

# Homework 3: Report

Dante Buhl

Feb. 14<sup>th</sup> 2024

1. The Schur decomposition theorem states that if  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ , then there exist a unitary matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $U$  such that  $A = QUQ^{-1}$ . Use the Schur decomposition theorem to show that a real symmetric matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable by an orthogonal matrix, i.e.,  $\exists$  an orthogonal matrix  $Q$  such that  $Q^T A Q = D$ , where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with its eigenvalues in the diagonal.

*Proof.* We begin with the Schur Decomposition Theorem for real symmetric matrix  $A$ . We have,

$$A = QUQ^{-1} = QUQ^*$$

By the property that  $A$  is real and symmetric, we have that  $A^* = A$ .

$$A^* = A = QUQ^*$$

$$Q^* A^* Q = U^* = Q^* A Q = U$$

So we have,  $U = U^*$ . Since we have that  $U$  is upper triangular, that means that  $U^*$  is lower triangular. However, since they are equal to one another, we must have that they are both diagonal. Thereby we have that  $A$  is diagonalizable by an orthogonal matrix  $Q$  (a property of unitary matrices).

$$A = QUQ^* \implies Q^{-1} A Q = U = D$$

Since we have this property, we have that  $Q$  contains the eigenvectors of  $A$  and  $U$  is a diagonal matrix containing its eigenvalues.

□

2. Consider the following system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiply the last row of the matrix and the right-hand side vector by a large constant  $c$  such that  $c\epsilon \gg 1$ . Perform Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting to the modified row-scaled system and discuss what happens. If solving the resulting system has numerical issues, identify the issues and discuss how to improve the method.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ c\epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ c \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & c(1-\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ c(1-2\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} \\ y &= \frac{1-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \approx 1 \\ x &= \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \approx 1 \end{aligned}$$

This method actually does a decent job computing  $x$  and  $y$  within machine precision.

3. What can you say about the diagonal entries of a symmetric positive definite matrix? Justify your assertion.

Claim: All diagonal entries of a symmetric pos. def. matrix are positive.

*Proof.* Take  $A$  to be a symmetric positive definite square matrix,  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ . We have that for all vectors  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , that the inner product is greater than zero, (i.e.  $(x, Ax) > 0$ ). We can now choose vectors  $x$  to demonstrate that the diagonal elements are positive. Let us choose  $x = \hat{e}_1$ .

$$(\hat{e}_1, A\hat{e}_1) = \hat{e}_1^* A \hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_1^* \vec{a}_1$$

Where  $\vec{a}_i$  is the  $i$ th column vector of  $A$ .

$$(\hat{e}_1, A\hat{e}_1) = \hat{e}_1^* \vec{a}_1 = a_{11}$$

$$(\hat{e}_1, A\hat{e}_1) > 0 \implies a_{11} > 0$$

This argument can be repeated for unit basis vectors,  $\hat{e}_i, \forall i \in 1, \dots, m$ . Thus we have, that  $a_{ii} > 0 \forall i \in 1, \dots, m$ .  $\square$

4. Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is written in the block form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $A_{22} \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-n) \times (m-n)}$ . Assume that  $A$  satisfies the condition:  $A$  has an  $LU$  decomposition if and only if the upper-left  $k \times k$  block matrix  $A_{1:k, 1:k}$  is nonsingular for each  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

- (a) Verify the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

which “eliminate” the block  $A_{21}$  from  $A$ . The matrix  $A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$  is known as the Schur complement of  $A_{11}$  in  $A$ , denoted as  $A/A_{11}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} IA_{11} & IA_{12} \\ IA_{21} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} & IA_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} - A_{21} & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

- (b) Suppose that after applying  $n$  steps of Gaussian elimination on the matrix  $A$  in (2),  $A_{21}$  is eliminated row by row, resulting in a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that the bottom-right  $(m-n) \times (m-n)$  block matrix  $D$  is again  $A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ . Note: Part (b) is separate from Part (a)).

*Proof.* We start by looking at the process of gaussian elimination. We have that we progress by developing these  $L$  matrices, so as to eliminate the entries below the diagonal element in each column. We look at  $L_1$ .

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ -\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} & \ddots & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ -\frac{a_{21}^{-1}}{a_{11}} & \vdots & \cdots & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $a_{21}^{-1}$  denotes the  $i$ th column vector of  $A_{21}$ . We will ultimately have,

$$D(i, j) = A_{22}(i, j) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a_{kk}} (a_{21}^{-k}(i) \cdot a_{12}^k(j))$$

with  $a_{kk}$  are the diagonal elements of  $A_{11}$ , and  $a_{12}^k$  is the  $k$ th column vector of  $A_{12}$ . Note that if  $A_{11}$  is a diagonal block matrix, then this exactly gives,

$$D = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$$

□

5. Consider solving  $Ax = b$ , with  $A$  and  $b$  are complex-valued of order  $m$ , i.e.,  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, b \in \mathbb{C}^m$ .

- (a) Modify this problem to a problem where you only solve a real square system of order  $2m$ . (Hint: Decompose  $A = A_1 + iA_2$ , where  $A_1 = \text{Re}(A)$  and  $A_2 = \text{Im}(A)$ , and similarly for  $b$  and  $x$ . Determine equations to be satisfied by  $x_1 = \text{Re}(x)$  and  $x_2 = \text{Im}(x)$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 + iA_2)(x_1 + ix_2) &= b_1 + ib_2 \\ A_1x_1 - A_2x_2 &= b_1, \quad A_1x_2 + A_2x_1 = b_2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_2 \\ A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Determine the storage requirement and the number of floating-point operations for the real-valued method in (a) of solving the original complex-valued system  $Ax = b$ . Compare these results with those based on directly solving the original complex-valued system using Gaussian elimination (without pivoting) and complex arithmetic. Use the fact that the operation count of Gaussian elimination is  $O\left(\frac{m^3}{3}\right)$  for an  $m \times m$  real-valued system with one right-hand side vector. Pay close attention to the greater expense of complex arithmetic operations. Make your conclusion by quantifying the storage requirement and the operating expense of each method. Draw your conclusion on which method is computationally advantageous.