List of topics in this lecture

- Energy spectrum density (ESD), power spectrum density (PSD)
- Stationary stochastic process, auto-correlation function (ACF)
- Wiener-Khinchin theorem: PSD is Fourier transform of ACF
- Definition of white noise: PSD is constant in frequency domain
- Calculating ACF and PSD of $Z(t) \equiv dW/dt$
- Constrained Wiener process, Bayes Theorem

Recap

Gambler's ruin problem:

Methodology of deriving BVPs for u(x) and T(x)

Scaling and non-dimensionalization, advantage of working with $\frac{dW(t)}{\sqrt{dt}}$

 $\underline{Short\ story\ of\ white\ noise}\ ...$

Fourier transform: $F[y(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)y(t)dt$

Properties of Fourier transform (continued)

1)
$$F\left[\rho_{N(0,\sigma^2)}(t)\right] = F\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right] = \exp\left(-2\pi^2\sigma^2\xi^2\right)$$

- 2) $F[\delta(x)] = 1$
- 3) $F[1] = \delta(\xi)$
- 4) Parseval's theorem

$$\int |y(t)|^2 dt = \int |\hat{y}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

Proof:

$$\int |y(t)|^2 dt = \int y(t) \overline{y(t)} dt = \int \left(\int \exp(i2\pi\xi t) \hat{y}(\xi) d\xi \int \exp(-i2\pi\eta t) \overline{\hat{y}(\eta)} d\eta \right) dt$$
$$= \int \left(\int \int \exp(-i2\pi(\eta - \xi)t) \hat{y}(\xi) \overline{\hat{y}(\eta)} d\xi d\eta \right) dt$$

Change the order of integration

$$= \iint \hat{y}(\xi) \overline{\hat{y}(\eta)} \underbrace{\left(\int \exp\left(-i2\pi(\eta - \xi)t\right) dt \right)}_{F[1] = \delta(\eta - \xi)} d\eta d\xi$$

$$= \iint \hat{y}(\xi) \overline{\hat{y}(\eta)} \delta(\eta - \xi) d\eta d\xi = \int \hat{y}(\xi) \overline{\hat{y}(\xi)} d\xi = \int \left| \hat{y}(\xi) \right|^{2} d\xi$$

A rigorous proof:
$$\int |y(t)|^2 dt = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} \int y(t) \overline{y(t)} e^{-\sigma^2 t^2} dt = \cdots$$

Recall the short story of white noise:

- 1) $Z(t) = \frac{dW}{dt}$ is not a regular function.
- 2) $E(Z(t)Z(s)) = \delta(t-s)$
- 3) $\int \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)E(Z(t)Z(0))dt = 1$
- 4) Z(t) is a white noise (we will clarify what that means).

The long story of white noise

We follow the steps listed below.

- Energy $\propto \int_{-T}^{T} |y(t)|^2 dt$ --> Energy spectrum density (ESD)
- Power $\propto \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} |y(t)|^2 dt$ --> Power spectrum density (PSD)
- Relation between PSD and auto-correlation function (ACF)
- Definition of white noise based on PSD
- Calculating ACF and PSD of $Z(t) \equiv dW/dt$

Energy spectrum density (ESD)

In many physics problems,

Energy
$$\propto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |y(t)|^2 dt$$

Examples:

y(t) = electric current

Energy =
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R \cdot y(t)^2 dt$$
, $R =$ electrical resistance

Here "energy" refers to the dissipated energy.

y(t) = velocity

Energy =
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b \cdot y(t)^2 dt$$
, $b = \text{viscous drag coefficient}$

For mathematical convenience, we define

Energy
$$\equiv \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |y(t)|^2 dt}_{\text{Parseval's theorem}} = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\hat{y}(\xi)|^2 d\xi}_{\text{Parseval's theorem}} = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i2\pi\xi t) y(t) dt}_{\text{Parseval's theorem}}$$

We like to know how the energy is distributed in the frequency domain. <u>Definition</u> of energy spectrum density (ESD)

$$ESD = \left| \hat{y}(\xi) \right|^2 = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i2\pi \xi t) y(t) dt \right|^2$$

Caution: $|\hat{y}(\xi)|^2$ is an <u>unnormalized density</u>.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \hat{y}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| y(t) \right|^2 dt = \text{Energy} \neq 1$$

Other examples of unnormalized density:

Population density: *X* number of persons per square mile

Pollution density: X amount of chemicals per unit volume of air or water

Car density: X number of cars per mile of highway

Caution: (slightly different definitions of ESD)

In electrical engineering (EE), energy spectrum density is defined as

ESD = Φ(ω) =
$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i\omega t) y(t) dt \right|^2$$
 ω: angular frequency

 $\Phi(\omega)$ and $|\hat{y}(\xi)|^2$ are related by:

$$\Phi(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} |\hat{y}(\xi)|^2$$
, $\xi = \frac{\omega}{2\pi}$: ordinary frequency

Power spectrum density (PSD)

Energy spectrum density is meaningful only when $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |y(t)|^2 dt = \text{finite}$.

Example: y(t) = electric current = y_0 = constant in time

Energy =
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R \cdot y_0^2 dt = \infty$$

When the total energy is unbounded, we look at the energy per time.

$$\int_{-T}^{T} |y(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi t) y(t) dt \right|^2 d\xi \quad \text{(Parseval's theorem)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |y(t)|^{2} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left| \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi \xi t) y(t) dt \right|^{2} d\xi$$

<u>Definition</u> of power spectrum density (PSD)

$$PSD = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left| \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi \xi t) y(t) dt \right|^{2}$$

Expression of power spectrum density (PSD)

We write PSD into a more workable expression.

$$PSD = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi t) y(t) dt \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(i2\pi\xi s) \overline{y(s)} ds}{2T}$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi \xi(t-s)) y(t) \overline{y(s)} dt ds}{2T}$$

change of variable $\tau = t - s$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-T-s}^{T-s} \exp(-i2\pi \xi \tau) y(\tau+s) \overline{y(s)} d\tau ds}{2T}$$

Draw the integration region in $s-\tau$ plane.

For each s, the range for τ is [-T-s, T-s].

For each τ , the range for s is $[a(\tau), b(\tau)]$ where

$$a(\tau) = \begin{cases} -T - \tau, & \tau \in [-2T, 0] \\ -T, & \tau \in [0, 2T] \end{cases}, \quad b(\tau) = \begin{cases} T, & \tau \in [-2T, 0] \\ T - \tau, & \tau \in [0, 2T] \end{cases}$$

Change the order of integration

$$PSD = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi\tau) \int_{a(\tau)}^{b(\tau)} y(\tau+s) \overline{y(s)} ds d\tau}{2T}$$
(PSD01)

So far, we worked with deterministic process y(t).

Next we introduce stochastic process and stationary stochastic process.

Definition of stochastic process

A stochastic process is a function of time that varies with ω .

$$\underbrace{y(t)}_{\text{Short notation}} = \underbrace{y(t, \omega)}_{\text{Full notation}} \qquad \omega = \text{random outcome of an experiment}$$

Definition of stationary stochastic process

Let y(t) be a stochastic process. We say y(t) is stationary if for any set of time instances $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_k)$, the joint distribution of $(y(t+t_1), y(t+t_2), ..., y(t+t_k))$ is independent of t.

Examples:

- W(t) is a stochastic processes. It is not stationary: var(W(t)) = t varies with t.
- $Z(t) = \frac{dW(t)}{dt} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{dt}} N(0, dt)$ is a well defined stochastic process for finite dt.

It is stationary: the joint distribution is invariant under a shift.

Properties of stationary stochastic process

For a stationary stochastic process, we have

- E(y(t)) = E(y(0))
- $\operatorname{var}(y(t)) = \operatorname{var}(y(0))$
- $E(y(s+\tau)\overline{y(s)}) = E(y(\tau)\overline{y(0)})$

<u>Definition</u> of auto-correlation function (ACF)

For a stationary stochastic process y(t), the auto-correlation function (ACF) is

$$R(\tau) \equiv E\left(y(s+\tau)\overline{y(s)}\right) = E\left(y(\tau)\overline{y(0)}\right)$$

Note: $R(\tau)$ is independent of s (for a stationary process).

<u>Caution</u>: be careful with the term "auto-correlation"

Auto-correlation coefficient is defined as

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{E(\left[y(\tau) - E(y(0))\right]\left[\overline{y(0)} - E(y(0))\right]}{\operatorname{var}(y(0))}$$

Auto-correlation function (ACF) is defined as

$$R(\tau) \equiv E\left(y(\tau)\overline{y(0)}\right)$$

Relation between PSD and ACF

For a stationary stochastic process, the power spectrum density (PSD) is

$$\underbrace{s(\xi)}_{\text{New notation for PSD}} \equiv \text{PSD} \equiv \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{E\left(\left| \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-i2\pi \xi t) y(t) dt \right|^{2}\right)}{2T}$$

We use (PSD01), obtained above for a deterministic process, to rewrite $s(\xi)$

$$s(\xi) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{E\left(\int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi\tau) \int_{a(\tau)}^{b(\tau)} y(\tau+s) \overline{y(s)} ds d\tau\right)}{2T}$$

Change the order of integration and expectation

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi\tau) \int_{a(\tau)}^{b(\tau)} E\left(y(\tau+s)\overline{y(s)}\right) ds d\tau}{2T}$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi\tau) \int_{a(\tau)}^{b(\tau)} R(\tau) ds d\tau}{2T} \qquad R(\tau) \text{ is independent of } s.$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi\xi\tau) R(\tau) (b(\tau) - a(\tau)) d\tau}{2T}$$

The term $(b(\tau) - a(\tau))$ has the expression:

$$b(\tau) - a(\tau) = \begin{cases} 2T + \tau, & \tau \in [-2T, 0] \\ 2T - \tau, & \tau \in [0, 2T] \end{cases} = 2T - |\tau|$$

Substituting it into the expression of $s(\xi)$ yields

$$s(\xi) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{-2T}^{2T} \exp(-i2\pi \xi \tau) R(\tau) \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{2T} \right) d\tau$$

Taking the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$s(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i2\pi\xi \tau) R(\tau) d\tau$$

We just derived the Wiener-Khinchin theorem.

Wiener-Khinchin theorem (relation between PSD and ACF)

For a stationary stochastic process y(t), the power spectrum density, $s(\xi)$, and the auto-correlation function, R(t), are related by

$$s(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i2\pi \xi t) R(t) dt$$

In other words, the PSD is the Fourier transform of ACF.

Definition of white noise

Let y(t) be a stationary stochastic process. We say y(t) is a white noise if

$$s(\xi) = const$$

In other words, the power of a white noise is uniformly distributed in the frequency domain. The Wiener-Khinchin theorem tells us that

$$s(\xi) = const \iff R(t) \equiv E\left(y(t)\overline{y(0)}\right) \propto \delta(t)$$

Working out items in the short story

We re-write the short story in terms of the auto-correlation function $R(\tau)$ and power spectrum density $s(\xi)$.

- 1) $Z(t) = \frac{dW}{dt}$ is not a regular function.
- 2) $R(\tau) = E(Z(s+\tau)Z(s)) = \delta(\tau)$
- 3) $s(\xi) = \int \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)R(t)dt = 1$
- 4) Z(t) is a white noise.
- To show Z(t) is a white noise (item 4), we only need $s(\xi)$ = const (item 3).
- To show $s(\xi) = 1$ (item 3), we only need $R(t) = \delta(t)$ (item 2)

Thus, the remaining task is to show item 2, which we do now.

Derivation of
$$R(t) = \delta(t)$$
 for $Z(t) \equiv dW/dt$

Here we present a "formal" derivation. A rigorous derivation is in Appendix A.

We first calculate E(W(t)W(s)) for $t \ge s$.

$$E(W(t)W(s)) = E((W(t) - W(s) + W(s))W(s))$$

$$= E((W(t)-W(s))W(s))+E(W(s)^{2})=0+s=s$$

Since E(W(t)W(s)) = E(W(s)W(t)), we obtain

$$E(W(t)W(s)) = \min(t,s)$$

Next, in the calculation of E(Z(t)Z(s)), we "formally" exchange the order of differentiation and expectation.

$$E(Z(t)Z(s)) = E\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(W(t)W(s))\right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E(W(t)W(s)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\min(t,s)$$

As a function of t, we have

$$\min(t,s) = \begin{cases} t, & t < s \\ s, & t > s \end{cases}$$

Differentiating with respect to t, and then writing it as a function of s, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \min(t, s) = \begin{cases} 1, & t < s \\ 0, & t > s \end{cases}$$
 (as a function of t)
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & s < t \\ 1, & s > t \end{cases}$$
 (as a function of s)

Differentiating with respect to s, we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \min(t, s) = \delta(s - t)$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$E(Z(t)Z(s)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \min(t,s) = \delta(s-t)$$

==>
$$R(\tau) = E(Z(s+\tau)Z(s)) = \delta(\tau)$$

A class of colored noise:

In the subsequent discussion of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (OU), we will see that its auto-correlation has the form:

$$R(t) = E\left(y(t)\overline{y(0)}\right) \propto \exp(-\beta |t|)$$

The corresponding power spectrum density is

$$s(\xi) = \int \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)R(t)dt \propto \int \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)\exp(-\beta|t|)dt = \frac{2\beta}{\beta^2 + 4\pi^2\xi^2}$$

End of discussion of white noise

Constrained Wiener process

For an unconstrained Wiener process, we have

$$W(0) = 0$$
 and $W(t_1) \sim N(0, t_1)$

Question: What happens if it is constrained by $W(t_1+t_2) = y$?

We like to know the conditional distribution $(W(t_1) \mid W(t_1+t_2) = y)$.

To answer this question, we need to introduce Bayes theorem.

Bayes Theorem

Consider two events A and B. We write Pr(A and B) in two ways.

$$Pr(A \text{ and } B) = Pr(A \mid B) Pr(B)$$

$$Pr(A \text{ and } B) = Pr(B \mid A) Pr(A)$$

Equating the two, we get

$$Pr(A \mid B) Pr(B) = Pr(B \mid A) Pr(A)$$

Express $Pr(A \mid B)$ in terms of $Pr(B \mid A)$, we arrive at

Bayes Theorem for events:

$$\Pr(A|B) = \frac{\Pr(B|A)\Pr(A)}{\Pr(B)}$$

To derive Bayes theorem for densities, we consider

$$A = "x < X \le x + \Delta x"$$

$$B = "y < Y \le y + \Delta y"$$

We write probabilities in terms of densities

$$Pr(A|B) \approx \rho(X = x|Y = y)\Delta x$$

$$Pr(B|A) \approx \rho(Y = y|X = x)\Delta y$$

$$Pr(A) \approx \rho(X = x)\Delta x$$

$$Pr(B) \approx \rho(Y = y)\Delta y$$

Substituting these terms into Bayes theorem, we obtain...

Bayes theorem for densities

$$\rho(X=x|Y=y) = \frac{\rho(Y=y|X=x) \cdot \rho(X=x)}{\rho(Y=y)}$$

A useful trick:

In density $\rho(X=x|Y=y)$, x is the independent variable and y is a parameter. On the RHS of Bayes theorem, $\rho(Y=y)$ has no dependence on x and serves as a normalizing factor.

Thus, we don't need to explicitly keep track of $\rho(Y=y)$. We can write Bayes theorem conveniently as

$$\rho(X=x|Y=y) \propto \rho(Y=y|X=x) \cdot \rho(X=x)$$

where the RHS needs a proper normalizing factor to make it integrate to 1.

This trick is especially convenient for normal distributions:

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$
 $\Longleftrightarrow \exp\left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$

Conditional density $\rho(W(t_1) = x \mid W(t_1+t_2) = y)$

To use the Bayes theorem, we first find $\rho(W(t_1) = x)$ and $\rho(W(t_1+t_2) = y \mid W(t_1) = x)$.

$$W(t_{1}) \sim N(0, t_{1})$$

$$= > \rho(W(t_{1}) = x) = \rho_{N(0,t_{1})}(x) \propto \exp\left(\frac{-x^{2}}{2t_{1}}\right)$$

$$W(t_{1} + t_{2}) = W(t_{1}) + \underbrace{\left(W(t_{1} + t_{2}) - W(t_{1})\right)}_{\sim N(0,t_{2})}$$

$$= > \left(W(t_{1} + t_{2}) \middle| W(t_{1}) = x\right) \sim N(x, t_{2})$$

$$= > \rho\left(W(t_{1} + t_{2}) = y \middle| W(t_{1}) = x\right) = \rho_{N(x,t_{2})}(y) \propto \exp\left(\frac{-(y - x)^{2}}{2t_{1}}\right)$$

The Bayes theorem gives us

$$\rho(W(t_1) = x | W(t_1 + t_2) = y) \propto \rho(W(t_1 + t_2) = y | W(t_1) = x) \cdot \rho(W(t_1) = x)$$

(We don't need to keep track of factors that are independent of x!)

(Completing the square)

$$\propto \exp\left(\frac{-\left(x - \frac{t_1 y}{t_1 + t_2}\right)^2}{2\frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_2}}\right) \sim N\left(\frac{t_1 y}{t_1 + t_2}, \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_2}\right)$$

We conclude

$$\rho(W(t_1) = x | W(t_1 + t_2) = y) \sim N\left(\frac{t_1 y}{t_1 + t_2}, \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_2}\right)$$

For the general case, we have

$$\rho\Big(W(a+t_1)=x\Big|W(a)=y_a \text{ and } W(a+t_1+t_2)=y_b\Big) \sim N\bigg(\frac{t_1y_b+t_2y_a}{t_1+t_2}, \frac{t_1t_2}{t_1+t_2}\bigg)$$

A special case: $t_1 = t_2 = h/2$

$$\rho\left(W(a+\frac{h}{2})=x\big|W(a)=y_a \text{ and } W(a+h)=y_b\right) \sim N\left(\frac{y_a+y_b}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)$$

This is very useful in refining a discrete sample path of W(t).

Appendix A: A rigorous derivation of R(t) and $s(\xi)$ for $Z(t) \equiv dW/dt$

First, we work with finite dt. Let $\Delta t \equiv dt$. We have

$$Z(t) = \frac{W(t + \Delta t) - W(t)}{\Delta t}$$
 a well defined stationary stochastic process

$$E(Z(t)Z(s)) = E\left(\frac{W(t+\Delta t) - W(t)}{\Delta t} \cdot \frac{W(s+\Delta t) - W(s)}{\Delta t}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & |t-s| > \Delta t \\ \frac{\Delta t - |t-s|}{(\Delta t)^2}, & |t-s| \le \Delta t \end{cases}$$
 (derivation not included)

$$R(\tau) = E\left(Z(s+\tau)Z(s)\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\tau| > \Delta t \\ \frac{\Delta t - |\tau|}{\left(\Delta t\right)^{2}}, & |\tau| \leq \Delta t \end{cases}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of R(t), we obtain

$$s(\xi) = \int \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)R(t)dt = \int_{-\Delta t}^{\Delta t} \exp(-i2\pi\xi t)\frac{\Delta t - |t|}{(\Delta t)^2}dt$$
$$= 2\frac{\cosh(i2\pi\xi\Delta t) - 1}{(i2\pi\xi\Delta t)^2} \qquad \text{(derivation not included)}$$

Finally, we take the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. At any fixed ξ , as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} s(\xi) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} 2 \frac{\cosh(i2\pi \xi \Delta t) - 1}{(i2\pi \xi \Delta t)^2} = 1$$

Observation:

- Mathematically, working with finite *dt* until taking the limit at the end is a rigorous approach in which every step is properly justified.
- "Formal" derivations are not rigorous but are much simpler.