (n + n)$;
- Line #20: $n, n n, n$ parses as $n, (n n), n$, but not $(n, n) (n, n)$.

Currently, the **parsing** section supports only these relatively low level and verbose declarations.

18 Combining multiple source files

Ott can be invoked with multiple source files. Input filenames with extensions `.tex`, `.v`, `.thy`, `.sml`, or `.ml` are simply copied into the relevant output (L^AT_EX, Coq, Isabelle, HOL, or OCaml). By default the source-file and command-line order of blocks is preserved, for grammar, embeds, and inductive definitions.

The prover output can be split into multiple output files: each prover output file specified with `-o <filename>` will contain the material from the previous input files specified with `-i` (since the last `-o` for the same prover).

Alternatively, one can add a `-merge true` command-line option, in which case the productions of multiple grammars that share the same header are merged into a single grammar, and the rules of multiple inductive definitions that share the same header are merged into a single inductive definition. This rudimentary form of modularity can be very useful, either to split a language definition into separate features, or to define reusable Ott components to define standard formulae, L^AT_EX pretty printing of terminals, or L^AT_EX styles. For example, Figure 15 shows the Ott source file for a `let` feature in

```

metavar n ::=

grammar

e :: e_ ::=
| n          ::   :: num
| - e        ::   :: neg
| e1 + e2    ::   :: add
| e1 - e2    ::   :: sub
| e1 e2      ::   :: mul
| e1 / e2    ::   :: div
| e1 , .. , e2 ::   :: tup
| ( e )      :: M :: par {{ icho [[e]] }}

parsing

e_add left e_add    % #1
e_sub left e_sub
e_add left e_sub    % #3
e_sub left e_add

e_mul left e_mul
e_div left e_div
e_mul left e_div
e_div left e_mul

e_neg <= e_add      % #9
e_neg <= e_sub
e_neg <= e_mul
e_neg <= e_div
e_neg <= e_tup

e_add <= e_div
e_add <= e_mul      % #15
e_add <= e_tup
e_sub <= e_div
e_sub <= e_mul
e_sub <= e_tup

e_mul <= e_tup      % #20
e_div <= e_tup

```

Figure 14: An Ott source file for basic arithmetic using the typical parsing priorities

```

grammar
t :: Tm ::=
  | let x = t in t'
                                ::      :: Let (+ bind x in t' +)    {{ com let binding }}

defns
Jop :: '' ::=

defn
t --> t' :: :: red :: E_ {{ com Evaluation }} by

----- :: LetV
let x=v1 in t2 --> [x|->v1]t2

t1 --> t1'
----- :: Let
let x=t1 in t2 --> let x=t1' in t2

defns
Jtype :: '' ::=

defn
G |- t : T :: :: typing :: T_ {{ com Typing }} by

G |- t1:T1
G,x:T1 |- t2:T2
----- :: Let
G |- let x=t1 in t2 : T2

```

Figure 15: An ott source file for the `let` fragment of TAPL

isolation, taken from our Ott development of some languages from Pierce’s TAPL [Pie02]. The original TAPL languages were produced using TinkerType [LP03] to compose features and check for conflicts. In `examples/tapl` we build a system, similar to the TinkerType `sys-fullsimple`, from ott source files that correspond roughly to the various TinkerType components, each with syntax and semantic rules for a single feature.

19 Hom blocks

Bindspecs and homomorphisms for productions, and any homomorphisms for definitions, can appear in an Ott source file either attached to the production or definition, as we have shown earlier, or in separate hom blocks. For example, one can write

```

homs 't_'
  :: Lam (+ bind x in t +)

homs 't_'
  :: Var      {{ com variable }}
  :: Lam      {{ com abstraction }}
  :: App      {{ com application }}
  :: paren    {{ ich [[t]] }}
  :: tsub     {{ ich ( tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t']] ) }}

homs ''
  :: reduce   {{ com [[t1]] reduces to [[t2]] }}

```

Each of these begins with a prefix and then has a sequence of production name or definition name kernels, each followed by a sequence of bindspecs and then a sequence of homomorphisms.

The `test10_homs.ott` example, in Fig. 16, shows this. It is semantically equivalent to the `test10.ott` example of Fig. 8, but the `homs` have been moved into `hom` blocks.

20 Isabelle syntax support

Ott has limited facilities to allow the Isabelle mixfix syntax support and `xsymbol` to be used. The example `test10_isasyn.ott` shows this in use.

Non-meta productions can be annotated with `isasyn` and/or `isaprec` homomorphisms. For example, `test10_isasyn.ott` contains the production

```
| t t'      :: :: App   {{ isasyn [[t]]\<bullet>[[t']] }}  {{ isaprec 50 }}
```

The two `homs` are used to output the Isabelle syntax annotation in the `t_App` clause of the datatype definition below.

```
t =
  t_Var "termvar"
| t_Lam "termvar" "t" ("\

```

Definitions can be annotated with `isasyn` and/or `isaprec` homomorphisms similarly, e.g. as below.

```
defn
  t1 --> t2 :: :: reduce :: ' ' {{ isasyn [[t1]] ---> [[t2]] }} by
```

This generates `syntax` and `translations` blocks as below.

```
inductive_set reduce :: "(t*t) set"
and "reduce'" :: "t => t => bool" ("_ ---> _" 50)
where "(t1 ---> t2) == ( t1 , t2 ) : reduce"
```

Symbolic terms in definitions are printed using any production or definition syntax. This (especially with `xsymbol` turned on) makes the current goal state during Isabelle proof development much more readable.

Further, there is a command line option `-isa_syntax true`. If this is set then the tool generates Isabelle syntax annotations from the source syntax. For example, the source file production for the `t_Lam` clause is

```
| \ x . t      :: :: Lam   {{ isaprec 60 }}
```

and the `terminals` grammar contains a mapping from `\` to `\<lambda>`:

```
terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
| \      :: :: lambda  {{ tex \lambda }}  {{ isa \<lambda> }}
| -->    :: :: red     {{ tex \longrightarrow }} {{ isa ---> }}
```

This is used (just as for \LaTeX `homs`) to generate the `("\ in the datatype definition above.`

This functionality is limited in various ways: (1) the full range of Isabelle precedence and associativity specifications are not supported; (2) the automatically generated syntax annotations are somewhat crude, especially w.r.t. spacing and parenthesisation; (3) syntax annotation on meta productions is not properly supported; and (4) it would be desirable to have more fine-grain control of whether to automatically generate annotations: per-production, per-rule, and per-file.

21 Isabelle code generation example

The Isabelle/Coq/HOL code generation facilities can be sometimes used to generate (variously) OCaml and SML code from the Isabelle/Coq/HOL definitions produced by Ott.

For example, the `test10st_codegen.thy` file uses Isabelle code generation to produce SML code to calculate the possible reductions of terms in the `test10st.ott` simply typed lambda calculus.

```

metavar termvar , x ::=
  {{ isa string }} {{ coq nat }} {{ coq-equality }} {{ hol string }} {{ lex alphanum }}
  {{ tex \mathit{[[termvar]]} }} {{ com term variable }}

grammar
  t :: 't_' ::=    {{ com term }}
    | x              ::    :: Var
    | \ x . t        ::    :: Lam
    | t t'           ::    :: App
    | ( t )          :: S :: paren
    | { t / x } t'    :: M :: tsub

  v :: 'v_' ::=    {{ com value }}
    | \ x . t        ::    :: Lam

  terminals :: 'terminals_' ::=
    | \              ::    :: lambda {{ tex \lambda }}
    | -->            ::    :: red   {{ tex \longrightarrow }}

homs 't_'
  :: Lam (+ bind x in t +)

homs 't_'
  :: Var    {{ com variable }}
  :: Lam    {{ com abstraction }}
  :: App    {{ com application }}
  :: paren  {{ ich [[t]] }}
  :: tsub   {{ ich ( tsubst_t [[t]] [[x]] [[t']] ) }}

homs ''
  :: reduce {{ com $[[t1]]$ reduces to $[[t2]]$ }}

subrules
  v <:: t

substitutions
  single t x :: tsubst

defs
  Jop :: '' ::=

  defn
    t1 --> t2 :: :: reduce :: '' by

    ----- :: ax_app
    (\x.t12) v2 --> {v2/x}t12

    t1 --> t1'
    ----- :: ctx_app_fun
    t1 t --> t1' t

    t1 --> t1'
    ----- :: ctx_app_arg
    v t1 --> v t1'

```

Figure 16: Hom Sections: test10_homs.ott

```

theory test10st_codegen
imports test10st_snapshot_out Executable_Set
begin

ML "reset Codegen.quiet_mode"

(* Code generation for the test10st simply typed lambda calculus. *)

constdefs
  ta :: t
  "ta == (t_App (t_Lam ''z'' (t_Var ''z'')) (t_Lam ''y'' (t_Var ''y'')))"
;

code_module Test10st_codegen file "test10st_codegen.ml" contains
(*is_v
tsubst_T
tsubst_t*)
reduce_ta = "(ta,_):reduce"

(* ...to build and demo the resulting test10st_codegen.ml code...

Isabelle test10st_codegen.thy
...‘use’ that...

...in a shell...
isabelle
use "test10st_codegen.ml";
open Test10st_codegen;

...a test term...
ta;
val it = t_App (t_Lam (["z"], t_Var ["z"]), t_Lam (["y"], t_Var ["y"]))

...a sample reduction...
DSeq.hd(reducep__1 ta);
val it = t_Lam (["y"], t_Var ["y"]) : Test10st_codegen.t

*)

end

```

22 Reference: Command-line usage

A good place to get started is one of the test `make` targets in the `ott` directory, e.g.

```

test10: tests/test10.ott
      bin/ott                                \
      -i tests/test10.ott                     \
      -o out.thy -o out.v -o outScript.sml    \
      -o out.tex                             \
      -parse ":t: (\z.z z) y"                \
      && ($(LATEX) out; $(DVIPS) out -o)

```

When `make test10` is executed, `ott`:

- reads the source file `tests/test10.ott`
- (if one also specifies `-show_post_sort true` and `-show_defns true`) prints on standard output

various diagnostic information, including ASCII versions of the grammar and inductive definitions. By default these are coloured (using vt220 control codes) with metavariables in red, nonterminals in yellow, terminals in green, and object variables in white. Scanning over this output quickly picks up some common errors.

- parses the symbolic term $(\lambda z.z\ z)\ y$ using the `t` grammar and prints the result to standard output
- generates Isabelle definitions in the file `out.thy`
- generates Coq definitions in the file `out.v`
- generates HOL definitions in the file `outScript.sml`
- generates a \LaTeX document in the file `out.tex`, with a standard document preamble to make it self-contained.

That \LaTeX document is then compiled and converted to postscript.

For convenience, input files can also be listed at the end of the command line:

```
ott [options] <file1> ... <fileN>
```

is equivalent to

```
ott -i <file1> ... -i <fileN> [options]
```

The `%.out` Makefile target runs `ott` with common defaults on the file `%.ott`, so for example executing `make tests/test10.out` runs `ott` on `tests/test10.ott`, generating all outputs. There are also targets `%.coq.out`, `%.hol.out`, and `%.isa.out`, to generate just LaTeX and the code for one proof assistant, and `%.tex.out`, to generate just LaTeX.

The `ott` command-line options (with default values where applicable) are shown below.

Ott version 0.29 distribution of Thu 1 Aug 2019 12:28:30 CEST

usage: ott <options> <filename1> .. <filenamen>

(use "OCAMLRUNPARAM=p ott ..." to show the ocamllyacc trace)

(ott <options> <filename1> .. <filenamen> is equivalent to

ott -i <filename1> .. -i <filenamen> <options>)

<code>-i <filename></code>	Input file (can be used multiple times)
<code>-o <filename></code>	Output file (can be used multiple times)
<code>-writesys <filename></code>	Output system definition
<code>-readsys <filename></code>	Input system definition
<code>-tex_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to TeX filter
<code>-coq_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to Coq filter
<code>-hol_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to HOL filter
<code>-lem_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to HOL filter
<code>-isa_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to Isabelle filter
<code>-ocaml_filter <src><dst></code>	Files to OCaml filter
<code>-merge <false></code>	merge grammar and definition rules
<code>-parse <string></code>	Test parse symterm, eg ":nontermroot: term"
<code>-fast_parse <false></code>	do not parse :rulename: pseudoterminals
<code>-signal_parse_errors <false></code>	return >0 if there are bad defs
<code>-picky_multiple_parses <false></code>	Picky about multiple parses
<code>-quotient_rules <true></code>	Quotient rules, as per {{ quotient-with ntr }} homs
<code>-generate_aux_rules <true></code>	Generate auxiliary rules or constructor arguments from {{ aux .
<code>-aux_style_rules <true></code>	Auxiliary rules (true) vs constructor arguments (false)
<code>-output_source_locations <0></code>	Include source location info in output (0=none, 1=drules, 2=gra
<code>-colour <true></code>	Use (vt220) colour for ASCII pretty print
<code>-show_sort <false></code>	Show ASCII pretty print of syntax
<code>-show_defs <false></code>	Show ASCII pretty print defs
<code>-tex_show_meta <true></code>	Include meta prods and rules in TeX output
<code>-tex_show_categories <false></code>	Signal production flags in TeX output

```

symterm, st ::=
  | stnb
  | nonterm

symterm_node_body, stnb ::=
  | prodname(ste1, .., stem)

symterm_element, ste ::=
  | st
  | metavar
  | var : mvr

```

Figure 17: Mini-Ott in Ott: symbolic terms

<code>-tex_suppress_category <[]></code>	Suppress productions and rules with this category in TeX output
<code>-tex_suppress_ntr <[]></code>	Suppress nonterminal root in TeX output
<code>-tex_colour <true></code>	Colour parse errors in TeX output
<code>-tex_wrap <true></code>	Wrap TeX output in document pre/postamble
<code>-tex_name_prefix <string></code>	Prefix for tex commands (default "ott")
<code>-isabelle_primrec <true></code>	Use "primrec" instead of "fun" for functions
<code>-isabelle_inductive <true></code>	Use "inductive" instead of "inductive_set" for relations
<code>-isa_syntax <false></code>	Use fancy syntax in Isabelle output
<code>-isa_generate_lemmas <false></code>	Lemmas for collapsed functions in Isabelle
<code>-coq_avoid <1></code>	coq type-name avoidance (0=nothing, 1=avoid, 2=secondaryify)
<code>-coq_expand_list_types <true></code>	Expand list types in Coq output
<code>-coq_lngen <false></code>	lngen compatibility
<code>-coq_names_in_rules <true></code>	Copy user names in rule definitions
<code>-coq_use_filter_fn <false></code>	Use list_filter instead of list_minus2 in substitutions
<code>-ocaml_include_terminals <false></code>	Include terminals in OCaml output (experimental!)
<code>-pp_grammar</code>	(debug) print term grammar
<code>-dot <filename></code>	(debug) dot graph of syntax dependencies
<code>-alltt <filename></code>	(debug) alltt output of single source file
<code>-sort <true></code>	(debug) do topological sort
<code>-process_defns <true></code>	(debug) process inductive reln definitions
<code>-showraw <false></code>	(debug) show raw grammar
<code>-ugly <false></code>	(debug) use ugly ASCII output
<code>-no_rbcn <true></code>	(debug) remove relevant bind clauses
<code>-lem_debug</code>	(debug) print lem debug locations
<code>-help</code>	Display this list of options
<code>--help</code>	Display this list of options

23 Reference: The language of symbolic terms

A syntax definition conceptually defines two different languages: that of concrete terms of the object language, and that of symbolic terms over the object language. The former includes concrete variables (if nontrivial `lex` homs have been specified for metavariables). The latter includes the former but also allows symbolic metavariables and nonterminals. Symbolic terms may also include the production-name annotations mentioned in §3. For a syntax definition with list forms (c.f. §12) symbolic terms also include various list constructs. A simplified abstract syntax of symbolic terms is shown in Figure 17, omitting list forms. In this section we give an informal definition of the full concrete syntax of symbolic terms.

The premises and conclusions of inductive definition rules are symbolic terms. The language of symbolic terms is defined informally below, with interpretation functions $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$ that map defined entities into grammar clauses.

For a rule *rule* =

$$nontermroot_1, \dots, nontermroot_n :: ' ' ::= prod_1 .. prod_m$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket rule \rrbracket & ::= \\ & \quad | \quad nontermrootsuffix \quad (1) \\ & \quad | \quad \llbracket prod_1 \rrbracket \\ & \quad | \quad \dots \\ & \quad | \quad \llbracket prod_m \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

(1) for each *nontermroot* in the set $\{nontermroot_1, \dots, nontermroot_n\}$ and for each *nontermroot* defined by any *rule'* which is declared as a subrule of this rule.

For a production *prod* =

$$| element_1 .. element_m :: :: prodname$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket prod \rrbracket & ::= \\ & \quad | \quad \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_m \rrbracket \\ & \quad | \quad :prodname: \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_m \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

For an element there are various cases.

1. For a terminal *terminal*

$$\llbracket terminal \rrbracket ::= terminal$$

2. For a nonterminal *nontermroot suffix*

$$\llbracket nontermroot suffix \rrbracket ::= \llbracket rule \rrbracket$$

where *rule* includes *nontermroot* among the nonterminal roots it defines. (Note that this does not depend on what *suffix* was used in the grammar, and similarly for the *metavar* case below.)

3. For an index variable *indexvarroot*

$$\llbracket indexvarroot \rrbracket ::= indexvarroot'$$

for each *indexvarroot'* defined by the **indexvar** definition that defines *indexvarroot*.

4. For a metavariable *metavarroot suffix*

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket metavarroot suffix \rrbracket & ::= \\ & \quad | \quad metavarroot' suffix \quad (1) \\ & \quad | \quad variable \end{aligned}$$

(1) for each *metavarroot'* defined by the **metavar** definition that defines *metavarroot*. (2) where *variable* ranges over all the strings defined by the **lex** regexp of the **metavar** definition that defines *metavarroot*, except for any string which can be parsed as a nonterminal, metavariable or terminal of the syntax definition.

5. A list form element *element* could be any of the following, either without a separating terminal:

$$\begin{aligned} & element_1 .. element_n \text{ dots } element'_1 .. element'_n \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // indexvar /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // indexvar \text{ IN } indexvar' /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // indexvar \text{ IN } number \text{ dots } indexvar' /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // indexvar \text{ IN } number \text{ dots } indexvar' -1 /> \end{aligned}$$

or with a separating terminal:

$$\begin{aligned} & element_1 .. element_n \text{ terminal dots } terminal element'_1 .. element'_n \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // terminal // indexvar /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // terminal // indexvar \text{ IN } indexvar' /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // terminal // indexvar \text{ IN } number \text{ dots } indexvar' /> \\ & </ element_1 .. element_n // terminal // indexvar \text{ IN } number \text{ dots } indexvar' -1 /> \end{aligned}$$

In any of these cases the interpretation $\llbracket element \rrbracket$ is the lists (separated by the *terminal* if one was specified) of concrete list entries and of list forms. Without a separating *terminal*, this is:

$$\llbracket element \rrbracket ::= (\text{concrete_list_entry} | \text{list_form})^* \quad (2), (3)$$

$$\text{concrete_list_entry} ::= \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{list_form} & ::= \\ & | \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket \text{ dots}' \llbracket element'_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element'_n \rrbracket \\ & | </ \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket // indexvar'' /> \\ & | </ \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket // indexvar'' IN indexvar''' /> \\ & | </ \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket // indexvar'' IN number' dots' indexvar''' /> \\ & | </ \llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket // indexvar'' IN number' dots' indexvar''' -1 /> \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This is subject to constraints: (1) that $\llbracket element_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element_n \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket element'_1 \rrbracket .. \llbracket element'_n \rrbracket$ can be anti-unified with exactly one varying index; (2) if the list has only concrete entries (i.e., no list forms), its length must meet the constraint of any dots in the *element*.

With a separating *terminal*, we have:

$$\llbracket element \rrbracket ::= \epsilon | (\text{concrete_list_entry} | \text{list_form}) (\text{terminal}(\text{concrete_list_entry} | \text{list_form}))^*$$

In the above

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dots} & ::= .. | \dots | \dots \\ \text{number} & ::= 0 | 1 \\ \text{suffix} & ::= \text{suffix_item}^* \\ \text{suffix_item} & ::= \\ & | (0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)^+ \quad (\text{longest match}) \\ & | - \\ & | , \\ & | indexvar \\ & | indexvar-1 \end{aligned}$$

Further, whitespace (' ' | '\010' | '\009' | '\013' | '\012') is allowed before any token except a those in a suffix, and nonterminals, metavariables, index variables, and terminals that end with an alphanumeric character, must not be followed by an alphanumeric character.

The tool also builds a parser for concrete terms, with fake nonterminal roots `concrete_ntr` for each primary `ntr` of the syntax definition. One can switch to concrete-term parsing with a `:concrete:` annotation, as in the example

```
\[ [[:concrete: \Z1<:Top. \x:Z1.x ]]\]
```

shown in Figure 10. Below such an annotation, only concrete terms are permitted, with no further annotation, no symbolic nonterminals or metavariables, no list dot forms or comprehensions, etc.

Parsing of terms is done with a scannerless GLR parser over character-list inputs. The parser searches for all parses of the input. If none are found, the ASCII and TeX output are annotated **no parses**, with a copy of the input with ******* inserted at the point where the last token was read. This is often at the point of the error (though if, for example, a putative dot form is read but the two element lists cannot be anti-unified, it will be after the point of the error). If multiple parses are found, the TeX output is annotated **multiple parses** and the different parses are output to the console in detail during the Ott run.

The GLR parser achieves reasonable performance on the small symbolic terms that are typical in semantic rules. Its performance on large (whole-program size) examples is untested.

24 Reference: Generation of proof assistant definitions

This section briefly summarises the steps involved in the generation of proof assistant definitions from an Ott source file. For a description of the locally-nameless backend, refer to <http://moscova.inria.fr/~zappa/projects/>

24.1 Generation of types

- The primary metavariable roots and primary nonterminal roots are used directly as the names of proof assistant types, except where they have a hom specifying a root-overriding string.
- Type abbreviation declarations are produced for metavaris, in the source-file order, skipping metavaris or nonterminals defined with `phantom`.
- Type generation considers each rule of the user's source grammar except those for `formula` and `terminals` (or the synthesized rules for the syntax of judgements or `user_syntax`).
- The subrule order is analysed to identify the top elements. For each of those, a proof assistant type will be generated — either a free type (`coq: inductive`, `isa: datatype`, `hol: Hol_datatype`), or if there is a type hom for the proof assistant in question, a type abbreviation. No types are generated for the non-top elements, as they will be represented as predicates over the top free type above them.
- For the former, each non-meta production of the rule gives rise to a constructor. The production name (with any per-rule prefix already applied) is used directly as the constructor name. The (curried) constructor argument types are taken from the types associated with the metavariables and nonterminals mentioned in the production body.
- Rules (within each `grammar` block, if `-merge false`, or all rules, if `-merge true`) are topologically sorted according to the dependency order (a free-type rule directly depends on another if one of its non-meta productions includes a nonterminal of the other; dependencies for rules with a type-hom for the proof assistant in question are obtained from a crude lexing of the body of the type hom). We then generate mutually recursive type definitions for connected components, in an order consistent with the dependencies.
- For productions that involve list dot forms or list comprehension forms, for HOL and Isabelle we produce constructors with argument types that involve native list types. For Coq, however, we synthesise an additional inductive type for each list-of-tuples that arises (both for those that occur in the grammar and for others required in the translations of inductive definitions) and include them in the topological sort.

24.2 Generation of functions

A small number of library functions (`list_mem`, `list_minus`,...) are included in the output if they are required.

Several Coq list functions (`map`, `make`, `unmake`, `nth`, `app`) are generated for each synthesized list type.

The definitions of the more interesting functions (subrule predicates, binding auxiliaries, free variable functions, and substitutions) are generated over the free types generated for the maximal elements of the subrule order (generation of these functions for rules with type homs is not supported). The definitions are by pattern-matching and recursion. The patterns are generated by building canonical symbolic terms from the productions of each relevant rule. The recursion is essentially primitive recursion: for Coq we produce `Fixpoints` or `Definitions` (the latter is sometimes needed as the former gives an error in the case where there is no recursion); for Isabelle we produce `primrecs` (or, experimentally, `funcs`); for HOL we use an `ottDefine` variant of the `Define` package. In general we have to deal both with the type dependency (the topologically sorted mutually recursive types described above) and with function dependency — for example, for subrule predicates and binding auxiliaries we may have multiple mutually recursive functions over the same type.

For Coq the function generation over productions that involve list types must mirror that, so we generate auxiliary functions that recurse over those list types.

For Isabelle the `primrec` package does not support definitions involving several mutually recursive functions over the same type, so for these we generate single functions calculating tuples of results, define the intended functions as projections of these, and generate lemmas (and simple proof scripts) characterising them in terms of the intended definitions. Further, it does not support pattern matching involving nested constructors. We therefore generate auxiliary functions for productions with embedded list types. Isabelle tuples are treated as iterated pairs, so we do the same for productions with tuples of size 3 or

more. Isabelle also requires a function definition for each recursive type. In the case where there are multiple uses of the same type (e.g. several uses of `t list` in different productions) all the functions we wish to generate need identical auxiliaries. As yet, the tool does not generate the identical copies required.

If the option `-isabelle_primrec` is set to `false`, then Ott uses the `fun` package instead of the `primrec` package. Since at the time of writing Isabelle 2008 is not capable of proving automatic termination of all the `fun`s that Ott generates, this feature should be considered experimental.

For HOL the standard `Define` package tries an automatic termination proof. For productions that involve list types our generated functions involve various list functions which prevent those proofs working in all cases. We therefore use an `ottDefine` variant (due to Scott Owens), with slightly stronger support for proving termination of definitions involving list operators.

24.2.1 Subrule predicates

We generate subrule predicates to carve out the subsets of each free proof assistant type (from the maximal elements of the subrule order) that represent the rules of the grammar. The non-free rules are the least subset of the rules that either (1) occur on the left of a subrule (`<::`) declaration, or (2) have a (non-meta) production that mentions a non-free rule. Note that these can include rules that are maximal elements of the subrule order, e.g. if an expression grammar included a production involving packaged values. The subrule predicate for a type is defined by pattern matching over constructors of the maximal type above it — for each non-meta production of the maximal type it calculates a disjunction over all the productions of the lower type that are subproductions of it, invoking other subrule predicates as appropriate.

24.2.2 Binding auxiliaries

The binding auxiliary functions calculate the intuitive semantics of auxiliary functions defined in `bind-specs` of the Ott source file. Currently these are represented as proof assistant lists of metavariables or nonterminals (arguably set types should be used instead, at least in Isabelle).

24.2.3 Free variables

The free variable functions simply walk over the structure of the free proof assistant types, using any `bind` specifications (and binding auxiliaries) as appropriate. For these, and for substitutions, we simplify the generated functions by using the dependency analysis of the syntax to exclude recursive calls where there is no dependency.

24.2.4 Substitutions

The generated substitution functions also walk over the structure of the free proof assistant types. For each production, for each occurrence of a nonterminal `nt` within it, we first calculate the things (of whatever type is in question) binding in that `nt`, i.e. those that should be removed from the domain of any substitution pushed down into it. There are two cases: (1) the `mse'` from any `bind mse' in nt`; (2) `nt` itself if it occurs in the `mse''` of any `bind mse'' in nt''`, i.e. `nt` itself if it is directly used to bind elsewhere. List forms within `bindspecs` are dealt with analogously.

The substitution function clause for a production is then of one of two forms: either (1) the production comprises a single element, of the nonterminal or metavariable that we are substituting for, and this is within the rule of the nonterminal that it is being replaced by, or (2) all other cases. For (1) the element is compared with the domain of the substitution, and replaced by the corresponding value from the range if it is found. For (2) the substitution functions are mapped over the subelements, having first removed any bound things from the domain of the substitution.

This is all done similarly, but with differences in detail, for single and for multiple substitutions.

24.3 Generation of relations

The semantic relations are defined with the proof-assistant inductive relations packages (`coq: Inductive`, `isa: inductive`, `hol: Hol_reln`). They use the mutual recursion structure that is given by the user,

with each `defns` block giving rise to a potentially mutually recursive definition of each `defn` inside it. (It is debatable whether it would be preferable to do an automatic dependency analysis and topological sort, as for the syntax.) Each definition rule gives rise to an implicational clause, essentially that the premises (Ott `formulas`) imply the conclusion (an Ott symbolic term of whichever judgement is being defined). In addition:

- Symbolic terms are transformed in various different ways:
 - Nodes of non-meta productions are output as applications of the appropriate proof-assistant constructor (and, for a subrule, promoted to the corresponding constructor of a maximal rule).
 - Nodes of meta productions are transformed with the user-specified homomorphism.
 - Nodes of judgement forms are represented as applications of the defined relation in Coq and HOL, and as set-membership assertions in Isabelle.
 - Lists of formulae (the `formula_dots` production) are special-cased.
- For each nonterminal of a non-free syntax rule (as in §24.2.1) that occurs, e.g. a usage of `v'` where `v<::t`, an additional premise invoking the subrule predicate for the non-free rule is added, e.g. `is_v v'`.
- The set of symbolic terms of the definition rule are analysed together to identify list forms with the same bounds. A single proof assistant variable is introduced for each such, with appropriate projections and list maps/forall at the usage points.
- For Coq, auxiliary defined relations are introduced for list forms.
- For Coq, as the projections from list forms involve (Ott-generated) `nth` functions that return option types, for any such projection a pattern-match against `Some` is introduced as an additional premise.
- For Coq and HOL, explicit quantifiers are introduced for all variables mentioned in the rule.

25 Reference: Summary of homomorphisms

Homomorphisms can appear in various positions in an Ott source file. The table below summarises their meanings. A \checkmark indicates that arguments are meaningful for that usage (e.g. `[[e1]]` in a production mentioning a nonterminal or metavariable `e1`).

a metavar or indexvar declaration, after one of the defined metavar/indexvar roots, or
a rule, after one of the defined nonterminal roots

<code>tex</code>	\checkmark	L ^A T _E X typesetting for symbolic variables with that root
<code>isa/coq/hol/ocaml</code>		Isabelle/Coq/HOL/OCaml root overriding string (1)
<code>repr-locally-nameless</code>		use a locally-nameless representation (Coq backend only)

a metavar or indexvar declaration, after the `::=`

<code>isa</code>		Isabelle representation type
<code>coq</code>		Coq representation type
<code>hol</code>		HOL representation type
<code>ocaml</code>		OCaml representation type
<code>tex</code>	\checkmark	L ^A T _E X typesetting for symbolic variables
<code>com</code>		comment to appear in L ^A T _E X syntax definition
<code>coq-equality</code>		Coq proof script to decide equality over the representation type
<code>repr-locally-nameless</code>		(Coq only) use a locally-nameless representation
<code>phantom</code>		suppress the representation type definition in theorem prover output
<code>lex</code>		regular expression for lexing concrete variables
<code>texvar</code>	\checkmark	L ^A T _E X typesetting for concrete variables
<code>isavar</code>	\checkmark	Isabelle output for concrete variables
<code>holvar</code>	\checkmark	HOL output for concrete variables
<code>ocamlvar</code>	\checkmark	OCaml output for concrete variables

a rule, after the `::=`

<code>isa</code>		Isabelle representation type, if a non-free type is required
<code>coq</code>		Coq representation type, if a non-free type is required
<code>hol</code>		HOL representation type, if a non-free type is required
<code>ocaml</code>		OCaml representation type, if a non-free type is required
<code>tex</code>	✓	L ^A T _E X typesetting for symbolic variables
<code>com</code>	✓	comment to appear in L ^A T _E X syntax definition
<code>coq-equality</code>		Coq proof script to decide equality over the representation type
<code>coq-universe</code>		Coq universe (e.g. <code>Type</code>) for the representation type
<code>phantom</code>		suppress the representation type definition in theorem prover output
<code>aux</code>	(✓)	construct an auxiliary grammar rule with a single production
<code>icho</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> , <code>isa</code> , <code>hol</code> , and <code>ocaml</code> homs
<code>ichlo</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> , <code>isa</code> , <code>hol</code> , <code>lem</code> , and <code>ocaml</code> homs
<code>ich</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> , <code>isa</code> and <code>hol</code> homs
<code>ic</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> and <code>isa</code> homs
<code>ch</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> and <code>hol</code> homs
<code>ih</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>isa</code> and <code>hol</code> homs

a production

<code>isa</code>	✓	Isabelle output, for a non-free (meta) production
<code>coq</code>	✓	Coq output, for a non-free (meta) production
<code>hol</code>	✓	HOL output, for a non-free (meta) production
<code>ocaml</code>	✓	OCaml output, for a non-free (meta) production
<code>tex</code>	✓	L ^A T _E X typesetting for symbolic terms
<code>texlong</code>		typeset as long production
<code>com</code>	✓	comment to appear in L ^A T _E X syntax definition
<code>order</code>	✓	specify order of arguments to prover or Ocaml constructor
<code>isasyn</code>	✓	Isabelle mixfix syntax output
<code>isaprec</code>		Isabelle mixfix syntax precedence string
<code>ich</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> , <code>isa</code> and <code>hol</code> homs
<code>ic</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> and <code>isa</code> homs
<code>ch</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>coq</code> and <code>hol</code> homs
<code>ih</code>	✓	shorthand for identical <code>isa</code> and <code>hol</code> homs

a production of the `terminals` grammar

<code>isa</code>		Isabelle output, for terminals in default generated Isabelle mixfix declarations
<code>tex</code>		L ^A T _E X default typesetting for terms
<code>com</code>	✓	comment to appear in L ^A T _E X syntax definition

a `defn`, before the `by`

<code>tex</code>	✓	L ^A T _E X typesetting for symbolic terms
<code>com</code>	✓	comment to appear in L ^A T _E X syntax definition
<code>isasyn</code>	✓	Isabelle mixfix syntax output
<code>isaprec</code>		Isabelle mixfix syntax precedence string
<code>lemwcf</code>		Lem witness, check, and functions spec for indrelns

a `homs` section clause (for a production or a definition)

as in the above production and `defn` forms

a group of defs, after the `::=`

<code>coq-universe</code>		Coq universe (e.g. <code>Type</code>) for the representation type
---------------------------	--	--

an `embed` section

<code>isa</code>	embedded Isabelle output
<code>coq</code>	embedded Coq output
<code>hol</code>	embedded HOL output
<code>ocaml</code>	embedded OCaml output
<code>tex</code>	embedded L ^A T _E X output
<code>tex-preamble</code>	embedded L ^A T _E X output, appearing in the L ^A T _E X preamble
<code>coq-lib</code>	do not generate definitions for the listed helper functions
<code>isa-auxfn-proof</code>	Isabelle proof script
<code>isa-subrule-proof</code>	Isabelle proof script

in a subrule, substitution or function definition

<code>isa-proof</code>	Isabelle proof script
------------------------	-----------------------

(1) This is occasionally useful to work around a clash between a metavar or nonterminal primary root and a proof assistant symbol, e.g. `value` in Isabelle or `T` in HOL.

26 Reference: The Ott source grammar

This is automatically generated (by `mly-y21`) from the `ocamlyacc` grammar for Ott.

The lexing of Ott source files is context-dependent; this does not show that.

Not everything in the grammar is fully supported — in particular, option element forms, non-dotted element list forms, the three `names` distinctness forms of bindspecs, and context rules.

<code>main</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>items</code>
<code>items</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>item items</code>
		<code> EOF</code>
<code>item</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>metavardefn</code>
		<code> RULES rules</code>
		<code> DEFNCLASS defnclass</code>
		<code> FUNDEFNCLASS fundefnclass</code>
		<code> SUBRULES subrules</code>
		<code> CONTEXTRULES contextrules</code>
		<code> SUBSTITUTIONS substitutions</code>
		<code> FREEVARS freevars</code>
		<code> EMBED embed</code>
		<code> PARSING parsing_annotations</code>
		<code> HOMS hom_section</code>
		<code> COQSECTIONBEGIN coq_section_begin</code>
		<code> COQSECTIONEND coq_section_end</code>
		<code> COQVARIABLE coq_variable</code>
<code>metavardefn</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>metavardefn_int</code>
		<code> indexvardefn_int</code>
<code>metavardefn_int</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>METAVAR ne_ident_hom_desc_list CCE metavarrep</code>
<code>indexvardefn_int</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>INDEXVAR ne_ident_hom_desc_list CCE metavarrep</code>
<code>metavarrep</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>homomorphism_list</code>
<code>homomorphism_list</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>{ homomorphism }</code>
<code>homomorphism</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>DOUBLELEFTBRACE hom_name hom_spec_el_list</code>
		<code>DOUBLERIGHTBRACE</code>
<code>hom_name</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>[BLANKS] STRING BLANKS</code>
<code>hom_spec_el_list</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>{ hom_spec_el }</code>
<code>hom_spec_el</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>DOUBLELEFTBRACKET hom_inner</code>
		<code>DOUBLERIGHTBRACKET</code>
		<code>STRING</code>
		<code> BLANKS</code>
		<code> DOTDOT</code>
		<code> DOTDOTDOT</code>
		<code> DOTDOTDOTDOT</code>
<code>hom_inner</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>ident_and_blanks_list</code>
		<code> ident_and_blanks_list dots ident_and_blanks_list</code>

		COMP_LEFT ident_and_blanks_list COMP_MIDDLE
embedmorphism_list	::=	comp_bound COMP_RIGHT blanks_list
embedmorphism	::=	{ embedmorphism }
embed_spec_el_list	::=	DOUBLELEFTTBACE hom_name embed_spec_el_list
embed_spec_el	::=	DOUBLERIGHTTBACE
		{ embed_spec_el }
		DOUBLELEFTTBACKET embed_inner
		DOUBLERIGHTTBACKET
		STRING
		BLANKS
		DOTDOT
		DOTDOTDOT
		DOTDOTDOTDOT
embed_inner	::=	{ (STRING BLANKS) }
bindspec	::=	BIND mse IN ident
		ident EQ mse
		NAMES LPAREN mse RPAREN EQ NAMES LPAREN mse
		RPAREN
		NAMES LPAREN mse RPAREN HASH NAMES LPAREN mse
		RPAREN
		DISTINCTNAMES LPAREN mse RPAREN
bindspeclist	::=	{ bindspec }
mse	::=	ident
		ident dots ident
		COMP_LEFT ident COMP_MIDDLE comp_bound
		COMP_RIGHT
		ident LPAREN ident RPAREN
		ident LPAREN ident dots ident RPAREN
		ident LPAREN COMP_LEFT ident COMP_MIDDLE
		comp_bound COMP_RIGHT RPAREN
		ident COMP_LEFT ident COMP_MIDDLE comp_bound
		COMP_RIGHT
		mse UNION mse
		EMPTY
bindspeclistlist	::=	{ BIND_LEFT_DELIM bindspeclist BIND_RIGHT_DELIM }
bindspecall	::=	bindspeclistlist
dots	::=	[DOTDOT DOTDOTDOT DOTDOTDOTDOT]
element	::=	ident
		dots
		COMP_LEFT element_list COMP_MIDDLE comp_bound
		COMP_RIGHT
		COMP_LEFT element_list COMP_MIDDLE ident
		COMP_MIDDLE comp_bound COMP_RIGHT
comp_bound	::=	ident [COMP_IN ident [dots ident]]
element_list	::=	{ element }
rules	::=	rule_list
rule_list	::=	{ rule }
rule	::=	ne_ident_hom_desc_list COLONCOLON STRING CCE
		homomorphism_list prodlist
prod	::=	flavour element_list COLONCOLON ident_list COLONCOLON
		STRING bindspecall homomorphism_list
prodlist	::=	{ prod }
flavour	::=	BAR
ident_desc	::=	STRING
ne_ident_hom_desc_list	::=	ident_desc homomorphism_list [COMMA ne_ident_hom_desc_list
]
ident	::=	STRING
blanks	::=	BLANKS
ident_list	::=	{ (ident BLANKS) }
ident_and_blanks_list	::=	{ (ident blanks) }
blanks_list	::=	{ BLANKS }
defnclass	::=	STRING COLONCOLON STRING CCE homomorphism_list
		defn_list
defn_list	::=	{ defn }

defn	::=	DEFN element_list COLONCOLON ident_list COLONCOLON STRING COLONCOLON STRING homomorphism_list BY semiraw_rule_list
fundefnclass	::=	STRING CCE homomorphism_list fundefn_list
fundefn_list	::=	{ fundefn }
fundefn	::=	FUNDEFN element_list COLONCOLON ident COLONCOLON STRING homomorphism_list BY BLANKLINE anylinelist
anylinelist	::=	{ (line COMMENTLINE BLANKLINE) }
line	::=	LINE
lineline	::=	LINELINE
linelist	::=	{ (line COMMENTLINE) }
nelinelist	::=	[(line COMMENTLINE) linelist]
semiraw_rule	::=	linelist lineline linelist nelinelist
blanklinelist	::=	{ BLANKLINE }
neblanklinelist	::=	BLANKLINE { BLANKLINE }
defncom	::=	DEFNCOM embed_spec_el_list DOUBLERIGHTBRACE
semiraw_rule_list	::=	blanklinelist neblanklinelist semiraw_rule semiraw_rule_list neblanklinelist defncom semiraw_rule_list
subrules	::=	subrules_body
subrules_body	::=	{ subrule }
subrule	::=	ident_desc LTCOLONCOLON ident_desc homomorphism_list
conextrules	::=	conextrules_body
conextrules_body	::=	{ conextrule }
conextrule	::=	ident_desc UNDERSCORECOLONCOLON ident_desc COLONCOLON ident_desc substitutions_body
substitutions	::=	substitutions_body
substitutions_body	::=	{ substitution }
substitution	::=	substitution_kind ident_desc ident_desc COLONCOLON ident_desc homomorphism_list
substitution_kind	::=	SINGLE MULTIPLE
freevars	::=	freevars_body
freevars_body	::=	{ freevar }
freevar	::=	ident_desc ident_desc COLONCOLON ident_desc homomorphism_list
embed	::=	embedmorphism_list
parsing_annotation_type	::=	LTEQ LEFT RIGHT NON
parsing_annotations	::=	parsing_annotations_body
parsing_annotations_body	::=	{ parsing_annotation }
parsing_annotation	::=	ident_desc parsing_annotation_type ident_desc
hom_section_item	::=	COLONCOLON STRING bindspecall homomorphism_list
hom_section_item_list	::=	{ hom_section_item }
hom_section	::=	STRING hom_section_item_list
coq_section_begin	::=	STRING
coq_section_end	::=	STRING
coq_variable	::=	STRING
unfiltered_spec_el	::=	DOUBLELEFTBRACKET unfiltered_inner DOUBLERIGHTBRACKET STRING BLANKS
unfiltered_inner	::=	{ (STRING BLANKS) }

27 Reference: Examples

The project web page

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/pes20/ott/>

gives a variety of examples. Some of these, and additional small examples, are included in the distribution in the `tests` directory. Typically they can be built using the `Makefile` in the `ott` directory, e.g. typing `make test10` or (more generally) `make tests/test10.out` there.

<code>test10.ott</code>	untyped CBV lambda
<code>test10st.ott</code>	simply typed CBV lambda
<code>test8.ott</code>	ML polymorphism example
<code>test7a.ott</code>	POPLmark Fsub example (without records)
<code>test7b.ott</code>	POPLmark Fsub example (with records)
<code>leroy-jfp96.ott</code>	Leroy module system
<code>lj.ott</code>	LJ: Lightweight Java
<code>test7t.mng</code>	whole-document tex mng source (<code>make test7afilter</code> to build)
<code>test7tt.mng</code>	fragment tex mng source
<code>test11.ott</code>	subrule example
<code>test12.ott</code>	topological sort example
<code>test13.ott</code>	small bindspec fragment
<code>test10st_snapshot_out.thy</code>	snapshot of generated Isabelle from <code>test10st.ott</code>
<code>test10st_metatheory_autoed.thy</code>	Isabelle proof script for type preservation and progress
<code>test10st_codegen.thy</code>	Isabelle code generation script for reduction
<code>test10_isasyn.ott</code>	Isabelle mixfix syntax example
<code>test10st_metatheoryScript.sml</code>	HOL proof script for type preservation and progress
<code>test17.10.ott</code>	list comprehension examples

The `examples/tapl` directory contains several examples taken from the book ‘Types and Programming Languages’ by Benjamin Pierce. The `make` targets, listed below, combine Ott source files following roughly the TinkerType component structure used in TAPL.

<code>sys-bool</code>	booleans (p34)
<code>sys-arith</code>	arithmetic expressions (p41)
<code>sys-untyped</code>	untyped lambda-calculus with booleans
<code>sys-puresimple</code>	simply-typed lambda-calculus
<code>sys-tybool</code>	typed booleans
<code>sys-sortoffullsimple</code>	
<code>sys-roughlyfullsimple</code>	
<code>sys-puresub</code>	
<code>sys-purercdsub</code>	

Other examples can be found on the locally-nameless backend web page.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Ott users for their feedback, especially Matthew Parkinson; the other members of the POPLmark team, especially Benjamin Pierce, Stephanie Weirich, and Steve Zdancewic, for discussions; and Keith Wansbrough, Matthew Fairbairn, and Tom Wilkie, for their work on various Ott predecessors.

We acknowledge the support of EPSRC grants GR/T11715, EP/C510712, and EP/F036345, a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (Sewell), an EPSRC Leadership Fellowship (Sewell), EPSRC Programme Grant, EP/K008528/1 REMS *Rigorous Engineering of Mainstream Systems*, and ANR grant ANR-06-SETI-010-02 (Zappa Nardelli).

References

- [ABF⁺05] Brian E. Aydemir, Aaron Bohannon, Matthew Fairbairn, J. Nathan Foster, Benjamin C. Pierce, Peter Sewell, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, Geoffrey Washburn, Stephanie Weirich, and Steve Zdancewic. Mechanized metatheory for the masses: The POPLmark Challenge. In *Proc. TPHOLs, LNCS 3603*, 2005.

- [FGL⁺96] Cédric Fournet, Georges Gonthier, Jean-Jacques Lévy, Luc Maranget, and Didier Rémy. A calculus of mobile agents. In *Proc. CONCUR '96, LNCS 1119*, 1996.
- [Ler96] Xavier Leroy. A syntactic theory of type generativity and sharing. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 6(5):667–698, 1996.
- [LP03] Michael Y. Levin and Benjamin C. Pierce. Tinkertype: A language for playing with formal systems. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 13(2), March 2003.
- [Pie02] Benjamin C. Pierce. *Types and Programming Languages*. MIT Press, 2002.
- [SSP07] Rok Strniša, Peter Sewell, and Matthew Parkinson. The Java Module System: core design and semantic definition. In *Proceedings of OOPSLA 2007, the 22nd ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages and Applications (Montréal)*, October 2007. 15pp.
- [SZNO⁺07] Peter Sewell, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, Scott Owens, Gilles Peskine, Thomas Ridge, Susmit Sarkar, and Rok Strniša. Ott: Effective tool support for the working semanticist. In *Proceedings of ICFP 2007: the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (Freiburg)*, October 2007. 12pp.
- [SZNO⁺10] Peter Sewell, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, Scott Owens, Gilles Peskine, Thomas Ridge, Susmit Sarkar, and Rok Strniša. Ott: Effective tool support for the working semanticist. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 20(1):70–122, January 2010. Invited submission from ICFP 2007.