## AM 275 - Magnetohydrodynamics: Homework 1

#### Dante Buhl

January 22, 2025

## Problem 1:

Show that

$$u_i \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u_i \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + p e_{kk} - 2\mu \left[ e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} e_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right]^2.$$

*Proof.* First, we begin with the derivative identity

$$u_i \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u_i \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} - \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}$$

and in order to simplify this statement, take  $\tau_i$  to be the i-th row vector of  $\tau$ , we have:

$$\sum_{i} u_{i} \nabla \cdot \tau_{i} = \sum_{i} \nabla \cdot u_{i} \tau_{i} - \tau_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

Already we have shown the first RHS term originates from the derivative identity, whereas the other terms must originate from  $-\sum_{i} \tau_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$ . Thus, we investigate this term in more detail.

$$-\sum_{i} \tau_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i} = \sum_{i} \left[ p + \frac{2}{3} \mu \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \right] \delta_{ij} \cdot \nabla u_{i} - 2\mu e_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

where  $e_{kk}$  is written as  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$  and  $e_i$  is the i-th row of e (as in  $e_{ij}$ ). Notice that  $\sum_i \delta_{ij} \cdot \nabla u_i = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$ , and therefore,

$$-\sum_{i} \tau_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i} = \left[ p + \frac{2}{3} \mu \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \right] (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) - 2\mu \sum_{i} e_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$
$$= p(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2} - 2\mu \sum_{i} e_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

Thus we recover the second RHS term,  $pe_{kk}$ . Now we must show the rest of  $-\sum_i \tau_i \cdot \nabla u_i$  recovers the last term of the RHS. We write the decomposition of  $e_i$ .

$$-2\mu \sum_{i} e_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i} = -\mu \sum_{i} \left( \nabla u_{i} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

$$= -\mu \sum_{i} |\nabla u_{i}|^{2} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

$$= -\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{2} - \mu \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \nabla u_{i}$$

$$= -\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{2} - \mu \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}$$

Now we must show by the transitive propery that,

$$\frac{2}{3}\mu(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^2 - \mu|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 - \mu\sum_{ij}\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} = -2\mu\left[e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}e_{kk}\delta_{ij}\right]_{ll}^2$$

We begin by writing the inner product of these second order tensors and then taking the contraction (necessary in order to obtain a scalar) (also sorry about the indices, I couldn't decide which letters I wanted to stick with in the long run)

$$-2\mu \left[ e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} e_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right]_{ll}^{2} = -2\mu \left[ (e_{ij}^{2})_{ll} - \frac{2}{3} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) e_{ll} + \frac{1}{9} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2} \delta_{ll} \right]$$

$$= -2\mu \left[ (e_{im} \cdot e_{mj})_{ll} - \frac{2}{3} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2} + \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}^{2}) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{m}} + \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{m}} \right)_{ll} + \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{2} \left( \nabla u_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial x_{i}} + \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{i} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \nabla u_{i} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{2} \left( 2|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} + 2\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^{2} - \mu |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} - \mu \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$u_i \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u_i \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + p(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^2 - \mu |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 - \mu \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}$$
$$= \frac{\partial u_i \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + p e_{kk} - 2\mu \left[ e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} e_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right]^2$$

where  $[\cdot]^2$  implies a tensor "double dot product", where first a (tensor) inner product is taken and the resultant second order tensor is contracted to become a scalar.

## Problem 2:

### 2.1 Show that the imcompressible induction equation is

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} = (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}$$

*Proof.* We begin by writing the (non-diffusive) induction equation and the corresponding derivative identity.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} &= \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \\ \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) &= \boldsymbol{u} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}) - \boldsymbol{B} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} \end{split}$$

Using this substitution and keeping in mind that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  and  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$  we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} = (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}$$

#### 2.2 Show that the compressible induction equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \right) + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \right) = \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \right) \boldsymbol{u}$$

*Proof.* We begin by taking the compressible induction equation and multiplying by  $1/\rho$ .

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{1}{\rho}(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{\rho}\boldsymbol{B}(\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u})$$

Then, we use the product rule derivative identity to change some of the derivatives. We have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \right) + \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} + \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho^2} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \rho = \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \right) \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})$$

Here we consider the conservation of mass equation which for compressible fluids is written as,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{u}) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\rho (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \rho) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \rho = -\frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}).$$

BNotice that we can take this equation, multiply it by B and subtract it from the induction equation. This leaves us with,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \right) + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} = \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \right) \boldsymbol{u}$$

# Problem 3:

### 3.1 Derive the induction equation given that $\sigma$ is not necessarily constant

*Proof.* Let us begin with Ohm's law as we have written in lecture.

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{j} &= \boldsymbol{j}' = \sigma \boldsymbol{E}' \\ \boldsymbol{E}' &= \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B} \\ \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} &= \mu_0 \sigma (\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \\ \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} \right) &= \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \\ \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} (\nabla \times \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma^2} \nabla \sigma \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}) &= -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma^2} ((\nabla \boldsymbol{B})^T \cdot \nabla \sigma - (\nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B}) + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \end{aligned}$$

This can then be simplified keeping in mind that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ , and especially if the flow is incompresible, to the following:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma^2} (\nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} = (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma^2} ((\nabla \boldsymbol{B})^T \cdot \nabla \sigma) + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{B}$$

Essentially we see the appearence of two new terms if the conductivity is not constant. First, the advection of B by the gradient of conductivity, and then some weird term related to  $\nabla B^T$  on the RHS.

## Problem 4:

# 4.1 Show that initial conditions of the divergence of the magnetic field are preserved for Maxwell's equations

*Proof.* In order to show this, we must first assume that the temporal and spatial derivatives can be taken in any order, i.e.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)$ . We proceed by taking the dot product of Faraday's law,

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (-\nabla \times \mathbf{E})$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

where the RHS is zero because the divergence of a curl is always zero. Thus if we have that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  at t = 0, it will always be zero.

# 4.2 Show that initial conditions of the divergence of the magnetic field are preserved for the induction equation

*Proof.* A similar proof can be written from the perspective of the induction equation. Let us write a form of the induction equation,

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}\right) + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B})$$

where  $\sigma$  is not necessarily a constant and the fluid is not necessarily incompressible. Similarly, we take the divergence of this equation and obtain,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}\right) + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B})\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}) = 0$$

since again, the divergence of a curl is always zero. Therefore, from the perspective of the induction equation, we have that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  will be maintained for all t > 0 if  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  at t = 0.