

Cody Bryan

Database Functional Requirements

Function Requirements:

1. Filter climbing routes based on any combination of the following:
 - a. Route specifics
 - i. Difficulty grade
 - ii. Danger grade
 - iii. Commitment grade
 - iv. Number of pitches
 - v. First ascent keywords
 - vi. Shared on date
 - vii. Shared by a specific user
 - viii. Elevation
 - ix. Coordinates
 - x. Number of views
 - xi. Description keywords
 - xii. Location keywords
 - xiii. Protection keywords
 - b. Contained within (or not contained within) certain areas
 - c. On a specific number of to-do lists
 - d. On a specific person's to-do list or on a set of people's to-do list
 - e. Tick specifics
 - i. Notes keywords
 - ii. Number of total ticks
 - iii. Number of ticks done in a specific style
 - iv. Dates of the ticks
 - v. Ticks done by a specific person or set of people
 - f. Star specifics
 - i. Rated by certain people a certain rating
 - ii. Mean, max, min, mode, median rating

- g. Difficulty grading specifics
 - i. Graded a particular difficulty or danger grade by a particular or set of people that satisfy constraints
 - ii. Has a certain number of high danger rating, or doesn't contain any high danger grades
- 2. Congregate the above route filtering to their areas to order areas by the routes than they contain. For example, count how routes areas have that satisfy a particular route filter. Or, have a route filter return a number that represents perhaps how safe a route is, then take the average of those numbers for areas. In addition to congregating route info to their areas, areas can be filtered by their descriptions, locations, elevation, coordinates, number of views, shared on date, who it was shared by.
- 3. Filter users on the following, which can then be applied to route filtering in order to get routes that people who satisfy these filters have interacted with in a certain way
 - a. Age
 - b. Interests
 - c. Admin specifics
 - i. How many areas/routes they admin
 - ii. What areas/routes they admin
 - d. Tick specifics
 - i. What they have ticked
 - ii. How they tick (style of climbing, notes, date)
 - e. Route grades
 - f. Star ratings

These are a lot of functional requirements, but these are the different ways the database can be used to analyze rock climbing data. It is important to note that these function requirements pertain to all *rock climbing* routes in mountain (not ice climbing or bouldering) in the following states: Hawaii, Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, New York, New Hampshire, New York, New Hampshire, Main, North Carolina, Kentucky, and Nevada. These most of the states that have very few rock climbs, and some of the bigger ones. These functional requirements boil down to filtering routes, areas, and users based

on basically all variables mountain project contains. Also, it allows for some queries to analyze users, routes, and areas on the variables. My SQL queries illustrate how these function requirements provide useful information for a climber.