

Student	David Matthew Bridgwood
CID	1426299
Course	CMEE MRes
Marker	Joe Tobias
Marker Role	Marker

Presentation standards

Rubric	Above Average
Comment	Figures generally good but a bit wishy washy. Keep the figures crisp and clear.

Introduction to the project

Rubric	Above average
Comment	

Methods exposition

Rubric	Above Average
Comment	

Quantity of work done (to-date for mid-project reports)

Rubric	A great deal
Comment	

Quality of underlying research (data, theory, or both)

Rubric	New and publishable
Comment	

Technical quality (empirical / theoretical / computational / statistical)

Rubric	Above Average
Comment	

Discussion (or Outlook for mid-project reports)

Rubric	Above Average
Comment	

Understanding of research

Rubric	Above Average
Comment	

Scientific rigour

Rubric	Strict
Comment	

Originality

Rubric	Highly original
Comment	

Public Comments

Comment	An excellent report detailing some timely research on an interesting topic. Most aspects were near publication quality, although the narrative would need to be streamlined and there are some major limitations to what can be inferred from applying hypervolume methods to community data, but the underlying concept is good (if more applicable to functional traits). The general structure and content from introduction to discussion is well organised and clearly explained, and technical aspects of the research seem sound.
----------------	--