



# AROMATHERAPY MAY REDUCE MENSTRUAL PAIN IN WOMEN WITH PRIMARY DYSMENORRHEA

| Erin Frost, MD and Daniel A. Ostrovsky, MD |

## AROMATHERAPY MAY REDUCE MENSTRUAL PAIN IN WOMEN WITH PRIMARY DYSMENORRHEA

*Level 2 (mid-level) evidence*

*J Clin Med 2018 November 10;7(11)*

Dysmenorrhea is the most common gynecologic problem in women with an estimated prevalence of 16.8–81%.<sup>1,2</sup> It is defined as recurrent and painful lower abdomen cramps that occur with menstruation.<sup>2</sup> Morbidity can be significant and can be associated with anxiety and depression.<sup>3</sup> Current pharmacologic therapies include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and hormonal contraceptives. However, while both have been shown to be effective for dysmenorrhea, they have significant adverse effects and contraindications that may preclude their use in many patients.<sup>4–6</sup> Oral supplements such as vitamin B1, fish oil, and zinc may be of some benefit, but evidence

is limited.<sup>7</sup> Aromatherapy is the use of essential oils, which are naturally extracted aromatic essences from plants to balance, harmonize, and promote the health of body, mind, and spirit.<sup>8</sup> Aromatherapy has been used in United States academic centers as minimally invasive primary or adjunctive interventions to manage pain and other symptoms.<sup>9,10</sup> Essential oils that have been used to treat dysmenorrhea include lavender, rosemary, peppermint oil, cinnamon, clove, rose, clary sage, nutmeg, fennel, marjoram, thymus vulgaris, zataria multiflora, and rosaceous extract. Two recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses found that aromatherapy was effective in the management of dysmenorrhea.<sup>11,12</sup> However, both reviews were limited by methodologic flaws and lacked inclusion of several relevant studies. To address these concerns a newer systematic review was performed, which included several additional studies and a comprehensive meta-analysis.<sup>13</sup>

The focus of this systematic review was to assess the efficacy and safety of the most common forms of aromatherapy including oral, inhalational, and massage. Eleven major English and Korean databases were independently searched for randomized or quasi-randomized trials. The references of all identified articles were reviewed to identify any additional relevant material. The search strategy was broad and included both keyword and subject heading searches to appropriately identify trials related to the therapeutic use of aromatherapy in patients with dysmenorrhea. A total of 19 trials were included for meta-analysis. A funnel plot of included trials was asymmetrical, which may have been due to publication bias or heterogeneity of the interventions. Overall, risk of bias across the trials was considered moderate and primarily related to

issues of allocation concealment and the challenges of participant blinding. The quality of all included trials was considered moderate.

Seven trials examined inhalational aromatherapy, eleven trials assessed aromatherapy with massage with or without inhalation, and 2 trials examined aromatherapy using oral extracts. Included studies employed parallel group or cross-over study designs. There was high variability in methodology between studies including type and number of essential oils used, dosing, method of delivery, and number of treatments. Most trials used lavender or rose oil alone, in combination with one another, or with other essential oils. One study compared diclofenac to diclofenac plus aromatherapy while the others compared to placebo and it was unclear whether other analgesics or anti-inflammatories were permitted during the study period. The outcome measures for pain varied among studies, although most used a 0–10 point visual analog scale. To allow for pooling of data, results were reported using standardized mean differences (SMD). Typically, an SMD of 0.2 is considered a small difference between the experimental and the control group; 0.5, a moderate difference; and 0.8, a large difference.<sup>14</sup> Pooled data from 1787 women from all trials demonstrated a statistically beneficial effect of aromatherapy on pain with a SMD of 0.91 (95% CI 0.64–1.17) lower than the placebo group. Sub-analysis by type of aromatherapy modality—inhalational, massage, and oral aromatherapy each demonstrated efficacy with SMDs of 1.02 (95% CI 0.44–1.59), 0.87 (95% CI 0.6–1.14), and 0.61 (95% CI 0.3–0.91), respectively. Of note, there was a high degree of heterogeneity with  $I^2 = 88\%$  for all types of aromatherapy, except aromatherapy using oral extracts.

*DynaMed Plus* is an evidence-based clinical reference, which is updated daily through systematic surveillance and critical appraisal of the research literature. DynaMed editors and reviewers select content of interest for integrative medicine, summarize the current evidence, and describe challenges in evidence analysis and application. Evidence quality is rated *level 1 (likely reliable) evidence* for studies with clinical outcomes and minimal risk of bias, *level 2 (mid-level) evidence* for studies with clinical outcomes and significant methodological or statistical limitations, and *level 3 (lacking direct) evidence* for reports that do not include scientific analysis of clinical outcomes. When applicable, the number of patients needed to be treated to lead to one patient having an improved outcome (NNT for number needed to treat) or a worse outcome (NNH for number needed to harm) is presented. DynaMed Plus is available at <http://www.dynamed.com/>. For more information, contact Brian S. Alper, MD, MSPH, FAAFP, at [DynaMedEditor@ebcscost.com](mailto:DynaMedEditor@ebcscost.com).

Only two trials assessed adverse effects, and none were reported.

This meta-analysis expanded on the existing body of evidence to provide support for the use of aromatherapy in the management of primary dysmenorrhea. The overall merits of this study included the broad search criteria including multiple commonly utilized aromatherapy agents, the strict inclusion of randomized controlled trials, and the assessment of bias.

While there was a meaningful reduction in pain and overall benefit demonstrated by this meta-analysis suggesting that it may benefit patients with dysmenorrhea, there are several issues that must be considered. First, the quality of the included trials was hampered by methodologic heterogeneity including variability in ingredient, dose, delivery route, and duration of use. This leads to poor reproducibility and decreased validity of the obtained results. Next, there was a moderate risk of bias in key areas including blinding and allocation. Admittedly, blinding is inherently challenging in the study of aroma-based interventions, but nonetheless may lead to exaggerated effects in subjective ratings.

Another key piece of information demonstrated by the review was that only two of the included studies assessed for adverse effects and no studies assessed for residual effects despite known potential complications and cumulative effects of long term cutaneous aromatherapy use.<sup>15–17</sup> Lastly, due to the lack of restrictions on retrospective study registration in addition to inconsistent and unclear outcome measures, the validity of the data may be suspect.

In summary, this study strengthens the argument for aromatherapy as an alternative in the management of primary dysmenorrhea. However, the potential long-term effects of the regular use of essential oils is

unknown, and there is no comparative data with the currently mainstream accepted treatments including NSAIDs. Therefore, the role of aromatherapy at this time may be considered for those intolerant of current conventional therapies or those interested in a non-pharmacologic approach.

## REFERENCES

1. Latthe P, Latthe M, Say L, Gulmezoglu M, Khan KS. WHO systematic review of prevalence of chronic pelvic pain: a neglected reproductive health morbidity. *BMC Public Health*. 2006;6:177.
2. Osayande AS, Mehulic S. Diagnosis and initial management of dysmenorrhea. *Am Fam Physician*. 2014;89(5):341–346.
3. Balik G, Ustuner I, Kagitci M, Sahin FK. Is there a relationship between mood disorders and dysmenorrhea. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2014;27(6):371–374.
4. Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) United Kingdom Medical Eligibility Criteria (UKMEC) for Contraceptive Use. July 26, 2016; <https://www.fsrh.org/standards-and-guidance/external/ukmec-2016-digital-version/>.
5. Curtis KM, Jatlaoui TC, Tepper NK, Zapata LB, Horton LG, Jamieson DJ, Whiteman MK. U.S. selected practice recommendations for contraceptive use, 2016. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2016;65(4):1–66.
6. Marjoribanks J, Ayeleke RO, Farquhar C, Proctor M. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for dysmenorrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015(7):Cd001751.
7. Pattanittum P, Kunyanone N, Brown J, Sangkomkarnhang US, Barnes J, Seyfoddin V, Marjoribanks J. Dietary supplements for dysmenorrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;3: Cd002124.
8. National Association for Holistic Aromatherapy: What is Aromatherapy? <https://naha.org/explore-aromatherapy/about-aromatherapy/what-is-aromatherapy>. Accessed 20 January 2019.
9. Conlon PM, Haack KM, Rodgers NJ, Dion LJ, Cambern KL, Rohlik GM, Nelson DE, Barry TA, Ayres SJ, Cutshall SM. Introducing essential oils into pediatric and other practices at an academic medical center. *J Holist Nurs*. 2017;35(4):389–396.
10. Esparham A, Herbert A, Pierzchalski E, Tran C, Diltz J, Boorigie M, Wingert T, Connelly M, Bickel J. Pediatric headache clinic model: implementation of integrative therapies in practice. *Children (Basel)*. 2018;5(6):1–10.
11. Song JA, Lee MK, Min E, Kim ME, Fike G, Hur MH. Effects of aromatherapy on dysmenorrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2018;84:1–11.
12. Sut N, Kahyaoglu-Sut H. Effect of aromatherapy massage on pain in primary dysmenorrhea: a meta-analysis. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. 2017;27:5–10.
13. Lee MS, Lee HW, Khalil M, Lim HS, Lim HJ. Aromatherapy for managing pain in primary dysmenorrhea: a systematic review of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *J Clin Med*. 2018;7(11):1–14.
14. Gregori D, Giacobelli G, Minto C, Barbetta B, Gualtieri F, Azzolina D, Vaghi P, Rovati LC. Association of pharmacological treatments with long-term pain control in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2018;320(24):2564–2579.
15. Diaz A, Luque L, Badar Z, Komic S, Danon M. Prepubertal gynecomastia and chronic lavender exposure: report of three cases. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2016;29(1):103–107.
16. Henley DV, Lipson N, Korach KS, Bloch CA. Prepubertal gynecomastia linked to lavender and tea tree oils. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(5):479–485.
17. Posadzki P, Alotaibi A, Ernst E. Adverse effects of aromatherapy: a systematic review of case reports and case series. *Int J Risk Saf Med*. 2012;24(3):147–161.

**Erin Frost, MD** is a Resident Physician in the Duke University School of Medicine Combined Internal Medicine-Pediatrics Residency Training Program.

**Daniel A. Ostrovsky, MD** is an Associate Professor of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics at Duke University in Durham, NC.