

































Leveraging Google APIs to make your RESTful API self documented and discoverable

Dave Brand <dave@mobilescalability.com>



RESTful APIs

They're everywhere:
Facebook (fb)
Foursquare (node-foursquare)
Google
Instagram (instagram-node-lib)



Node.js support

Most packages provide a surprisingly thin facade, eg:

```
var FB = require('fb');

FB.api('4', function (res) {
   if(!res || res.error) {
     console.log(!res?'error occurred': res.error);
   return;
   }
   console.log(res.id);
   console.log(res.name);
});
```

https://github.com/Thuzi/facebook-node-sdk#get



What do we really want from a Node binding?

Stay out of my way!

Give me access to everything the underlying service offers, especially all the latest features.

Make it easy to use the vendor's docs (since I don't really expect the Node binding docs to be up-to-date or complete.)



Oh... and ALSO abstract away all the API differences

I got work to do. Don't make me look up whether instagram calls my profile photo "photo", "picture", or ...

dream on...



Google APIs Discovery Service

Bring's SOAP style "discovery" to REST/JSON

Provides a framework upon which to build API explorers (like SoapUI)

See: https://developers.google.com/discovery/



Components

APIs Directory

Discovery document



APIs Directory

A list of APIs available.

- name
- brief description
- link to its documentation

Each supported API can have multiple Directory entries, one for each of its supported versions.



Example

```
"kind": "discovery#directoryItem",
 "id": "plus:v1",
 "name": "plus",
 "version": "v1",
 "title": "Google+ API",
 "description": "The Google+ API enables developers to build on top of the Google+
platform.",
 "discoveryRestUrl": "https://www.googleapis.com/discovery/v1/apis/plus/v1/rest",
 "discoveryLink": "./apis/plus/v1/rest",
 "icons": {
  "x16": "http://www.google.com/images/icons/product/gplus-16.png",
  "x32": "http://www.google.com/images/icons/product/gplus-32.png"
 },
 "documentationLink": "https://developers.google.com/+/api/",
 "preferred": true
 },
```

https://www.googleapis.com/discovery/v1/apis



Discovery document

Descriptions of methods and parameters

OAuth info



Example

```
"kind": "discovery#restDescription",
"etag":
"\"a3GBuXuTtUYW9BV1SIieU5LiL7w/UF3R3J6GybMqi5Ric8P0pBn_VHE\"",
"discoveryVersion": "v1",
"id": "plus:v1",
"name": "plus",
"version": "v1",
"revision": "20121115",
"title": "Google+ API",
"description": "The Google+ API enables developers to build on top of the
Google+ platform.",
"icons": {
 "x16": "http://www.google.com/images/icons/product/gplus-16.png",
 "x32": "http://www.google.com/images/icons/product/gplus-32.png"
},
```

https://www.googleapis.com/discovery/v1/apis/plus/v1/rest



Continued

```
"documentationLink":

"https://developers.google.com/+/api/",

"protocol": "rest",

"baseUrl": "https://www.googleapis.com/plus/v1/",

"basePath": "/plus/v1/",

"rootUrl": "https://www.googleapis.com/",

"servicePath": "plus/v1/",

"batchPath": "batch",
```



```
"resources": {
                            Just a bit more...
"activities": {
 "methods": {
 "get": {
  "id": "plus.activities.get",
  "path": "activities/{activityId}",
  "httpMethod": "GET",
  "description": "Get an activity.",
  "parameters": {
   "activityId": {
   "type": "string",
   "description": "The ID of the activity to get.",
   "required": true,
   "location": "path"
  "parameterOrder": [
   "activityId"
  "response": {
   "$ref": "Activity"
```

Google's API Explorer







discovery-services-client

Why write:

```
request.get(
    'https://www.googleapis.com/plus/v1/activities/' +
    activityId + '?key=' + YOUR_API_KEY, function (err, res) ...
```

when you can write this instead:

```
google.plus.activities({
    activityId: activityId
}, function (err, res) ...
```

There are only two hard things in Computer Science: cache invalidation and naming things.

-- Phil Karlton



How about retrofitting a vendor API?

Here are the minimal settings for a single foursquare endpoint:



Genrating functions

I'm generating functions on the fly, eg. google.plus.activities()

First implementation:

One common method that gets a wrapper for each endpoint

f = async.apply(commonMethod, data, ...

... but that gave me anonymous functions - ugly stack traces



Naming them

```
> f = function () {}
[Function]
> f.name
"
> f.name = 'named'
'named'
> f.name
"
> f
[Function]
```



Actually naming them

```
> f = new Function('return function named() {}')();
[Function: named]
> f.name
'named'
```

Yes, I am aware this is morally equivalent to eval.



Your turn

https://github.com/dbrand666/hands-on-discovery



